

CLASSICAL FOURIER ANALYSIS: INTERPOLATION OF L^p SPACES

YANNIS BÄHNI

Abstract. In this written seminar work I will basically follow the section *Interpolation* in the book *Classical Fourier Analysis, third Edition* by Loukas Grafakos. I will review three basic but important theorems on interpolation of operators on L^p spaces, namely the *Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem*, the *Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem* and finally an extension of the Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem to analytic families of operators (the so-called *Stein's theorem on interpolation of analytic families of operators*). We are mainly concerned with the notion of linear operators as well as slight generalizations of them.

Contents

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction and Basic Definitions | 2 |
| 1.1 | Linear Operators | 2 |
| 2 | The Real Method | 2 |
| 2.1 | The Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem | 2 |
| 3 | The Complex Method | 8 |
| 3.1 | Hadamard's Three Lines Lemma | 8 |
| 3.2 | The Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem | 10 |
| 3.3 | Young's inequality | 15 |
| 4 | Interpolation of Analytic Families of Operators | 16 |
| 4.1 | Extension of Hadamard's Three Lines Lemma | 16 |
| 4.2 | Stein's Theorem on Interpolation of Analytic Families of Operators | 18 |
| Appendix A Measure Theory | | 19 |
| References | | 20 |

(Yannis Bähni) UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, RÄMISTRASSE 71, 8006 ZURICH
E-mail address: yannis.baehni@uzh.ch.

1. Introduction and Basic Definitions.

1.1. Linear Operators. First we need to have a precise and suitable idea of *linear operators* in the generalized setting of measure spaces.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be measure spaces. Further let T be an operator defined on a linear space of complex-valued measurable functions on X and taking values in the set of all complex-valued, finite almost everywhere, measurable functions on Y . Then T is called linear if for all functions f and g in the domain of T and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ holds

$$T(f + g) = T(f) + T(g) \quad T(zf) = zT(f) \quad (1)$$

and quasi-linear if

$$|T(f + g)| \leq K(|T(f)| + |T(g)|) \quad |T(zf)| = |z||T(f)| \quad (2)$$

holds for some real constant $K > 0$. If $K = 1$, T is called sublinear.

2. The Real Method.

2.1. The Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem. The name originates from the real variables technique used for proving the theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. (The Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem) Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, (Y, ν) another measure space and $0 < p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$. Further let T be a sublinear operator defined on

$$L^{p_0} + L^{p_1} := \{f_0 + f_1 : f_0 \in L^{p_0}(X, \mu), f_1 \in L^{p_1}(X, \mu)\}$$

and taking values in the space of measurable functions on Y . Assume that there exist $A_0, A_1 < \infty$ such that

$$\forall f \in L^{p_0}(X, \mu) \quad \|T(f)\|_{L^{p_0, \infty}} \leq A_0 \|f\|_{L^{p_0}} \quad (3)$$

$$\forall f \in L^{p_1}(X, \mu) \quad \|T(f)\|_{L^{p_1, \infty}} \leq A_1 \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \quad (4)$$

Then for all $p_0 < p < p_1$ and for all $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ we have the estimate

$$\|T(f)\|_{L^p} \leq A \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (5)$$

where

$$A := 2 \left(\frac{p}{p - p_0} + \frac{p}{p_1 - p} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}}} A_1^{\frac{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}}} \quad (6)$$

Proof. The proof is subdivided into two main parts, which are further subdivided. In detail, we have the following partitioning:

