CS 189 Introduction to Machine Learning Summer 2019 Marc Khoury & Brijen Thananjeyan

HW2

Due: Monday, July 8 at 11:59 pm

Deliverables:

- 1. Submit a PDF of your homework, with an appendix listing all your code, to the Grade-scope assignment entitled "HW2 Write-Up". You may typeset your homework in LaTeX or Word (submit PDF format, not .doc/.docx format) or submit neatly handwritten and scanned solutions. Please start each question on a new page. If there are graphs, include those graphs in the correct sections. Do not put them in an appendix. We need each solution to be self-contained on pages of its own.
 - In your write-up, please state with whom you worked on the homework.
 - In your write-up, please copy the following statement and sign your signature next to it. (Mac Preview and FoxIt PDF Reader, among others, have tools to let you sign a PDF file.) We want to make it *extra* clear so that no one inadverdently cheats.

"I certify that all solutions are entirely in my own words and that I have not looked at another student's solutions. I have given credit to all external sources I consulted."

1 Identities with Expectation

For this exercise, recall the following useful identity: for a probability event A, $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{A\}]$, where $\mathbf{1}\{\cdot\}$ is the indicator function.

- 1. Let X be a random variable with pdf $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ for x > 0 (and zero everywhere else). Use induction on k to show that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \frac{k!}{\lambda^k}$. *Hint*: use integration by parts.
- 2. Assume that *X* is a non-negative real-valued random variable. Prove the following identity:

$$\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X \ge t) dt.$$

If you prefer, assume that *X* has a density f(x) and a CDF F(x); this might simplify notation.

3. Again assume $X \ge 0$, but now additionally let $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$. Prove the following:

$$\mathbb{P}(X > 0) \ge \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}.$$

Note that by assumption we know $\mathbb{P}(X \ge 0) = 1$, so this inequality is indeed quite powerful. *Hint*: Use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality: $|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \le \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle$. You have most likely seen it applied when the inner product is the real dot product, however it holds for arbitrary inner products; without proof, use the fact that a valid inner product on the set of random variables is given by $\mathbb{E}(UV)$, for random variables U and V.

4. Now assume $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$, and additionally assume $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ (X no longer has to be nonnegative). Prove the following inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}(X \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}{\mathbb{E}[X^2] + t^2}$$
, for any $t \ge 0$

There is no typo — compared to the previous part, the inequality is flipped.

Hint: Use similar logic as in the previous part, and think of how to apply Cauchy–Schwarz. Use the fact that $t - X \le (t - X)\mathbf{1}\{t - X > 0\}$.

2 Properties of Gaussians

- 1. Prove that $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = e^{\sigma^2 \lambda^2/2}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed constant, and $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. As a function of λ , $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ is also known as the *moment-generating function*.
- 2. Prove that $(X \ge t) \le \exp(-t^2/2\sigma^2)$, and conclude that $(|X| \ge t) \le 2 \exp(-t^2/2\sigma^2)$. *Hint*: Consider using Markov's inequality in combination with the result of the previous part.
- 3. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ be iid. Can you prove a similar concentration result for the average of n Gaussians: $(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ge t)$? What happens as $n \to \infty$? Hint: Without proof use the fact that (under some regularity, which is satisfied for iid Gaussians) linear combinations of Gaussians are also Gaussian.

- 4. Give an example of two Gaussian random variables X and Y, such that there exists a linear combination $\alpha X + \beta Y$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, which is *not* Gaussian. Note that examples of the kind $X \sim N(0, 1)$, Y = -X and their linear combination X + Y = 0 will not be valid solutions; we will consider constant random variables as Gaussians with variance equal to 0.
- 5. Take two orthogonal vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \perp v$, and let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a vector of n iid standard Gaussians, $X_i \sim N(0, 1)$, $\forall i \in [n]$. Let $u_x = \langle u, X \rangle$ and $v_x = \langle v, X \rangle$. Are u_x and v_x independent?

Hint: First try to see if they are correlated; you may use the fact that jointly normal random variables are independent iff. they are uncorrelated.

6. Prove that $\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{1\leq i\leq n}|X_i|\right] \leq C\sqrt{\log(2n)}\sigma$, where $X_1,\ldots,X_n \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$ are iid. In fact, a stronger version of this claim holds - $\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{1\leq i\leq n}|X_i|\right] \geq C'\sqrt{\log(2n)}\sigma$ for some C' (you don't need to prove the lower bound).

Hint: Use Jensen's inequality, which says that $f(\mathbb{E}[Y]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(Y)]$, for any convex function f. Take $f(Y) = e^Y$, and use exercise 1 of this Problem.

3 Linear Algebra Review

- 1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix. Prove equivalence between the following different definitions of positive semi-definiteness (PSD):
 - (a) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x^T A x \ge 0$.
 - (b) All eigenvalues of A are non-negative.
 - (c) There exists a matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, such that $A = UU^{\top}$.

