JSC270 Assignment 2 Report - Zihan Guo

Chosen data: https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/adult/adult.data

Background: The UCI Adult dataset, also known as the Census Income dataset, was

extracted from the 1994 U.S. Census database. It contains 48,842 instances and includes

various demographic and economic attributes such as age, workclass, education level, marital

status, occupation, race, sex, hours worked per week, and income group. The dataset was

originally created for predictive modeling tasks, particularly to determine whether an

individual earns more than \$50K per year. It is widely used in machine learning, statistical

analysis, and labor market research to study income prediction, employment trends, and

socioeconomic disparities.

Research Question: How does marital status influence weekly work hours?

Research Details: The goal is to determine whether being married affects the number of

hours an individual works per week and whether additional factors such as education and

income contribute to this relationship. To answer this question, a linear regression model was

fitted using hours-per-week as the dependent variable. The initial model included marital

status as the sole predictor, where individuals were categorized as either alone (if they were

divorced, widowed, or never married) or married (the rest of non-null status). To refine the

model, education level (education-num) and income (income binary) were added to control

for their potential influence on work hours.

Further improvement was made by incorporating workclass, occupation, race,

relationship status, and native country to capture broader socioeconomic effects.

Research Process: Using four models to figure out how marital status influence the working

time. Each model builds upon the previous one, improving explanatory power and reducing prediction error, while also revealing potential model limitations. In Model 1(Appendix 1&5), marital status is the only predictor, showing that married individuals work 5.22 more hours per week than those who are not married. This relationship is statistically significant (p-value = 0.000), but the low R-squared (0.044) suggests that marital status alone explains only a small portion of the variance in work hours. The high RMSE (12.07) indicates significant prediction errors, implying missing influential factors. Model 2(Appendix 2&5) adds education (education-num), reducing the marital status effect to 4.96 hours, meaning education partly explains work hour differences. Each additional year of education increases work hours by 0.64, and the model fit improves (R-squared = 0.062, RMSE = 11.96), though errors remain high. Model 3(Appendix 3&5) incorporates income (income binary), further reducing the marital status effect, confirming that income explains part of the relationship. The model's predictive power improves (R-squared = 0.075, RMSE = 11.87), but residual errors remain large. Model 4[improving model](Appendix 4&5) expands with additional predictors (workclass, occupation, race, relationship status, and native country), yielding the best fit (R-squared increases, RMSE drops to 11.07). However, multicollinearity issues suggest that some predictors are highly correlated, potentially distorting coefficient estimates.

Despite improvements, RMSE remains high, likely due to high variability in work hours, missing key predictors (job type, industry, household responsibilities), multicollinearity, and potential non-linearity. To reduce errors, we should remove multicollinear variables (using VIF), apply log transformations to skewed variables, introduce interaction terms, and explore non-linear models like decision trees or random forests to improve predictive accuracy.

Appendix:

Appendix 1:

Model 1: Marital S		egres:	sion Results	
Dep. Variable:	Q('hours-per-we	ek')	R-squared:	0.044
Model:	OLS		Adj. R-squared:	0.044
Method:	Least Squ	ares	F-statistic:	1516.
Date:	Tue, 18 Feb :	2025	Prob (F-statistic):	4.94e-324
Time:	05:0	0:06	Log-Likelihood:	-1.2730e+05
No. Observations:	3:	2561	AIC:	2.546e+05
Df Residuals:	3:	2559	BIC:	2.546e+05
Df Model:		1		
Covariance Type:	nonrol	bust		

		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept		37.9679	0.092	411.880	0.000	37. 787	38.149
Q('current_married_max	rried')[T. True]	5.2157	0.134	38.933	0.000	4.953	5. 478
Omnibus:	2686.362	Durbin-Wa	itson:		2.016		
Prob (Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Be	era (JB):	130	49.893		
Skew:	0.257	Prob(JB):			0.00		
Kurtosis:	6.059	Cond. No.			2.56		

Notes:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

Appendix 2:

Model 2: Marital Status and Education
OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable: Q('hours-per-week')		R-squared:	0.062	
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.062	
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	1074.	
Date:	Tue, 18 Feb 2025	Prob (F-statistic):	0.00	
Time:	05:00:06	Log-Likelihood:	-1.2700e+05	
No. Observations:	32561	AIC:	2.540e+05	
Df Residuals:	32558	BIC:	2.540e+05	
Df Model:	2			
Covariance Type:	nonrobust			

		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept		31.6823	0.271	116.739	0.000	31.150	32. 214
Q('current_married_married')[T.True]		4.9594	0.133	37.247	0.000	4.698	5.220
Q('education-num')		0.6356	0.026	24.595	0.000	0.585	0.686
Omnibus:	2804.860	Durbin-Wa	itson:		2.016		
Prob (Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Be	ra (JB):	143	59.168		
Skew:	0.260	Prob(JB):			0.00		
Kurtosis:	6.211	Cond. No.			43.2		

Notes:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

Appendix 3:

