

AE4423 - Airline Planning and Optimization

Assessment matrix for Assignment Problems 1 & 2 - 2021/2022

Question	Criteria	Wrong or missing	Not sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
Problem 1	Modelling (flight leg-based)	The model is not presented or there are major formulation errors	The model is incomplete or the methodology adopted is not discussed in sufficient detail.	The model is complete with minor errors in the mathematical formulation but the explanation of the model is incomplete	The model is complete with no errors, but the explanation of the model could be improved	The model is complete, mathematically correctly formulated and the model is explained and discussed in good detail
	Results – Demand forecast	No results are presented or the methodology and results include major errors	The methodology used is not explained. The results are presented but they have significant errors	The methodology explained seems appropriate. The results have a minor deviation from the expected result	The methodology explained is correct. The results are according to the expected results	The methodology explained is correct. The results are presented attractively and are according to the expected results
	Results – Fleet, Network & frequencies	No results are presented or the results include major errors	Only part of the results is presented or the results have significant errors	The results are presented with minor deviation from the expected result	The results are presented and are according to the expected results	The results are presented in an attractive way and are according to the expected results
	Results – KPIs	No results are presented or the results include major errors	Only part of the results is presented or the results have significant errors	The results are presented with a minor deviation from the expected result	The results are presented and are according to the expected result, using different KPIs.	The results are presented attractively and are according to the expected results, using different KPIs.

Question	Criteria	Wrong or missing	Not sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
	Results – analysis and critical assessment	No discussion or analysis of results is provided	Results are briefly discussed, but no further analysis is provided	Results are discussed, but no further analysis or critical assessment is provided	Results are discussed and analyzed, with limited critical assessment of the results and model	The results are clearly discussed and analyzed, and a good critical assessment of the results and model is provided

Question	Criteria	Wrong or missing	Not sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
Problem 2	Model formulation (route-based)	The model is not presented or there are major formulation errors	The model is incomplete or the methodology adopt is not discussed in sufficient detail.	The model is complete with minor errors in the mathematical formulation but the explanation of the model is incomplete	The model is complete with no erros, but the explanation of the model could be improved	The model is complete, mathematically correctly formulated and the model is explained and discused in good detail
	Route generation algorithm	The route generation algorithm is not presented or there are major formulation errors	The route generation algorithm is incomplete or the methodology adopt is not discussed in sufficient detail.	The route generation algorithm is presented with minor errors in the process description and the discussion of the methodology adopted is incomplete	The route generation algorithm is presented with no errors, but the discussion of the methodology adopted could be improved	The route generation algorithm is presented with no errors and the methodology adopted is discussed in good detail
	Results – Routes generated	No routes are presented or the routes generated do not make sense	Some routes are presented but it is not clear what is the size of the set of routes generated and their characteristics	Some of the routes are presented, but they don't correspond to a good sample of possible routes	Some of the routes are presented and it is given a good idea of the set of routes generated	Some of the routes are presented and the results are presented attractively and intuitive way to understand the set of routes generated
	Results – Fleet, Network & frequencies	No results are presented or the results include major errors	Only part of the results is presented or the results have significant errors	The results are presented with minor deviation from the expected result	The results are presented and are according to the expected results	The results are presented in an attractive way and are according to the expected results

Question	Criteria	Wrong or missing	Not sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
	Results – KPIs	No results are presented or the results include major errors	Only part of the results is presented or the results have significant errors	The results are presented with a minor deviation from the expected result	The results are presented and are according to the expected result, using different KPIs.	The results are presented attractively and are according to the expected results, using different KPIs.
	Results – analysis and critical assessment	No discussion or analysis of results is provided	Results are briefly discussed, but no further analysis is provided	Results are discussed but no compared with the results from the previous problem	Results are discussed and there is a comparison with the results from the previous problem	Results are clearly discussed and analyzed, and a good critical assessment of the results and model is provided, including a discussion of the implications of using electric aircraft and triangular routes.

Question	Criteria	Wrong or missing	Not sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
Reporting	Overall report quality	The report does not fulfil basic requirements in terms of structure, content, grammar, layout and clarity. It is a poor document, illogical structure, no or irrelevant arguments. Grammar and spelling are so poor that they make the document unreadable.	The report hardly fulfils basic requirements in terms of structure, content, grammar, layout and clarity. Poorly expressed, argumentation often replaced by assumption or assertion or omitted. Structure and transitions need considerable improvement and the document contains serious spelling and grammar errors.	The report fulfils basic requirements in terms of structure, content, grammar, layout and clarity. Reasonably expressed, argumentation sometimes replaced by assumption or assertion. Structure and transitions need improving and the document contains quite a few spelling and grammar errors.	The report fulfils all requirements in terms of structure, content, grammar, layout and clarity. Expressed well, and with a clear structure. Arguments could be improved. The document has a good flow. Transitions sometimes not very effective. The document contains some spelling and grammar errors.	Very good report in terms of structure, content, grammar, layout and clarity. Clear and persuasive and well-structured document. The document has a smooth flow with effective transitions, with only minor spelling and grammar errors.