

# Private location verification with incentivization in-progress

Vadym Fedyukovych  
<https://platin.io/>

May 13, 2018

## Abstract

We design an interactive proof system for “location is close enough” statement, in the form of specification for further implementation.

## 1 Definitions

Node proves the statement “distance is within a threshold” (less or equal) for node coordinates  $(x_n, y_n, z_n)$ , given location  $(x_l, y_l, z_l)$ , and some threshold  $d$  (all integers):

$$d^2 - ((x_n - x_l)^2 + (y_n - y_l)^2 + (z_n - z_l)^2) = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 \quad (1)$$

We rely on 4-squares Lagrange theorem to prove equality statement (Lipmaa). Proofs for integer relations are possible in hidden group order setup (Camenisch-Stadler).

## 2 Proof setup

Let  $g$  be a generator of a proper group of a hidden order, and  $h$  be a group element (Pedersen commitment scheme). We use multiplicative group of invertible residue classes modulo a composite  $n$  such that  $n = pq$ ,  $p = 2p' + 1$ ,  $q = 2q' + 1$  and  $p, q, p', q'$  primes (Idemix).

### 3 Signals harvesting

Node picks random  $(r_x, r_y, r_z)$ , creates commitment  $(s_x, s_y, s_z)$  to it's coordinates

$$s_x = g^{x_n} h^{r_x}, \quad s_y = g^{y_n} h^{r_y}, \quad s_z = g^{z_n} h^{r_z} \quad (2)$$

and keeps coordinates-randoms pairs  $(x_n, y_n, z_n), (r_x, r_y, r_z)$  private.

### 4 Proof

Sigma-protocol with 3 messages. Public information is node location commitment, given location, threshold, proof parameters. Private information is node location and randomness to commitment.

1. Prover (node) picks random  $\alpha_j, \beta_x, \beta_y, \beta_z, \rho_0, \rho_1, \eta_x, \eta_y, \eta_z$  and computes initial commitments  $b_0, b_1, t_x, t_y, t_z$  ( $f_0$  and  $f_1$  explained at Background section)

$$b_0 = g^{f_0} h^{\rho_0}, \quad b_1 = g^{f_1} h^{\rho_1} \quad (3)$$

$$t_x = g^{\beta_x} h^{\eta_x}, \quad t_y = g^{\beta_y} h^{\eta_y}, \quad t_z = g^{\beta_z} h^{\eta_z} \quad (4)$$

2. Challenge  $c$
3. Prover computes responses

$$X_n = cx_n + \beta_x, \quad Y_n = cy_n + \beta_y, \quad Z_n = cz_n + \beta_z \quad (5)$$

$$R_x = cr_x + \eta_x, \quad R_y = cr_y + \eta_y, \quad R_z = cr_z + \eta_z \quad (6)$$

$$A_j = ca_j + \alpha_j, \quad j = 1..4 \quad (7)$$

$$R_a = c\rho_1 + \rho_0 \quad (8)$$

4. Proof verification

$$g^{c^2 d - ((X_n - cx_n)^2 + (Y_n - cy_n)^2 + (Z_n - cz_n)^2) - (A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2 + A_4^2)} h^{R_a} = b_1^c b_0 \quad (9)$$

$$g^{X_n} h^{R_x} s_x^{-c} = t_x, \quad g^{Y_n} h^{R_y} s_y^{-c} = t_y, \quad g^{Z_n} h^{R_z} s_z^{-c} = t_z \quad (10)$$

### 5 Background

Consider quadratic (degree 2 in  $v$ ) polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f_V(v) = f_2 v^2 + f_1 v + f_0 = \\ v^2 d - (((vx_n + \beta_x) - vx_l)^2 + ((vy_n + \beta_y) - vy_l)^2 + ((vz_n + \beta_z) - vz_l)^2) \\ - ((va_1 + \alpha_1)^2 + (va_2 + \alpha_2)^2 + (va_3 + \alpha_3)^2 + (va_4 + \alpha_4)^2) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This polynomial is actually linear ( $f_2 = 0$ , degree-one in  $v$ ) if, and only if statement about distance (1) holds for node coordinates that are hidden from verifier. We evaluate this polynomial at a random point chosen as the challenge of verifier. It follows, distance verification equation (9) only needs constant  $b_0$  and degree-one  $b_1^c$  components.

$$f_0 = -\beta_x^2 - \beta_y^2 - \beta_z^2 - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4^2 \quad (12)$$

$$f_1 = -2(x_n - x_l)\beta_x - 2(y_n - y_l)\beta_y - 2(z_n - z_l)\beta_z \\ - 2a_1\alpha_1 - 2a_2\alpha_2 - 2a_3\alpha_3 - 2a_4\alpha_4 \quad (13)$$

Prover calculates  $b_0, b_1$  from  $f_0, f_1$ .

## 6 Todo

Add awarding (payment) part to the proof system.

Specify ranges for random numbers.

Introduce accumulator for a set of time-locations.

Design enforcement for “just one single location at a time”.

Add references (bibliography).