Model 3: Marital Status, Education, and Income
OLS Regression Results

8	UL3 Regres	sion Results	
Dep. Variable:	Q('hours-per-week')	R-squared:	0.075
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.075
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	883.5
Date:	Tue, 18 Feb 2025	Prob (F-statistic):	0.00
Time:	05:00:06	Log-Likelihood:	-1.2677e+05
No. Observations:	32561	AIC:	2.535e+05
Df Residuals:	32557	BIC:	2.536e+05
Df Model:	3		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept		33.3886	0.281	118.947	0.000	32.838	33.939
Q('current married married') [T. True]		3.5734	0.147	24.337	0.000	3.286	3.861
Q('education-num')		0.4374	0.027	16.060	0.000	0.384	0.491
income_binary		3.9359	0.181	21.694	0.000	3.580	4.291
Omnibus:	2929.612	Durbin-Wa	tson:		2.014		
Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):		14973.351			
Skew:	0.290	Prob(JB):		0.00			
Kurtosis:	6.271	Cond. No.		46.7			

Notes:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

Appendix 4:

Model 4: Extended Model with Additional Predictors
OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	Q('hours-per-week')	R-square	ed:		0.195		
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-s		0.193			
Method:	Least Squares	F-statis			85. 57		
Date:	Tue, 18 Feb 2025		-statistic):		0.00		
Time:	05:00:08	Log-Like	elihood:		451e+05		
No. Observations:	32561	AIC:			492e+05		
Df Residuals: Df Model:	32468 92	BIC:		2.	500e+05		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust						
10		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept		35.0575	1.064	32.955	0.000	32.972	37.143
workclass[T.Federa	l-gov]	4.0563	0.443	9.166	0.000	3.189	4.924
workclass[T.Local-	gov]	4.2414	0.380	11.157	0.000	3.496	4.987
workclass[T. Never-	worked]	-1.4184	4.204	-0.337	0.736	-9.659	6.822
workclass [T. Privat	e]	4.1511	0.304	13.669	0.000	3, 556	4.746
workclass[T. Self-e	mp-inc]	9.1262	0.441	20.698	0.000	8.262	9.990
workclass[T. Self-e	mp-not-inc]	5.8580	0.366	15.989	0.000	5.140	6.576
workclass[T. State-	·gov]	2.1655	0.420	5.161	0.000	1.343	2.988
workclass[T. Withou	it-pay]	-2.3128	2.841	-0.814	0.416	-7.880	3.255
education[T.11th]		-2.2977	0.488	-4.711	0.000	-3.254	-1.342
education[T.12th]		-0.8704	0.647	-1.346	0.178	-2.138	0.397
education[T.1st-4t	h]	0.2397	0.962	0.249	0.803	-1.645	2.125
education[T.5th-6t	h]	0.5989	0.747	0.802	0.423	-0.865	2.063
education[T.7th-8t	h]	1.2168	0.573	2.123	0.034	0.094	2.340
education[T.9th]		0.6341	0.612	1.036	0.300	-0.566	1.834
education[T.Assoc-	acdm]	1.8574	0.505	3.679	0.000	0.868	2.847
education[T.Assoc-		2.5792	0.477	5.412	0.000	1.645	3.513
education[T.Bachel	ors]	2.5190	0.412	6.108	0.000	1.711	3.327
education[T. Doctor	ate]	6.2281	0.695	8.962	0.000	4.866	7.590
education[T.HS-gra	d]	2.0927	0.381	5.490	0.000	1.346	2.840
native[T.Portugal]		2.1859	1.893	1.155	0.248	-1.525	5.897
native [T. Puerto-Ri	.co]	-0.6207	1.146	-0.541	0.588	-2.867	1.626
native[T. Scotland]		1.4419	3. 238	0.445	0.656	-4.906	7. 789
native[T. South]		2.2565	1.396	1.617	0.106	-0.479	4.992
native [T. Taiwan]		-3.5237	1.679	-2.098	0.036	-6.815	-0.232
native[T.Thailand]		4.8495	2.687	1.805	0.071	-0.417	10.116
native [T. Trinadad@		-1.4177	2.593	-0.547	0.585	−6.499	3.664
native[T. United-St	ates]	-0.4134	0.473	-0.875	0.382	-1.340	0.513
native[T.Vietnam]		-1.9585	1.500	-1.306	0.192	-4.898	0.981
native[T. Yugoslavi		1.8702	2.814	0.665	0.506	-3.646	7.386
current_married_ma	rried[T. True]	1.4838	0.453	3.277	0.001	0.596	2.371
age		-0.0981	0.005	-18.190	0.000	-0.109	-0.088
fnlwgt		1.978e-06	6e-07	-3.298	0.001	−3.15e−06	-8.03e-07
capital		2.805e-05	8.66e-06	3.238	0.001	1.11e-05	4.5e-05
sex_binary		1.3504	0.092	14.724	0.000	1.171	1.530
income_binary		3. 1175	0.179	17.408	0.000	2.767	3.469
Omnibus:	3673.644	Durbin-W:	atson:		2.015		
	0.000		era (JB):	181	168. 532		
Prob (Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque Di	ora (JD).				
Prob(Omnibus): Skew:	0.447	Prob(JB)			0.00		

Appendix 5:

```
Model 1: Marital Status Only:
```

Residual Standard Error (RSE): 12.0699 Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): 12.0695

Model 2: Marital Status and Education: Residual Standard Error (RSE): 11.9595 Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): 11.9589

Model 3: Marital Status, Education, and Income:

Residual Standard Error (RSE): 11.8741 Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): 11.8734

Model 4: Extended Model with Additional Predictors:

Residual Standard Error (RSE): 11.0930 Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): 11.0771