

# Behavioural Finance

David Hirshleifer

Presented by: Zhen Long

July 6, 2022

## Introduction

## Review of Behavioral Finance

Overconfidence and Self-Esteem Maintenance  
Limited Attention and Cognitive Processing  
Feelings

## Conclusion

## An example

- ▶ The stock price of EntreMed jumped about 600% over a week.
- ▶ But the information was available 5 months earlier.

**CNNMoney™**

NEWS > Companies

— ADVERTISEMENT —

### EntreMed stock skyrockets

May 4, 1998: 7:57 p.m. ET

**Biotech soars on word of promising two-drug cure for cancer**

**DRUG COMPANIES**

NEW YORK (CNNfn) - Shares of EntreMed Inc., a little-known biotechnology company, rocketed 330 percent Monday on news the upstart biotechnology firm found a cure for cancer in laboratory mice.

Although experts cautioned the treatments may not produce the same results in humans, and a commercial version of the drug could take more than a decade to hit the market, investors scurried to acquire

[View a](#)

# Behavioral Finance

- ▶ Efficient market hypothesis: most investors are rational in processing information. Prices accurately reflect publicly available information.
- ▶ Behavioral finance: studies how people fall short of this ideal in their decisions and how markets are inefficient.

# Overview

- ▶ Mispricing, Arbitrage, and Financial Agents
- ▶ Psychological Foundations
- ▶ Overconfidence and Self-Esteem Maintenance
- ▶ Limited Attention and Cognitive Processing
- ▶ Feelings
- ▶ Firm Behavior: Exploiting vs. Inciting Misvaluation

# Arbitrage

- ▶ Arbitrage is a double-edged blade that can make prices either more or less efficient.
  - ▶ depending on the dominant investors.
- ▶ Empirical evidence seems to confirm that long run wealth tends to flow to smart arbitrageurs.
  - ▶ Grinblatt and Bartram(2018,2021)

# Financial Agents

- ▶ Are agents rational enough?
- ▶ Owing to conflict of interest, or to imperfect rationality of investment professionals, employing agents is an imperfect remedy for ignorance and folly.
- ▶ Money managers often pander to investor irrationality to attract inflows.

# Psychological Foundations

- ▶ Heuristics simplification:
  - ▶ Because people need to make judgments and decisions quickly using limited cognitive resources, they necessarily use shortcuts
  - ▶ Dual-process theory: an automatic, nondeliberative system quickly generates perceptions(intuitive system) and judgments; a slower, more effortful system monitors and revises such judgments as time and circumstances permit(reasoning system).
  - ▶ encompasses innate and automatic processes as well as learned or consciously selected rules of thumb.
  - ▶ People are overconfident that their intuition is correct.
    - ▶ What you see is all there is(WYSIATI)



# Psychological Foundations

- ▶ Affective short-circuiting:
  - ▶ facilitate making fast use of urgent information about the environment
  - ▶ sudden panic / hot stock
- ▶ Self-deception
  - ▶ People overestimate their personal merits as to be more persuasive.

# Psychology of overconfidence

- ▶ Overprecision: people think that their judgments are more accurate than they really are
- ▶ Overplacement: overestimate one's rank in the population
  - ▶ Overoptimism about one's prospects, which affects economic and financial decisions.
  - ▶ Self-enhancing attribution bias
- ▶ Cognitive dissonance: stick too stubbornly to a choice despite opposing information
  - ▶ sunk cost effect
  - ▶ rationalization of one's past behaviours

# Investor overconfidence and self-esteem maintenance

- ▶ trading aggressively
  - ▶ Individual investors trade individual stocks despite losing money
  - ▶ Invest in active funds instead of indexing
  - ▶ Underdiversification
    - ▶ A greater feeling of competence about investing is associated with weaker home bias in investing (Graham, Harvey & Huang 2009, MS)
  - ▶ Dynamics: profits on position increase confidence, resulting in greater aggressiveness.

