Exploratory Data Analysis

Zhiquan Cui

2023-04-04

Contents

1	Loa	d Required Libraries	3
2	Loa	d Data & Inspect Variables	3
3	Uni	ivariate Data Analysis & Visualization	5
	3.1	Histogram of Quantitative Variables	5
	3.2	Barplot of Qualitative Variables	6
	3.3	Boxplot of Quantitative Variables	7
	3.4	Sample Odds of Binary Variables	7
4	Mu	ltivariate Data Analysis & Visualization	8
	4.1	Quantitative Variable	8
	42	Qualitative Variables	g

1 Load Required Libraries

```
library(dplyr)
library(insight)
library(knitr)
library(kableExtra)
library(ggplot2)
library(tidyverse)
library(corrplot)
library(patchwork)
library(gridExtra)
```

2 Load Data & Inspect Variables

```
# Read the data
data <- read.csv("Credit.csv")
# Check the number of observations and number of variables
n <- nrow(data)
m <- ncol(data)
n

## [1] 1000

m

## [1] 21
# Check the data
kable(head(data[, 1:8]), format = "latex", align=rep("c", 8), booktabs=TRUE)</pre>
```

status	duration	$credit_history$	purpose	amount	savings	$employment_duration$	$installment_rate$
1	18	4	2	1049	1	2	4
1	9	4	0	2799	1	3	2
2	12	2	9	841	2	4	2
1	12	4	0	2122	1	3	3
1	12	4	0	2171	1	3	4
1	10	4	0	2241	1	2	1

```
kable(head(data[, 9:14]), format = "latex", align=rep("c", 6), booktabs=TRUE)
```

$personal_status_sex$	$other_debtors$	$present_residence$	property	age	$other_installment_plans$
2	1	4	2	21	3
3	1	2	1	36	3
2	1	4	1	23	3
3	1	2	1	39	3
3	1	4	2	38	1
3	1	3	1	48	3

kable(head(data[, 15:21]), format = "latex", align=rep("c", 7), booktabs=TRUE)

housing	number_credits	job	people_liable	telephone	foreign_worker	credit_risk
1	1	3	2	1	2	1
1	2	3	1	1	2	1
1	1	2	2	1	2	1
1	2	2	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	1	1	1
1	2	2	1	1	1	1

```
# Check invalid or missing values
anyNA(data)
```

[1] FALSE

```
# Check the data type of each column sapply(data, class)
```

			1 1
##	status	duration	credit_history
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	purpose	amount	savings
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	employment_duration	installment_rate	personal_status_sex
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	other_debtors	<pre>present_residence</pre>	property
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	age	other_installment_plans	housing
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	number_credits	job	<pre>people_liable</pre>
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"
##	telephone	foreign_worker	${\tt credit_risk}$
##	"integer"	"integer"	"integer"

As we can see from the above outputs, there is no NaN values so the data is clean. And all of the columns are of type integer. Some of them are quantitative variable while some of them are qualitative variables. Here is a summary of the variables:

- status: status of the debtor's checking account with the bank (categorical)
- duration: credit duration in months (quantitative)
- credit history: history of compliance with previous or concurrent credit contracts (categorical)
- purpose: purpose for which the credit is needed (categorical)
- amount: credit amount in DM (quantitative; result of monotonic transformation; actual data and type of transformation unknown)
- savings: debtor's savings (categorical)
- employment_duration: duration of debtor's employment with current employer (ordinal; discretized quantitative)
- installment_rate: credit installments as a percentage of debtor's disposable income (ordinal; discretized quantitative)
- personal_status_sex: combined information on sex and marital status (categorical)
- other_debtors: is there another debtor or a guarantor for the credit? (categorial)

