

Initial Draft of SDR, SDN, and Cognitive Radio Literature

J.D. McCormack

I. RTL-SDR

The RTL-SDR is a recent tool discovered by the DIY and hacking community. Its original purpose is to be used as a digital TV tuner. However, it was discovered that this system could also be used to general SDR purposes. There is now a large community dedicated to using this tiny SDR to receive various different signals. Prior to the creation of the open source drivers for the RTL-SDR, the most popular devices for SDR came from USRP. The USRP devices are fantastic products, but cost at least \$1,000 and can cost quite a bit more with additional features. The RTL-SDR is based on the Realtek RTL2832U chip. This device can often be purchased for between \$20 and \$30 [1]. The range for the RTL-SDR is typically 64 MHz to 1700 MHz, however this varies depending on which tuner the manufacturer paired with it. The authors in [1] paired the RTL-SDR with a mixer in order to lower the range all the way to DC. For this, they used the NE6062AN chip.

Starting with Release 2013b, MATLAB/Simulink now have a support package that targets RTL-SDR devices. In Simulink, the package contains a single block called "RTL-SDR Receiver." This block allows the user to tune the center frequency, change the tuner gain, set the sampling rate, and alter the frequency correction factor. The block can then output the complex envelope (IQ) of the received signal in both floating point and integer formats[2]. Due to the open nature and low cost of the RTL-SDR, the authors in [3] propose using this as a tool set for teaching DSP and Communications principles to students.

When the cost of the system is far less than that of a textbook, it is easy to see why this could become a valuable learning tool for many students. UC Berkeley has already begun to use the RTL-SDR as one of the project assignments in their digital signal processing course. There have been efforts to use the RTL-SDR with the popular Raspberry Pi computing platform. However, at least with the B+ model there is not enough power available to process the signal. Instead, it has to be used as a TCP server that is then able to forward the data on to a more powerful computer[4]. Currently, it does not appear as though anyone has tested this with the Raspberry Pi 2 microcomputer. Other work has been done to estimate the cost savings of using a USRP in conjunction with several RTL-SDRs to replace existing DSP lab infrastructure [5].

The most unfortunate downside to using the RTL-SDR is that it is unable to transmit. However, researchers in [6] proposed a system in which a USRP SDR is used as a master device that broadcasts out to a series of slave nodes that can only listen for information. In their system they used a tool

called GStreamer to pass video data into GNURadio. This information was then broadcast to multiple computers in a room running an RTL-SDR with GNURadio. The nodes were all able to view the video stream in near real time.

It is interesting to note that an IEEE search for the RTL-SDR turned up 6 results, but a search on Funcube Dongle returned 0 results. These devices were in competition with one another for awhile, but the Funcube's prices continue to rise as the RTL-SDR's continue to drop. The Funcube has a larger range than the RTL-SDR but it seems the cost is still the key factor.

II. GNU RADIO AND MESH NETWORKS

In [7] and [8] the authors use GNU Radio as a way to verify the successful use of algorithms for mesh networking. However, they do not specify that they are using SDR's and it seems like they use GNU Radio for simulation. GNU Radio has also been used with the USRP to create a device capable of communicating with both Bluetooth and WiFi devices. However, this does not create a mesh network or attempt to bridge communication between the two protocols. However, a significant amount of information about communicating with each type of network is presented [9]. This also presents the concept of Police Nodes which monitor traffic in an attempt to block out improper use of the spectrum.

Research has been done in using the GNURadio toolset along with the USRP to test Mesh Network routing protocols. One test used varied data transmission rates to exploit opportunities in physically close proximity Nodes [10]. The GNU Radio toolset was also used to test using cognitive radio within a mesh network. USRPs were used as nodes trying to communicate on a "shared" frequency. A separate USRP was used to replicate a primary user, or one that had a license to operate in that spectrum. Whenever the primary user began to transmit in the spectrum, the other nodes would use reinforcement learning to move to an unoccupied channel automatically and continue transmitting [11]. A similar test bed is also presented in [12].