# Investor overconfidence and self-esteem maintenance

- ▶ price overreaction
  - ▶ high price be a proxy for overvaluation and low price for undervaluation → size effect / BM/ Earnings/ cash flow/ past price(loser/winner effect)
    - ▶ **salience theory** and stock prices (JFE,2021,ab)
  - ▶ → fundamental-to-price ratios predict returns strongly, because they capture both risk and mispricing effects. → stronger overconfidence effects for hard-to-value stocks.
  - ▶ Forward premium puzzle: the forward premium for bonds denominated in different currencies negatively predict exchange rate shifts, because short-term IRs act as a fundamental scaling for long-term IRs.

# Investor overconfidence and self-esteem maintenance

- ▶ Dynamic under- vs. overreaction
  - ▶ Bias in self-attribution means short-run momentum and long-run reversal.
  - ▶ → Post-event return continuation, eg. issuing overpriced shares(or IPO, debt) and repurchasing underpriced shares(New issues puzzle)
    - ▶ **Danial, 2018, RFS**
    - ▶ Equity issues in total new equity and debt issues negatively predict market returns.

# Investor overconfidence and self-esteem maintenance

- ▶ Short-sale constraints, and overpricing
  - ▶ Miller(1997): owing to short-sale constraints, only relatively optimistic beliefs are impounded into price
  - ▶ dispersion of analyst forecasts is negatively associated with subsequent abnormal returns
    - ▶ → stocks with tighter short-sale constraints have stronger return predictability anomalies
    - ▶ Volatility proxies disagreement, implying greater overvaluation. → stocks with high idiosyncratic risk underperform (Ang et al. 2006)
    - ▶ Lower liquidity exacerbate bubbles. eg. bubble in Chinese warrants(Xiong and Yu 2011)

# Managerial and Advisor Overconfidence

- ▶ manager:
  - ▶ more acquisition
  - ▶ less external finance, especially equity, more short-term debt
  - ▶ greater corporate investment, more R&D
- ▶ analyst:
  - ▶ **Jiang, Kumar & Law 2016, RAS**: political attitudes and forecasting
  - ▶ dynamics: attribute good performance excessively to their own abilities.

# Failure to Process Signals and the Environment

- ▶ Information neglect (Limited-attention theory)
  - ▶ positive abnormal returns after neglected good news and vice versa
  - ▶ overreact to salient news and less predictive components
    - ▶ Hong & Stein, 1999: news watchers tend to underreact and momentum traders tend to overreact.
  - ▶ post-earnings announcement drift
  - ▶ accrual anomaly (negative predictor)
    - ▶ Accruals, the accounting adjustments made to cash flows to obtain earnings, are less positive than cash flow as a predictor of profitability
  - ▶ Salience and distraction
    - ▶ **Cohen et al, 2020,JF** : lazy prices, changes to the language and construction of financial reports.
    - ▶ when an investor's attentional resources are depleted, greater resort to intuition. eg. Friday.



# Category Thinking & Reference Dependence

- ▶ Category Thinking: comovement in excess of fundamentals: investors think heuristically about security categories.
  - ▶ eg, **Du et al. 2022JBF** concept link and return momentum
  - ▶ assets that share a style comove more
- ▶ Reference dependence: seemingly irrelevant reference points matter to investors and firms
  - ▶ Past stock price highs affect firm and investor behavior and predict future stock and market returns (George & Hwang 2004; Baker, Pan & Wurgler 2012).

# Conceptual Discretizing, Loss Aversion, and Probability Weighting

- ▶ Increasing effective risk aversion offer an explanation for the equity premium and nonparticipation puzzles.
- ▶ → Conceptual discretizing so that even a small loss is perceived to be essentially different from a small gain.
- ▶ → overweight unlikely events → lottery stock preference and low return

## Mental accounting and realization preference

- ▶ Mental accounting: track gains and losses relative to a reference point and to feel rewarded or punished for them.
- ▶ Realization preference: investors become more willing to realize realization preference as the net gain increases.

