## Evaluating Imperceptibility of White-Box Adversarial Attacks on Tabular Data

No Author Given

No Institute Given

## **Supplementary Materials**

## A Evaluation Results on Performance

The evaluation results on performance can be found in Table 1-5.

## B Evaluation Results on Imperceptibility

The evaluation results on imperceptibility can be found in Table 6-10.

Detec	t ML models	Natural	Attack	Robust	Success
Datase	t ML models	Accuracy	$\mathbf{methods}$	Accuracy	Rate
			DeepFool	0.1731	0.8269
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.3014	0.6986
			C&W Linf	0.8445	0.1555
			FGSM L1	0.7881	0.2119
			FGSM L2	0.3232	0.6768
	LR	0.8524	FGSM Linf	0.1505	0.8495
			BIM	0.1505	0.8495
			MIM	0.1505	0.8495
			PGD L1	0.7068	0.2932
			PGD L2	0.2590	0.7410
			PGD Linf	0.1505	0.8495
			DeepFool	0.1468	0.8532
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.8524	0.1476
			C&W Linf	0.8456	0.1544
			FGSM L1	0.6860	0.3140
			FGSM L2	0.1912	0.8088
Adult	Linear SVC	0.8532	FGSM Linf	0.1525	0.8475
			BIM	0.1525	0.8475
			MIM	0.1525	0.8475
			PGD L1	0.4664	0.5336
			PGD L2	0.1555	0.8445
			PGD Linf	0.1525	0.8475
			DeepFool	0.1549	0.8451
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.5481	0.4519
			C&W Linf	0.8444	0.1556
			FGSM L1	0.7503	0.2497
			FGSM L2	0.2608	0.7392
	MLP	0.8521	$FGSM\ Linf$	0.1535	0.8465
			BIM	0.1606	0.8394
			MIM	0.1485	0.8515
			PGD L1	0.4876	0.5124
			PGD L2	0.1719	0.8281
			PGD Linf	0.1485	0.8515

 Table 1. Performance benchmark results for dataset: Adult.

D-44	N/T1-1-	Natural	Attack	Robust	Success
Dataset	ML models	Accuracy	$\mathbf{methods}$	Accuracy	Rate
			DeepFool	0.1875	0.8125
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.2760	0.7240
			C&W Linf	0.8021	0.1979
			FGSM L1	0.8021	0.1979
			FGSM L2	0.7083	0.2917
	LR	0.8125	$FGSM\ Linf$	0.1927	0.8073
			BIM	0.1927	0.8073
			MIM	0.1927	0.8073
			PGD L1	0.8073	0.1927
			PGD L2	0.6406	0.3594
			PGD Linf	0.1927	0.8073
			DeepFool	0.1875	0.8125
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.8177	0.1823
			C&W Linf	0.8073	0.1927
			FGSM L1	0.8125	0.1875
			FGSM L2	0.6667	0.3333
German	Linear SVC	0.8125	$FGSM\ Linf$	0.1927	0.8073
			BIM	0.1927	0.8073
			MIM	0.1927	0.8073
			PGD L1	0.8073	0.1927
			PGD L2	0.6042	0.3958
			PGD Linf	0.1927	0.8073
			DeepFool	0.1927	0.8073
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.3802	0.6198
			C&W Linf	0.7917	0.2083
			FGSM L1	0.7865	0.2135
			FGSM L2	0.6875	0.3125
	MLP	0.7969	$FGSM\ Linf$	0.2344	0.7656
			BIM	0.2083	0.7917
			MIM	0.2135	0.7865
			PGD L1	0.7813	0.2188
			PGD L2	0.6094	0.3906
			PGD Linf	0.2135	0.7865

Table 2. Performance benchmark results for dataset: German.

