## Lecture 26, Oct. 28

## **26.1 Theorem** (Properties of GCD). Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

- 1. if  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$  then  $c \mid gcd(a, b)$
- 2. there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ax + by = c iff  $gcd(a, b) \mid c$
- 3. there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ax + by = 1 iff gcd(a, b) = 1
- 4. if  $d = \gcd(a, b) \neq 0$  (which is the case unless a = b = 0) then  $\gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1$
- 5. if  $a \mid bc$  and gcd(a, b) = 1 then  $a \mid c$

*Proof.* 5. Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $a \mid bc$  and gcd(a, b) = 1. Since  $a \mid bc$ , choose  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that bc = ak. Since gcd(a, b) = 1, we can choose  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that as + bt = 1. Then  $c = c \cdot 1 = c \cdot (as + bt) = acs + bct = acs + akt = a(cs + kt)$ . So  $a \mid c$ 

**26.2 Definition** (Prime). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that n is a **prime** when n > 1 and n has no factors  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with 1 < a < n

We say n is composite when n > 1 and n does have a factor  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with 1 < a < n.

Note. If n > 1 and n = ab with 1 < a < n then we also have 1 < b < n.

**26.3 Theorem.** Every composite number n has a prime factor p with  $p \le \sqrt{n}$ .

*Proof.* We claim that every integer  $n \ge 2$  has a prime factor.

Let  $n \ge 2$ . Suppose, inductively, that for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $2 \le a < n$ , a has a prime factor. If n is prime, then since  $n \mid n$ , n has a prime factor. Suppose n is not prime, say n = ab with 1 < a < n and 1 < b < n. Since 1 < a < n we have  $2 \le a < n$ , so a has a prime factor, say  $p \mid a$  and p is prime. Since  $p \mid a$  and  $a \mid n$  then  $p \mid n$ , so p has a prime factor.

By induction, every integer  $n \ge 2$  does have a prime factor.

Let  $n \ge 2$  be arbitrary. Suppose n is composite, say n = ab with 1 < a < n and 1 < b < n. Say  $a \le b$  (the case  $b \le a$  is similar). Note that  $a \le \sqrt{n}$  since if  $a > \sqrt{n}$  then we have  $n = ab \ge aa > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$  which is not possible. Since 1 < a < n, we have  $a \ge 2$ . So a has a prime factor. Let p be a prime factor of a. Since  $p \mid a$  and  $a \mid n$  then  $p \mid n$ . Since  $p \mid a$  we have  $p \le a \le \sqrt{n}$ .

*Note.* There is a method for listing all prime numbers  $p \le n$ , where  $n \ge 2$  is a given integer, called the **Sieve** of **Eratosthenes**.

It works as follows:

We begin by listing all the numbers from 1 to n. We cross off the number 1. We circle the smallest remaining number (namely  $p_1=2$ ). Cross off all the other multiples of  $p_1=2$  (they are composites). Circle the smallest remaining number (namely  $p_2=3$ ). Cross off all the other multiples of  $p_2=3$  (they are composites). Repeat this procedure until we have circled a prime  $p_l$  with  $p_l \ge \sqrt{n}$  and crossed off the other multiples of  $p_l$ .

Note that after we have circled  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  and crossed off all their multiples, the smallest remaining numbers  $p_{k+1}$  must be prime since if it were composite it would have a prime factor  $p < p_{k+1}$ , but we have already found and crossed off all multiples of all primes p with  $p < p_{k+1}$ .

Also note that after we have found  $p_l \ge \sqrt{n}$  and circled all multiples, all reaming numbers  $m \le n$  are prime since if  $m \le n$  is composite, then m has a prime factor with  $p \le \sqrt{m} \le \sqrt{n}$ , but we have already crossed off all multiples of all such primes.

## **26.4 Example.** Find all primes $p \le 100$

Solution.

$$2), 3), 5), 7), \%, (11), (13), \%, (17), (19), \%, (23), \%, \%, (29), (31), \%, \%, (37), \%, (41), (43), \%, (47), \% \\ \%, (53), \%, \%, (59), (61), \%, \%, (67), \%, (71), (73), \%, \%, (79), \%, (83), \%, \%, (89), \%, \%, (97), \%$$

**26.5 Theorem** (The Infinitude of Primes). There are infinitely many primes.

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that there are finitely many primes, say  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l$ , consider the number

$$n=p_1p_2\cdots p_l+1.$$

Since n has a prime factor, we know that one of the primes is a factor of n, say  $p_k \mid n$ . So  $gcd(p_k, n) = p_k$ But

$$gcd(p_k, n) = gcd(n, p_k)$$

$$= gcd(p_1p_2 \cdots p_l + 1, p_k)$$

$$= gcd(1, p_k)$$

$$= 1$$