

This is a project for verifying the theorems and lemmas in topological network-control games played on graphs. All the codes are to compute the  $Opt(G, C)$  in a brute-force way and then compare the result with the characterization provided by lemmas and theorems. If a lemma or theorem cannot cover some case, the counter case will be printed. Verifications are designed as follows:

**Lemma 1:**

- $lemma1\_solver(opt\_g, n)$  is the function of computing the result by applying the lemma directly where  $opt\_g$  is  $Opt(G', \emptyset)$  and  $n$  corresponds to the same  $n$  in the lemma.
- In  $lemma1\_verifier$ , we use dynamic programming algorithm to compute the result for all configurations  $G' \cup Path_n$  with  $Opt(G', \emptyset) \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \leq 100$ . Then after comparing the results with  $lemma1\_solver$ 's results, the verification is done.

**Lemma 2:**

- $lemma2\_solver(opt\_g, n)$  is the function of computing the result by applying the lemma directly where  $opt\_g$  is  $Opt(G', \emptyset)$  and  $n$  corresponds to the same  $n$  in the lemma.
- In  $lemma2\_verifier$ , we use dynamic programming algorithm to compute the result for all configurations  $G' \cup Path_n$  with  $Opt(G', \emptyset) \in [2, 3]$  and  $16 \leq n \leq 100$ . Then after comparing the results with  $lemma2\_solver$ 's results, the verification is done.

**Corollary 3:**

- $corollary3\_solver(opt\_g, n)$  is the function of computing the result by applying the corollary directly where  $opt\_g$  is  $Opt(G', \emptyset)$  and  $n$  corresponds to the same  $n$  in the corollary.
- In  $corollary3\_verifier$ , we use dynamic programming algorithm to compute the result for all configurations  $G' \cup Cycle_n$  with  $Opt(G', \emptyset) \in [2, 3]$  and  $1 \leq n \leq 18$ . Then after comparing the results with  $corollary3\_solver$ 's results, the verification is done.

**Theorem 1:**

- $theorem1\_solver(cycles)$  is the function of computing the result by applying the theorem directly where  $cycles[i]$  is the size of  $Cycle_{x_i}$ , or namely,  $cycles[i]$  corresponds to the  $x_i$  in the theorem.
- $theorem1\_generate$  generates all the required cycles and  $theorem1\_compute$  applies memorized searching algorithm to compute the results and stores them in  $opt$ .
- In  $theorem1\_verifier$ , we apply  $theorem1\_generate$  and  $theorem1\_compute$  to generate and compute the result for configurations  $(\bigcup Cycle_{x_i}, \emptyset)$  with  $\sum x_i \leq 80$  and  $x_i \in \bigcup_{j=0}^9 P_j$ . Then after comparing the results with  $theorem1\_solver$ 's results, the verification is done.

**Theorem 2:**

- $theorem2\_solver(cycles)$  is the function of computing the result by applying the theorem directly where  $cycles[i]$  is the size of  $Cycle_{x_i}$ , or namely,  $cycles[i]$  corresponds to the  $x_i$  in the theorem.
- $theorem2\_generate$  generates all the required cycles and  $theorem2\_compute$  applies memorized searching algorithm to compute the results and stores them in  $opt$ .
- In  $theorem2\_verifier$ , we apply  $theorem2\_generate$  and  $theorem2\_compute$  to generate and compute the result for configurations  $(\bigcup Cycle_{x_i}, \emptyset)$  of  $\sum x_i \leq 60$ . Then after comparing the results with  $theorem2\_solver$ 's results, the verification is done.

**Lemma 5:**

- *lemma5\_solver*(*opt\_g*, *n*, *m*) is the function of computing the result by applying the lemma directly where *opt\_g* is  $Opt(G', \emptyset)$  and *n*, *m* correspond to the same *n*, *m* in the lemma.
- In *lemma5\_verifier*, we use dynamic programming algorithm to compute the result for all configurations  $G' \cup Path_n \cup Path_m$  with  $Opt(G', \emptyset) \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 \leq n, m \leq 10$ . Then after comparing the results with *lemma5\_solver*'s results, the verification is done.

**Lemma 7:**

- *lemma7\_solver*(*opt\_g*, *n*, *m*) is the function of computing the result by applying the lemma directly where *opt\_g* is  $Opt(G', \emptyset)$  and *n*, *m* correspond to the same *n*, *m* in the lemma.
- In *lemma7\_verifier*, we use dynamic programming algorithm to compute the result for all configurations  $G' \cup Path_n \cup Path_m$  with  $Opt(G', \emptyset) \in [2, 3]$  and  $16 \leq n \leq 25, m \leq 25$ . Then after comparing the results with *lemma7\_solver*'s results, the verification is done.