Dataset	ML models	Natural	Attack	Robust	Success
Dataset	WIL models	Accuracy	$\mathbf{methods}$	Accuracy	Rate
			DeepFool	0.2067	0.7933
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.3054	0.6946
			C&W Linf	0.7919	0.2081
			FGSM L1	0.7003	0.2997
			FGSM L2	0.4077	0.5923
	LR	0.7933	FGSM Linf	0.2074	0.7926
			BIM	0.2074	0.7926
			MIM	0.2074	0.7926
			PGD L1	0.6577	0.3423
			PGD L2	0.4041	0.5959
			PGD Linf	0.2074	0.7926
			DeepFool	0.2024	0.7976
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.7919	0.2081
			C&W Linf	0.7827	0.2173
			FGSM L1	0.6676	0.3324
			FGSM L2	0.3366	0.6634
COMPAS	Linear SVC	0.7976	FGSM Linf	0.2024	0.7976
			BIM	0.2024	0.7976
			MIM	0.2024	0.7976
			PGD L1	0.6286	0.3714
			PGD L2	0.3331	0.6669
			PGD Linf	0.2024	0.7976
			DeepFool	0.1953	0.8047
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.2791	0.7209
			C&W Linf	0.7841	0.2159
			FGSM L1	0.6804	0.3196
			FGSM L2	0.3544	0.6456
	MLP	0.8089	FGSM Linf	0.1918	0.8082
			BIM	0.1911	0.8089
			MIM	0.1911	0.8089
			PGD L1	0.6200	0.3800
			PGD L2	0.3352	0.6648
			PGD Linf	0.1911	0.8089

 Table 3. Performance benchmark results for dataset: COMPAS.

Dataset	ML models	Natural	Attack	Robust	Success
Dataset	ML models	Accuracy	methods	Accuracy	Rate
			DeepFool	0.2188	0.7578
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.2500	0.7500
			C&W Linf	0.6875	0.3125
			LowProFool L2	0.2422	0.7578
			${\bf LowProFool\ Linf}$	0.2422	0.7578
			FGSM L1	0.6250	0.3750
	LR	0.7578	FGSM L2	0.4063	0.5938
			FGSM Linf	0.2500	0.7500
			BIM	0.2500	0.7500
			MIM	0.2500	0.7500
			PGD L1	0.6328	0.3672
			PGD L2	0.4063	0.5938
			PGD Linf	0.2500	0.7500
			DeepFool	0.2422	0.7578
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.7422	0.2578
			C&W Linf	0.7031	0.2969
			LowProFool L2	0.2422	0.7578
			LowProFool Linf	0.2422	0.7578
			FGSM L1	0.6250	0.3750
Diabetes	Linear SVC	0.7578	FGSM L2	0.3125	0.6875
			FGSM Linf	0.2422	0.7578
			BIM	0.2422	0.7578
			MIM	0.2422	0.7578
			PGD L1	0.5469	0.4531
			PGD L2	0.3125	0.6875
			PGD Linf	0.2422	0.7578
			DeepFool	0.2734	0.7266
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.2813	0.7188
			C&W Linf	0.7266	
			LowProFool L2	0.2734	0.7266
			LowProFool Linf	0.2734	0.7266
			FGSM L1	0.6875	0.3125
	MLP	0.7266	FGSM L2	0.4219	0.5781
			FGSM Linf	0.2734	0.7266
			BIM	0.2734	
			MIM	0.2734	0.7266
			PGD L1	0.6875	0.3125
			PGD L2	0.4141	0.5859
			PGD Linf	0.2734	0.7266

