

On traces of functions in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for Lipschitz domains in \mathbb{R}^3

Annalisa BUFFA^a, Giuseppe GEYMONAT^b

^a Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Pavia, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italie

^b LMGC, C.C. 048, Université de Montpellier-II, place Eugène-Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France
E-mail: annalisa@dragon.ian.pv.cnr.it; geymonat@lmgc.univ-montp2.fr

(Reçu et accepté le 19 janvier 2001)

Abstract.

We consider the problem of the characterization of the range of the trace operator $(\gamma, \gamma_1) : W^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, $p \in]1, \infty[$, defined by the mapping $u \mapsto (u|_\Gamma, \partial_n u)$, when Ω is a Lipschitz bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . \mathcal{R} turns out to be a subspace of $W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma)$. To this aim we need to prove a suitable Hodge decomposition for vector fields belonging to $\mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \Omega)$, and also to study some properties of the tangential gradient ∇_Γ on a Lipschitz orientable manifold. © 2001 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

Traces de $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ dans de domaines lipschitziens en \mathbb{R}^3

Résumé.

On caractérise l'image \mathcal{R} de l'application $u \mapsto (\gamma(u) = u|_\Gamma, \gamma_1(u) = \partial_n u)$ de $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ dans $W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma)$, $p \in]1, \infty[$, quand Ω est ouvert borné lipschitzien de \mathbb{R}^3 . Pour cela on montre une décomposition de Hodge pour les champs vectoriels de $\mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ et on étudie quelques propriétés du gradient tangentiel ∇_Γ sur la surface lipschitzienne. © 2001 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

Version française abrégée

(Les numéros d'équations renvoient à la version anglaise.)

Soit Ω un ouvert borné de \mathbb{R}^N de frontière Γ lipschitzienne et soit \mathbf{n} la normale extérieure. L'application $u \mapsto (\gamma(u) = u|_\Gamma, \gamma_1(u) = \partial_n u)$ est linéaire et continue de $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ dans $W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma)$ et son image \mathcal{R} est dense dans cet espace [8]. Quand $N = 2$ et $p = 2$, Geymonat et Krasucki [6] ont caractérisé \mathcal{R} à l'aide de la fonction d'Airy ; la même technique a été utilisée par Duràn et Muschietti [5] dans le cas $N = 2$ et $p \in]1, \infty[$.

Cette caractérisation peut se reformuler de la façon équivalente suivante :

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(g_0, g_1) \in W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma) : (\partial_t g_0)\mathbf{t} + g_1 \mathbf{n} \in (W^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma))^2\},$$

où ∂_t désigne la dérivée tangentielle et \mathbf{t} le vecteur tangent.

Note présentée par Philippe G. CIARLET.

Dans cette Note nous montrons (théorème 5) qu'une caractérisation analogue est valable pour $N = 3$:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(g_0, g_1) \in W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma) : \nabla_\Gamma g_0 + g_1 \mathbf{n} \in (W^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma))^3\},$$

où ∇_Γ est le gradient tangentiel défini localement par (1). Dans le cas d'un polyèdre, la condition $\nabla_\Gamma g_0 + g_1 \mathbf{n} \in (W^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma))^3$ traduit les conditions de compatibilité aux arêtes et aux sommets [7,2].

Pour démontrer le théorème 5, on introduit l'opérateur de projection $\pi_\tau^\Gamma : \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma) : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0\}$ défini par $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{n} \wedge (\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{n})$ et l'opérateur de trace tangentiel π_τ défini pour \mathbf{u} régulière dans Ω par $\pi_\tau(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{n} \wedge (\mathbf{u}|_\Gamma \wedge \mathbf{n})$ dont on prolonge la définition à

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma); \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma)\}.$$

La démonstration du théorème utilise une décomposition de type Hodge pour les éléments de $\mathbf{L}_t^p(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma); \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma), \pi_\tau(\mathbf{u}) = 0\}$ (lemme 6) et un résultat de relèvement pour $f \in W^{1,p}(\Gamma)$ tel que $\nabla_\Gamma f \in V_\pi^p := \pi_\tau^\Gamma\{W^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)\}$ (lemme 7).

Nous conjecturons que la caractérisation de \mathcal{R} obtenue est valable pour tout N .

1. Preliminaries

In [6], by means of the construction of a suitable Airy function, the range of the operator $(\gamma, \gamma_1) : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma) \times L^2(\Gamma)$ is characterized when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Lipschitz domain (Γ its boundary). The same technique and result is generalized in [5] for the Sobolev spaces $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $p \in]1, \infty[$. Here we tackled the same problem in the three dimensional case (i.e., for the spaces $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $p \in]1, \infty[$) and a different technique must be used. Related results for polyhedral domains can be found in [7] and [2].

