

Final Project Reports

YU QIU,¹ YUXUAN PANG,¹ AND ZHIWEI PAN¹

¹*Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysic
Peking University
Beijing 100871, China*

ABSTRACT

In this project, we study the methods of open cluster membership selection and discuss the applicable conditions for simple method. We also use the photometry and astrometry information from Gaia DR2 to estimate the age of individual cluster from literature by CMD isochrone fitting. At last, we study the evolution properties of open cluster.

Keywords: open cluster — astrometry — CMD — evolution

1. INTRODUCTION

An Open Cluster(OC) is a group of up to a few thousand stars that were formed from the same giant molecular cloud and have roughly the same age. The study of OCs stellar population, formation and evolution has a great contribution to modern astrophysics. From their star formation process, the assembly and evolution of the Milky Way disc can be studied(Jacobson et al. (2016),Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2016)); from their spatial distribution and motion, the gravitational potential and the perturbations of Milky Way disc could be better understand; from their age distribution, the Galactic structure can be traced(Buckner & Froebrich (2014)). OCs are also popular tracers to follow the metallicity gradient of the Milky Way(Casamiquela et al. (2017))and its evolution through time(Netopil et al. (2016)).

In addition to useful tracers of the Milky way, OCs are interesting targets in their own right. The most important characteristic of the OCs is that they are loosely bound by mutual gravitational attraction and will gradually lose their stellar content. Generally, their evolution can be split into three phases: (i) the first lasts for ~ 3 Myr, during which the cluster is embedded in its progenitor molecular cloud and stars are still forming; (ii) then the clusters experience the expulsion of the residual

star-forming gas; and finally, (iii) the long term evolutionary phase dominated by both internal and external dynamical processes.

Gaia second data release in 2018 (Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018)) has opened a new era in cluster science. Before *Gaia*, Kharchenko et al. (2013) listed about 3000 OCs candidates, then Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2018) use the membership assignment code UPMASK (Unsupervised Photometric Membership Assignment in Stellar Clusters, Krone-Martins & Moitinho (2014)) determine 1229 OCs' members. Bossini et al. (2019) applied an automated Bayesian tool, BASE-9, to fit stellar isochrones and finally gives 269 OCs' age. Our project aims to reproduce a general process for analyzing star clusters from data, which divide into three parts. In the first part, we try to give a method to distinguish a star cluster from the field stars. The previous method mainly including two kinds of method, first is divided stars into different magnitude band then select a typical radius for each band by eye[citation], and second method is using a PCA program to determine the candidates first (Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2018)). Both the method also use the restriction of proper-motion and location of the star. In the second part,

Since their population may cover a wide range of age and some physical properties, we can investigate the relation between the mass, radius or velocity dispersion and age to trace the evolution of these properties and find out how the clusters dissipate and lose stellar mass and maybe some other interesting things.

Our summary is organised as follows: in Section 2, we will talk about selection. In Section 3, we will talk about age. In Section 4, we will talk about evolution. In Section 5, we will make a summary.

2. CLUSTER MEMBERSHIP SELECTION

3. AGE DETERMINATION

4. EVOLUTION OF OPEN CLUSTERS

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this project, we study the methods of open cluster membership selection and discuss the applicable conditions for simple method. We also use the photometry and astrometry information from Gaia DR2 to estimate the age of individual cluster from literature by CMD isochrone fitting. At last, we study the evolution properties of open cluster.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We sincerely thank to Xiaoting Fu's generous help and advice.

REFERENCES

- Bossini, D., Vallenari, A., Bragaglia, A., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A108, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834693](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834693)
- Buckner, A. S. M., & Froebrich, D. 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 290, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1440](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1440)
- Cantat-Gaudin, T., Donati, P., Vallenari, A., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 588, A120, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628115](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628115)
- Cantat-Gaudin, T., Jordi, C., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 618, A93, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833476](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833476)
- Casamiquela, L., Carrera, R., Blanco-Cuaresma, S., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 4363, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1481](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1481)
- Gaia Collaboration, Helmi, A., van Leeuwen, F., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 616, A12, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201832698](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832698)
- Jacobson, H. R., Friel, E. D., Jílková, L., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 591, A37, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527654](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527654)
- Kharchenko, N. V., Piskunov, A. E., Schilbach, E., Röser, S., & Scholz, R. D. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A53, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322302](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322302)
- Krone-Martins, A., & Moitinho, A. 2014, *A&A*, 561, A57, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321143](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321143)
- Netopil, M., Paunzen, E., Heiter, U., & Soubiran, C. 2016, *A&A*, 585, A150, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201526370](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526370)