Private Location Verification

Vadym Fedyukovych

September 25, 2018

Abstract

We present location verification protocol and implementation that allows for location privacy. With a Schnorr-like protocol, we verify that location committed is close enough to another known location. Protocol was implemented with Crypto++ library.

1 Introduction

Location is considered as a point within a plygon. Known results and state of the art. SNARK-based and 'older' interactive proofs-based solutions. This is the last line.

1.1 Our contribution

2 Protocol

2.1 Definitions

2.2 Notations

Airdrop location (x_l, y_l) available in clear, node location (x_n, y_n) hidden (committed), acceptable maximum distance d from node to airdrop, setup: group description and parameters, group elements for making commitments, initial message, challenge and responces of a Schnorr-like protocol.

2.3 Interactive proof

Interactive argument system about integers is designed with a group of a hidden order [], that is, order of the group is not available to the Prover. Inequality (not far from) statement is converted into equality with 4-squares Lagrange theorem (Lipmaa). Schnorr proof was extended into a proof systems for polynomial relations with polynomials of higher degree in challenge for a number of applications [3, 2]. A comparable proof system for integers was introduced at [4].

2.4 Proof setup

Proof system for relations about integers is well described at Idemix documentation [1]

Multiplicative group of residue classes, RSA-like modulus.

Common input of Prover and Verifier is commitment s_U to node location (1), airdrop location (x_l, y_l) , threshold d^2 , and parameter (g, g_x, g_y, g_r, h_j) :

$$s_U = g_x^{x_n} g_y^{y_n} g^r (1)$$

Private input of Prover is node location (x_n, y_n) and location commitment randomness r, four numbers $\{a_j\}$ calculated with Rabin-Shallit algorithm according to (2). Statement being proved is

$$d^{2} - ((x_{n} - x_{l})^{2} + (y_{n} - y_{l})^{2}) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j}^{2}$$
(2)

Protocol runs as follows:

1. Prover picks random α_j , η , γ , β_x , β_y , β_r , ρ_0 , ρ_1 , computes f_0 , f_1 , and sends initial commitments b_0 , b_1 , t_a , t_n :

$$f_0 = -(\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2) - \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j^2, \ f_1 = -2((x_n - x_l)\beta_x + (y_n - y_l)\beta_y) - 2\sum_{j=1}^4 a_j \alpha_j$$
(3)

$$t_{n} = g_{x}^{\beta_{x}} g_{y}^{\beta_{y}} g^{\beta_{r}}, \ s_{a} = g^{\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^{4} h_{j}^{a_{j}}, \ t_{a} = g^{\eta} \prod_{j=1}^{4} h_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}, \ b_{0} = g^{f_{0}} g_{r}^{\rho_{0}}, \ b_{1} = g^{f_{1}} g_{r}^{\rho_{1}}$$

$$(4)$$

- 2. Verifier chooses and sends his challenge c
- 3. Prover computes and sends responses

$$X_n = cx_n + \beta_x, \ Y_n = cy_n + \beta_y, \ R = cr + \beta_r \tag{5}$$

$$A_i = ca_i + \alpha_i, \ R_a = c\gamma + \eta, \ R_d = c\rho_1 + \rho_0 \tag{6}$$

4. Verifier accepts if

$$g_x^{X_n} g_y^{Y_n} g^R s_U^{-c} = t_n, \quad g^{R_a} (\prod_{j=1}^4 h_j^{A_j}) s_a^{-c} = t_a$$
 (7)

$$g^{c^2d^2 - ((X_n - cx_l)^2 + (Y_n - cy_l)^2) - (A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2 + A_4^2)}g_r^{R_d} = b_1^c b_0$$
(8)

Figure 1: Private location verification protocol

2.5 Security properties

3 Implementation

We have this protocol implemented on top of Crypto++ library ¹ serving as a bignumbers backend.

Producing four-squares witness [5] is a work in progress, and is not a part of the protocol reported. To facilitate larger proof-of-concept application, a temporary approximate solution was introduced producing four squares.

4 Discussion and Conclusion

References

- [1] Jan Camenisch. Specification of the identity mixer cryptographic library RZ 3730 version 2.3.0, 2010.
- [2] Giovanni Di Crescenzo and Vadym Fedyukovych. Zero-knowledge proofs via polynomial representations. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 335–347, 2012.
- [3] Vadym Fedyukovych. An argument for Hamiltonicity. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2008/363, 2008.
- [4] Vadym Fedyukovych. Proving outcome of private statistical signal testing. In *Statistical Methods of Signal and Data Processing*, pages 172–175, 2010.
- [5] Paul Pollack and Enrique Trevino. Finding four squares in Lagrange's theorem.

¹https://cryptopp.com/