

Chapter 15: Implementation

- Good Design
 - Half the implementation effort
 - Rigor
 - Ensures all requirements are addressed
 - Separation of concerns
 - Modularity
 - Allows work in isolation because components are independent from each other
 - Abstraction
 - Allows work in isolation because interfaces guarantee that components will work together
 - Anticipation of change
 - Allows changes to be absorbed seamlessly
 - Generality
 - Allows components to be reused throughout the system
 - Incrementality
 - Allows software to be developed with intermediate working results
- Bad Design
 - Lack of rigor leads to missing functionality
 - Lack of modularity leads to conflicts among developers
 - Lack of abstraction leads to massive integration problems (and headaches)
 - Lack of anticipation of changes leads to re-design and re-implementation
 - lack of generality leads to "code bloat": long, slow, duplicated work
- From Design to Code
 - Implementation is the process of translating the detailed design into code executable by a machine
 - Design/Implementation interaction
 - Sometimes changes have to be made
- Implementation Workflow
 - Aim
 - Implement the product
 - A large product is partitioned into subsystems
 - Implemented in parallel by coding in teams

- Subsystems consist of components or code artifacts
- Once the programmer has implemented an artifact, he or she unit tests it
- Then the module is passed
- Choose a **suitable** implementation language
 - The language is usually specified in the contract
 - But what if the contract specifies that the product is to be implemented in the "**most suitable**" language?
 - Language Generations
 - 1st Generation: Machine Language
 - 2nd Generation: Assembly Language
 - Machine specific
 - 3rd Generation: Functional, procedural, object-oriented
 - High-level language compiled to machine code
 - Java, C++, C, COBOL, Fortran
 - 4th Generation
 - Databases, Visual Basic, Forms
 - Domain Specific Languages
 - Described using domain concepts, no code
 - Code is automatically generated from models
 - Notable Languages
 - FORTRAN
 - Lisp
 - BASIC
 - Pascal
 - Ada
 - Used primarily by the Department of Defense
 - Smalltalk
 - First fully object oriented language
 - Prolog
 - C
 - C++
 - Java
 - Runs on the Java Virtual Machine
 - C#
 - Why so many Languages?
 - Evolution

- What constitutes a good or a bad programming construct
 - Early 70s: structured programming in which goto-based control flow was replaced by high-level constructs such as while loops and case statements
 - Late 80s: nested block structure gave way to object-oriented structures
- Special Purposes
 - Many languages were designed for a specific problem domain. For Example:
 - Scientific Applications
 - Business Applications
 - Artificial Intelligence
 - Systems Programming
 - Internet Programming
- Personal Preference
 - The strength and variety of personal preference makes it unlikely that anyone will ever develop a universally accepted programming language
- Establish code conventions
 - Good Programming Practices
 - Use of *consistent* and *meaningful* variable names
 - Meaningful to future maintenance programmers
 - Consistent to aid future maintenance programmers
 - A code artifact includes the variable names
 - Use the same abbreviation if you are going abbreviates names
 - Naming
 - Avoid confusing characters
 - 1, l, o, 0, O, S, G, 6
 - Avoid misleading names
 - Avoid names with similar meaning
 - Use capitalization wisely and consistently
 - Code Layout
 - White space/ blank lines
 - Grouping
 - Alignment
 - Indentation
 - Tabs vs. Spaces
 - Parentheses
 - Ex. Parentheses around compound logical operations can increase clarity

- Comments
 - Prologue Comment
 - At the beginning of every code artifact (class or method) every variable name must be explained in the comment prologue
 - Other programmers and maintenance programmer will quickly understand what each variable represents
 - Other Comments
 - Inline comments should be inserted to assist maintenance programmers in understanding what that code does
 - Comments are essential whenever the code is written in a non-obvious way, or makes use of some subtle aspect of the language
 - If code is too confusing, recode it in a clearer way
 - Never promote/excuse poor programming
- Programming Standards
 - Standards can be both a blessing and a curse
 - Modules of coincidental cohesion arise from rules like
 - "Every module will consist of between 35 and 50 executable statements"
 - Better
 - "Programmers should consult their managers before constructing a module with fewer than 35 or more than 50 executable statements"
 - No standard can be universally acceptable
 - Standards imposed from above will be ignored
 - Ideally, standard must be checkable by machine
 - The aim of standards is to make maintenance easier
- Divide work effort
 - Real-life products are generally too large to be implemented by a single programmer
 - Assign different modules to different developers
 - Assignments can be incremental
 - Assignments change
 - ex. Illness of programmers
 - New employee
 - Employees who quit
 - Schedule adjustments
 - Star Programmers
 - Interfaces are tremendously important "contracts" among modules
- Implement

- Code
 - The approach up to now:
 - Implementation followed by integration
 - This is a poor approach
 - Better:
 - Combine implementation and integration methodically
- Integrated Development Environments
 - Developers use different tools during the software life span
 - Online interface checker, builder, text editor
 - Tools can be combined into a workbench that supports 1 or 2 activities
 - Configuration control, coding
 - An environment provides computer-aided support for most, if not all, the software process
 - IDEs integrate workbenches and tools in a common, uniform user interface
 - Same look and feel
 - Tools communicate via the same data format
 - Ideally, an IDE should be a process integration, an environment to support a specific software process
 - Features of an IDE
 - Source code editor
 - Compiler, interpreter
 - Build automation
 - Debugger
 - Methodical process of finding and reducing the number of defects in a program at run-time to make it behave as expected
 - A debugger simulates the execution of the code to be examined by running the code and be able to halt the execution when specific conditions are encountered
 - Some debugger features
 - Stepping
 - Step-by-step execution to animate program
 - Breaking
 - Pausing to examine current state
 - Methods
 - Print Statements
 - Output state information or track control flow at run-time

- Assertions Check
 - A specific condition at run-time and abort program if fails
- Exceptions
 - Detect logical errors or corner cases
 - Throw/raise exception when error occurs
 - Catch/Handle exception to correct error
 - Post-handling: continue execution, abort execution, or propagate (recursively) exception to encapsulation module
- Tracing
 - Stack trace tracks the history of execution of the program
- Interactive debugger
- Debugging Effort
 - Time required to diagnose the symptom and determine the cause takes much more time than:
 - Time Required to correct the error and conduct regression test
- Consequence of Bugs
 - Categories
 - Bugs
- Symptoms and Causes
 - Symptom and cause may be separated
 - Symptom may disappear whenever another problem is fixed
 - Cause may be due to a combination of non-errors
 - Cause may be due to system or compiler error
 - Cause may be due to assumptions that everyone believes
 - Symptom may be intermittent
- Final Thoughts
 - Think about the symptom before attempting to fix
 - Use tools
 - Get Help
 - Conduct regression tests when you do "fix" the bug

- Tests

- Integrate