In [13] researchers at UCSB investigated using an SDR with GNURadio to improve upon the needs of rural networks. The topic was found while searching for mesh networks but seems to be mostly focused on non-mesh applications. They created the solution WhiteRate which allows for the changing of the PHY layer without changing any other components.

In [14] the authors utilize GNU Radio to implement a PHY layer that is able to broadcast and receive on several channels simultaneously. The paper tests using 2 USRP boards and also simulates a larger scale.

The CONFINE platform uses Batman-adv as the routing protocol for their mesh network testbed. However, this testbed does not utilize GNU Radio or any cognitive radio tool sets. [15] Batman-adv was also a key component of WiBed, a project to create a COTS mesh test bed using low cost wireless routers. [16] [17]

III. GAMIFICATION

There are a number of TV white spaces in rural communities. That is, empty spaces left for the UHF TV spectrum that don't actually have anything broadcasting on them. The team in [18] created a Raspberry Pi system that is able to scan for these blank spaces, and geotag them. Using an RF Explorer and GPS, the system is able to scan for open bandwidth and then tag the location. The authors mention wanting to put these units in the hands of as many people as possible to create a distributed measuring system.

The authors in [19] present a game called Spectrum Wars. This game involves two teams competing to send data in a crowded environment. Players can vary Frequency, Bandwidth, and Gain of the signal. The first player to successfully transmit the signal wins the points. The authors present an earlier version of their work in [20].

IV. COGNITIVE RADIO BASED MESH NETWORKS

The authors in [21] present an overview of several cognitive radio transmission strategies and discuss the pros and cons of each method. In Mesh networks, the MAC protocols are essential to prevent data "Deafness" where two nodes try to transmit at the same time on different frequencies, preventing communication. There are a few different standards for this discussed in [22].

It is reported that video on demand services make up about 27% of internet traffic. Therefore, for any Mesh Network to be successful, it would have to be able to handle this type of traffic. The authors in [23] present a method for routing video data over multiple paths of the mesh to the user to increase effectiveness of data transmission. An improvement upon this algorithm is presented in [24].

V. LOW COST SDR AND COGNITIVE RADIO PLATFORMS

The Communications Research Center in Ottawa, Canada has created a cognitive radio platform that they have nicknamed CORAL. This is a custom created platform that is capable of performing cognitive radio type tasks at the 2.4GHz and 5GHz license exempt bands.[25]. Further research done in [26] introduces a tool called CogFrame. This platform uses traditional computers and wifi cards to create a cognitive mesh network capable of running tests. They compare the tool to a test bed made of USRP's as well as pure simulations and found it to outperform the simulation and be on par with the USRPs. The authors in [27] provide a wonderful overview of everything one could want to know about cognitive radio. Including some major platforms that exist such as WARP from Rice University, BEE From Berkley, and CORAL from the communications research center in Canada. They also present their own platform based on the BeagleBoard. This article

would be great for anyone just starting out to read. A group from Germany has created a cognitive radio based wireless microphone system [28].

VI. COGNITIVE RADIO AND ROBOTS

The authors in [29] discuss using a distributed group of spectrum sensing tools with a UAV to find a target using RF localization. They use a technique called compressive spectrum sensing to make the task less computationally intensive. They then have a group of sensors attempt to find the source of the RF signal and then work to find it using localization techniques. The group performed MATLAB testing. They also ran some brief field tests without a UAV using GNURadio and USRP SDRs. The authors in [30] discuss the benefits of using a cognitive network with a UAV. They discuss many of the benefits that the technology would bring including security.

VII. COGNITIVE RADIO STANDARDS

In [31] the authors discuss a MIMO scheme for transmission of packets using cognitive radio. The system is then simulated and results are presented. In [32] the authors discuss using a USRP device with GNURadio to test out several routing algorithms.