- present_residence: length of time (in years) the debtor lives in the present residence (ordinal; discretized quantitative)
- property: the debtor's most valuable property (ordinal)
- age: age in years (quantitative)
- other_installment_plans: installment plans from providers other than the credit-giving bank (categorical)
- housing: type of housing the debtor lives in (categorical)
- number_credits: number of credits including the current one the debtor has (or had) at the bank (ordinal; discretized quantitative)
- job: quality of debtor's job (ordinal)
- people_liable: number of persons who financially depend on the debtor (binary; discretized quantitative)
- telephone: is there a telephone landline registered on the debtor's name? (binary)
- foreign worker: is the debtor a foreign worker? (binary)
- credit_risk: has the credit contract been complied with (good) or not (bad)? (binary)

We can see that the **quantitative variables** include duration, amount and age, while **qualitative variables** include status, credit_history, purpose, savings, employment_duration, installment_rate, personal_status_sex, other_debtors, present_residence, property, other_installment_plans, housing, number_credits, job, people_liable, telephone, foreign_worker and credit_risk.

3 Univariate Data Analysis & Visualization


3.1 Histogram of Quantitative Variables

First we will perform univariate analysis on each of the variables and look at their distribution. Here is the summary statistics:

```
##
       duration
                       amount
                                        age
          : 4.0
                   Min.
                          : 250
                                   Min.
                                         :19.00
                   1st Qu.: 1366
##
   1st Qu.:12.0
                                   1st Qu.:27.00
## Median :18.0
                   Median: 2320
                                   Median :33.00
## Mean
           :20.9
                   Mean
                          : 3271
                                   Mean
                                          :35.54
   3rd Qu.:24.0
                   3rd Qu.: 3972
                                   3rd Qu.:42.00
##
## Max.
           :72.0
                   Max.
                          :18424
                                   Max.
                                          :75.00
```

Next, let us check the histograms of the quantitative variables:


```
data[, quant_vars] %>%
  gather() %>%
  ggplot(aes(value)) +
   facet_wrap(~ key, scales = "free") +
   geom_histogram() +
   theme_light()
```



3.2 Barplot of Qualitative Variables

Then, let us check the barplots of qualitative variables:

```
data[, qual_vars] %>%
  gather() %>%
  ggplot(aes(value)) +
   facet_wrap(~ key, scales = "free") +
   geom_bar() +
   theme_light()
```




As we can see, the response variable credit risk is a binary variable while we have more than 2 predictors. This indicates that it is a good idea to use Multiple Logistic Regression as our model.

3.3 Boxplot of Quantitative Variables

After checking the histograms and barplots, we will check the boxplots of the quantitative variables. Here we will not check barplots for qualitative variables because it only makes sense to examine the median, first and third quartiles and maximum value for quantitative variables.

```
g1 <- ggplot(data, aes(x = amount)) + geom_boxplot(fill="#FEF8DD")
g2 <- ggplot(data, aes(x = duration)) + geom_boxplot(fill="#E1F8DC")
g3 <- ggplot(data, aes(x = age)) + geom_boxplot(fill="#ACDDDE")
g1 + g2 + g3</pre>
```



From the above box plots, we can see that there are a few outliers for the variable amount. If we look at the histogram of variable amount, we can see that it is a right skewed distribution with a long right tail, which results in these outliers.

3.4 Sample Odds of Binary Variables

For binary variables people_liable, telephone, foreign_worker and credit_risk, we can calculate and interpret the sample odds:

```
binary_var <- c("Statistics", "people_liable", "telephone", "foreign_worker", "credit_risk")
odds <- c("Sample Odds")
for (var in binary_var[2:5]) {
   if (var == "credit_risk") {y <- sum(data[, var] == 1)}
   else {y <- sum(data[, var] == 2)}
   n <- length(data[, var])
   odds <- append(odds, round(y / (n - y), 2))
}
kable(data.frame(t(odds)), col.names = binary_var, format = "latex") %>%
   kable_styling(position = "center", latex_options = "hold_position") %>% row_spec(0, bold = TRUE)
```

Statistics	people_liable	telephone	foreign_worker	credit_risk
Sample Odds	5.45	0.68	26.03	2.33


Based on our sample, the estimated probability of a person to have good credit is 2.33 times as likely as having a bad credit. Similarly, the estimated probability of a person to have a telephone landline registered on his/her name is 0.68 times as likely as not having such a telephone landline.