**Table 4.** Performance benchmark results for dataset: Diabetes.

	7. TT 1.1	Natural	Attack	Robust	Success
Dataset	ML models	Accuracy	${f methods}$	Accuracy	Rate
			DeepFool	0.0156	0.9844
			C&W L2	0.0938	0.9063
			C&W Linf	0.8906	0.1094
			LowProFool L2	0.0156	0.9844
			LowProFool Linf	0.0156	0.9844
			FGSM L1	0.9531	0.0469
	LR	0.9844	FGSM L2	0.7656	0.2344
			FGSM Linf	0.0313	0.9688
			BIM	0.0313	0.9688
			MIM	0.0313	0.9688
			PGD L1	0.9531	0.0469
			PGD L2	0.7656	0.2344
			PGD Linf	0.0313	0.9688
			DeepFool	0.0156	0.9844
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.9531	0.0469
			C&W Linf	0.8750	0.1250
			LowProFool L2	0.0156	0.9844
			LowProFool Linf	0.0156	0.9844
			FGSM L1	0.9531	0.0469
Breast Cancer	Linear SVC	0.9844	FGSM L2	0.5156	0.4844
			FGSM Linf	0.0156	0.9844
			BIM	0.0156	0.9844
			MIM	0.0156	0.9844
			PGD L1	0.9531	0.0469
			PGD L2	0.5156	0.4844
			PGD Linf	0.0156	0.9844
			DeepFool	0.0313	0.9688
			$C\&W\ L2$	0.1719	0.8281
			C&W Linf	0.7969	0.2031
			LowProFool L2	0.0313	0.9688
			LowProFool Linf	0.0313	0.9688
			FGSM L1	0.9063	0.0938
	MLP	0.9688	FGSM L2	0.3438	0.6563
			FGSM Linf	0.0313	0.9688
			BIM	0.0313	0.9688
			MIM	0.0156	0.9844
			PGD L1	0.9063	0.0938
			PGD L2	0.7188	0.2813
			PGD Linf	0.0313	0.9688

 Table 5. Performance benchmark results for dataset: Breast Cancer.

Datase	t ML models	Dataset ML models Attack methods Spa	s Spa	L1-norm L	2-norm I	L1-norm L2-norm Linf-norm MD ND Sens
		DeepFool	3.9497	1.4389	0.6355	0.4189 0.1274 0.5444 3.0324
		C&W L2	3.7146	0.7874	0.5217	$0.4081\ 0.0773\ 0.4190\ 2.5313$
		C&W Linf	2.1298	0.0030	0.0020	$0.0015\ 0.0003\ 0.0016\ 4.6688$
		FGSML1	3.6779	0.0762	0.0554	$0.0535\ 0.0046\ 0.0512\ 4.8758$
		FGSML2	3.6779	0.3261	0.2357	$0.2271\ 0.0198\ 0.2118\ 5.2120$
	LR	FGSM Linf	3.6779	1.0864	0.5644	$0.3000\ 0.0787\ 0.4560\ 4.0985$
