## **Documentation**

#### **Notebook**

Our data headline does not exist, so we gonna label by our own

```
\# We could see that some columns are not totally useful for us, So I gonna drop them to clean
```

We could conclude, that we have 4 labels

- 1. Neutral
- 2. Positive
- 3. Negative
- 4. Irrelevant

and encoded them into

Neutral'= '0'

'Positive' = '1'

'Negative' ='-1'

'Irrelevant' = '2'. Then,

Checking if there's any missing null value.

Train data has missing null values. Fixed it

We have no missing null values in val\_data. So, we could

leave them just the way it is.

## Raw Data Cleaning

- 1. Labeling the data headline, dropping unuseful columns,
- 2. dropping duplicate data
- 3. One-hot encoding, standardization
- 4. Null missing value

## Data preprocessing for twitter text

Manipulate our text feature in 7 methods for twitter analysis in order to remove noise, clean, and ready to train the model as a helpful feature, We have done, these 7 data cleaning methods for this data.

- Replace space
- 2. Tokenization
- 3. stop words
- remove links
- 5. remove the Twitter user (acc username)
- 6. remove punctuation
- 7. remove emoji

As I fancy the best result, I'm also tried to implement a Named\_Entity\_Recognition\_(NER) model that I trained last week(Please check <a href="here">here</a>). That detects Names which is useful for this Twitter sentiment analysis. We could detect names and remove them from our data.

# **Exploring data nature.**

### Let's dive into Data Exploration

- Pie charts of the 4 label frequency
- Word Frequency

### And then, Prepare for Modeling/training. Later on,

I did 4 algorithms as below:

### **Evaluation**

### 1. Multinimional Naive Bayes

```
MultinomialNB()
Accuracy score: 0.6667867921978468
F1 score: 0.6570664405036415
recall 0.6667867921978468
Precision: 0.6364687639517542
Confusion Matrix:
[[1599 86 180 162]
[ 944 5522 1174 955]
[ 409 436 3015 444]
[ 957 600 1050 4666]]
```

#### 2. Random Forest

```
RandomForestClassifier(n_jobs=-1, random_state=300)
Accuracy score: 0.881616289022028
F1 score: 0.8817911883486355
recall 0.881616289022028
Precision: 0.873596216232276
Confusion Matrix:
[[3156 60 77 89]
[ 206 6019 211 268]
[ 124 143 4660 134]
[ 423 422 471 5736]]
```

#### 3. Decision Tree

```
DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=10)
Accuracy score: 0.7913869994143881
F1 score: 0.7912600288746594
recall 0.7913869994143881
Precision: 0.7826887723987173
Confusion Matrix:
[[2779 180 206 286]
[ 325 5440 390 438]
[ 247 365 4163 317]
[ 558 659 660 5186]]
```

### 4. Logistic Regression

```
LogisticRegression(max_iter=600, random_state=10)
Accuracy score: 0.7247173296094419
F1 score: 0.7214644012217224
recall 0.7247173296094419
Precision: 0.7064360570442305
Confusion Matrix:
[[2227 209 264 294]
[ 620 5575 859 746]
[ 434 425 3603 504]
[ 628 435 693 4683]]
```

#### **Model Notebook**