

**NOTICE:** Only for use for study purposes by students registered in CSC320 W2020. Publicly reposting this file is a violation of copyright and a violation of U Vic's Academic Integrity Policy. Distributing to anyone not registered in CSC320 W2020 A01 A02 is a violation of U Vic's Academic Integrity Policy.

1. Define a reduction  $f$  as follows: on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ ,  $f$  outputs  $\langle M_1 \rangle$  where  $M_1$  just simulates  $M$  on  $w$ , regardless of what is on its input tape, but modifies the simulation slightly so that it always prints a \$ before entering an accepting state. (7) This is the only time a \$ is printed (in particular, if \$ is already used by  $M$ , a new symbol should be introduced which can be used in its place.) (2)

Claim:  $f$  is a computable function since it is adding just a few states which describe a TM which erases its tape and writes  $w$  on its tape, then a transition to  $M$  then nearly copying  $M$ , but adding some additional transitions from each accept state to write \$. (4)

$f$  is a reduction from  $A_{TM}$  to  $L$ : If  $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{TM}$  then  $M_1$  writes a \$ when started with any input, including a blank tape, and  $\langle M_1 \rangle \in L$ . If  $\langle M, w \rangle \notin A_{TM}$  then  $\langle M_1 \rangle$  never writes a \$. Hence it's not in  $L$ . (7)

2. Given a TM  $M$  as input, the NDTM just guesses a string  $w$  and runs  $M$  on  $w$ . It accepts  $M$  if  $M$  accepts  $w$ . (10) Clearly, if  $L(M) \neq \emptyset$ , there is some  $w \in L(M)$ , so there will be a guess for which  $M$  is accepted. (5) On the other hand, if  $L(M) = \emptyset$ , there is no guess that will work. (5)
3. Let  $f$  take as input  $\langle M \rangle$  and constructs  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  where  $M_1$  which accepts every string and  $\langle M_2 \rangle = \langle M \rangle$ . (8) It is easy to see that  $f$  is computable, since it just uses a constant TM  $M_1$  and copies  $M$  to get  $M_2$ . (2) If  $\langle M \rangle \in E_{TM}$  then  $L(M) = \emptyset$  and  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) = L(M_2) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \in L'$ . (5) If  $\langle M \rangle \notin E_{TM}$  then  $L(M) \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) = L(M_2) \neq \emptyset$  so  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \notin L'$ . (5)
4. Define a reduction  $f$ , which on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  outputs  $\langle M_1 \rangle$  which does the following: On input  $x$ , if  $x = 10$ , then accept. Otherwise, accept  $x$  iff  $M$  accepts  $w$ . (10) It is easy to see that  $f$  is computable – the code for checking whether  $x = 10$  is fixed, and the rest is just a simulation  $M$ . (2) Note that if  $M$  accepts  $w$  then  $L(M_1) = \Sigma^*$  (reversible) and if  $M$  does not accept  $w$ , then  $L(M_1) = \{10\}$  (not reversible.) (8)
5. Given  $\langle M, w \rangle$ ,  $f$  creates  $\langle M_1, 1 \rangle$  where  $M_1$  checks if its input contains a 1. If so, it goes into a loop. Else if its input has no 1's,  $M_1$  simulates  $M$  on  $w$ . (8)  $f$  is computable since it's easy to write a program that check the number of 1's in a string and go into a loop, and easy to simulate  $M$  on  $w$  given  $\langle M, w \rangle$ . (2) Proof that  $f$  is a reduction: if  $M$  halts on  $w$  then  $L(M_1)$  halts exactly on strings which contain no 1's, and so  $\langle M_1, 1 \rangle \in L$ . (5) If  $M$  doesn't halt on  $w$ ,  $M_1$  doesn't halt on any strings, so  $\langle M_1 \rangle \notin L$ . (5)