

**Theorem 5.13.** *Subspaces and countable products of first (resp. second) countable spaces are first (resp. second) countable.*

*Proof.* We only prove the first countable case as the second countable case is similar.

Let  $X$  be a first countable space and  $A \subseteq X$ . For each  $x \in A$ , let  $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable basis of neighbourhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then,  $\{B_n \cap A : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable basis of neighbourhoods of  $x$  in  $A$ . Hence,  $A$  is first countable.

Let  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$  be a family of first countable spaces and let  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in J} X_\alpha$  with the product topology. For each  $\alpha$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \{B_{\alpha,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable basis of neighbourhoods at  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ . Let  $x = (x_\alpha) \in X$ . Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(B_{\alpha_i, n_i}) : k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in J, n_i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a countable basis of neighbourhoods at  $x$  in  $X$ . To see this, let  $U$  be any neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then, there exist finitely many indices  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  and neighbourhoods  $U_{\alpha_i}$  of  $x_{\alpha_i}$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$  such that

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) \subseteq U.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_i}$  is a basis at  $x_{\alpha_i}$ , there exists  $n_i$  such that  $B_{\alpha_i, n_i} \subseteq U_{\alpha_i}$ . Then,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(B_{\alpha_i, n_i}) \subseteq V \subseteq U.$$

Hence,  $X$  is first countable.  $\square$

**Definition 5.14.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is *dense* if  $\overline{A} = X$ . A space  $X$  is *separable* if it contains a countable dense subset.

**Example 5.15.**  $\mathbb{R}$  is separable:  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$\mathbb{Q}^2$  is also a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is separable.

**Theorem 5.16.** *For a second countable space  $X$ ,*

- (Lindelöf property) *every open cover of  $X$  has a countable subcover;*
- *$X$  is separable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable basis of  $X$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . For each  $B_n$ , choose  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B_n \subseteq U_n$  if such  $U_n$  exists (when exists, there could be multiple such  $U_n$ , and we just choose one). Then  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, B_n \subseteq U_n\}$  is a countable collection. We claim that it covers  $X$ . For any  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, there exists some  $B_m$  such that  $x \in B_m \subseteq U$ . By construction, there exists  $U_m \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B_m \subseteq U_m$ . Hence,  $x \in U_m$ . This shows that  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, B_n \subseteq U_n\}$  covers  $X$ .

- For each  $B_n$ , choose  $x_n \in B_n$ . Then  $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \text{ is chosen from } B_n\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $X$ . To see this, pick any  $x \in X$ . Then, for any basis element  $B_n$  containing  $x$ , we have  $B_n \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \in \overline{A}$ .

□

## 5.2 Separation Axioms

We've seen such an example before and I claimed that this appears because the points are not "separated" enough.

**Example 5.17.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ . Then the constant sequence  $(x_n)$  with  $x_n = a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converges to  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let's see some different ways to separate points and sets in a topological space and check if they can help us to avoid such pathological examples.

**Definition 5.18.** We say a topological space  $X$  is *Hausdorff* if for any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ .

**Lemma 5.19.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space. Then, one-point sets are closed.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . To show that  $\{x\}$  is closed, we show that its complement  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is open. For any  $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ , since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Then  $V \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ . Hence for each point in  $X \setminus \{x\}$ , we can find an open neighbourhood contained in  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . This shows that  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is open. □

**Example 5.20.** The trivial topology on a set of more than one point is not Hausdorff.

**Example 5.21.** Consider the space  $X = \{a, b\}$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . This space is also not Hausdorff.

**Example 5.22.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Then, complement finite and complement countable topologies on  $X$  are not Hausdorff. This is because any two non-empty open sets intersect.

**Example 5.23.** Every metric space is Hausdorff. For  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , let  $\varepsilon = d(x, y)/2 > 0$ . Then the open balls  $B_\varepsilon(x)$  and  $B_\varepsilon(y)$  are disjoint neighbourhoods of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

**Proposition 5.24.** Every sequence has a unique limit in a topological space.

*Proof.* When  $X$  is Hausdorff, suppose that a sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to both  $x$  and  $y$  with  $x \neq y$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Since  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ , there exists  $N_1$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ , we have  $x_n \in U$ . Similarly, since  $(x_n) \rightarrow y$ , there exists  $N_2$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ , we have  $x_n \in V$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then, for all  $n \geq N$ , we have  $x_n \in U$  and  $x_n \in V$ , contradicting the fact that  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint. Hence, the limit is unique. □

**Example 5.25.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  be endowed with the *countable complement topology*. We know that any sequence  $(x_n)$  converging to  $x$  is eventually equal to  $x$ . Hence, every converging sequence has a unique limit. However,  $X$  is not Hausdorff.

