STAB57: An Introduction to Statistics

Shahriar Shams

Week 3 (Sampling distribution of S^2 and some related distributions)



Winter 2023

Recap of Week 2

- Learned two formal ways of defining an estimator
 - Method of moments estimator.
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE).
- Sampling distribution
 - Sampling distribution of (\bar{X})
- Considering T as an estimator of θ ,

$$MSE(T) = var(T) + (Bias(T))^{2}$$

• $Bias(T) = 0 \implies$ The estimator is Unbiased.

NOTE:

- " \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator" is an incomplete sentence!
- We have to say " \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of μ ".
- This same \bar{X} can be biased for some other parameters.

Learning goals for this week

- Reviewing MSE (slides that we couldn't cover in week-2)
- Which formula to use for sample variance (S^2) ?
 - Should we divide $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \bar{X})^2$ by n or n-1?
- † Sampling distribution of S^2 (under Normal distribution)
- Some relationships among distributions (for future use)

† Evans and Rosenthal: theorem 4.6.6 (using theorem 4.6.2) and John A. Rice: Chap 6.3

Section 1

 $\overline{MSE = Var + Bias^2}$; topics from week 2 that we couldn't finish

Measuring quality of an estimator [E&R-page 322]

- Let $\psi(\theta)$ be any real valued function of θ
- Suppose, T is an estimator of $\psi(\theta)$
- The most commonly used measurement of accuracy of an estimator is *Mean Squared Error (MSE)*
- $MSE_{\theta}(T) = E_{\theta}[(T \psi(\theta))^2]$
- The smaller the value of $MSE_{\theta}(T)$, the more concentrated the sampling distribution of T is about the value $\psi(\theta)$
- Since the true value of θ is unknown, often we evaluate the $MSE_{\theta}(T)$ at $\theta = \hat{\theta}$

More on MSE

$$MSE_{\theta}(T) = var_{\theta}(T) + (E_{\theta}(T) - \psi(\theta))^{2}$$

Proof...

Unbiasedness

• Bias: The bias of an estimator T of $\psi(\theta)$ is given by $E_{\theta}(T) - \psi(\theta)$

- Unbiased estimator: When the bias of an estimator is zero, it's called unbiased.
 - So T is unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta)$ when $E_{\theta}(T) = \psi(\theta)$
 - In other words, T is unbiased if $\psi(\theta)$ is the mean of the sampling distribution of T.
 - Example: On slide 21(week-2), we have shown $E[\bar{X}] = \mu$. Therefore, sample mean is an unbiased estimator of the population mean.

Comments on MSE and Unbiasedness

- $MSE(T) = var(T) + (Bias(T))^2$
- For unbiased estimators, MSE(T) = var(T)
- If all the other properties (we haven't studied them yet) are similar, then an unbaised estimator is preferred over a biased estimator.
- In practice, often an biased estimator with lower variance is preferred over an unbiased estimator with really high variance \implies we minimize MSE.

Section 2

Which formula to use for sample variance (S^2)

Let's start with Population variance (σ^2)

- Definition of σ^2 :
 - $\sigma^2 = E[(X \mu)^2]$ where $\mu = E[X]$
 - \bullet if we have equally likely N $data\ points$ in our Population this is equivalent of

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - \mu)^2$$

- In words: it's the AVERAGE squared difference of each of the data points (X_i) from the mean (μ)
- We are not estimating anything here. We are calculating σ^2 based on the population.

Let's estimate σ^2 based on a sample of size=n

- When we are estimating based on the sample of size = n,
 - we replace μ by \bar{X}
 - So the numerator is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \bar{X})^2$
 - To get an estimator, should we divide it by n or n-1?
- The ans is: we can do both!
- They both can be used as an estimator of σ^2
- Difference: one of them is an unbiased and the other one is a biased estimator of σ^2 .

Identity needed to check unbiasedness

• An identity that we need here (and will need in future)

$$\sum_{i} (X_i - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2$$
 (1)

Proof...

• Re-writing it

$$\sum_{i} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i} (X_i - \mu)^2 - n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2$$

Unbiased estimator of σ^2

• Taking Expectation on both sides,

$$E[\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}] = E[\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \mu)^{2}] - E[n(\bar{X} - \mu)^{2}]$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= (n - 1)\sigma^{2}$$
(2)

Unbiased estimator of σ^2 (cont...)

• Dividing both sides of eq-2 [slide 8 13] by $n \implies$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}(X_{i}-\bar{X})^{2}\right] = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^{2}$$

So, $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}(X_{i}-\bar{X})^{2}$ is a biased estimator of σ^{2} .

• Dividing both sides of eq-2 [slide 8 13] by $n-1 \implies$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i}(X_{i}-\bar{X})^{2}\right] = \sigma^{2}$$

So, $\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i}(X_i-\bar{X})^2$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

Few comments on the choice of estimator for σ^2

- For Normal distribution, both Method of moments and Maximum likelihood estimation gives $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}(X_{i}-\bar{X})^{2}$ as an estimator of σ^{2} (we did this last week)
- The fraction, $\frac{n-1}{n} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$
- \bullet For large n, both estimators will produce similar estimate.
- In statistical literature, whenever we say *sample variance* we refer to the *unbiased* one.
- Hence, from now on (at least for this course),

sample variance,

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}$$

Section 3

Sampling distribution of S^2 (under Normal distribution)

A well known theorem

- Suppose $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ and $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \bar{X})^2$

Then

- \bar{X} and S^2 are independent. [slide 13-16 18-21]
- $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(df=n-1)}$ [slide 17-18 22-23]

Proving \bar{X} and S^2 are independent

- The rigorous proof uses the geometric properties of a multivariate Normal distribution (which is beyond the scope of this course)
- John A. Rice gave a proof using the moment generating function (page 195-197).
- We will try a different way using theorem 4.6.2 of Evans and Rosenthal.