- (i.) $p_1 < \infty$.
 a. Split f using cut-off functions.
 b. Estimate the distribution function $d_{T(f)}$.
 c. Estimate $\|T(f)\|_{L^p}^p$.
- (ii.) $p_1 = \infty$.
 a. Show that $\mu(\{|T(f_1)| > \alpha/2\}) = 0$.
 b. Estimate the distribution function $d_{T(f_0)}$.
 c. Estimate $\|T(f)\|_{L^p}^p$.
- (i.) a. Let us first consider the case $p_1 < \infty$. Fix $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ (δ will be determined later). We split f using so-called *cut-off* functions, by stipulating $f \equiv f_0(\cdot; \alpha, \delta) + f_1(\cdot; \alpha, \delta)$, where $f_0(\cdot; \alpha, \delta)$ is the *unbounded part* of f and $f_1(\cdot; \alpha, \delta)$ is the *bounded part* of f , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x; \alpha, \delta) &:= \begin{cases} f(x), & |f(x)| > \delta\alpha, \\ 0, & |f(x)| \leq \delta\alpha. \end{cases} \\ f_1(x; \alpha, \delta) &:= \begin{cases} f(x), & |f(x)| \leq \delta\alpha, \\ 0, & |f(x)| > \delta\alpha. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $x \in X$. To facilitate reading I will omit the dependency of $f_0(\cdot; \alpha, \delta)$ and $f_1(\cdot; \alpha, \delta)$ upon the parameters α and δ in what follows and simply write f_0 , f_1 respectively. Since $p_0 < p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_0\|_{L^{p_0}}^{p_0} &= \int_X |f_0|^{p_0} d\mu = \int_X |f|^{p_0} \cdot \chi_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}} d\mu \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \int_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}} |f|^{p_0} d\mu \\ &= \int_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}} |f|^p |f|^{p_0-p} d\mu = \int_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}} \frac{|f|^p}{|f|^{p-p_0}} d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\delta\alpha)^{p-p_0}} \int_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}} |f|^p d\mu = (\delta\alpha)^{p_0-p} \int_X |f|^p \cdot \chi_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}} d\mu \\ &\leq (\delta\alpha)^{p_0-p} \int_X |f|^p d\mu = (\delta\alpha)^{p_0-p} \|f\|_{L^p}^p < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus $f_0 \in L^{p_0}(X, \mu)$. Analogously it can be checked, that $f_1 \in L^{p_1}(X, \mu)$ by the estimate $\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}}^{p_1} \leq (\delta\alpha)^{p_1-p} \|f\|_{L^p}^p$. Therefore $f \equiv f_0 + f_1 \in L^{p_0} + L^{p_1}$.

Proof of the equality (\dagger) . Assume μ is defined on the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} . We have to prove that $\{|f| > \delta\alpha\} \in \mathcal{A}$ ¹. Since f is complex-valued, we may write $f \equiv \operatorname{Re} f + i \operatorname{Im} f$ and thus $|f|^2 \equiv \operatorname{Re}^2 f + \operatorname{Im}^2 f$. Since f is measurable by hypothesis

¹ For $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ the μ -integral of $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ over Y is defined to be $\int_Y f d\mu := \int_X f \cdot \chi_Y d\mu$. For more details see [Els11, pp. 135–136].

this implies that $\operatorname{Re} f$ and $\operatorname{Im} f$ are measurable². Further for measurable real-valued functions $f, g : (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \overline{\mathfrak{B}})$ ³ the functions $f + g$ and $f \cdot g$ are measurable⁴ and thus $|f|^2$ is measurable. Hence $\{\operatorname{Re}^2 f + \operatorname{Im}^2 f > \lambda\} \in \mathcal{A}$ ⁵ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. So especially for $\lambda := (\delta\alpha)^2$ we have $\{|f| > \delta\alpha\} \in \mathcal{A}$ ⁶. In a similar manner it can also be proven that $\{|f| \leq \delta\alpha\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Let us next prove a useful lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\chi_A : (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{B}^2)$ be the characteristic function of the set A . Then χ_A is measurable if and only if A is measurable.*

Proof. Assume χ_A is measurable. Then $\operatorname{Re} \chi_A$ and $\operatorname{Im} \chi_A$ are measurable. Especially for $0 < \lambda < 1$ we have that $\{\operatorname{Re} \chi_A > \lambda\} = A \in \mathcal{A}$. Conversely, assume A is measurable. For $\lambda < 0$ we have $\{\operatorname{Re} \chi_A > \lambda\} = X \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1[$, $\{\operatorname{Re} \chi_A > \lambda\} = A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\{\operatorname{Re} \chi_A > \lambda\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ for $\lambda \geq 1$. Since $\operatorname{Im} \chi_A \equiv 0$ we have $\{\operatorname{Im} \chi_A > \lambda\} = X \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\lambda < 0$ and $\{\operatorname{Im} \chi_A > \lambda\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\lambda \geq 0$. \square By Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $f \cdot g$ is measurable for two measurable functions $f, g : (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{B}^2)$ ⁷, f_0 and f_1 are measurable since $f_0 \equiv f \cdot \chi_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}}$ and $f_1 \equiv f \cdot \chi_{\{|f| \leq \delta\alpha\}}$.

One subtlety is left to clear: the μ -integrability of either $|f_1|^{p_0}$ or $|f_1|^{p_1}$ requires that $|f_0|^{p_0}$ and $|f_1|^{p_1}$ are measurable functions. By the fact that any continuous map $g : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ between metric spaces is Borel-measurable (see [Els11, p. 86]) and that the composition of measurable functions is again measurable (see [Els11, p. 87]), the measurability of either f_0 or f_1 follows by $|f_0|^{p_0} \equiv \cdot^{p_0} \circ |f \cdot \chi_{\{|f| > \delta\alpha\}}|$ and $|f_1|^{p_1} \equiv \cdot^{p_1} \circ |f \cdot \chi_{\{|f| \leq \delta\alpha\}}|$ by stipulating $\cdot^p : (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, |\cdot|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$, $x^p := \exp(p \log(x))$ for $p > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $x^p := 0$ if $x = 0$.

