

# Lecture #2

Evan Kiefl

1/10/17

*Note: These lecture notes are still rough, and have only have been mildly proofread.*

## Likelihood

### Motivation

Suppose we have 2 models to explain some data. Which model is better?

### Lecture Summary

The concept of a likelihood is defined for a model when presented with data. Suppose that you flip a coin with unknown bias 10 times and record the outcome as either heads or tails. Let  $\underline{x} = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$  be your data, where 0 corresponds to tails and 1 corresponds to heads. Suppose your model is that the coin is designed to give heads with probability  $p$ , and call this model  $M_p$ . The likelihood for  $M_p$  is defined as

$$L(M_p) = \Pr(\underline{x}|M_p)$$

Assuming the flips are all independent,

$$L(M) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i}$$

Let's write a function that calculates  $L(M_p)$  when given  $\underline{x}$  and  $p$ , and then calculate the likelihood for two different models,  $M_{p_1}$  and  $M_{p_2}$ , where  $p_1 = 0.2$  and  $p_2 = 0.7$ .

```
L <- function(x,p) {  
  prod(p^x * (1-p)^(1-x))  
}  
  
# x is the sequence of observations (our data)  
x <- c(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1)  
# p1 is probability of heads  
p1 = 0.2  
p2 = 0.7  
  
Lp1 <- L(x,p1)  
Lp2 <- L(x,p2)  
  
print(c(L_p1=Lp1, L_p2=Lp2))
```

```
##           L_p1           L_p2  
## 0.0016777216 0.0000750141
```

We may have an intuition that the likelihood for  $M_{p_1}$  ( $p_1 = 0.2$ ) will be higher for  $M_{p_1}$  ( $p_2 = 0.7$ ), since our dataset only contains 3 heads. We can indeed see that this is the case, and so we favour  $M_{p_1}$  over  $M_{p_2}$ . We've demonstrated that likelihoods can be used to compare two models and make an informed decision about which one better describes the data. We can further quantify how much *more* likely  $M_{p_1}$  by calculating the likelihood ratio:

$$L(M_{p_1}, M_{p_2}) = \frac{L(M_{p_1})}{L(M_{p_2})}$$

For our example, we get  $L(M_{p_1}, M_{p_2}) \approx 22$ , which means  $M_{p_1}$  is favored over  $M_{p_2}$  by a factor of 22. This is great, but how impressed should we be with this number? In other words, how confident should we be that the data was indeed generated by model  $M_{p_1}$  instead of  $M_{p_2}$ ? See [http://stephens999.github.io/fiveMinuteStats/LR\\_and\\_BF.html](http://stephens999.github.io/fiveMinuteStats/LR_and_BF.html) for a continuation of this idea.