		BIM	3.6779	1.0864	0.5644	$0.3000\ 0.0787\ 0.4560\ 4.0985$
		MIM	3.6779	1.0864	0.5644	$0.3000\ 0.0787\ 0.4560\ 4.0985$
		PGD L1	3.6779	0.1267	0.0918	$0.0886\ 0.0077\ 0.0834\ 5.0018$
		PGD L2	3.6779	0.3658	0.2632	$0.2510\ 0.0232\ 0.2307\ 5.3188$
		PGD Linf	3.6779	1.0864	0.5644	$0.3000\ 0.0787\ 0.4560\ 4.0985$
		DeepFool	3.6946	0.1391	0.1108	0.1086 0.0089 0.0989 5.0922
		C&W L2	2.0699	0.0008	0.0006	$0.0005 \ 0.0001 \ 0.0005 \ 4.6686$
		C&W Linf	2.1289	0.0031	0.0021	$0.0016\ 0.0003\ 0.0017\ 4.6671$
		FGSM L1	3.6946	0.0826	0.0661	$0.0651\ 0.0052\ 0.0600\ 4.9217$
A 114		FGSML2	3.6946	0.2977	0.2369	$0.2330\ 0.0187\ 0.2145\ 5.2195$
Adult	$_{ m SVM}$	FGSM Linf	3.6946	1.0904	0.5656	$0.3000\ 0.0788\ 0.4571\ 4.1120$
		BIM	3.6946	1.0904	0.5656	$0.3000\ 0.0788\ 0.4571\ 4.1120$
		MIM	3.6946	1.0904	0.5656	$0.3000\ 0.0788\ 0.4571\ 4.1120$
		PGD L1	3.6946	0.1402	0.1118	$0.1100\ 0.0088\ 0.1009\ 5.0738$
		PGD L2	3.6946	0.3296	0.2598	$0.2533\ 0.0213\ 0.2321\ 5.2993$
		PGD Linf	3.6946	1.0904	0.5656	$0.3000\ 0.0788\ 0.4571\ 4.1120$
		DeepFool	4.7433	3.1593	0.9627	0.4154 0.2861 0.7677 2.6978
		C&W L2	3.0948	0.2609	0.1866	$0.1570\ 0.0277\ 0.1237\ 3.6248$
		C&W Linf	2.1397	0.0033	0.0023	$0.0017\ 0.0004\ 0.0018\ 4.6654$
		FGSM L1	3.6890	0.0548	0.0408	$0.0393\ 0.0036\ 0.0373\ 4.8234$
		$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	3.6890	0.2769	0.2030	$0.1948\ 0.0180\ 0.1788\ 5.2264$
	MLP	FGSM Linf	3.6890	1.0907	0.5662	$0.2999\ 0.0779\ 0.4511\ 4.1864$
		BIM	3.6700	0.8957	0.4956	$0.2997\ 0.0633\ 0.4036\ 4.9685$
		MIM	3.6920	1.0915	0.5663	$0.3000\ 0.0786\ 0.4548\ 4.1863$
		PGD L1	3.6906		0.1050	$0.1010\ 0.0092\ 0.0930\ 5.0502$
		PGDL2	3.6945		0.2533	$0.2437\ 0.0225\ 0.2242\ 5.3342$
		PGD Linf	3.6920	1.0915	0.5663	$0.3000\ 0.0786\ 0.4548\ 4.1863$