- b.** Since T is a sublinear operator we have $|T(f)| = |T(f_0 + f_1)| \leq |T(f_0)| + |T(f_1)|$. Thus for any $y \in Y$ with $|T(f)(y)| > \alpha$ we therefore have either $|T(f_0)(y)| > \alpha/2$ or $|T(f_1)(y)| > \alpha/2$ ⁸. Hence

$$\{|T(f)| > \alpha\} \subseteq \{|T(f_0)| > \alpha/2\} \cup \{|T(f_1)| > \alpha/2\}$$

and so by the monotonicity and subadditivity property of the measure μ we have

²For a proof see [Els11, p. 106]

³ $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} := \sigma(\mathbb{R})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} = \{B \cup E : B \in \mathfrak{B}, E \subseteq \{\pm\infty\}\}$.

⁴For a proof see [Els11, p. 107].

⁵For a proof see [Els11, pp. 105–106]

⁶This follows from the fact that $x < y$ if and only if $x^n < y^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and some real numbers $x, y > 0$ (see [Zor04, p. 119]).

⁷Els11, p. 107.

⁸Without loss of generality assume $|T(f_0)(y)| \leq |T(f_1)(y)|$. Then we have $\alpha < |T(f)(y)| \leq |T(f_0)(y)| + |T(f_1)(y)| \leq 2|T(f_1)(y)|$ (this is possible since \mathbb{R} is an ordered field).

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{T(f)}(\alpha) &= \mu(\{|T(f)| > \alpha\}) \\
&\leq \mu(\{|T(f_0)| > \alpha/2\} \cup \{|T(f_1)| > \alpha/2\}) \\
&\leq \mu(\{|T(f_0)| > \alpha/2\}) + \mu(\{|T(f_1)| > \alpha/2\}) \\
&= d_{T(f_0)}(\alpha/2) + d_{T(f_1)}(\alpha/2)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now by hypothesis (3) we can estimate $d_{T(f_0)}(\alpha/2)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{T(f_0)}(\alpha/2) &= \left(\frac{\alpha/2}{\alpha/2}\right)^{p_0} d_{T(f_0)}(\alpha/2) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha/2}\right)^{p_0} \left[\sup \left\{ \gamma d_{T(f_0)}(\gamma)^{1/p_0} : \gamma > 0 \right\} \right]^{p_0} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha/2}\right)^{p_0} \|T(f_0)\|_{L^{p_0, \infty}}^{p_0} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{A_0}{\alpha/2}\right)^{p_0} \|f_0\|_{L^{p_0}}^{p_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Analogously, we get $d_{T(f_1)}(\alpha/2) \leq \left(\frac{A_1}{\alpha/2}\right)^{p_1} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}}^{p_1}$ by hypothesis (4).

