



ELSEVIER

27 November 2000

Physics Letters A 277 (2000) 156–158

PHYSICS LETTERS A

www.elsevier.nl/locate/pla

A simpler proof of regular polygon solutions of the N -body problem[☆]

Zhifu Xie^{*}, Shiqing Zhang

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, PR China

Received 26 July 2000; received in revised form 18 October 2000; accepted 18 October 2000

Communicated by C.R. Doering

Abstract

In this Letter we give simpler proofs of the famous results of Perko–Walter–Elmabsout theorem published in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1985) 301 and Celest. Mech. 41 (1988) 131. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: N -body problems; Regular polygon periodic solutions; Circulant matrix

This Letter uses the same notations as paper [5]. For $N \geq 2$ the equations of motion of the planar N -body problem can be written in the form

$$\ddot{z}_k = - \sum_{j \neq k} m_j \frac{z_k - z_j}{|z_k - z_j|^3}, \quad (1)$$

where z_k is the complex coordinate of the k th mass m_k in an inertial coordinate system. In Eq. (1) and throughout this paper, unless otherwise restricted, all indices and summations will range from 1 to N .

Let ρ_k denote the N complex k th roots of unity, i.e.,

$$\rho_k = \exp(2\pi i k / N). \quad (2)$$

This equation will also serve to define ρ_k for any number k . The center of mass of N masses, m_k located at the vertices ρ_k of a regular polygon inscribed on the

unit circle, is then given by

$$z_0 = \sum_j m_j \rho_j / M,$$

where $M = \sum_j m_j$. The functions describing their rotation about z_0 with angular velocity ω are then given by

$$z_k(t) = (\rho_k - z_0) \exp(i\omega t). \quad (3)$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming that the regular polygon is inscribed in the unit circle since the N -body problem (1) is invariant under the transformation $t \rightarrow t/a^{3/2}$, $z \rightarrow z/a$ which reduces the functions $a(\rho_k - z_0) \exp(i\omega t)$ to the functions defined in (3).

We will also give simpler proofs of the following results of Perko–Walter–Elmabsout [1,2,4,5].

Theorem 1 (Perko–Walter [5]). *If, for $N \geq 2$, the functions $z_k(t)$ given by (3) are solutions of the N -body problem (1), it follows that $\omega^2 = M\gamma/N$, where*

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \neq N} \csc(\pi j / N). \quad (4)$$

[☆] This work is supported by NSF of China.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: shu_yonglu@sina.com.cn (Z. Xie).

Corollary 1 (Lagrange [3]). For $N = 3$, the functions $z_k(t)$ given by (3) are solutions of the 3-body problem (1) if and only if $\omega^2 = M/3\sqrt{3}$.

Theorem 2 (Perko–Walter [5], Elmabsout [2]). For $N \geq 4$ and $m_k > 0$, the functions $z_k(t)$ given by (3) with $\omega^2 = M\gamma/N$ and γ given by (4) are solutions of the N -body problem (1) if and only if $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_N$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Direct substitution into the differential equation (1) shows that the $z_k(t)$ are a solution of (1) if and only if

$$(\rho_k - z_0)\omega^2 \exp(i\omega t) = \sum_{j \neq k} m_j \frac{\rho_k - \rho_j}{|\rho_k - \rho_j|^3} \exp(i\omega t), \quad (5)$$

or if and only if

$$\sum_{j \neq k} m_j \frac{\rho_k - \rho_j}{|\rho_k - \rho_j|^3} + \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_j m_j \rho_j - \rho_k \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{j \neq k} m_j \frac{\rho_k - \rho_j}{|\rho_k - \rho_j|^3} + \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_j m_j \rho_j - \frac{1}{M} \sum_j m_j \rho_k \right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{j \neq k} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_k - \rho_j|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (\rho_k - \rho_j) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Multiplying both sides by ρ_{N-k} and noting that $|\rho_k - \rho_j| = |\rho_k| |1 - \rho_{j-k}| = |1 - \rho_{j-k}|$,

$$\sum_{j \neq k} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Notice that every step from (5) to (9) can be conversed. Now define the $N \times N$ circulant matrix $C = [c_{k,j}]$ as follows:

$$c_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } k = j, \\ c_{k,j} = \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) \quad \text{for } k \neq j. \quad (10)$$

Then (9) holds if and only if

$$C\vec{m} = 0 \quad (11)$$

holds, where $\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_N)^T$.