**Lemma 8:**

- *lemma8\_solver*(*opt\_g*, *n*) is the function of computing the result by applying the lemma directly where *opt\_g* is  $Opt(G', \emptyset)$  and *n* correspond to the same *n* in the lemma.
- In *lemma8\_verifier*, we use dynamic programming algorithm to compute the result for all configurations  $G' \cup Path_n$  with  $Opt(G', \emptyset) \in [2, 3]$  and  $1 \leq n \leq 33$ . Then after comparing the results with *lemma8\_solver*'s results, the verification is done.

**Theorem 3:**

- *theorem3\_solver*(*paths*) is the function of computing the result by applying the theorem directly where *paths*[*i*] is the size of  $Path_{x_i}$ , or namely, *paths*[*i*] corresponds to the  $x_i$  in the theorem.
- *theorem3\_generate* generates all the required paths and *theorem3\_compute* applies memorized searching algorithm to compute the results and stores them in *opt*.
- In *theorem3\_verifier*, we apply *theorem3\_generate* and *theorem3\_compute* to generate and compute the result for configurations  $(\bigcup Path_{x_i}, \emptyset)$  with  $\sum x_i \leq 75$  and  $x_i \in \bigcup_{i=0}^7 P_i$ . Then after comparing the results with *theorem3\_solver*'s results, the verification is done.

**Theorem 4:**

- *theorem4\_solver*(*paths*) is the function of computing the result by applying the theorem directly where *paths*[*i*] is the size of  $Path_{x_i}$ , or namely, *paths*[*i*] corresponds to the  $x_i$  in the theorem.
- *theorem4\_generate* generates all the required paths and *theorem4\_compute* applies memorized searching algorithm to compute the results and stores them in *opt*.
- In *theorem4\_verifier*, we apply *theorem4\_generate* and *theorem4\_compute* to generate and compute the result for configurations  $(\bigcup Path_{x_i}, \emptyset)$  of  $\sum x_i \leq 60$ . Then after comparing the results with *theorem4\_solver*'s results, the verification is done.

**Other functions:**

- *path\_p\_type*(*path*) computes which  $P_i$  the path belongs to.
- *get\_path\_cntp*(*paths*) computes  $cnt_{P_i}(G)$  where  $G$  is a linear forest defined by *paths*.
- *get\_path\_cntodd*(*paths*) computes  $cnt_{odd}(G)$  where  $G$  is a linear forest defined by *paths*.
- *get\_path\_s*(*paths*) computes the  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  where  $G$  is a linear forest defined by *paths*.
- *cycle\_p\_type*(*cycle*) computes which  $P_i$  the cycle belongs to.
- *get\_cycle\_cntp*(*cycles*) computes  $cnt_{P_i}(G)$  where  $G$  is union of cycles defined by *cycles*.
- *get\_cycle\_cntodd*(*cycles*) computes  $cnt_{odd}(G)$  where  $G$  is union of cycles defined by *cycles*.

- `get_cycle_s(cycles)` computes the  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  where  $G$  is union of cycles defined by *cycles*.

## How to run the code?

- Firstly, install the rust environment with command `curl --proto '=https' --tlsv1.2 -sSf https://sh.rustup.rs | sh` (see <https://www.rust-lang.org/tools/install>)
- Then run with command `cargo run --release` in the work directory containing *Cargo.toml* file.
- In some time, you will see the following result printed on the terminal:

```
1 Verifying Lemma 1 for 1<=n<=100 ..
2 Lemma 1 passed!
3 Verifying Lemma 2 for 16<=n<=100 ..
4 Lemma 2 passed!
5 Verifying Corollary 3 for 1<=n<=18 ..
6 Corollary 3 passed!
7 Verifying Theorem 1 for 1<=|G|<=80 ..
8 Theorem 1 for |G|<=80 passed!
9 Verifying Theorem 2 for 1<=|G|<=60 ..
10 Theorem 2 for |G|<=60 passed!
11 Verifying Lemma 5 for 1<=n,m<=10 ..
12 Lemma 5 passed!
13 Verifying Lemma 7 for 16<=n<=25 and m<=25 ..
14 Lemma 7 passed!
15 Verifying Lemma 8 for 1<=n<=33 ..
16 Lemma 8 passed!
17 Verifying Theorem 3 for |G|<=75 ..
18 Theorem 3 for |G|<=75 passed!
19 Verifying Theorem 4 for |G|<=60 ..
20 Theorem 4 for |G|<=60 passed!
```

The M2 Max completed the task in 10 minutes, whereas the i7-12700H took 50 minutes, highlighting distinct performance variations among the different CPUs.