There does not appear to be any particular "standard" cognitive radio protocol yet. Various research institutions are all working on different protocols with each having various strengths and weaknesses. PHY Layer protocols usually focus on the actual sensing and changing of operating frequency [33]. Upper layer protocols may focus on creating a more robust way to deal with these changing features by replacing existing protocols like TCP [34] [35]. Many of these protocols assume point to point communication, but newer protocols are beginning to address mesh network architectures [36].

VIII. MESH NETWORKS AND ROBOTS

Researchers have begun to examine the problems of using UAVs to communicate on a mesh network. Part of the problem lies in the fact that communicating with a moving node can be difficult. One way to combat this is to use multiple nodes on the UAV that exploit the spatial and temporal diversity of the wireless channel. They ran their tests on a 110" wingspan UAV with 4 mesh nodes on it. They used the 802.11 adhoc mode for their network. [37] The same team presented a test of creating a network with a UAV using the Load, Carry, and Deliver paradigm. This UAV would "pick" up data from one node, fly to the next node, and then drop it off. [38]

Work present in [39] demonstrates a great overview of the current "state of the art" in regards to UAV based networking. The author discusses the main routing formats and many of the challenges that go along with UAV network. This should be seen as a good starting point for people first learning about this topic. In [40] the authors discuss the concept of a cloud computing and software, platform, and infrastructure as a service environment. They discuss abstracting out significant parts of the UAV system, such as navigation, so that they can be done in the cloud. This would allow for most of the computation to be done in a single format off board, allowing for easier integration of heterogeneous swarms of robotics.

IX. SIGNAL PROCESSING TECHNIQUES

In [41] H.T. Kung presents partial compressive sensing, a signal processing technique which reduced the frequency needed to sample a signal. This concept is presented again by this research group in another paper discussing using it for communicating within a mesh network.

X. APPLICATIONS OF COGNITIVE RADIO

The authors in [42] present a cognitive radio based wireless cabin management system for airplanes. The team created an actual system based off of an FPGA and a transceiver to demonstrate the basic functionality needed by an airplane cabin management system. Their paper also presents a detailed testing plan and the results of the test.

XI. SECURITY IN SDR AND CR NETWORKS

A major portion of our work revolves around what we believe is a new concept in SDR security. SDR and cognitive radio devices suffer from traditional security flaws of wireless transmission in addition to many of their own. The authors in [43] present their idea of securing an SDR transmission using a Gigabit Ethernet AES (Advanced Encryption Standard) Encryption Engine. This is implemented on an FPGA. AES is a standard means of encryption that uses cipher keys to hide data. In [44] the author's discuss concerns in validating whether an SDR system is secure or not. They discuss separating the certification into two separate blocks so the hardware and software can be evaluated separately. Peter Hillmann and Bjorn Stelte have presented a unique way to share cryptographic keys over SDR systems [45]. Their system uses the Secure Communications Interoperability Protocols (SCIP). In NATO's current configuration, each user has a public and private cryptographic key component. In the proposed system, each SDR has a minimum of two available channels, "x" and "y", where one is used for local operations such as voice and data and the other is used to relay communications data to and from other units. A secure communication channel is established by borrowing time and bandwidth on channel "y" for a certificate based asymmetric key negotiation with a lead radio. Once the secure link is established, the symmetric net key is transmitted to the new users. This will then allow for the new radios to communicate fully on "x" and "y". The paper continues to discuss numerous methods employed for ensuring the secure transfer of keys.

XII. MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS FOR COGNITIVE NETWORKS

The authors in [46] discussing using an Immune Principle based learning algorithm for Cognitive radio. The authors also discuss other popular formats including neural networks, markov models, and bayesian networks.

XIII. USING GNU RADIO FOR COGNITIVE RADIO APPLICATION

Reaserchers have identified that the primary methods of creating a robust CRAHN is by ensuring that nodes optimize

their use of physical space and allocated spectrum [47]. A testbed is needed in order to fully experiment with different algorithms to maximise these conditions. GNU Radio has been used by many different research groups to test various cognitive radio standards. The researchers in [11] created a simple multihop test bed using three USRP radios to relay data from one computer to another. A forth USRP acts as a primary user and attempts to block the signal. Their work focuses on using Reinforcement learning to allow for the hopping and does not discuss the routing protocol used in much depth. Much of the existing work done using USRPs and GNU Radio for Cognitive MANETs revolves around implementing different parts of the protocol from the ground up. In some papers the authors focus on the physical or mac layer [12]. There has also been work in developing new higher layer protocols for cognitive radio mesh networks such as work done to replace TCP with a more robust protocol [48] {6686523}. These systems will usually react to frequency changes but some also change their topology based on power use [49].