4 Multivariate Data Analysis & Visualization


4.1 Quantitative Variable

First, let us look at the correlation plots of the quantitative variables.

```
corrplot.mixed(cor(data[quant_vars]), lower='number', upper='ellipse', order='AOE')
```




From the above correlation plot, we can see that the correlation coefficient between amount and duration is as high as 0.62, which indicates a strong positive correlation between the two variables. This also makes sense intuitively because the longer credit duration one has in months, he/she will have a higher chance to build up his/her credit and obtain a higher credit amount. Similarly, if one has a high credit amount, then he/she is more likely to have a long credit duration. In order to avoid multicollinearity, we will consider droping one of amount and duration in our model. However, before making a decision, we shall examine the side by side box plots.



From the above side by side box plots, we can see that for variables duration and age, there are significant differences on the box plots between two levels of credit risks. This indicates a significant association between credit risk and these two variables. However, we don't see a significant difference between two credit risk levels for variable amount.

Therefore, we will drop the variable amount.



4.2 Qualitative Variables

After examining the quantitative variables, we will now look at the qualitative variables. Since they are not continuous and numeric data, we should not use the same methodology as above. Instead, we will use Pearson's Chi-sq Test of Indepence and Cramer's V designed for qualitative variables to examine the data.

```
test <- chisq.test(table(data[, var], data[, var_2]), simulate.p.value = TRUE)
    Pearson_chisq_test[var, var_2] <- test$p.value
}
kable(Pearson_chisq_test[, 1:6], format = "latex", booktabs=TRUE) %>%
kable_styling(font_size = 6, latex_options = "hold_position")
```

	status	${\tt credit_history}$	purpose	savings	$employment_duration$	$installment_rate$
status	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0009995	0.0004998	0.0074963	0.4137931
credit_history	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.2663668	0.0044978	0.5352324
purpose	0.0009995	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0774613	0.0124938	0.0009995
savings	0.0004998	0.2903548	0.0799600	0.0004998	0.0209895	0.5057471
employment_duration	0.0064968	0.0049975	0.0064968	0.0204898	0.0004998	0.0004998
installment_rate	0.4517741	0.5452274	0.0019990	0.4967516	0.0004998	0.0004998
personal_status_sex	0.0839580	0.0559720	0.0009995	0.4822589	0.0004998	0.0004998
other_debtors	0.0034983	0.0794603	0.0004998	0.0314843	0.2198901	0.933033
present_residence	0.0044978	0.1304348	0.0064968	0.1339330	0.0004998	0.481259
property	0.1104448	0.1994003	0.0004998	0.0714643	0.0004998	0.474262
other_installment_plans	0.4232884	0.0004998	0.0069965	0.9990005	0.2513743	0.3973013
housing	0.0034983	0.0759620	0.0004998	0.8935532	0.0004998	0.109445
number_credits	0.0129935	0.0004998	0.3163418	0.1649175	0.0049975	0.828585
job	0.1824088	0.2783608	0.0004998	0.4762619	0.0004998	0.036481
people_liable	0.0819590	0.0674663	0.0014993	0.8170915	0.0249875	0.191904
telephone	0.0449775	0.2883558	0.0004998	0.0269865	0.0009995	0.5167416
foreign_worker	0.1874063	0.4527736	0.0044978	0.8950525	0.6456772	0.005997
credit_risk	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.143928

```
kable(Pearson_chisq_test[, 7:12], format = "latex", booktabs=TRUE) %>%
kable_styling(font_size = 6, latex_options = "hold_position")
```

