Stats 506 F20, Final Project

Zhihao Xu, xuzhihao@umich.edu

December 14, 2020

Topic

Performance of Benjamini-Hochberg Adjustment in an atypical situation. Click link here to view the Github Repo of this project.

Introduction

In the multiple hypothesis testing problem, usually we care about the False Discovery Rate(FDR) instead of Type 1 Error. Benjamini-Hochberg procedure is commonly used in multiple hypothesis testing to control the FDR.

Benjamini-Hochberg Procedure:

Begin by ordering the p-values in ascending order.

$$p_{(1)} \le p_{(2)} \le \dots \le p_{(n)},$$

Fix a significant level $q \in [0,1]$. Let i_0 be the largest i for which

$$p_{(i)} \le \frac{i}{n}q.$$

Reject all $H_{(i)}$ with $i \leq i_0$. This procedure is proved in Benjamini & Yekutieli (2001). If the joint distribution of the statistics (or joint dist. of the p-values) is PRDS(positive regression dependency on each one from a subset) on the set of true nulls H_0 , then the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure BH(q) controls the FDR at level q. However, in practise, we do not always know the precise correlation structure. In Benjamini & Yekutieli (2001), it also introduced a Benjamini-Yekutieli procedure. Under dependence, the BHq procedure controls at level $q \cdot S(n)$. In fact, $FDR \leq qS(n) \cdot \frac{n_0}{n}$, where $S_n = 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \cdots + 1/n$. Hence, we can use $q/\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$ to take the place of q in Benjamini-Hochberg Procedure, which can always control the FDR at level q regardless of correlation structure. However, this procedure is quite conservative. In this project, I want to demostrate the performance of original BH procedure on various correlation structure, and compare the FDR and power of BH procedure and BY procedure.

Experiment Setting

Consider n i.i.d. samples x_t , t = 1, ..., n, $x_t = [x_{t1}, ..., x_{tm}] \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Suppose $x_{ti} \sim N(\mu_i, 1)$. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_{0,i}: \mu_i = 0$ for i = 1, ..., m. Consider the following setting:

- (a) **i.i.d.**: x_{ti} for i = 1, ..., m are i.i.d.
- (b) **Positive Correlated**: $Cov(x_{ti}, x_{tj}) = 0.8$ for $i \neq j$.
- (c) **Negative Correlated**: $Cov(x_{ti}, x_{tj}) = 0.8$ for $1 \le i < j \le m/2$, $x_{t,i+m/2} = -x_{t,i}$ for $1 \le i \le m/2$.

Here we apply the BH-Adjust and BY-Adjust at level q = 0.1 by conducting B = 1000 simulations with n = 200 and m = 100 in each setting, and compare the FDR and power of these adjustment procedure. We will try the following cases:

- 1. Global Null: $\mu_i = 0$
- 2. Sequential True Alternatives: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0.2, \mu_3 = \mu_4 = 0.4, \mu_5 = \mu_6 = 0.6, \mu_7 = \mu_8 = 0.8, \mu_9 = \mu_{10} = 1,$

and $\mu_i = 0$ for 10 < i < 50.

Here we test $H_{0,i}: \mu_i = 0$ at significance level $\alpha = 0.05$, compute p-value by

$$p = 2\left[1 - \Phi\left(\left|\frac{\bar{X}_{\cdot,i} - 0}{1/\sqrt{n}}\right|\right)\right]$$

Simulation Result

1. Global Null: $\mu_i = 0$

Table 1: Simulation Result under Global Null

Method	Correlation Structure	FDR
BH	Independent	0.041
BY	Independent	0.009
BH	Positive Correlation	0.020
BY	Positive Correlation	0.002
BH	Negative Correlation	0.047
BY	Negative Correlation	0.003

2. Sequential True Alternatives: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0.2, \mu_3 = \mu_4 = 0.6, \mu_5 = \mu_6 = 1, \text{ and } \mu_i = 0 \text{ for } 6 < i \le 50.$

Table 2: Simulation Result for Sequential True Alternatives

Method	Correlation Structure	FDR	power
BH	Independent	0.044	0.813
BY	Independent	0.009	0.754
BH	Positive Correlation	0.034	0.813
BY	Positive Correlation	0.001	0.737
BH	Negative Correlation	0.024	0.845
BY	Negative Correlation	0.003	0.781

Conclusion & Discussion

Through the above simulation result, we can see that although the negative correlation structure does not satisfy the PRDS assumption, we can also use BH procedure to control FDR in some cases. BY procedure can always control FDR successfully but will also leads to conservative rejection. In the above simulation, the FDR got by the BY procedure is only 1/10 of our target, which will also lead to the decrease in power. Hence, if the correlation structure is unknown, it is also reasonable to use BH procedure, and we can also use BY procedure as a base line procedure