There are several well known Cognitive Radio testbeds in use at different Universities. One major platform is the WARP platform from Rice University. This platform is made up of many custom components including the radio hardware itself [50]. Another platform is the Hydra platform developed at UT Austin. This platform uses GNU Radio to define PHY Layer parameters and the Click Modular Router to implement Layer 2 protocols.[51] The platform that most closely resembles ours is presented in [52]. However, this platform uses OLSR which operates on a layer above Batman-adv. Similarly, the University of California, Irvine and Boeing Corporation developed a testbed based off of USRP Radios and GNU Radio, but they implement custom MAC layers [53]. The ADROIT project was another platform developed in conjunction with DARPA. This project relied heavily on Click and GNU Radio for much of its functionality. [54] Though not deployed in a cognitive radio environment, the research in [55] presents metrics on Batman-adv itself and will be useful for seeing what decreases in performance are seen when using an SDR instead of a traditional Wi-Fi Router.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Sruthi, M. Abirami, A. Manikoth, R. Gandhiraj, and K. Soman, "Low cost digital transceiver design for software defined radio using rtl-sdr," in *Automation, Computing, Communication, Control and Compressed Sensing (iMac4s), 2013 International Multi-Conference on*, March 2013, pp. 852-855.
- [2] A. Sergienko, "Software-defined radio in matlab simulink with rtl-sdr hardware," in *Computer Technologies in Physical and Engineering Applications (ICCTPEA), 2014 International Conference on*, June 2014, pp. 160-161.
- [3] B. Uengtrakul and D. Bunnjaweht, "A cost efficient software defined radio receiver for demonstrating concepts in communication and signal processing using python and rtl-sdr," in *Digital Information and Communication Technology and it's Applications (DICTAP), 2014 Fourth International Conference on*, May 2014, pp. 394-399.
- [4] R. Danyamol, T. Ajitha, and R. Gandhiraj, "Real-time communication system design using rtl-sdr and raspberry pi," in *Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS), 2013 International Conference on*, Dec 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [5] M. Abirami, V. Hariharan, M. Sruthi, R. Gandhiraj, and K. Soman, "Exploiting gnu radio and usrp: An economical test bed for real time communication systems," in *Computing, Communications and Network-*