Let Ω be a Lipschitz bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 , we denote by Γ its boundary; Γ is orientable and the unit normal vector outward to Ω is denoted by \mathbf{n} . Following [8], \mathbf{n} is defined almost everywhere and $\mathbf{n} \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$. On Ω , standard Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $s > 0$, are defined. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{s,p,\Omega}$ the associated natural norm. Concerning the definition of Sobolev spaces on the boundary Γ , we follow Nečas [8]. Let Δ_r be the closed 2D unit square $\Delta = \{0 \leq x_{r1}, x_{r2} \leq 1\}$ associated to a system of coordinates (x_{r1}, x_{r2}, x_{r3}) . There exist M open, regular and connected subsets of Γ , say $\{\gamma_r\}_r$ such that $\bigcup_r \gamma_r = \Gamma$, and M Lipschitz functions $a_r : \Delta_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\overline{\gamma_r} = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_{r1}, x_{r2}, a_r(x_{r1}, x_{r2})), (x_{r1}, x_{r2}) \in \Delta_r\}$. Finally, we denote by $A_r : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ the application $(x_{r1}, x_{r2}) \mapsto (x_{r1}, x_{r2}, a_r(x_{r1}, x_{r2}))$.

The spaces $W^{s,p}(\Gamma)$, $s = [0, 1]$, are Banach spaces endowed with the following norms:

$$\|u\|_{s,p,\Gamma}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^M \|u \circ A_r\|_{s,p,\Delta_r}^2.$$

Different maps give rise to equivalent norms. The parameterizations A_r induce, in a natural way, two tangent vectors on γ_r , namely $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \partial_1 a_r(1, 0))$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, \partial_2 a_r(0, 1))$ which are not orthogonal, but are independent. We set $g_{ik} = \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_k$ for $i, k = 1, 2$, and $G = \{g_{ik}\}$ the corresponding invertible matrix. We set $G^{-1} = \{g^{ik}\}$ and $g = \det\{G\}$. As in the case of the regular domains, the dual base of tangential vectors reads $\mathbf{e}^i = \sum_{k=1}^2 g^{ik} \mathbf{e}_k$.

We use the boldface to denote the spaces of vector valued functions $\mathbf{v} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, e.g., $\mathbf{W}^{s,p}(\Omega) = (W^{s,p}(\Omega))^3$. Moreover, we set:

$$\mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \Omega) := \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Omega) : \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Omega)\};$$

$$\mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma) := \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma) : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0\}.$$

We denote by γ the standard trace operator both for scalar and vector functions, $\gamma : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma : \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)$.

DEFINITION 1. – We define the operators $\pi_\tau : \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma)$ and $\pi_\tau^\Gamma : \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma)$ as $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{n} \wedge (\mathbf{v}|_\Gamma \wedge \mathbf{n})$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{n} \wedge (\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{n})$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma)$, respectively.

These operators are linear and continuous, and it holds $\pi_\tau(\mathbf{u}) = (\pi_\tau^\Gamma \circ \gamma)(\mathbf{u})$ for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma)$, the operator π_τ^Γ is also surjective.

Differential operators can be defined over the boundary Γ .

DEFINITION 2. – We define $\nabla_\Gamma : W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma)$ for any $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Gamma)$:

$$(\nabla_\Gamma \varphi)|_{\gamma_r} = \partial_1(\varphi \circ A_r) \mathbf{e}^1 + \partial_2(\varphi \circ A_r) \mathbf{e}^2, \quad \forall r = 1, \dots, M. \quad (1)$$

The invariance of $W^{1,p}(\Gamma)$ with respect to the choice of the local parameterization ensures that the definition (1) is independent of the choice of $\{A_r\}_r$ (see [8]).

Following [4], we set

$$V_\pi^p := \pi_\tau^\Gamma \{\mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)\}. \quad (2)$$

V_π^p is a Banach space (Hilbert for $p = 2$) endowed with its natural norm:

$$\|\lambda\|_{V_\pi^p} := \inf \{\|\mathbf{u}\|_{1-1/p,p,\Gamma}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma), \pi_\tau^\Gamma(\mathbf{u}) = \lambda\}.$$

Remark that for general Lipschitz domains, no intrinsic definition (by local maps) of the space V_π^p is provided. In the case of smooth surfaces, several equivalent intrinsic definitions of V_π^p can actually be given, but unfortunately they do not coincide with (2) in the case of non-smooth boundaries. See [4] for details in the case $p = 2$. Using the well known continuous and dense injection $\mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma)$, we immediately deduce that $V_\pi^p \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma)$ is a continuous and dense injection.

We need now a preliminary result concerning the tangential trace operator for vector fields belonging to $\mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \Omega)$.

