



## MGRECON 548Q: Empirical Economic Analysis

Fuqua School of Business, Duke University  
Fall 2020

### Help Section Week#3<sup>1</sup>

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. Explain your reasoning.

#### Assumptions of Ordinary Least Square (OLS) Regression:

A1 (Linearity): The regression model is linear in the coefficients and the error term

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon$$

(i) Non-linearity in Regressors:

$$\ln(Y) = \alpha + \beta_1 \ln(X_1) + \beta_2 X_2^2 + \epsilon$$

⇒ problem : A1 ✓ and OLS ✓, but need to pay attention to model interpretation

⇒ solution : Redefine regressors

(ii) Non-linearity in Parameters:

$$Y = \alpha + \ln(\beta_1) X_1 + \beta_2^2 X_2 + \epsilon$$

⇒ problem : A1 ✗ and OLS ✗

⇒ solution: Nonlinear Least Squares (beyond our scope)

---

<sup>1</sup>Please watch for typos and errors

A2 (**Orthogonal**): The error term has zero expectation and is (weakly) orthogonal with X

$$E[\epsilon] = 0 \text{ and } E[\epsilon X] = 0$$

- By A2, we have  $\text{cov}(\epsilon, X) = 0 \implies \rho(\epsilon, X) = 0$

A3 (**No perfect multicollinearity**): No independent variable is a perfect linear function of other explanatory variables

- Does not rule out predictors are perfect non-linear relationship:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_1^2 + \epsilon$$

- Does not rule out predictors are imperfect linear relationship:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{age} + \beta_2 \text{Year of education} + \epsilon$$

where  $\text{age} = 5 + \text{Year of education} + \text{year of work}$

**From A1 ~ A3, we have the OLS estimators are unbiased.**

(i) Include Irrelevant Regressors:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 Z + \epsilon$$

$\implies$  A1 ~ A3 ✓ and OLS ✓, estimator is unbiased, std errors are incorrect so as inference  
Spurious Regression Fit (Z is irrelevant):

•

$$M0 : Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 Z + \epsilon, \quad \beta_3 = 0$$

$$M1 : Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 Z + \epsilon$$

- $R^2$  will never decrease if you add more regressors
- **Look at  $R_{adj}^2$  and drop irrelevant regressors**

Insufficient Degrees of Freedom:

- $df = N - K - 1$
- **Drop irrelevant regressors (decrease K) or collect more data (increase N)**

**Detect:** (1) Theory; (2) Drop and check for change in overall goodness of fit

(ii) Highly Multicollinearity:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X_1 + \gamma Z + \epsilon$$

$$\rho(X_1, Z) > 0.8 \text{ but } \rho(X_1, Z) \neq 1$$

$\implies$  : A1 ~ A3 ✓ and OLS ✓, estimator is unbiased, std errors are incorrect so as inference

- Recall(Lecture 5, p. 38):

$$t_{stat} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_k - 0}{se(\hat{\beta}_k)} \quad (H_0 : \beta = 0)$$

$$se(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{\sigma}_e \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - R_k^2)}}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e}{\sqrt{SST_k(1 - R_k^2)}}$$

$$\text{Type I error} = \Pr(|t_{stat}| > t_{crit} | \beta = 0)$$

$$\text{Type II error} = \Pr(|t_{stat}| < t_{crit} | \beta \neq 0)$$

- $R_k \uparrow \implies se(\hat{\beta}) \uparrow \implies t_{stat} \downarrow \implies \text{Type II error} \uparrow \implies \text{less likely to reject } H_0$   
 $\implies$  **t test is not reliable anymore, look at F test instead**
- **Detect:**
  - (1) Check correlations among regressors
  - (2) Use Variance Inflation Factor (VIF):  $VIF = \frac{1}{1 - R_k^2}$
  - (3) Watch out for low t-stats combined with high F-stats for overall goodness of fit
  - (4) Drop regressors and detect changes in estimates