- ing Technologies (ICCCNT), 2013 Fourth International Conference on, July 2013, pp. 1–6.
- [6] S. Nimmi, V. Saranya, G. Theertha Das, and R. Gandhiraj, “Real-time video streaming using gstreamer in gnu radio platform,” in *Green Computing Communication and Electrical Engineering (ICGCCCE)*, 2014 International Conference on, March 2014, pp. 1–6.
 - [7] R. Alimi, L. Li, R. Ramjee, H. Viswanathan, and Y. Yang, “ipack: in-network packet mixing for high throughput wireless mesh networks,” in *INFOCOM 2008. The 27th Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, April 2008, pp. –.
 - [8] L. Li, R. Alimi, R. Ramjee, H. Viswanathan, and Y. Yang, “munet: Harnessing multiuser capacity in wireless mesh networks,” in *INFOCOM 2009, IEEE*, April 2009, pp. 2876–2880.
 - [9] R. Miller, W. Xu, P. Kamat, and W. Trappe, “Service discovery and device identification in cognitive radio networks,” in *Sensor, Mesh and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks (SECON)*, 2007. SECON ’07. 4th Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on, June 2007, pp. 670–677.
 - [10] C. Yu, T. Shen, K. Shin, J.-Y. Lee, and Y.-J. Suh, “Multihop transmission opportunity in wireless multihop networks,” in *INFOCOM, 2010 Proceedings IEEE*, March 2010, pp. 1–9.
 - [11] A. Syed, K. Yau, H. Mohamad, N. Ramli, and W. Hashim, “Channel selection in multi-hop cognitive radio network using reinforcement learning: An experimental study,” in *Frontiers of Communications, Networks and Applications (ICFCNA 2014 - Malaysia)*, International Conference on, Nov 2014, pp. 1–6.
 - [12] P. Nagaraju, L. Ding, T. Melodia, S. Batalama, D. Pados, and J. Matyjas, “Implementation of a distributed joint routing and dynamic spectrum allocation algorithm on usrp2 radios,” in *Sensor Mesh and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks (SECON)*, 2010 7th Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on, June 2010, pp. 1–2.
 - [13] V. Pejovic and E. Belding, “A context-aware approach to wireless transmission adaptation,” in *Sensor, Mesh and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks (SECON)*, 2011 8th Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on, June 2011, pp. 592–600.
 - [14] E. Chai and K. Shin, “M-polar: Channel allocation for throughput maximization in sdr mesh networks,” in *INFOCOM, 2010 Proceedings IEEE*, March 2010, pp. 1–9.
 - [15] (2015) Confine project. [Online]. Available: <http://confine-project.eu/>
 - [16] P. Eserich, R. Baig, A. Neumann, A. Fonseca, F. Freitag, and L. Navarro, “Wibed, a platform for commodity wireless testbeds,” in *Wireless Days (WD)*, 2013 IFIP, Nov 2013, pp. 1–3.
 - [17] P. Eserich, R. Baig, E. Dimogerontakis, E. Carbo, A. Neumann, A. Fonseca, F. Freitag, and L. Navarro, “Wibed, a platform for commodity wireless testbeds,” in *Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications (WiMob)*, 2014 IEEE 10th International Conference on, Oct 2014, pp. 85–91.
 - [18] A. Arcia-Moret, E. Pietrosemoli, and M. Zennaro, “Whisppi: White space monitoring with raspberry pi,” in *Global Information Infrastructure Symposium*, 2013, Oct 2013, pp. 1–6.
 - [19] P. Sutton and L. Doyle, “The gamification of dynamic spectrum access and cognitive radio,” in *Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 2014 IEEE, April 2014, pp. 107–113.
 - [20] —, “Spectrum wars gamification of dynamic spectrum access and cognitive radio,” in *Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks (DYSPAN)*, 2014 IEEE International Symposium on, April 2014, pp. 370–371.
 - [21] H. M. Almasaeid and A. Kamal, “Receiver-based channel allocation for wireless cognitive radio mesh networks,” in *New Frontiers in Dynamic Spectrum*, 2010 IEEE Symposium on, April 2010, pp. 1–10.
 - [22] Y. Guo, T. Zhao, J. Zhou, Y. Ge, and Y. Sun, “Research on multi-channel mac protocol in cognitive mesh network,” in *Computer and Information Technology (CIT)*, 2012 IEEE 12th International Conference on, Oct 2012, pp. 833–837.
 - [23] Y. Ding and L. Xiao, “Video on-demand streaming in cognitive wireless mesh networks,” *Mobile Computing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 412–423, March 2013.
 - [24] Y. Xu, D. Hu, and S. Mao, “Relay-assisted multiuser video streaming in cognitive radio networks,” *Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1758–1770, Oct 2014.
 - [25] J. Sydor, A. Ghasemi, S. Palaninathan, and W. Wong, “Cognitive, radio-aware, low-cost (coral) research platform,” in *New Frontiers in Dynamic Spectrum*, 2010 IEEE Symposium on, April 2010, pp. 1–2.
 - [26] A. Saeed, M. Ibrahim, K. Harras, and M. Youssef, “A low-cost large-scale framework for cognitive radio routing protocols testing,” in *Communications (ICC)*, 2013 IEEE International Conference on, June 2013, pp. 2900–2904.