c. By

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|} \alpha^{p-p_0-1} d\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p-p_0} \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_0}} |f|^{p-p_0}, & p \geq p_0 + 1 \\ \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\omega}^{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|} \alpha^{p-p_0-1} d\lambda \\ = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{1}{p-p_0} \alpha^{p-p_0} \Big|_{\omega}^{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{p-p_0} \left[\frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_0}} |f|^{p-p_0} - \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \omega^{p-p_0} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{p-p_0} \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_0}} |f|^{p-p_0}, & p_0 < p < p_0 + 1 \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|}^{\infty} \alpha^{p-p_1-1} d\lambda &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{p-p_1} \alpha^{p-p_1} \right]_{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|}^{\omega} \\
&= \frac{1}{p-p_1} \left[\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega^{p-p_1} - \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_1}} |f|^{p-p_1} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{p_1-p} \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_1}} |f|^{p-p_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and the representation $\|f\|_{L^p}^p = p \int_0^{\infty} \alpha^{p-1} d_f(\alpha) d\lambda$ for $0 < p < \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(f)\|_{L^p}^p &= p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} d_{T(f)} \lambda \\
&\leq p(2A_0)^{p_0} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-p_0-1} \int_{\{|f|>\delta\alpha\}} |f|^{p_0} d\mu d\lambda \\
&\quad + p(2A_1)^{p_1} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-p_1-1} \int_{\{|f|\leq\delta\alpha\}} |f|^{p_1} d\mu d\lambda \\
&= p(2A_0)^{p_0} \int_{\{|f|>0\}} |f|^{p_0} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|} \alpha^{p-p_0-1} d\lambda d\mu \\
&\quad + p(2A_0)^{p_0} \int_{\{|f|=0\}} |f|^{p_0} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|} \alpha^{p-p_0-1} d\lambda d\mu \\
&\quad + p(2A_1)^{p_1} \int_X |f|^{p_1} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|}^\infty \alpha^{p-p_1-1} d\lambda d\mu \\
&= p(2A_0)^{p_0} \int_X |f|^{p_0} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|} \alpha^{p-p_0-1} d\lambda d\mu \\
&\quad + p(2A_1)^{p_1} \int_X |f|^{p_1} \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}|f|}^\infty \alpha^{p-p_1-1} d\lambda d\mu \\
&= \frac{p(2A_0)^{p_0}}{p-p_0} \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_0}} \int_X |f|^{p_0} |f|^{p-p_0} d\mu \\
&\quad + \frac{p(2A_1)^{p_1}}{p_1-p} \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_1}} \int_X |f|^{p_1} |f|^{p-p_1} d\mu \\
&= p \left(\frac{(2A_0)^{p_0}}{p-p_0} \frac{1}{\delta^{p-p_0}} + \frac{(2A_1)^{p_1}}{p_1-p} \delta^{p_1-p} \right) \|f\|_{L^p}^p
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We pick $\delta > 0$ such that $(2A_0)^{p_0} \delta^{p_0-p} = (2A_1)^{p_1} \delta^{p_1-p}$. Solving for δ yields

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A_0}{A_1} \right)^{p_1/(p_1-p_0)} \tag{14}$$

Substituting this in estimate (13) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(f)\|_{L^p}^p &\leq p \left(\frac{(2A_0)^{p_0}}{p-p_0} \frac{2^{p-p_0} A_1^{\frac{p_1(p-p_0)}{p_1-p_0}}}{A_0^{\frac{p_0(p-p_0)}{p_1-p_0}}} + \frac{(2A_1)^{p_1}}{p_1-p} \frac{A_0^{\frac{p_0(p_1-p)}{p_1-p_0}}}{2^{p_1-p} A_1^{\frac{p_1(p_1-p)}{p_1-p_0}}} \right) \|f\|_{L^p}^p \\
&= 2^p p \left(\frac{A_0^{\frac{p_0(p_1-p)}{p_1-p_0}} A_1^{\frac{p_1(p-p_0)}{p_1-p_0}}}{p-p_0} + \frac{A_0^{\frac{p_0(p_1-p)}{p_1-p_0}} A_1^{\frac{p_1(p-p_0)}{p_1-p_0}}}{p_1-p} \right) \|f\|_{L^p}^p
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

And taking the p -th power further

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(f)\|_{L^p} &\leq 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} + \frac{p}{p_1-p} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{p_0(p_1-p)}{p(p_1-p_0)}} A_1^{\frac{p_1(p-p_0)}{p(p_1-p_0)}} \|f\|_{L^p} \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} + \frac{p}{p_1-p} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{p_0(p_1-p)}{p(p_1-p_0)} \frac{p_1}{p_1}} A_1^{\frac{p_1(p-p_0)}{p(p_1-p_0)} \frac{p_0}{p_0}} \|f\|_{L^p} \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} + \frac{p}{p_1-p} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{\frac{p_1-p}{p p_1}}{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_0 p_1}}} A_1^{\frac{\frac{p-p_0}{p_0 p}}{\frac{p_1-p_0}{p_0 p_1}}} \|f\|_{L^p} \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} + \frac{p}{p_1-p} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_1}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}}} A_1^{\frac{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1}}} \|f\|_{L^p}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

- (ii.) **a.** Assume $p_1 = \infty$. We again use the cut-off functions defined in (7) to decompose f . Since $\{|f_1| > \delta\alpha\} = \emptyset$, we have

$$\|T(f_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq A_1 \|f_1\|_{L^\infty} = A_1 \inf \{B > 0 : \mu(\{|f_1| > B\}) = 0\} \leq A_1 \delta\alpha = \alpha/2$$

Provided we stipulate $\delta := 1/(2A_1)$. Therefore the set $\{|T(f_1)| > \alpha/2\}$ has measure zero (this is immediate since $\|T(f_1)\|_{L^\infty} = \inf \{B > 0 : \mu(\{|T(f_1)| > B\}) = 0\} \leq \alpha/2$ and any subset of a set with measure zero has itself measure zero). Thus similar to part **b.** of (i.) we get $d_{T(f)}(\alpha) \leq d_{T(f_0)}(\alpha/2)$.