**Theorem 5.26.** *Suppose  $X$  is first countable. Assume that every convergent sequence has a unique limit in  $X$ . Then,  $X$  is Hausdorff. (This is homework)*

In fact, metric spaces satisfy stronger separation axioms. We will introduce some of them.

**Definition 5.27.** Let  $X$  be a topological space such that one-point sets are closed. We say that  $X$  is:

1. *regular* if for any point  $x$  and a disjoint closed set  $B$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .
2. *normal* if for any two disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

*Remark 5.28.* A regular space is Hausdorff. A normal space is regular (hence Hausdorff).

**Example 5.29** (The  $K$ -topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Let  $K = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and let  $\mathbb{R}_K$  be  $\mathbb{R}$  with the topology generated by the basis  $\{(a, b), (a, b) \setminus K : a < b\}$ .

- It is Hausdorff: for  $x \neq y$  pick disjoint usual intervals around  $x$  and  $y$ .
- $K$  is closed (note  $K$  is not closed in the usual topology): if  $x \notin K$  and  $x \neq 0$ , choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \cap K = \emptyset$ ; if  $x = 0$ , then  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \setminus K$  is an open neighbourhood of 0 disjoint from  $K$ . Hence  $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$  is open.
- It is not regular:  $0 \notin K$ . Suppose there are disjoint open sets  $U, V$  with  $0 \in U$  and  $K \subseteq V$ . Choose a basis element  $(a, b) \setminus K \subset U$  containing 0. Pick  $n$  large enough so that  $1/n \in (a, b)$  and let  $(c, d)$  be a basis element with  $1/n \in (c, d) \subseteq V$ . Finally, choose  $z$  so that  $z \in (\max(c, \frac{1}{n+1}), 1/n)$ . Then,  $z \in U \cap V$ , contradicting the assumption that  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint.

Consequently  $\mathbb{R}_K$  is Hausdorff but not regular.

Now we have some other useful ways of stating these properties.

**Lemma 5.30.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space with one-point sets closed. Then,*

1.  *$X$  is regular iff for every neighbourhood  $U$  of a any point  $x$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $x \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ .*
2.  *$X$  is normal iff for every closed set  $A$  and any open set  $U$  such that  $A \subset U \subseteq X$ , there exists an open  $V$  with  $A \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the regular case. The normal case is similar.

Suppose  $X$  is regular. Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then,  $X \setminus U$  is closed and disjoint from  $\{x\}$ . By regularity, there exist disjoint open sets  $V$  and  $W$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $X \setminus U \subseteq W$ . Since  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ , we have that  $V \subseteq X \setminus W$  which is closed. Then,  $\overline{V} \subseteq X \setminus W \subseteq U$ . Hence, we have found a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$ .

Conversely, let  $U = X \setminus B$ , where  $B$  is a closed set disjoint from  $\{x\}$ . By assumption, there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$ . So  $x \in V$  and  $X \setminus \overline{V} \supset X \setminus U = B$  are disjoint open sets separating  $x$  and  $B$ . Hence,  $X$  is regular.  $\square$

Let me state some important results about these separation axioms.

**Theorem 5.31.** *Subspaces and products of Hausdorff (resp. regular) spaces are Hausdorff (resp. regular).*

*Proof.* Let's prove the regular case.

Let  $Y \subset X$ . Pick any  $x \in Y$ , and let  $B$  be a closed set in  $Y$  disjoint from  $\{x\}$ . Then, there exists a closed set  $C$  in  $X$  such that  $B = Y \cap C$ . Since  $X$  is regular, there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $C \subseteq V$ . Then,  $U \cap Y$  and  $V \cap Y$  are disjoint open sets in  $Y$  such that  $x \in U \cap Y$  and  $B \subseteq V \cap Y$ . Hence,  $Y$  is regular.

Now let  $X = \prod X_\alpha$  be a product of regular spaces  $X_\alpha$ . Let  $x = (x_\alpha) \in X$  and let  $U = \prod U_\alpha$  be a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $U_\alpha = X_\alpha$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 5.30, for each  $\alpha$  with  $U_\alpha \neq X_\alpha$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $V_\alpha$  of  $x_\alpha$  such that  $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha \subseteq \overline{V}_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ . For other  $\alpha$ , let  $V_\alpha = X_\alpha$ . Let  $V = \prod V_\alpha$ . Then,  $V$  is a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V} = \prod \overline{V}_\alpha \subseteq U$ .  $\square$

The above theorem doesn't hold for normal spaces. Here are counterexamples.

**Example 5.32.**  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is not normal (See Munkres Chapter 4 section 32 problem 9.)

Since  $\mathbb{R} \cong (0, 1)$ , we have that  $(0, 1)^{\mathbb{R}}$  is not normal. But  $(0, 1)^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a subspace of  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{R}}$  which is compact Hausdorff (we will prove this later) and hence normal. So a subspace of a normal space need not be normal.