Table 6. Imperceptibility benchmark results for dataset: Adult.

Dataset ML m	Dataset ML models Attack methods Spa	ods Spa	L1-norm I	.2-norm L	L1-norm L2-norm Linf-norm MD ND Sens
	DeepFool	4.6458	1.5837	0.6435	0.3364 0.2109 0.6188 2.7336
	C&W L2	5.0104	1.1802	0.6234	$0.4146\ 0.1540\ 0.6211\ 2.6634$
	C&W Linf	3.7552	0.0044	0.0023	$0.0014\ 0.0006\ 0.0023\ 2.9240$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L1	4.3073	0.0617	0.0327	$0.0205 \ 0.0070 \ 0.0327 \ 2.9551$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	4.3073	0.3588	0.1907	$0.1206\ 0.0409\ 0.1907\ 3.0578$
LR	FGSM Linf	4.3073	1.1882	0.5837	$0.3000\ 0.1519\ 0.5830\ 2.9858$
	BIM	4.3073	1.1882	0.5837	$0.3000\ 0.1519\ 0.5830\ 2.9858$
	MIM	4.3073	1.1882	0.5837	$0.3000\ 0.1519\ 0.5830\ 2.9858$
	PGD L1	4.3073	0.0988	0.0525	$0.0330\ 0.0112\ 0.0525\ 2.9747$
	PGDL2	4.3073	0.4197	0.2245	$0.1439\ 0.0484\ 0.2245\ 3.0913$
	PGD Linf	4.3073	1.1882	0.5837	$0.3000\ 0.1519\ 0.5830\ 2.9858$
	DeepFool	4.3125	0.7560	0.4128	0.3027 0.0859 0.4128 3.0057
	C&WL2	3.6927	0.0002	0.0001	$0.0001 \ 0.0000 \ 0.0001 \ 2.9242$
	C&W Linf	3.7500	0.0031	0.0016	$0.0010\ 0.0004\ 0.0016\ 2.9243$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L1	4.3125	0.0635	0.0342	$0.0243\ 0.0071\ 0.0342\ 2.9562$
ζ	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	4.3125	0.3562	0.1926	$0.1369\ 0.0399\ 0.1926\ 3.0590$
German SVM	FGSM Linf	4.3125	1.1894	0.5840	$0.3000\ 0.1521\ 0.5832\ 2.9933$
	BIM	4.3125	1.1894	0.5840	$0.3000\ 0.1521\ 0.5832\ 2.9933$
	MIM	4.3125	1.1894	0.5840	$0.3000\ 0.1521\ 0.5832\ 2.9933$
	PGD L1	4.3125	0.1065	0.0577	$0.0411\ 0.0119\ 0.0577\ 2.9798$
	PGDL2	4.3125	0.4241	0.2307	$0.1653\ 0.0481\ 0.2307\ 3.0948$
	PGD Linf	4.3125	1.1894	0.5840	$0.3000\ 0.1521\ 0.5832\ 2.9933$
	DeepFool	0.0000	4.0822	1.1269	0.4170 0.4722 1.0040 2.6485
	C&WL2	4.7344	0.7037	0.4396	$0.3569\ 0.0999\ 0.4393\ 2.6641$
	C&W Linf	3.7448	0.0026	0.0014	$0.0009\ 0.0003\ 0.0014\ 2.9244$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L1	4.4219	0.0376	0.0211	$0.0157\ 0.0046\ 0.0211\ 2.9436$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	4.4219	0.2354	0.1323	$0.0991\ 0.0289\ 0.1323\ 3.0183$
MLP	FGSM Linf	4.4219	1.2242	0.5932	$0.3000\ 0.1654\ 0.5928\ 3.1883$
	BIM	4.2188	1.0332	0.5301	$0.2994\ 0.1415\ 0.5297\ 2.8342$
	MIM	4.3281	1.1869	0.5826	$0.3000\ 0.1595\ 0.5822\ 2.9970$
	PGD L1	4.4167	0.0708	0.0398	$0.0296\ 0.0087\ 0.0398\ 2.9605$
	PGDL2	4.4063	0.3088	0.1744	$0.1317\ 0.0381\ 0.1744\ 3.0418$
	PGD Linf	4.3281	1.1869	0.5826	$0.3000\ 0.1595\ 0.5822\ 2.9970$