PROPOSITION 3. – Let $p \in]1, \infty[$, and p' be its conjugate exponent ($1/p + 1/p' = 1$). Let $\gamma_\tau^\Gamma : \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma)$, be the operator defined by the mapping $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{n}$ for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\Gamma)$. We set $V_\gamma^p = \gamma_\tau^\Gamma \{\mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)\}$. It is a Banach space endowed with its natural norm. The injection $V_\gamma^p \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma)$ is continuous and dense. Let $(V_\gamma^p)'$ be the dual space of V_γ^p . It is a Banach space endowed with the induced norm and moreover $\mathbf{L}_t^{p'}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow (V_\gamma^p)'$ is continuous and dense. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_\gamma^p}$ the corresponding duality pairing, defined by density and by:

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{V_\gamma^p} := \int_\Gamma \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}_t^{p'}(\Gamma), \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}_t^p(\Gamma).$$

Then the operator π_τ (see Definition 1) can be extended to a linear and continuous operator from $\mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ to $(V_\gamma^p)'$.

Proof. – Let $p \in]1, \infty[$, p' be the conjugate exponent and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}^{1,p'}(\Omega)$. By definition $\gamma_\tau^\Gamma(\gamma(\mathbf{v})) \in V_\gamma^{p'}$, and the following integration by parts holds true [4]:

$$\int_\Omega (\text{curl } \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{u}) = \int_\Gamma \gamma_\tau^\Gamma(\gamma(\mathbf{v})) \cdot \pi_\tau(\mathbf{u})$$

for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})^3$. Using the definition of the duality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_\gamma^p}$, we have also:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u}) = \langle \pi_\tau(\mathbf{u}), \gamma_\tau^\Gamma(\gamma(\mathbf{v})) \rangle_{V_\gamma^{p'}}. \quad (3)$$

By density of $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})^3$ in $\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$, the formula (3) holds true for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$. The statement is then straightforward. \square

This allows us to define the space

$$\mathbf{L}_0^p(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega) := \{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega) : \pi_\tau(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \}.$$

Finally, the following proposition is a consequence of Proposition 3:

PROPOSITION 4. – *Let $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, then $\pi_\tau(\nabla \phi) \in V_\pi^p$ depends only on the trace of ϕ on Γ and it holds:*

$$\pi_\tau(\nabla \phi) = \nabla_\Gamma(\phi|_\Gamma) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Gamma. \quad (4)$$

Moreover ∇_Γ can be extended from $W^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)$ to $(V_\gamma^{p'})'$ as a linear and continuous operator still denoted as ∇_Γ .

Proof. – We start proving (4). It is enough to prove that the quantity $\pi_\tau(\gamma(\nabla \phi))$ does depend only on the trace of ϕ on the boundary Γ . Then, let $\xi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have to prove that $\pi_\tau(\nabla \xi) = 0$ almost everywhere on Γ . Using (3) and the standard integration by parts formula, we have:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \pi_\tau(\nabla \xi) \cdot \gamma_\tau^\Gamma(\gamma(\mathbf{v})) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \xi = \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \xi, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})^3.$$

The identity (4) follows from the definition of π_τ and ∇_Γ .

Let now $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have that $\nabla \phi \in \mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$ and, using Proposition 3 we deduce that $\pi_\tau(\nabla \phi) \in (V_\gamma^{p'})'$. By density of $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the gradient operator can be extended using (4). \square

Remark 1. – Equality (4) is then, by definition of ∇_Γ , valid for any $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and from (3) it holds $V_\pi^p \subseteq (V_\gamma^{p'})'$.

2. Trace theorem for $\mathbf{W}^{2,p}(\Omega)$

THEOREM 5. – *Let $(\gamma, \gamma_1) : W^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma)$ be the standard trace operator*

$$u \mapsto (\gamma(u) = u|_\Gamma, \gamma_1(u) = \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u),$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u = (\nabla u)|_\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{n}$. The range of (γ, γ_1) is characterized as follows:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{ (g_0, g_1) \in W^{1,p}(\Gamma) \times L^p(\Gamma) \text{ such that } \nabla_\Gamma g_0 + g_1 \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma) \}.$$

The proof of this theorem requires few lemmas.

LEMMA 6. – *The following decomposition holds:*

$$\mathbf{L}_0^p(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega) = \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) + \nabla(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)),$$

where the sum in the previous decomposition is not direct.

