## MA 589 — Computational Statistics

## Project 3 (Due: Tuesday, 10/25/16)

1. A traffic engineer requests your help in identifying "black spots" in his city. He has data on the number of accidents X in one year at n = 20 traffic intersections:

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$X_i$	2	0	0	1	3	0	1	6	2	0	1	0	2	0	8	0	1	3	2	0

After discussing with him, you both agree to model the number of accidents  $X_i$  at intersection i using a mixture of Poisson distributions,

$$X_i \mid Z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{Po}(Z_i \lambda_d + (1 - Z_i) \lambda_c)$$

$$Z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{Bern}(\pi),$$

where  $Z_i = 1$  identifies the *i*-th intersection as being "dangerous" with a higher rate of accidents per year  $\lambda_d$  and  $Z_i = 0$  codes for the intersection being "calm", with a smaller rate  $\lambda_c$ . Your task is to exploit the latent variable (Z) formulation above and estimate  $\pi$ ,  $\lambda_c$ , and  $\lambda_d$  using expectation-maximization.

(a) Derive E-step of your EM algorithm: write the complete data log likelihood, and then the expected log likelihood Q by showing that

$$\alpha_i^{(t)} \doteq \mathbb{E}_{Z \mid X; \pi^{(t)}, \lambda_c^{(t)}, \lambda_d^{(t)}}[Z_i] = \mathbb{P}(Z_i = 1 \mid X_i; \pi^{(t)}, \lambda_c^{(t)}, \lambda_d^{(t)})$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{(t)} p(X_i; \lambda_d^{(t)})}{\pi^{(t)} p(X_i; \lambda_d^{(t)}) + (1 - \pi^{(t)}) p(X_i; \lambda_c^{(t)})},$$

where  $p(X_i; \lambda)$  is the Poisson pmf with rate  $\lambda$  evaluated at  $X_i$ .

(b) Now, for the M-step, differentiate Q to obtain the update equations:

$$\pi^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^{(t)}}{n}, \quad \lambda_c^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \alpha_i^{(t)}) X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \alpha_i^{(t)})}, \quad \lambda_d^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^{(t)} X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^{(t)}}.$$

(c) Starting at  $\pi^{(0)} = 0.5$ ,

$$\lambda_c^{(0)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i I(X_i < \overline{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i < \overline{X})} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_d^{(0)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i I(X_i > \overline{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i > \overline{X})}, \tag{1}$$

that is, the trimmed means of the data, run your EM algorithm to obtain estimates of the parameters. Take an absolute precision of  $10^{-8}$  as a stopping criterion.

(d) Based on your EM estimates, what is the probability of the first intersection being dangerous given  $X_1$ ? What about the fifth intersection? Which intersections would you flag as black spots?

- (e) Run your EM algorithm again, but *swapping* the starting values for  $\lambda_c$  and  $\lambda_d$  at Equation 1. Compare your estimates now to the previous values; how can you explain these results?
- (f) \*¹ Rewrite Q to show that, regarding  $\alpha^{(t)}$  as data, we can obtain estimates for  $\pi$ ,  $\lambda_c$ , and  $\lambda_d$  by assuming  $\alpha_i^{(t)} \sim \mathsf{QuasiBinom}(1,\pi)$ ,  $\alpha_i^{(t)} X_i \sim \mathsf{QuasiPo}(\alpha_i^{(t)} \lambda_c)$ , and  $(1-\alpha_i^{(t)})X_i \sim \mathsf{QuasiPo}((1-\alpha_i^{(t)})\lambda_d)$ , and so the update equations in (b) can be computed using R's glm (with one step update).
- 2. You work at a light bulb factory that is experimenting a bulb prototype that is known, by design, to have a lifetime that follows an *exponential* distribution with rate  $\lambda$  failures per month. The factory wishes to estimate the average lifetime of the new bulb—or equivalently,  $\lambda$ —and to this end they give you n=100 bulbs.

You put the 100 prototypes in a room, light them up, and lock the door; after one month you return and realize that 40 bulbs have failed<sup>2</sup>. You close the door again, wait another month, and now 29 more bulbs have failed. You repeat the process once again for another month to see that 19 bulbs had a lifetime between two and three months. After the third month you finish the experiment with 12 bulbs still working.

If  $Z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 100$ , are the missing lifetimes of the light bulbs in months, the data you actually observe can be coded as

$$X_{i} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq Z_{i} < 1\\ 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq Z_{i} < 2\\ 2, & \text{if } 2 \leq Z_{i} < 3\\ 3, & \text{if } 3 \leq Z_{i} < \infty \end{cases}$$

Given that you observe a censored version of Z, you will be developing an EM procedure to estimate  $\lambda$ .

(a) If  $Y \sim \mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid a \le Y < b] = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{ae^{-\lambda a} - be^{-\lambda b}}{e^{-\lambda a} - e^{-\lambda b}}.$$

Use this fact to derive the E-step of your algorithm: write the complete data log likelihood and then define the expected log likelihood Q by finding first  $\alpha_j^{(t)} \doteq \mathbb{E}[Z_i \mid X_i = j; \lambda^{(t)}]$  for all "bands" j = 0, 1, 2, 3.

(b) Differentiate Q with respect to  $\lambda$  to obtain the update equation for the M-step:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{(t+1)}} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{3} \alpha_j^{(t)} n_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{3} n_j},$$

where  $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i = j)$  is the number of failed bulbs in band j.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Only recommended if you know GLMs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I know, it's a lame bulb... But it could be really cheap!

- (c) Now that you have both steps, implement and run your EM procedure to obtain  $\widehat{\lambda}$  checking for convergence to within  $10^{-8}$  in absolute precision. Choose a reasonable starting value (explain your choice.) What is your estimate for the average lifetime of the new light bulb?
- (d) Suppose you only know that X=40 bulbs have failed within a month. Use the update equation based on an expected log likelihood Q for this case to show that  $\widehat{\lambda} = -\log(1-X/n)$ . (Hint: explicitly write  $\alpha_j^{(t)}$  in Q as a function of  $\lambda^{(t)}$  and assume convergence of the update equation<sup>3</sup>.)

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Can you also show that this is the MLE for  $\lambda$ ?