



ELSEVIER

22 July 2002

PHYSICS LETTERS A

Physics Letters A 300 (2002) 101–102

www.elsevier.com/locate/pla

Comment

Comment on “A simpler proof of regular polygon solutions of the N body problem” by Zhifu Xie and Shiqing Zhang [Phys. Lett. A 277 (2000) 156–158] [☆]

Alain Chenciner ^{a,b}^a *Astronomie et Systèmes Dynamiques, IMCCE, UMR 8028 du CNRS, 77, avenue Denfert-Rochereau, 75014 Paris, France*^b *Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris VII-Denis Diderot, 16, rue Clisson, 75013 Paris, France*

Received 9 May 2002; accepted 21 May 2002

Communicated by C.R. Doering

In the above mentioned paper, the authors present what they claim to be a very short proof of a classical result of Perko–Walter [1] and Elmabsout [2] which states that, for any $n \geq 4$, the regular n -gon is a central configuration if and only if all masses are equal. Unfortunately, their claim is unfounded.

More precisely, they set rightly—and even better than Perko and Walter who write them in a somewhat awkward fashion—the equations of the problem, but they make the following mistake: the spectral decomposition of the circulant matrix C is correctly stated, but it is assumed by the authors that the only eigenvector with 0 eigenvalue is $X_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. This is simply false: the kernel of C is generated by X_1 and $X_2 = (z, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ (where $z = \exp(2\pi i/n)$) if n is even and by X_1 , X_2 and $X_3 = (z^{(p+1)}, z^{2(p+1)}, \dots, z^{n(p+1)})$ if $n = 2p + 1$ is odd. These assertions are equivalent to Lemmas 10–12 of Perko and Walter’s paper. The end of the proof can be obtained in the following way (compare to Lemmas 6 and 7 of Perko and Walter’s paper):

- When $n > 2$ is even, the complex subspace of \mathbb{C}^n generated by X_1 and X_2 contains no *real* vectors other than the multiples of $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ (if $n = 2$, these 2 vectors generate \mathbb{C}^2).
- When $n > 3$ is odd, the complex subspace of \mathbb{C}^n generated by X_1 , X_2 and X_3 contains no *real* vectors other than the multiples of $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ (if $n = 3$, these 3 vectors generate \mathbb{C}^3).

To summarize, the authors rightly notice that if $n > 4$ the matrix C is not identically zero but they do not touch to the main problem which is controlling the *real* vectors in its kernel.

Nota bene If true, the proposed “proof” would have implied that n -choreographies must have equal masses. At the moment, this is proved only if $n \leq 5$ [3].

[☆] PII of original article: S0375-9601(00)00698-8.

E-mail addresses: alain.chenciner@bdl.fr, chencine@math.jussieu.fr (A. Chenciner).

References

- [1] L.M. Perko, E.L. Walter, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 94 (2) (1985).
- [2] B. Elmhabsout, *Celestial Mech.* 41 (1988) 131.
- [3] A. Chenciner, Are there perverse choreographies?, *Proc. HAMSYS*, in press, <http://www.bdl.fr/Equipes/ASD/person/chenciner/chenciner.htm>.