E&R theorem 4.6.2 (page-235)

- $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- U and V are two different linear combinations of the X_i 's
- cov[U, V] = 0 if and only if U and V are independent.

NOTE:

- In general, zero covariance doesn't imply independence (we will give an example later).
- But for **bi-variate Normal** distribution, zero covariance \implies independence

proof of this theorem is available on page 248 (uses two dimensional change of variables)

\bar{X} is independent of $X_i - \bar{X}$

- Say i = 1
- $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)$
 - It's a linear combination of X_i 's
- $X_1 \bar{X} = (1 \frac{1}{n})X_1 \frac{1}{n}X_2 \dots \frac{1}{n}X_n$
 - It's also a linear combination of X_i 's
- $cov[\bar{X}, X_1 \bar{X}] = 0$ (proof)
- Hence using E&R theorem 4.6.2, $\bar{X} \perp \!\!\! \perp X_1 \bar{X}$
- we can show this for all the values of i = 1, 2, ...n
- Hence,

$$\bar{X} \perp \!\!\!\perp X_i - \bar{X}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ...n$

\bar{X} is independent of S^2

- From last slide, \bar{X} is independent of all the $(X_i \bar{X})$'s
- $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \bar{X})^2$ which is a function of all the $(X_i \bar{X})$'s
- Hence, \bar{X} is independent of S^2

Proving $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(df=n-1)}$

• Dividing eq-1 [slide-7 12] by σ^2 we get

$$\frac{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{n(\bar{X} - \mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$$

$$\implies \sum_{i} \left(\frac{X_{i} - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} = \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} + \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2}$$

- $\sum_{i} \left(\frac{X_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \sim ?$
- $\left(\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 \sim ?$

Proving $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \overline{\chi^2_{(df=n-1)} \text{ (cont...)}}$

• Using moment generating function (MGF) and independence of \bar{X} and S^2

$$MGF \text{ of } \chi^{2}_{(df=n)} = [MGF \text{ of } \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}] * [MGF \text{ of } \chi^{2}_{(df=1)}]$$

$$\implies (1-2t)^{-n/2} = [MGF \text{ of } \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}] * (1-2t)^{-1/2}$$

$$\implies [MGF \text{ of } \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}] = (1-2t)^{-(n-1)/2}$$

which is the MGF of a $\chi^2_{df=(n-1)}$

• Hence,

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(df=n-1)}$$

End of theorem...

Unbiasedness of S^2 using the Chi-sq distribution

• Recall: The mean of a Chi-sq distribution is it's degrees of freedom, *df* (in other words it's parameter).

Then,

$$E\left[\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right] = (n-1)$$
$$\implies E[S^2] = \sigma^2$$

Note:

- This proves S^2 is an unbiased estimator for σ^2 under Normal distribution.
- Slide [7-9 12-14] proves it under any arbitrary distribution with the assumption that X_i 's are i.i.d. and μ , σ^2 exists.

An example of [cov= $0 \Rightarrow$ independence]

- Say we have $X \sim N(0,1)$
- $Y = X^2$
- Clearly X and Y are dependent.
- But their covariance is zero!

$$cov[X, Y] = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

$$= E[X.X^{2}] - 0.E[X^{2}]$$

$$= E[X^{3}]$$

$$= 0$$

Note: Odd moments (e.g. E[X], $E[X^3]$, $E[X^5]$...) of any distribution which is symmetric around zero = 0

Section 4

Some relationships among distributions (for future use)

$$\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{(df=n-1)}$$

Recall from week 1: Z=standard Normal, U=chi-sq with df=m and $Z \perp \!\!\! \perp U$. Then $\frac{Z}{\sqrt{U/m}} \sim t_{(df=m)}$

- (Week-2) Sampling distribution of \bar{X} under Normal distribution $\implies \frac{\bar{X} \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$
- This week we proved $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(df=n-1)}$
- Also $\bar{X} \perp \!\!\!\perp S^2$
- Then,

$$\implies \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{(df=n-1)}$$

Note: we will use this when we do the interval estimation.

Few comments on $\chi^2_{(m)}$ distribution

- $\chi^2_{(m)}$ is a special case of Gamma dist. [G(m/2, 1/2)]
- $\chi^2_{(m)}/m=\frac{1}{m}(Z_1^2+Z_2^2+...Z_m^2)$ where $Z_1,Z_2,...Z_m$ are independent N(0,1) variables. Then by LLN,

$$\frac{1}{m}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + ... Z_m^2) \xrightarrow{P} E[Z_i^2] = 1$$

Therefore,

$$\chi^2_{(m)}/m \xrightarrow{P} 1$$

Homework (Non-credit)

Assuming $X_1, X_2, ... X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and using the properties of χ^2 distribution, calculate the MSE of S^2 as an estimator of σ^2 .

Assuming $X_1, X_2, ... X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and using the properties of χ^2 distribution, calculate the MSE of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ as an estimator of σ^2 , where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{n}$