	personal_status_sex	$other_debtors$	present_residence	property	$other_installment_plans$	housing
status	0.0814593	0.0034983	0.0034983	0.1294353	0.4082959	0.0044978
credit_history	0.0469765	0.0769615	0.1224388	0.2123938	0.0004998	0.0714643
purpose	0.0009995	0.0004998	0.0014993	0.0004998	0.0044978	0.0004998
savings	0.4817591	0.0459770	0.1359320	0.0839580	0.9995002	0.8890555
$employment_duration$	0.0004998	0.2163918	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.2698651	0.0004998
installment_rate	0.0004998	0.9350325	0.4822589	0.4942529	0.4212894	0.1169415
personal_status_sex	0.0004998	0.6156922	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.4042979	0.0004998
other_debtors	0.6161919	0.0004998	0.5867066	0.0004998	0.2693653	0.1779110
present_residence	0.0009995	0.6111944	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.7546227	0.0004998
property	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0854573	0.0004998
$other_installment_plans$	0.4022989	0.2883558	0.7556222	0.0789605	0.0004998	0.0034983
housing	0.0004998	0.1739130	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0019990	0.0004998
number_credits	0.1294353	0.8945527	0.0079960	0.1219390	0.0129935	0.0169915
job	0.0084958	0.0674663	0.7986007	0.0004998	0.0394803	0.0034983
people_liable	0.0004998	0.4692654	0.1264368	0.0244878	0.1039480	0.0009995
telephone	0.0299850	0.0494753	0.0669665	0.0004998	0.1784108	0.0139930
foreign_worker	0.0974513	0.0019990	0.4632684	0.0004998	0.9040480	0.0434783
credit_risk	0.0114943	0.0304848	0.8310845	0.0009995	0.0024988	0.0004998

```
kable(Pearson_chisq_test[, 13:17], format = "latex", booktabs=TRUE) %>%
kable_styling(font_size = 6, latex_options = "hold_position")
```

Based on the above table, we conclude that the following predictors are dependent to most of the predictors with $\alpha = 0.05$ according to Pearson's Chi-sq Test of Independence, and we consider dropping these predictors:

- job
- credit_history

	$number_credits$	job	$people_liable$	telephone	$for eign_worker$
status	0.0144928	0.1754123	0.0924538	0.0539730	0.1769115
credit_history	0.0004998	0.2598701	0.0604698	0.2888556	0.4432784
purpose	0.2788606	0.0004998	0.0014993	0.0004998	0.0069965
savings	0.1429285	0.4602699	0.7876062	0.0149925	0.8970515
$employment_duration$	0.0024988	0.0004998	0.0229885	0.0014993	0.6166917
$installment_rate$	0.8225887	0.0284858	0.1774113	0.5682159	0.0094953
personal_status_sex	0.1309345	0.0124938	0.0004998	0.0369815	0.1014493
other_debtors	0.8940530	0.0739630	0.4647676	0.0574713	0.0009995
present_residence	0.0059970	0.7911044	0.1354323	0.0604698	0.4947526
property	0.0984508	0.0004998	0.0229885	0.0004998	0.0004998
other_installment_plans	0.0129935	0.0369815	0.1069465	0.1754123	0.8975512
housing	0.0159920	0.0039980	0.0009995	0.0129935	0.0449775
number_credits	0.0004998	0.1814093	0.0039980	0.0154923	0.6966517
job	0.2013993	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0004998	0.0429785
people_liable	0.0059970	0.0014993	0.0004998	0.6726637	0.0574713
telephone	0.0119940	0.0004998	0.6536732	0.0004998	0.0359820
foreign_worker	0.6826587	0.0444778	0.0644678	0.0389805	0.0004998
credit_risk	0.3523238	0.4802599	0.9315342	0.2823588	0.0159920

- purpose
- $\bullet \ \ employment_duration$
- housing
- $\bullet \ \ people_liable$

Also, we can see that the following predictors have very weak association with the response variable:

- $\bullet \ \ installment_rate$
- $\bullet \hspace{0.1cm} personal_status_sex$
- \bullet other_debtors
- present_residence
- $\bullet \ \ number_credits$
- job
- $\bullet \ \ people_liable$
- telephone
- foreign_worker

To summarize, the variables we will use in model building are:

- status
- duration
- savings
- property
- \bullet age
- $\bullet \ \ other_installment_plans$