# Mental accounting and realization preference

- ▶ realization preference
  - ▶ the dual risk attitudes of prospect theory (risk loving in the loss domain, risk averse in the gain domain)
  - ▶ disposition effect: the probability of an investor selling an asset conditional upon a gain is greater than it is conditional upon a loss
  - ▶ reverse disposition effect: when investors can assign blame to others suggests that the urge to maintain self-esteem is a key driver (Chang, Solomon & Westerfield 2014)
  - ▶ Prospect theory
    - ▶ Value is an S-shaped function of gain/loss (dual risk attitudes), resulting in risk aversion in the gain domain and risk seeking in the loss domain

# Heuristic learning

- ▶ representativeness heuristic: people assess the probability of a state of the world on the basis of how typical of that state the evidence seems to be.
- ▶ hyperactive pattern recognition: overweight the probabilities of opportunities or dangers when the potential cost of neglect is high (people experienced economic crisis invest less)
- ▶ overextrapolation: positive-feedback trading
  - ▶ causes overreaction and long-run return reversal
  - ▶ if sequences of good earnings news occur, then investors fixate on this pattern and overreact.

# Others

- ▶ Reinforcement learning: individuals extrapolate only from their own direct experience and without properly reflecting on the informativeness of the data.
  - ▶ past life experiences also affect both investor and managerial decisions
  - ▶ eg. Malmendier, Tate & Yan 2011 JF: CEOs who grew up during the Great Depression are averse to debt and lean excessively on internal finance.
- ▶ Inertia and habits

## Familiarity and liking

- ▶ familiarity reduces feelings of risk
- ▶ Good mood increases optimism and risk taking (Kuhnen & Knutson 2011JFQA) and vice versa
- ▶ Endowment effect: preference for retaining over exchanging for better alternative. ← loss aversion

## Financial theories based on feelings

- ▶ mood swings associated with weather or sports events can affect prices (as documented in Saunders 1993, Hirshleifer & Shumway 2003, Edmans, Garcia & Norli 2007).
- ▶ Seasonal shifts in length of day can induce seasonal affective disorder and are correlated with market returns (Kamstra, Kramer & Levi 2000).



## Financial effects of familiarity and in-group bias

- ▶ home bias/ in-group bias: bias in financial investing and economic exchange in favor of one's own culture.
- ▶ ← aversion to uncertainty or unfamiliarity, distrust is an important barrier to participation in the stock market (Guiso, Sapienza & Zingales 2008)

## Sentiment, shifting optimism, and risk tolerance

- ▶ If sentiment induces mispricing, then sentiment measures should predict future abnormal returns.
  - ▶ Measures of global sentiment negatively predict country-level returns. (Baker, Pan & Wurgler 2012)

# Overview

- ▶ Understanding firm behavior in inefficient markets:
  - ▶ exploiting: an action taken in response to a preexisting level of mispricing
  - ▶ inciting: an action designed to shift the level of mispricing (Hirshleifer 2001). eg. repurchase
  - ▶ upward earnings management/ downward earnings management

## Exploitive advisors and firms

- ▶ Daniel, Hirshleifer & Subrahmanyam (1998), firms select new issues and repurchase amounts as a function of mispricing to exploit investor overconfidence. This implies positive abnormal returns after repurchase and negative returns after new issues.
- ▶ Cornelli, Goldreich & Ljungqvist (2006) provide evidence that institutional investors and underwriters exploit misvaluation of IPOs by individual investors.
- ▶ In the theory of Gennaioli, Shleifer & Vishny (2012), intermediaries design securities that seem nearly risk free to take advantage of investor neglect of nonsalient risks, resulting in booms and crashes.

## Future research

- ▶ Laboratory and field experiments
- ▶ How feelings affect financial decisions
- ▶ Focus more on specific pathways of causality
- ▶ Move from behavioral finance to social finance