

FX Modeling: HW 3

Due on Sept 30, 2015

Mark Higgins Sept 16, 2015

Zhenfeng Liang

Problem 1

Question (4 marks)(How to incorporate ‘the premium currency is the asset currency’?):

Derive the expression for the ATM strike, given the ATM volatility and other market parameters, in the case where the market convention premium currency is the asset currency. In that case the price of the portfolio is,

$$\Pi(S) = v(S) - S \frac{v_0}{S_0} \quad (1)$$

where $\Pi(S)$ is the price of the portfolio (which varies with spot S), $v(S)$ is the price of the option (which varies with spot S), S is the spot, S_0 is the initial spot (at the time of the trade), and v_0 is the initial price of the option (equal to $v(S_0)$). Note that the option here might be a call or might be a put.

Calculate the derivative of $\Pi(S)$ with respect to S to get the delta of the portfolio (taking at spot $S = S_0$), and then solve for the strike that makes the delta of a call portfolio equal to the negative of the delta of a put portfolio (using Black-Scholes formulas for the call and put prices and deltas).

Solution

Take derivative of $\Pi(S)$ with respect to S , we have,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0} - \frac{v_0}{S_0} \quad (2)$$

where v_0 could be the value of call/put option.

From BlackScholes model, we have,

$$\Delta_c = \frac{\partial v_{call}}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0} = e^{-qT} N(d_1) \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta_p = \frac{\partial v_{put}}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0} = -e^{-qT} N(-d_1) \quad (4)$$

$$v_0(call) = S_0 e^{-qT} N(d_1) - e^{-rT} K N(d_2) \quad (5)$$

$$v_0(put) = e^{-rT} K N(-d_2) - S_0 e^{-qT} N(-d_1) \quad (6)$$

where $N(x)$ is the distribution function of standard normal.

Plug into the portfolio delta formula above, we have,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0}(call) = \frac{K}{S_0} e^{-rT} N(d_2) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0}(put) = -\frac{K}{S_0} e^{-rT} N(-d_2) \quad (8)$$

$$(9)$$

In order to be delta neutral, we need,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0}(call) = -\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial S}|_{S=S_0}(put) \quad (10)$$

Only when $d_2 = 0$, the above equation holds, we have,

$$d_2 = \frac{\ln(\frac{S_0}{K_A}) + (r - q - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} = 0 \quad (11)$$

Therefore, we have,

$$K_A = F e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}T} \quad (12)$$

Problem 2

Question (4 marks):

Assume an FX market where the spot is 1, time to expiration is 0.5y, forward points are +0.0040, and the denominated discount rate is 1.75%. What is the strike corresponding to a 25-delta call option when its implied volatility is 8.75%, using market-convention delta? Assume the market convention premium currency is the denominated currency.

Solution

$$K_c = F e^{\frac{\sigma_K^2}{2} T - \sigma_K \sqrt{T} N^{-1}(\Delta_c e^{qT})} \quad (13)$$

From the question, we are provided,

$$S = 1.0 \quad (14)$$

$$F = 1.0040 \quad (15)$$

$$T = 0.5 \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma_K = 0.0875 \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta_c = 0.25 \quad (18)$$

$$r = 0.0175 \quad (19)$$

But we don't know e^{qT} . Let's derive now, we know,

$$F = S * e^{(r-q)T} \quad (20)$$

So, we have,

$$e^{qT} = \frac{S e^{rT}}{F} \quad (21)$$

So, our equation becomes,

$$K_c = F e^{\frac{\sigma_K^2}{2} T - \sigma_K \sqrt{T} N^{-1}(\Delta_c \frac{S e^{rT}}{F})} \quad (22)$$

Plug the number into the formula and solve it by R:

$$K_c = F e^{\frac{\sigma_K^2}{2} T - \sigma_K \sqrt{T} N^{-1}(\Delta_c \frac{S e^{rT}}{F})} \quad (23)$$

$$= 1.004 e^{0.5 \frac{0.0875^2}{2} - 0.0875 \sqrt{0.5} N^{-1}(0.25 \frac{e^{0.0175 * 0.5}}{1.0040})} \quad (24)$$

$$= 1.048548 \quad (25)$$

Attaching the R code for later check:

```

F = 1.0040
S = 1.0
T = 0.5
sigma = 0.0875
delta = 0.25
r = 0.0175

res = F * exp(sigma*sigma / 2 * T - sigma * sqrt(T) * qnorm(delta * S * exp(r * T) / F))

```

Problem 3

Question (2 marks):

Describe the risk reversal beta.

Solution

The slope of a linear regression of day-on-day risk reversal change against spot log return, i.e. A number like 0.2 means risk reversal gets more positive by 0.2 vols for every 1% move up in spot

Problem 4

Question (2 marks):

Explain the two arbitrage conditions that should be avoided when interpolating in the strike direction, and the one (weak) arbitrage condition to avoid when interpolating in the time direction.

Solution

When interpolating in the strike direction, two arbitrage conditions include:

First, $\frac{dC}{dK} > 0$, we can buy a call at strike K and sell a call at strike $K + dK$. By the time you put the trade, you will make money because $\frac{dC}{dK} > 0$. When spot is less than K , payoff is zero. When spot is larger than $K + dK$, payoff is dK , positive. When spot is between K and $K + dK$, payoff is $S - K$, positive. So, we can arbitrage with this.

Second, $\frac{d^2C}{dK^2} < 0$. Buy one call strike at $K - dK$ and another one at $K + dK$. Sell two call strike at K . By the time we put the trade, we will make money because of the $\frac{d^2C}{dK^2} < 0$. When the spot is less than $K - dK$ or larger than $K + dK$, the payoff is zero. When the spot is between $K - dK$ and $K + dK$, the payoff is triangle which is always positive. So, we can arbitrage with this.

Interpolating in time direction:

Negative forward variance: if we use the piecewise-constant method to interpolate the vol in the time direction, then revert it to calculate the forward variance between 0 and T , we might get a negative forward variance. This is not allowed there is a kind of arbitrage in the time direction, though not a perfect arbitrage because it assumes Black-Scholes dynamics.

Problem 5

Question:

Programming assignments. Cubic Spliner for the volatility interpolation. See assignment document for details.

Solution

Intuition:

If you run the program, you can see it will generate a graph with two curves on it. The two curves are almost on the top of each other, only with a little bit different around the ATM. The shapes of the plot is showing the volatility has positive skew which is consistent with our market input. Second, the left and right sides of the graph shows that it is converging to some values which make sense because of the property of the cubic spline, since the first derivative and the second derivative go to zero when the strike goes to either edge.

Usage:

To replicate the result, go to the code directory, open a terminal, then type

```
python runAssignment3.py
```