# Assignment 2 - Report

Zhenye Na (zna2) March 3, 2018

# 1 SHORT QUESTIONS

Provide a short answer (3-4 sentences at most) for each of the following questions. You may use figures if necessary.

1. Suppose we have a relation R as given below, representing the exam statistics for course CS411. First project relation R to GPA (i.e., eliminate SID and Name) and then calculate the average GPA, under the set-model and the bag-model respectively. Which model is preferred in this example and why?

SID	Name	GPA
1	James	3
$^2$	Charles	4
3	Doris	4
4	Ada	4

**Answer:** We should use Bag Operations. It is faster than sets because of no duplicate elimination in Bag Operations.

- 2. Consider a relation R(A, B, C). You may assume there are no null values or duplicates in R. If the result of  $\sigma_{Y\neq V}(\rho_{R(X,Y,Z)}R\bowtie\rho_{R(X,V,W)}R)$  is always guaranteed to be empty, then what property of R can you infer? (Hint: think functional dependencies.) **Answer:** There exists a FD:  $A \rightarrow B$  in relation R.
- 3. Consider any relation R that never contains more than one tuple. Is it true that R must in Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF)? Justify your answer.

**Answer: True**. Whether relation R is a BCNF is determined by whether LHS is super-key

(closure) of the relation. However, here we have only one instance so it will always be BCNE

4. Consider a relation *R*(*A*, *B*, *C*, *D*, *E*) with dependencies *AB* → *CD*, *C* → *AB*, *D* → *AE*, list all minimal keys for R. Also, state whether the relation R is in 3NF **with reasoning**. **Answer:** Minimal keys for R are {A, B}, {C}, {D, B}.

It is not a 3NF.

Minimal Basis: {ABC}, {ABD}, {AC}, {BC}, {AD}, {DE}. This is the 3NF decomposition for this relation.

- 5. Two sets of functional dependencies (FD's) F and F' are equivalent if all FD's in F' follow from the ones in F, and all FD's in F follow from the ones in F'. Consider the following three sets of functional dependencies:
  - $F1 = A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow A$
  - $F2 = B \rightarrow AC$
  - $F3 = AB \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow A$
  - a) Are F1 and F2 equivalent? Justify your answer. **Answer:** False. Use transitivity in F1 we can get  $B \rightarrow A$ ,  $B \rightarrow C$ . However, we cannot get  $A \rightarrow C$  from F2.
  - b) Are F1 and F3 equivalent? Justify your answer. **Answer:** False. Use transitivity in F1 we can get  $B \to A$ ,  $B \to C$ . In F3,  $B \to A \Rightarrow B \to AB \Rightarrow B \to C$ . However, we cannot get  $A \to C$  from F3.
  - c) Are F2 and F3 equivalent? Justify your answer. **Answer:** True. In F3,  $B \to A \Rightarrow B \to AB \Rightarrow B \to C$ . In F2,  $B \to AC$ . They are equivalent.

## 2 RELATIONAL ALGEBRA TO ENGLISH

Consider a relation Works (<u>name</u>, company, salary) with no duplicates. Consider the following relational algebra expression, written in linear notation.

```
P1(salary) = \pi_{salary}(\sigma_{company="IBM"}(Works))
P2(salary) = \pi_{salary}(\rho_{T1(s)}(P1) \bowtie_{s>salary} P1)
P3(salary) = P1 - P2
Answer(name) = \pi_{name}(Works \bowtie_{salary>s} \rho_{T2(s)}(P3))
```

State in English what is computed as the final answer briefly. Long-winded answers will be deducted points. For partial credit, explain what *P*1, *P*2 and *P*3 contain.

**Answer:** *Answer(name)*: Select people's name, who have salary higher than the highest salary in IBM.

## 3 ENGLISH TO RELATIONAL ALGEBRA

Consider the following relational database schema that describes information about students and their courses. A course is uniquely identified by its CODE (e.g., "CS411"), and a student is uniquely identified by his or her SID.

Course(CODE, units, time, room) // all courses

Student(SID, name, level) // all students, level can be "grad" or "undergrad"

Taking(SID, CODE) // current enrollment information

Write a relational algebra expression to list the information (i.e., CODE, units, time, room) of courses that are currently offered but have no graduate students enrolled.

$$Course \leftarrow \Big(\Pi_{(code)}\big(\sigma_{(level \neq 'grad')}(Student \bowtie Course)\big)\Big) \bowtie Course$$

#### 4 DATA TO FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCY

Consider a relation R(A, B, C), satisfying some functional dependency. Two instances of R are given as below:

A	В	C
2	3	1
2	2	4
A	В	C
A 2	B 2	C 1

Based on R's schema, enumerate all possible completely nontrivial functional dependencies (FDs) with only a single attribute on the right-hand side. Then, based on the instances above, for each FD you listed, label whether it:

H: Definitely holds in R.

NH: Definitely does not hold in R.

CD: Cannot be determined from the information given whether or not it holds in R.

- $B \rightarrow A$ : NH in R.
- $C \rightarrow A$ : NH in R.
- $BC \rightarrow A$ : NH in R.
- $A \rightarrow B$ : NH in R.
- $C \rightarrow B$ : CD in R.
- $AC \rightarrow B$ : CD in R.
- $A \rightarrow C$ : NH in R.

- $B \rightarrow C$ : CD in R.
- $AB \rightarrow C$ : CD in R.

#### 5 NORMALIZATION

Consider the following relational schema for a chain store:
Sale(clerk, store, city, date, dish, size)
// a clerk sold a dish on a particular day at a given store in a city
Menu(dish, size, price)
// prices and available size for the dish

Make the following assumptions:

- · Each clerk works in one store.
- Each store is in one city.
- The price of a dish is different for different sizes. The store has standardized prices: the same sized dish cannot be sold to two persons at two different prices.
- Specify a set of completely nontrivial functional dependencies for relations Sale and Menu that encodes the assumptions described above and no additional assumptions. clerk → store store → city dish, size → price
- 2. Based on your functional dependencies in part (1), specify all minimal keys for relations Sale and Menu.

**Sale:** {clerk, date, dish, size}

**Menu:** {dish, size}

3. Are the schema of Sale and Menu in Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF) according to your answers to (1) and (2)? If not, give a decomposition into BCNF. If yes, justify your answer.

For Menu, it is in Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF). However, Sale is not in BCNF. **Menu:** The closure for the minimal key in previous question is {dish, size, price}. It contains all the attributes in Menu schema.

# Sale:

- {clerk, store}
- {store, city}
- {clerk, date, dish, size}
- 4. Now add the following assumption:
  - Each city has at most one store and each store has only one clerk.

Specify additional functional dependencies to take these new assumptions into account. **Answer:**  $city \rightarrow store$ ,  $store \rightarrow clerk$ 

5. Based on your functional dependencies for parts (1) and (4) together, specify all minimal keys for relation Sale.

#### Sale:

- {clerk, date, dish, size }
- {store, date, dish, size }
- {city, date, dish, size }
- 6. Are the schema of Sale and Menu in 3NF according to your answers to (1), (4) and (5)? If not, give a decomposition into 3NF. If yes, justify your answer.

**Answer:** Sale is not 3NF, Menu is 3NF.

Sale: (clerk, store), (store, city), (clerk, date, dish, size)

**Menu:** dish,  $size \rightarrow price$ , so (dish, size, price) is the minimal-key, the result from step

2. We do not need decompose this.