**Example 5.33.** Let  $\mathbb{R}_\ell$  be  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed with the so-called lower limit topology which is generated by the basis  $\{(a, b)\}$ . You can check that this is finer than the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  since every open interval  $(a, b)$  can be written as the union of basis elements:  $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b)$ .

Then,  $\mathbb{R}_\ell$  is normal: let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}_\ell$ . For each  $a \in A$ , choose a basis element such that  $[a, x_a) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Similarly, for each  $b \in B$ , choose a basis element such that  $[b, y_b) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{a \in A} [a, x_a)$  and  $V = \bigcup_{b \in B} [b, y_b)$ . Then,  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open sets separating  $A$  and  $B$ .

By the theorem above, we know that  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$  is regular. But it is not normal. Indeed,  $L = \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and hence closed in  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$ . Note  $\{(a, -a)\} = L \cap [a, a+1) \times [-a, -a+1)$  and hence  $\{(a, -a)\}$  is open in  $L$ . So  $L$  has the discrete topology. So every subset of  $L$  is closed in subspace topology and hence closed in  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$ .

Now let  $A = \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  and  $B = L \setminus A$ . Both are closed in  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$ . One can check that there are no disjoint open sets separating  $A$  and  $B$  (see Munkres Chapter 4 section 31 problem 9). So  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$  is not normal.

There is still a positive result about subspaces of normal spaces.

**Proposition 5.34.** *Every closed subsets of a normal space is normal.*

### Normal spaces

**Theorem 5.35.** *Every regular second countable space is normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a regular second countable space. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable basis of  $X$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed sets in  $X$ . We want to find disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

For each  $a \in A$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $U_a$  of  $a$  disjoint from  $B$ . By Theorem 5.30 above, there exists a neighbourhood  $V_a$  of  $a$  such that  $a \in V_a \subseteq \overline{V_a} \subseteq U_a$ . We then further choose a basis element  $B_{n_a}$  such that  $a \in B_{n_a} \subseteq V_a$ . So, we have a countable open cover  $\{U_n\}$  of  $A$  so that the closure of each element is disjoint from  $B$ . Similarly, we can find a countable open cover  $\{V_n\}$  of  $B$  with the property that the closure of each element is disjoint from  $A$ .

Consider the sets  $U = \cup U_n$  and  $V = \cup V_n$ . They are not necessarily disjoint. To fix this, we modify the sets as follows:

For each  $n$ , let

$$U'_n = U_n \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^n \overline{V_m}, \quad V'_n = V_n \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^n \overline{U_m}.$$

Note that  $U'_n$  and  $V'_n$  are still open sets since they are obtained by removing closed sets from open sets. Also, we have  $A \subseteq \bigcup_n U'_n$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcup_n V'_n$  since we only removed closures of sets disjoint from  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

Now we let

$$U' = \bigcup_n U'_n, \quad V' = \bigcup_n V'_n.$$

Then,  $U'$  and  $V'$  are open sets with  $A \subseteq U'$  and  $B \subseteq V'$ . We claim that  $U' \cap V' = \emptyset$ . If not, there exists  $x \in U' \cap V'$  and thence there exist  $U'_k$  and  $V'_j$  such that  $x \in U'_k$  and  $x \in V'_j$ . Suppose WLOG that  $k \geq j$ . Then, by the definition of  $U'_k$ , we have

$$x \in U'_k \subseteq U_k \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^k \overline{V_m} \subseteq U_k \setminus \overline{V_j}.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $x \in V'_j \subseteq V_j \subseteq \overline{V_j}$ . Hence,  $U'$  and  $V'$  are disjoint open sets separating  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.36.** *Every metric space (and hence every metrizable space) is normal.*

*Proof.* Since metric spaces are Hausdorff, one-point sets are closed.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed sets in a metric space  $(X, d)$ . For each  $a \in A$ , there exists  $r_a > 0$  such that  $B_{r_a}(a) \cap B = \emptyset$  since  $B$  is closed (otherwise,  $a \in \overline{B} = B$ ). Similarly, for each  $b \in B$ , there exists  $r_b > 0$  such that  $B_{r_b}(b) \cap A = \emptyset$ .

Let  $U = \bigcup_{a \in A} B_{r_a/2}(a)$  and  $V = \bigcup_{b \in B} B_{r_b/2}(b)$ . Then  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets with  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ . We claim that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . If not, there exists  $x \in U \cap V$ . Then there exist  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $x \in B_{r_a/2}(a)$  and  $x \in B_{r_b/2}(b)$ . WLOG, assume  $r_a \leq r_b$ . By the triangle inequality, we have

$$d(a, b) \leq d(a, x) + d(x, b) < \frac{r_a}{2} + \frac{r_b}{2} \leq r_b.$$

This contradicts the choice of  $r_b$ . Hence  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open sets separating  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\square$