PGD Lint4.32811.18690.58260.3000 0.1595Table 7. Imperceptibility benchmark results for dataset: Adult.

Dataset ML mode	ML models Attack methods Spa		1-norm	.2-norm Li	L1-norm L2-norm Linf-norm MD ND Sens
	DeepFool	3.9382	0.6875	0.4108	$0.3257\ 0.0513\ 0.2679\ 4.0863$
	C&W L2	3.8040	0.3211	0.2426	$0.2147\ 0.0270\ 0.1392\ 5.7085$
	C&W Linf	3.2202	0.0033	0.0021	$0.0016\ 0.0003\ 0.0018\ 7.4214$
	FGSML1	3.9311	0.2116	0.1286	$0.1032\ 0.0160\ 0.0619\ 8.4434$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	3.9311	0.4495	0.2773	$0.2271\ 0.0345\ 0.1322\ 7.4899$
LR	FGSM Linf	3.9311	0.9953	0.5289	$0.3000\ 0.0576\ 0.3357\ 6.1583$
	BIM	3.9311	0.9953	0.5289	$0.3000\ 0.0576\ 0.3357\ 6.1583$
	MIM	3.9311	0.9953	0.5289	$0.3000\ 0.0576\ 0.3357\ 6.1583$
	PGD L1	3.9311	0.2470	0.1524	$0.1243\ 0.0190\ 0.0727\ 8.4531$
	PGDL2	3.9311	0.4696	0.2961	$0.2478\ 0.0371\ 0.1440\ 7.2799$
	PGD Linf	3.9311	0.9953	0.5289	$0.3000\ 0.0576\ 0.3357\ 6.1583$
	DeepFool	3.9361	0.3910	0.2360	0.1833 0.0290 0.1178 8.1577
	C&W L2	3.2003	0.0024	0.0017	$0.0015 \ 0.0002 \ 0.0013 \ 7.4227$
	C&W Linf	3.2131	0.0033	0.0021	$0.0016\ 0.0003\ 0.0017\ 7.4202$
	FGSML1	3.9361	0.2156	0.1301	$0.1006\ 0.0160\ 0.0642\ 8.4932$
2 4 4 5 6 5	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	3.9361	0.4534	0.2779	$0.2199\ 0.0342\ 0.1359\ 7.5689$
m COMFAS $ m SVM$	FGSM Linf	3.9361	1.0023	0.5314	$0.3000\ 0.0577\ 0.3355\ 6.1877$
	BIM	3.9361	1.0023	0.5314	$0.3000\ 0.0577\ 0.3355\ 6.1877$
	MIM	3.9361	1.0023	0.5314	$0.3000\ 0.0577\ 0.3355\ 6.1877$
	PGD L1	3.9361	0.2507	0.1536	$0.1208\ 0.0190\ 0.0747\ 8.5202$
	PGDL2	3.9361	0.4733	0.2963	$0.2403\ 0.0367\ 0.1466\ 7.3665$
	PGD Linf	3.9361	1.0023	0.5314	0.3000 0.0577 0.3355 6.1877
	DeepFool	3.9929	0.8662	0.4637	0.3198 0.0856 0.2947 3.6962
	C&W L2	3.8111	0.3297	0.2459	$0.2137\ 0.0277\ 0.1461\ 5.4770$
	C&W Linf	3.2102	0.0031	0.0020	$0.0015 \ 0.0003 \ 0.0017 \ 7.4239$
	FGSML1	3.9197	0.1671	0.0990	$0.0761\ 0.0118\ 0.0523\ 8.2735$
	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	3.9197	0.4437	0.2668	
MLP	FGSM Linf	3.9197	0.9591	0.5187	$0.3000\ 0.0568\ 0.3247\ 6.0415$
	$_{ m BIM}$	3.8402	0.8141	0.4717	$0.3000\ 0.0536\ 0.2874\ 5.8091$
	MIM	3.9006	0.9337	0.5109	$0.3000\ 0.0563\ 0.3182\ 5.9662$
	PGDL1	3.9190	0.2096	0.1263	$0.0982\ 0.0152\ 0.0631\ 8.3842$
	PGDL2	3.9134	0.4659	0.2871	$0.2286\ 0.0349\ 0.1435\ 7.4439$
	PGD Linf	3.9006	0.9337	0.5109	$0.3000\ 0.0563\ 0.3182\ 5.9662$

Table 8. Imperceptibility benchmark results for dataset: COMPAS.

