Summary

The dataset for this project originates from <u>kaggle</u> and contains Google daily stock prices between 2012 and 2016.

In this project, we will employ Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) to predict stock market indices. We are interested in forecasting the 'Close' series.

Load and Exploratore the Data

```
import sys
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import warnings
warnings.simplefilter(action='ignore')
import pandas as pd
from datetime import datetime
import tensorflow as tf
import keras
from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.layers import Dense, SimpleRNN, LSTM, Activation, Dropout
import math
from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
data = pd.read_csv('./Google_Stock_Price_Data.csv',sep=",")
data.head()
```

	Date	Open	High	Low	Close	Volume
0	1/3/2012	325.25	332.83	324.97	663.59	7,380,500
1	1/4/2012	331.27	333.87	329.08	666.45	5,749,400
2	1/5/2012	329.83	330.75	326.89	657.21	6,590,300
3	1/6/2012	328.34	328.77	323.68	648.24	5,405,900
4	1/9/2012	322.04	322.29	309.46	620.76	11,688,800

```
data['Close'].isnull().sum()

0

data = data[['Date', 'Close']]
data.sample(5)
```

	Date	Close
515	1/22/2014	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1142	7/19/2016	736.96
998	12/21/2015	747.77
49	3/14/2012	614.3

Feature Transformation

- Replace comma in Close column and convert values into float64
- Transform Date column into a datetime object





Split the Data and Apply Feature Scaling

- Split the data into train and test data sets using timestep = 50 days
- use MinMaxScaler to scale the data


```
train = data[:len(data)-timesteps]['Close'].values
test = data[len(train):]['Close'].values
train=train.reshape(train.shape[0],1)
test=test.reshape(test.shape[0],1)
sc = MinMaxScaler(feature range= (0,1))
train = sc.fit_transform(train)
train X = []
train y = []
for i in range(timesteps, train.shape[0]):
    train X.append(train[i-timesteps:i,0])
    train_y.append(train[i,0])
train X = np.array(train X)
train_X = train_X.reshape(train_X.shape[0], train_X.shape[1], 1)
train_y = np.array(train_y)
print('Training input shape: {}'.format(train_X.shape))
print('Training output shape: {}'.format(train_y.shape))
     Training input shape: (1158, 50, 1)
     Training output shape: (1158,)
inputs = data[len(data) - len(test) - timesteps:]
inputs = sc.transform(inputs)
test_X = []
for i in range(timesteps, 100):
    test_X.append(inputs[i-timesteps:i,0])
test X = np.array(test X)
test X = test X.reshape(test X.shape[0], test X.shape[1], 1)
test_X.shape
     (50, 50, 1)
```

Train models

- Simple RNN layers each with 50 hidden units and tanh activation function per cell
- LSTM with 70 hidden units per cell
- Define the loss function and optimizer strategy
- Fit the model with 100 epochs
- Predict and plot the results


RNN

```
model = Sequential()
model.add(SimpleRNN(50, activation='tanh',
                    input_shape=(train_X.shape[1],1), return_sequences = True))
model.add(Dropout(0.2))
model.add(SimpleRNN(50, activation='tanh', return_sequences = True,))
model.add(Dropout(0.2))
model.add(SimpleRNN(50, activation='tanh', return sequences = True,))
model.add(Dropout(0.2))
model.add(SimpleRNN(50, activation='tanh'))
# output layer to make final predictions
model.add(Dense(1))
model.compile(loss='mean squared error', optimizer='adam')
model.fit(train_X, train_y, epochs=100, batch_size=32, verbose=0)
     <tensorflow.python.keras.callbacks.History at 0x20f196436d0>
predicted = model.predict(test X)
predicted = sc.inverse_transform(predicted)
plt.figure(figsize=(8,4), dpi=80, facecolor='w', edgecolor='k')
plt.plot(test,color="orange",label="Real price")
plt.plot(predicted,color="c",label="RNN predicted result")
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
```



LSTM

```
model2 = Sequential()
model2.add(LSTM(70. input shape=(train X.shape[11.1)))
https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1a008BcARoEd5_nqTUA18AV405TP_T4de#printMode=true
```



RNN structure
model.summary()

plt.grid(True)
plt.show()

Model: "sequential"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
simple_rnn (SimpleRNN)	(None, 50, 50)	2600
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 50, 50)	0
simple_rnn_1 (SimpleRNN)	(None, 50, 50)	5050
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 50, 50)	0
simple_rnn_2 (SimpleRNN)	(None, 50, 50)	5050
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None, 50, 50)	0
simple_rnn_3 (SimpleRNN)	(None, 50)	5050
dense (Dense)	(None, 1)	51

Total params: 17,801 Trainable params: 17,801 Non-trainable params: 0

LSTM structure
model2.summary()

Model: "sequential_1"

Layer (type)	Output	Shape	Param #		
lstm (LSTM)	(None,	70)	20160		
dense_1 (Dense)	(None,	1)	71		

Total params: 20,231 Trainable params: 20,231 Non-trainable params: 0

Results

If we compare the model summary for **Simple RNN** with the model summary for **LSTM**, we can see that there are more trainable parameters for the **LSTM**, which explains why it took a longer time to train this model.

Overall the plots show that our **LSTM** model with a less complex structure still performed better than our Simple RNN.

Next Steps

To improve the quality of forecasts over many time steps, we'd need to use more data and more sophisticated LSTM model structures. We could try training with more data or increasing cell_units and running more training epochs.