(iii) Perfect Multicollinearity:

$$y = \alpha + \beta X_1 + \gamma Z + \epsilon$$

$$\rho(X_1, Z) = 1$$

$\implies$  : **OLS**  $\times$

- **Solution: Drop a regressor; combine regressors; joint hypothesis test**

(iv) Omitted Variable Bias (OVB):

$$(\text{long}) \ Y = \alpha^{\text{long}} + \beta^{\text{long}} X_1 + \gamma^{\text{long}} X_2 + \epsilon_{\text{long}}$$

$$(\text{short}) \ Y = \alpha^{\text{short}} + \beta^{\text{short}} X_1 + \epsilon_{\text{short}}$$

$\implies$  **A2**  $\times$  and **OLS**  $\checkmark$ , estimator is biased

•

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}^{\text{short}} &= \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, Y)}{\text{var}(X_1)} \\ &= \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, \alpha^{\text{long}})}{\text{var}(X_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, \beta^{\text{long}} X_1)}{\text{var}(X_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, \gamma^{\text{long}} X_2)}{\text{var}(X_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, \epsilon_{\text{long}})}{\text{var}(X_1)} \\ &= \hat{\beta}^{\text{long}} + \gamma^{\text{long}} \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\text{var}(X_1)} \end{aligned}$$

- If  $\gamma^{\text{long}}$  and  $\frac{\text{cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\text{var}(X_1)}$  not equal to zero, then we will have a biased estimator  $\hat{\beta}^{\text{short}}$

- **Estimate biased part:**  $\hat{\gamma}^{\text{long}} \frac{\text{cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\text{var}(X_1)}$ , i.e.  $\hat{\gamma}^{\text{long}} \hat{\pi}_1$ :

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X_1 + \gamma^{\text{long}} X_2 + \epsilon$$

$$X_2 = \pi_0 + \pi_1 X_1 + \epsilon_{X_2}$$

- **Two-scale regression:** Regress Y on “pure”  $X_1$ , i.e.  $\hat{u}$ :

$$X_1 = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 X_2 + u$$

$$Y = \alpha + \theta u + \epsilon$$

$$= \alpha + \theta(X_1 - \lambda_0 - \lambda_1 X_2) + \epsilon$$

$$= (\alpha - \theta\lambda_0) + \theta X_1 + (-\theta\lambda_1)X_2 + \epsilon$$

$$= \tilde{\alpha} + \beta X_1 + \tilde{\gamma} X_2 + \epsilon$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha - \theta\lambda_0)$ ,  $\beta = \theta$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} = (-\theta\lambda_1)$

- **Detect:**

(1) The single best technique for detecting omitted relevant regressors is via theory or domain expertise

(2) Using proxies to detect: Consider some Z as a proxy for  $X_2$ . If we include Z in the “short” model and  $\beta$  changes it might be because:

$$\text{cov}(Z, X_1) \neq 0 \implies \text{cov}(X_2, X_1) \neq 0 \implies \text{OVB exists}$$

- **Solution<sup>2</sup>:** Controls or proxies; Instrumental Variables

---

<sup>2</sup>You will not be examined this on the midterm

A4 (No heteroscedasticity): The error term has a constant variance

$$\text{Var}(\epsilon_i|X) = \sigma^2$$

(i) Heteroscedasticity:

$$V(\epsilon_i|X) = \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2, \quad \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2 \neq \sigma_{\epsilon_j}^2$$

$\Rightarrow$  A1  $\sim$  A3  $\checkmark$ , A4  $\times$  and OLS  $\checkmark$ , but std errors are incorrect

- **Coefficient estimates:** Remain unbiased
- **Inference:** Standard Errors may be distorted. The usual OLS tstatistics don't follow tdistribution
- **Detect:**
  - (1) Plot Var(residual) vs combinations of X's
  - (2) Breusch Pagan (BP) test

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + \epsilon$$
$$\hat{\epsilon}^2 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 X_1 + \delta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \delta_k X_k + u$$

$H_0 : \delta_0 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \cdots = \delta_k = 0$  (Homoscedasticity)

$H_1 : \text{at least one of the } \delta \neq 0$  (Heteroscedasticity)

- **Solution:** GLS, FLGS, Hetero. robust standard errors