Proof. – The proof follows the same steps as the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [3]. Let \mathcal{O} be a regular connected and simply connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{O}$. We call $\tilde{\cdot}$ the extension by zero outside Ω , $\tilde{\cdot} : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{O})$. Since $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}_0^p(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ using (3), we easily deduce that $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \mathcal{O})$. Using now standard Hodge decomposition in \mathcal{O} :

$$\exists! \Psi \in \mathbf{L}^p(\text{curl}, \mathcal{O}), \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \Psi + \nabla\varphi, \quad \text{div}(\Psi) = 0, \quad \Psi \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}.$$

The set \mathcal{O} can be chosen as regular as we want and then, by standard regularity theory, we know that $\Psi \in \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ (see [1]). Now, since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, we deduce $\Psi = -\nabla\varphi$ in $\mathcal{O} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and, as a consequence, $\varphi|_{\mathcal{O} \setminus \overline{\Omega}} \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{O} \setminus \overline{\Omega})$. The function $\varphi|_{\mathcal{O} \setminus \overline{\Omega}}$ can now be extended in Ω preserving its regularity according to [8] and we denote by φ_R this extension. Then, $\mathbf{u} = (\Psi + \nabla\varphi_R) + \nabla(\varphi - \varphi_R)$ where $\Psi + \nabla\varphi_R \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi - \varphi_R \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

LEMMA 7. – Let $f \in W^{1,p}(\Gamma)$ such that $\nabla_\Gamma f \in V_\pi^p$. Then there exists a function $F \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that $F|_\Gamma \equiv f$.

Proof. – Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be any continuous lifting of f on Ω . By Proposition 4, we have $\pi_\tau(\nabla u) = \nabla_\Gamma(u|_\Gamma) = \nabla_\Gamma f$. On the other hand, by definition of the space V_π^p , we know that there exists a vector $\xi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\pi_\tau(\xi) = \nabla_\Gamma f$. Immediately we have that $\xi - \nabla u \in \mathbf{L}_0^p(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. Using Lemma 6, we know that $\xi - \nabla u = \Psi - \nabla p$, with $\Psi \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $p \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Now, let $F := u - p$. It verifies $F|_\Gamma = f$ and $F \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. – Given a function $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Then, we have $(\nabla\phi)|_\Gamma \in \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)$ and thanks to Proposition 4, it also holds $\nabla_\Gamma(\phi|_\Gamma) \in V_\pi^p$. By definition of ∇_Γ and using (4), we have:

$$\nabla_\Gamma\phi|_\Gamma + \partial_n\phi\mathbf{n} \equiv \gamma(\nabla\phi) \in \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma).$$

We are now given with (g_0, g_1) belonging to \mathcal{R} and we have to construct a function $\varphi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that $(\gamma(\varphi), \gamma_1(\varphi)) = (g_0, g_1)$. Applying the operator π_τ to the quantity $\nabla_\Gamma g_0 + g_1\mathbf{n}$, we have that $\nabla_\Gamma g_0 \in V_\pi^p$. By means of Lemma 7, we know that there exists a function $G_0 \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that $G_0|_\Gamma = g_0$.

Thanks to the first part of proof, we have now that $\nabla_\Gamma G_0 + \partial_n G_0\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma)$. By difference we obtain, then

$$\partial_n G_0\mathbf{n} - g_1\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\Gamma).$$

By standard trace theorem, there exists a function $\Psi \in \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\Psi|_\Gamma = \partial_n G_0\mathbf{n} - g_1\mathbf{n}$. Moreover, by construction $\pi_\tau(\Psi) = 0$ almost everywhere on Γ . By means of Lemma 6, we know that Ψ can be decomposed in the following way:

$$\Psi = \Xi + \nabla p, \quad \Xi \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad p \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Now, if we take $\varphi = G_0 - p$, it belongs to $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ by construction and it is not hard to see that $(\gamma(\varphi), \gamma_1(\varphi)) = (g_0, g_1)$. \square

Remark 2. – We conjecture that Theorem 5 is still true in \mathbb{R}^n , for $n > 3$.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the Istituto di Analisi Numerica del C.N.R. (Pavia, Italy) for the kind hospitality during a part of the preparation of this work.

References

- [1] Amrouche C., Bernardi C., Dauge M., Girault V., Vector potentials in three-dimensional non-smooth domains, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 21 (1998) 823–864.

- [2] Bernardi C., Dauge M., Maday Y., Compatibilité de traces aux arêtes et coins d'un polyèdre, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 331 (2000) 679–684.
- [3] Bonnet-Ben Dhia A.-S., Hazard C., Lohrengel S., A singular field method for the solution of Maxwell's equations in polyhedral domains, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 59 (2000) 2028–2044.
- [4] Buffa A., Costabel M., Sheen D., On traces for $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$ for Lipschitz domains, Tech. Rep. I.A.N.-C.N.R. n° 1082, 2000.
- [5] Duràn R.G., Muschietti M.A., On the traces of $\mathbf{W}^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for a Lipschitz domain Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid (to appear).
- [6] Geymonat G., Krasucki F., On the existence of the Airy function in Lipschitz domains. Application to the traces of H^2 , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 330 (2000) 355–360.
- [7] Grisvard P., Singularities in Boundary Value Problems, RMA, Vol. 22, Masson, Paris, 1992.
- [8] Necăs J., Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Masson, Paris, 1967.