Dataset ML models Attack methods Spa	s Attack methods	Spa	L1-norm L	2-norm Li	L1-norm L2-norm Linf-norm MD ND Sens
	DeepFool	7.4375	0.5727	0.2719	0.1938 0.0500 0.1697 3.7474
	C&W L2	8.0000	0.3429	0.1942	$0.1491\ 0.0326\ 0.1451\ 3.7797$
	C&W Linf	6.9609	0.0319	0.0129	$0.0061\ 0.0022\ 0.0129\ 4.0271$
	LowProFool L2	7.4375	1.6105	0.7545	$0.5228\ 0.1365\ 0.4429\ 3.0605$
	LowProFool Linf	7.4375	1.6171	0.7487	$0.5067\ 0.1354\ 0.4406\ 3.0552$
	FGSML1	7.4375	0.2951	0.1421	$0.1041\ 0.0258\ 0.1331\ 4.0163$
LR	FGSML2	7.4375		0.2988	$0.2190\ 0.0543\ 0.2140\ 3.8414$
	FGSM Linf	7.4375	2.1037	0.7842	$0.3000\ 0.1375\ 0.4924\ 3.3679$
	BIM	7.4375	2.1037	0.7842	$0.3000\ 0.1375\ 0.4924\ 3.3679$
	MIM	7.4375		0.7842	$0.3000\ 0.1375\ 0.4924\ 3.3679$
	PGD L1	7.4375	0.3000	0.1445	$0.1059\ 0.0263\ 0.1350\ 4.0171$
	PGD L2	7.4375		0.3000	$0.2191\ 0.0544\ 0.2145\ 3.8506$
	PGD Linf	7.4375		0.7842	$0.3000\ 0.1375\ 0.4924\ 3.3679$
	DeepFool	7.4609	0.4818	0.2305	0.1592 0.0384 0.1738 3.9778
	C&W L2	6.8438	0.0168	0.0091	$0.0066\ 0.0014\ 0.0091\ 4.0361$
	C&W Linf	6.9297	0.0455	0.0178	$0.0080\ 0.0029\ 0.0178\ 4.0331$
	LowProFool L2	7.4609	1.3735	0.6557	$0.4548\ 0.1081\ 0.3950\ 3.2364$
	LowProFool Linf	7.4609	1.3735	0.6557	$0.4548\ 0.1081\ 0.3950\ 3.2364$
	FGSML1	7.4609		0.1390	$0.0959\ 0.0231\ 0.1306\ 4.0607$
Diabetes SVM	FGSML2	7.4609	0.6257	0.2983	$0.2061\ 0.0495\ 0.2164\ 3.9135$
	FGSM Linf	7.4609	2.0919	0.7808	$0.3000\ 0.1342\ 0.4783\ 3.3899$
	BIM	7.4609	2.0919	0.7808	$0.3000\ 0.1342\ 0.4783\ 3.3899$
	MIM	7.4609	2.0919	0.7808	$0.3000\ 0.1342\ 0.4783\ 3.3899$
	PGD L1	7.4609	0.3000	0.1430	$0.0987\ 0.0238\ 0.1336\ 4.0596$
	PGD L2	7.4609		0.3000	$0.2074\ 0.0497\ 0.2169\ 3.9174$
	PGD Linf	7.4609	2.0919	0.7808	$0.3000\ 0.1342\ 0.4783\ 3.3899$
	DeepFool	7.5391	0.7205	0.2912	0.1701 0.0560 0.1873 4.0465
	C&W L2	8.0000	0.4421	0.2114	$0.1393\ 0.0344\ 0.1516\ 3.9052$
	C&W Linf	6.9922	0.0474	0.0188	$0.0087\ 0.0033\ 0.0188\ 4.0394$
	LowProFool L2	7.5547		0.6017	$0.3471\ 0.1128\ 0.3581\ 3.7346$
	LowProFool Linf	7.5547		0.6108	$0.3474\ 0.1147\ 0.3632\ 3.7122$
	FGSML1	7.5391	0.2879	0.1168	$0.0683\ 0.0219\ 0.1130\ 4.1771$
MLP	FGSML2	7.5391	0.7234	0.2936	$0.1714\ 0.0550\ 0.2162\ 4.1953$
	FGSM Linf	7.5391		0.7939	$0.3000\ 0.1463\ 0.4451\ 3.4685$
	BIM	7.8203		0.7765	$0.3000\ 0.1458\ 0.4290\ 3.7815$
	MIM	7.6250	-	0.7999	$0.3000\ 0.1492\ 0.4519\ 3.5542$
	PGD L1	7.5469		0.1221	$0.0714\ 0.0229\ 0.1174\ 4.1876$
	PGDL2	7.5547		0.3000	$0.1749\ 0.0562\ 0.2179\ 4.2087$
	PGD Linf	7.6250	2.1889	0.7999	$0.3000\ 0.1492\ 0.4519\ 3.5542$
Table	Table 0 Impercentibility henchmark results for dataset. Diahates	hr hong	hmark roen	Ho for date	

Table 9. Imperceptibility benchmark results for dataset: Diabetes.