 - [27] A. Young and C. Bostian, “Simple and low-cost platforms for cognitive radio experiments [application notes],” *Microwave Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 146–157, Jan 2013.
 - [28] S. Schroeter, L. Zimmermann, O. Schwender, G. Fischer, and A. Koelpin, “Demonstrator of a scanning receiver subsystem for cognitive professional wireless microphone systems,” in *Telecom World (ITU WT)*, 2011 Technical Symposium at ITU, Oct 2011, pp. 193–198.
 - [29] H.-C. Chen, H. Kung, D. Vlah, D. Hague, M. Muccio, and B. Poland, “Collaborative compressive spectrum sensing in a uav environment,” in *MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE, 2011 - MILCOM 2011*, Nov 2011, pp. 142–148.
 - [30] H. Reyes, N. Gellerman, and N. Kaabouch, “A cognitive radio system for improving the reliability and security of uas/uav networks,” in *Aerospace Conference, 2015 IEEE*, March 2015, pp. 1–9.
 - [31] P. Kumar, S. Malarvizhi, and M. Hariprasath, “Low cost reception scheme for mimo cognitive radio,” in *Electronics and Communication Systems (ICECS)*, 2015 2nd International Conference on, Feb 2015, pp. 43–46.
 - [32] A. Mate, K.-H. Lee, and I.-T. Lu, “Spectrum sensing based on time covariance matrix using gnu radio and usrp for cognitive radio,” in *Systems, Applications and Technology Conference (LISAT)*, 2011 IEEE Long Island, May 2011, pp. 1–6.
 - [33] L. Sun, W. Zheng, N. Rawat, V. Sawant, and D. Koutsonikolas, “Performance comparison of routing protocols for cognitive radio networks,” in *Modeling, Analysis Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems (MASCOTS)*, 2013 IEEE 21st International Symposium on, Aug 2013, pp. 454–464.
 - [34] K. Chowdhury, M. Di Felice, and I. Akyildiz, “Tp-crahn: a transport protocol for cognitive radio ad-hoc networks,” in *INFOCOM 2009, IEEE*, April 2009, pp. 2482–2490.
 - [35] A. Al-Ali and K. Chowdhury, “Tfrc-cr: An equation-based transport protocol for cognitive radio networks,” in *Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC)*, 2013 International Conference on, Jan 2013, pp. 143–148.
 - [36] K. Chowdhury and I. Akyildiz, “Crp: A routing protocol for cognitive radio ad hoc networks,” *Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 794–804, April 2011.
 - [37] H. Kung, C.-K. Lin, T.-H. Lin, S. Tarsa, and D. Vlah, “Measuring diversity on a low-altitude uav in a ground-to-air wireless 802.11 mesh network,” in *GLOBECOM Workshops (GC Wkshps)*, 2010 IEEE, Dec 2010, pp. 1799–1804.
 - [38] C.-M. Cheng, P.-H. Hsiao, H. Kung, and D. Vlah, “Maximizing throughput of uav-relaying networks with the load-carry-and-deliver paradigm,” in *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, 2007.WCNC 2007. IEEE*, March 2007, pp. 4417–4424.
 - [39] O. Sahingoz, “Mobile networking with uavs: Opportunities and challenges,” in *Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS)*, 2013 International Conference on, May 2013, pp. 933–941.
 - [40] S. Mahmoud and N. Mohamed, “Collaborative uavs cloud,” in *Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS)*, 2014 International Conference on, May 2014, pp. 365–373.
 - [41] H. Kung and S. Tarsa, “Partitioned compressive sensing with neighbor-weighted decoding,” in *MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE, 2011 - MILCOM 2011*, Nov 2011, pp. 149–156.
 - [42] C. Heller and C. Blumm, “A cognitive radio enabled wireless aircraft cabin management system,” in *Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC)*, 2013 IEEE/AIAA 32nd, Oct 2013, pp. 3A4–1–3A4–13.
 - [43] D. Denning, J. Irvine, N. Harold, P. Dunn, and M. Devlin, “An implementation of a gigabit ethernet aes encryption engine for application processing in sdr,” in *Vehicular Technology Conference, 2004. VTC2004-Fall. 2004 IEEE 60th*, vol. 3, Sept 2004, pp. 1963–1967 Vol. 3.
 - [44] C. F. Lam, K. Sakaguchi, J.-i. Takada, and K. Araki, “Radio security module that enables global roaming of sdr terminal while complying with local radio regulation,” in *Vehicular Technology Conference, 2003. VTC 2003-Fall. 2003 IEEE 58th*, vol. 3, Oct 2003, pp. 2132–2136 Vol.3.
 - [45] P. Hillmann and B. Stelte, “A flexible cryptographic infrastructure for high-security sdr-based systems,” in *Military Communications and Information Systems Conference (MilCIS)*, 2013, Nov 2013, pp. 1–6.
 - [46] R. Yao, K. He, Y. Sun, and Y. Wang, “Learning engine for cognitive radio based on the immune principle,” in *Adaptive Hardware and Systems (AHS)*, 2014 NASA/ESA Conference on, July 2014, pp. 210–217.
 - [47] L. T. Dung and B. An, “On the analysis of network connectivity in cognitive radio ad-hoc networks,” in *Computer, Consumer and Control (IS3C)*, 2014 International Symposium on, June 2014, pp. 1087–1090.