We notice that Eq. (9), or equivalently (10), is equivalent to Eq. (8) of [5]. According to (9) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \sum_{j \neq k} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \left(\sum_k m_k \right) \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_j - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_j) &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|\rho_j - 1|^3} &= \frac{\omega^2}{M} \sum_{j \neq N} (1 - \rho_j) \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{\omega^2 N}{M} = \sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|\rho_j - 1|^3} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \neq N} \csc(\pi j/N), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \neq N} \csc(\pi j/N). \quad \square$$

Proof of Corollary 1.

(1) The proof of the necessary conditions: For $N = 3$, formula (4) of Theorem 1 gives $\omega^2 = M/3\sqrt{3}$.

(2) The proof of the sufficient conditions: The proof hangs on showing that certain eigenvalues of the circulant matrix C are zero. This is accomplished by using the general formulas (4) for the eigenvalues λ_k and the eigenvectors \vec{v}_k of a circulant matrix $C = [c_{k,j}]$:

$$\lambda_k = \sum_j c_{1,j} \rho_{k-1}^{j-1}, \quad (12)$$

$$\vec{v}_k = (\rho_{k-1}, \rho_{k-1}^2, \dots, \rho_{k-1}^N)^T. \quad (13)$$

According to the relations between the eigenvalues λ_k and the eigenvectors \vec{v}_k , one has

$$C\vec{v}_k = \lambda_k \vec{v}_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Then in order to find the solution of (11), it is enough to find the positive real eigenvectors with zero eigenvalue.

We notice that $c_{k,j} = 0$ for $j = k$ and

$$c_{k,j} = \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) = 0$$

for $j \neq k$, since

$$\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\omega^2}{M} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

So C is a zero matrix, then any \vec{m} is a solution of (11). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.

(1) The proof of the necessary conditions of Theorem 2: Since

$$\frac{1}{|\rho_1 - 1|^3} \neq \frac{1}{|\rho_2 - 1|^3},$$

then $|c_{1,2}| + |c_{1,3}| \neq 0$. So C is not a zero matrix. According to the relations between the eigenvalues and eigenvectors,

$$C\vec{v}_k = \lambda_k \vec{v}_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

In order to find the positive real solution of (11), it is enough to find the zero eigenvalue λ_k with positive real eigenvector \vec{v}_k .

(i) N is an odd number. Only $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ is the positive real eigenvector. At the same time

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_j c_{1,j} \rho_{1-1}^{j-1} = \sum_j c_{1,j} = 0$$

(see the proof of Theorem 1). That is, $C\vec{v}_1 = 0$ and $\vec{m} = (m_1, m_1, \dots, m_1)^T$, $m_1 > 0$ is the unique solution of (11).

(ii) N is an even number. Only $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ and $\vec{v}_{N/2+1} = (-1, 1, \dots, -1, 1)^T$ are real eigenvectors, but only \vec{v}_1 is a positive real eigenvector. The corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_j c_{1,j} \rho_{1-1}^{j-1} = \sum_j c_{1,j} = 0$$

(see the proof of Theorem 1). That is, $C\vec{v}_1 = 0$ and $\vec{m} = (m_1, m_1, \dots, m_1)^T$, $m_1 > 0$ is the unique solution of (11).

(2) The proof of sufficient conditions of Theorem 2: Assume $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_N > 0$,

$$\frac{\omega^2}{M} = \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{j \neq N} \csc(\pi j/N),$$

then \vec{m} is a solution of (11) or (9):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \neq k} m_j \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) \\ &= m_1 \sum_{j \neq k} \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_{j-k} - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_{j-k}) \\ &= m_1 \sum_{j \neq N} \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_j - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \right) (1 - \rho_j) \\ &= m_1 \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|\rho_j - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} \sum_{j \neq N} (1 - \rho_j) \right) \\ &= m_1 \left(\sum_{j \neq N} \frac{1 - \rho_j}{|\rho_j - 1|^3} - \frac{\omega^2}{M} N \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank professor Shuzhong Shi and the referees for some helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] F. Diacu, Singularities of the N -Body Problem, Les Editions CRM, Montreal, 1992, p. 59.
- [2] B. Elmabsout, Celest. Mech. 41 (1988) 131.
- [3] J. Lagrange, Oeuvres, Vol. 6, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1873.
- [4] M. Marcus, H. Minc, A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, MA, 1964.
- [5] L.M. Perko, E.L. Walter, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1985) 301.