Mathematically, we write positive semi-definiteness as $A \ge 0$.

- 2. Now that we're equipped with different definitions of positive semi-definiteness, prove the following properties of PSD matrices:
 - (a) If A and B are PSD, then 2A + 3B is PSD.
 - (b) If A is PSD, all diagonal entries of A are non-negative, $A_{ii} \ge 0, \forall i \in [n]$.
 - (c) If A is PSD, the sum of all entries of A is non-negative, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ij} \ge 0$.
 - (d) If A and B are PSD, then $Tr(AB) \ge 0$.
 - (e) If A and B are PSD, then Tr(AB) = 0 if and only if AB = 0.
- 3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix. Prove that the largest eigenvalue of A is

$$\lambda_{\max}(A) = \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} x^{\mathsf{T}} A x.$$

4 Gradients and Norms

- 1. Define ℓ_p norms as $||x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that $||x||_2 \le ||x||_1 \le \sqrt{n}||x||_2$. *Hint*: For the second inequality, consider applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.
- 2. (a) Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \ln \beta_i$ for $y, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. What are the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \beta_i}$?
 - (b) Let $\beta = \sinh(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (treat the *sinh* as an element-wise operation; i.e. $\beta_i = \sinh(\gamma_i)$). What are the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \gamma_i}$?
 - (c) Let $\gamma = A\rho + b$ for $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. What are the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial \rho_j}$?
 - (d) Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \ln(\sinh(Ax + b)_i)$; $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are given. What are the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$? *Hint*: Use the chain rule.
- 3. Let $X, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (not necessarily symmetric). Compute $\nabla_X \operatorname{Tr}(A^{\top}X)$.
- 4. Consider the optimization problem $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^{\top} A x b^{\top} x$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a PSD matrix with $0 < \lambda_{\min}(A) \le \lambda_{\max}(A) < 1$.
 - (a) Find the optimizer x^* .
 - (b) Solving a linear system directly using Gaussian elimination takes $O(n^3)$ time, which may be wasteful if the matrix A is sparse. For this reason, we will use gradient descent to compute an approximation to the optimal point x^* . Write down the update rule for gradient descent with a step size of 1.
 - (c) Show that the iterates $x^{(k)}$ satisfy the recursion $x^{(k)} x^* = (I A)(x^{(k-1)} x^*)$.
 - (d) Using exercise 3 in Problem 3, prove $||Ax||_2 \le \lambda_{\max(A)}||x||_2$. *Hint*: Use the fact that, if λ is an eigenvalue of A, then λ^2 is an eigenvalue of A^2 .
 - (e) Using the previous two parts, show that for some $0 < \rho < 1$,

$$||x^{(k)} - x^*||_2 \le \rho ||x^{(k-1)} - x^*||_2.$$

- (f) Let $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the starting value for our gradient descent iterations. If we want a solution $x^{(k)}$ that is $\epsilon > 0$ close to x^* , i.e. $||x^{(k)} x^*||_2 \le \epsilon$, then how many iterations of gradient descent should we perform? In other words, how large should k be? Give your answer in terms of ρ , $||x^{(0)} x^*||_2$, and ϵ .
- 5. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be a data matrix, consisting of n samples, each of which has d features, and let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector of outcomes. For example, each row of X could have information about a house on the market, like its area, number of floors, number of bathrooms/bedrooms, etc., and each entry of y could be the price of that house. We are interested in building a model that predicts house prices from the set of its features, as listed above. Suppose that domain knowledge tells us that the relationship between the features and outcomes is linear; ideally, there exists a set of parameters $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $X\theta = y$. However, n is large and there is noise

in the acquisition of X and y, so this system is overdetermined. Still, we wish to find the *best linear approximation*, i.e. we want to find the θ that minimizes the loss $L(\theta) = ||y - X\theta||_2^2$. Assuming X has full column rank, compute $\theta^* = \arg\min_{\theta} L(\theta)$ in terms of X and y.

5 Covariance Practice

- 1. Recall the covariance of two random variables X and Y is defined as $Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X \mathbb{E}[X])(Y \mathbb{E}[Y])]$. For a multivariate random variable Z (i.e. each index of Z is a random variable), we define the covariance matrix Σ such that $\Sigma_{ij} = Cov(Z_i, Z_j)$. Concisely, $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}[(Z \mu)(Z \mu)^{\top}]$. Prove that the covariance matrix is always PSD. *Hint*: Use linearity of expectation.
- 2. Let X be a multivariate random variable (recall, this means it is a vector of random variables) with mean vector $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Let Σ have one zero eigenvalue. Prove the space where X takes values with non-zero probability (this space is called the support of X) has dimension n-1. How could you construct a new \tilde{X} so that no information is lost from the original distribution but the covariance matrix of \tilde{X} has no zero eigenvalues? What would \tilde{X} look like if Σ has $m \le n$ zero eigenvalues?

Hint: use the identity $Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Cov(Y_i, Y_j)$.