- [48] H. Khalife, J. Seddar, V. Conan, and J. Leguay, "Validation of a point to multipoint cognitive radio transport protocol over gnu radio testbed," in *Wireless Days (WD), 2013 IFIP*, Nov 2013, pp. 1–6.
- [49] S. Kamruzzaman, A. Alghamdi, and S. Mizanur Rahman, "Spectrum and energy aware multipath routing for cognitive radio ad hoc networks," in *Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC), 2014 International Conference on*, Oct 2014, pp. 341–346.
- [50] P. Murphy, A. Sabharwal, and B. Aazhang, "Design of warp: A wireless open-access research platform," in *Signal Processing Conference, 2006 14th European*, Sept 2006, pp. 1–5.
- [51] K. Mandke, S.-H. Choi, G. Kim, R. Grant, R. Daniels, W. Kim, R. Heath, and S. Nettles, "Early results on hydra: A flexible mac/phy multihop testbed," in *Vehicular Technology Conference, 2007. VTC2007-Spring. IEEE 65th*, April 2007, pp. 1896–1900.
- [52] V. C. Jawad Seddar, Hicham Khalife and J. Leguay, "A dtn stack for cognitive radio ad hoc networks," in *8th Karlsruhe Workshop on Software Radios*.
- [53] X. Li, W. Hu, H. Yousefi'zadeh, and A. Qureshi, "A case study of a mimo sdr implementation," in *Military Communications Conference, 2008. MILCOM 2008. IEEE*, Nov 2008, pp. 1–7.
- [54] G. Troxel, E. Blossom, S. Boswell, A. Caro, I. Castineyra, A. Colvin, T. Dreier, J. B. Evans, N. Goffee, K. Haigh, T. Hussain, V. Kawadia, D. Lapsley, C. Livadas, A. Medina, J. Mikkelsen, G. J. Minden, R. Morris, C. Partridge, V. Raghunathan, R. Ramanathan, C. Santivanez, T. Schmid, D. Sumorok, M. Srivastava, R. S. Vincent, D. Wiggins, A. M. Wyglinski, and S. Zahedi, "Adaptive dynamic radio open-source intelligent team (adroit): Cognitively-controlled collaboration among sdr nodes," in *Networking Technologies for Software Defined Radio Networks, 2006. SDR '06.1st IEEE Workshop on*, Sept 2006, pp. 8–17.
- [55] D. Seither, A. Konig, and M. Hollick, "Routing performance of wireless mesh networks: A practical evaluation of batman advanced," in *Local Computer Networks (LCN), 2011 IEEE 36th Conference on*, Oct 2011, pp. 897–904.