Dataset	: ML model	Dataset ML models Attack methods Spa	Spa	L1-norm I	.2-norm Li	L1-norm L2-norm Linf-norm MD ND Sens
		DeepFool	29.9688	8.0252	1.7005	0.5240 0.8427 0.7984 3.1569
		C&W L2	29.8125	1.7654	0.4392	$0.1854\ 0.2088\ 0.3032\ 5.8483$
		C&W Linf	29.7031	0.0743	0.0144	$0.0036 \ 0.0068 \ 0.0144 \ 5.8651$
		LowProFool L2	29.9688	5.9440	1.3016	$0.4537 \ 0.6694 \ 0.4952 \ 4.6033$
		LowProFool Linf	29.9688	6.2122	1.3525	$0.4515 \ 0.6921 \ 0.5138 \ 4.4905$
		FGSM L1	29.9688	0.2999	0.0652	$0.0227\ 0.0334\ 0.0652\ 6.0003$
	LR	FGSML2	29.9688	1.3793	0.2999	
		FGSM Linf	29.9688	8.0973	1.5263	$0.3000\ 0.7075\ 0.8777\ 4.2016$
		BIM	29.9688	8.0973	1.5263	$0.3000\ 0.7075\ 0.8777\ 4.2016$
		MIM	29.9688	8.0973	1.5263	$0.3000\ 0.7075\ 0.8777\ 4.2016$
		PGD L1	29.9688	0.3000	0.0652	$0.0227\ 0.0334\ 0.0652\ 6.0004$
		PGD L2	29.9688	1.3789	0.3000	$0.1045 \ 0.1537 \ 0.2776 \ 6.3464$
		PGD Linf	29.9688	8.0973	1.5263	$0.3000\ 0.7075\ 0.8777\ 4.2016$
		DeepFool	29.9375	1.8211	0.3923	$0.1408\ 0.1540\ 0.3365\ 6.5070$
		C&W L2	29.7031	0.0072	0.0018	$0.0009 \ 0.0006 \ 0.0018 \ 5.8490$
		C&W Linf	29.7031	0.0901	0.0175	$0.0044\ 0.0063\ 0.0175\ 5.8615$
		LowProFool L2	29.9375	4.3552	0.9481	$0.3485 \ 0.3789 \ 0.6507 \ 5.4292$
		LowProFool Linf	29.9375	4.3462	0.9452	0.6496
Breast		FGSM L1	29.9375	0.2998	0.0645	
Cancer	$_{ m SVM}$	FGSM L2	29.9375	1.3879	0.2991	
		FGSM Linf	29.9375	7.8941	1.4978	
		BIM	29.9375	7.8941	1.4978	
		MIM	29.9375	7.8941	1.4978	
		PGD L1	29.9375	0.3000	0.0646	$0.0228 \ 0.0252 \ 0.0646 \ 5.9787$
		PGD L2	29.9375	1.3904	0.3000	$0.1068\ 0.1174\ 0.2901\ 6.2489$
		PGD Linf	29.9375	7.8941	1.4978	$0.3000\ 0.5639\ 0.9559\ 4.0904$
		DeepFool	29.8438	6.8163	1.5350	$0.5677\ 0.4171\ 1.2586\ 3.2212$
		C&W L2	29.8125	1.0338	0.2793	$0.1502\ 0.0623\ 0.2611\ 5.5206$
		C&W Linf	29.7031	0.1303	0.0255	$0.0067\ 0.0036\ 0.0255\ 5.8420$
		LowProFool L2	29.9531	7.3195	1.7114	$0.7110\ 0.4691\ 1.4113\ 3.2434$
		LowProFool Linf	29.9375	7.3255	1.6950	0.7055  0.4544  1.4083  3.2709
		$_{ m FGSM}$ L1	29.8438	0.2982	0.0677	$0.0280\ 0.0154\ 0.0677\ 5.9247$
	MLP	$_{ m FGSM}$ L2	29.8438	1.2835	0.2915	$0.1231\ 0.0677\ 0.2909\ 6.0041$
		FGSM Linf	29.8438	7.3804	1.4229	
		BIM	29.9531	7.7634	1.4788	
		MIM	29.8594	7.6802	1.4672	$0.3000\ 0.3552\ 1.2402\ 3.7860$
		PGD L1	29.8438	0.3000	0.0683	$0.0280\ 0.0158\ 0.0683\ 5.9286$
		PGD L2	29.8438	1.3112	0.3000	0.2993
		PGD Linf	29.8594	7.6802	1.4672	0.3000 0.3552 1.2402 3.7860

Table 10. Imperceptibility benchmark results for dataset: Breast Cancer.