- b.** Hypothesis (3) yields the estimate $d_{T(f_0)}(\alpha/2) \leq \left(\frac{A_0}{\alpha/2}\right)^{p_0} \int_{\{2A_1|f|>\alpha\}} |f|^{p_0} d\mu$.

- c.** Thus by **a.** and **b.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(f)\|_{L^p}^p &= p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} d_{T(f)} d\lambda \\
&\leq p(2A_0)^{p_0} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-p_0-1} \int_{\{2A_1|f|>\alpha\}} |f|^{p_0} d\mu d\lambda \\
&= p(2A_0)^{p_0} \int_X |f|^{p_0} \int_0^{2A_1|f|} \alpha^{p-p_0-1} d\lambda d\mu \\
&= \frac{2^p p A_0^{p_0} A_1^{p-p_0}}{p-p_0} \int_X |f|^p d\mu \\
&= \frac{2^p p A_0^{p_0} A_1^{p-p_0}}{p-p_0} \|f\|_{L^p}^p
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

That the constant $2^p p A_0^{p_0} A_1^{p-p_0}/(p-p_0)$ found in (17) is the p -th power of the one stated in the theorem can be seen by passing the constant (6) to the limit $p_1 \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} A &= \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left[2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} + \frac{p}{p_1-p} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_1}}{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p_1}}} A_1^{\frac{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p_1}}} \right] \\
&= 2 \exp \left[\frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} + \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_1} \frac{p}{1-p} \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} A_0^{\frac{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_1}}{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p_1}}} \cdot \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} A_1^{\frac{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{p_0}-\frac{1}{p_1}}} \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} \right)^{1/p} \exp \left[\frac{\frac{1}{p} - \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{p_1}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_1}} \log(A_0) \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left[\frac{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_1}} \log(A_1) \right] \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-p_0} \right)^{1/p} A_0^{\frac{p_0}{p}} A_1^{1-\frac{p_0}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. The Complex Method. This theorem will unfortunately only be applicable to linear operators but will yield a more natural bound of the operator on the intermediate space. The proof will make strong use of complex variables technique. A major tool will be an application of the maximum modulus principle, known as *Hadamard's three lines lemma*.

3.1. Hadamard's Three Lines Lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Hadamard's three lines lemma*) Let F be an analytic function on the strip $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$, continuous and bounded on \overline{S} , such that $|F(z)| \leq B_0$ when $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ and $|F(z)| \leq B_1$ when $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$, for some $0 < B_0, B_1 < \infty$. Then $|F(z)| \leq B_0^{1-\theta} B_1^\theta$ when $\operatorname{Re} z = \theta$, for any $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

Proof. For $z \in \overline{S}$ define

$$G(z) := \frac{F(z)}{B_0^{1-z} B_1^z} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} : G_n(z) := G(z) e^{(z^2-1)/n} \quad (18)$$

Obviously, $G(z)$ and $G_n(z)$ are analytic functions on S for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ ⁹. Further, we have

⁹ Recall, that a function f is called *analytic on U* , $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open, if f is analytic at every $z_0 \in U$, that is, there exists a power series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ and some $r > 0$, such that the series converges absolutely for $|z - z_0| < r$, and such that for such z , we have $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ (as defined in [Lan93, pp. 68–69]). If f and g are analytic on $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, so are $f + g$, $f \cdot g$. Also f/g is analytic on the open subset of $z \in U$ such

$$|B_0^{1-z} B_1^z|^2 = |B_0^{1-z}|^2 |B_1^z|^2 = B_0^{1-z} B_0^{1-\bar{z}} B_1^z B_1^{\bar{z}} = \left(B_0^{1-\operatorname{Re} z}\right)^2 \left(B_1^{\operatorname{Re} z}\right)^2 \quad (19)$$

Consider $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$ and $B_0 \geq 1$. Then $B_0^{1-\operatorname{Re} z} = \exp((1 - \operatorname{Re} z) \log B_0) \geq 1$ and $B_0^{1-\operatorname{Re} z} \geq B_0$ in the case $B_0 < 1$. A similar estimation of $B_1^{\operatorname{Re} z}$ leads to

$$|B_0^{1-z} B_1^z| \geq \min\{1, B_0\} \min\{1, B_1\} \quad (20)$$

for all $z \in \bar{S}$. By this, $G(z)$ is bounded on \bar{S} (by the boundedness of F). Let $M > 0$, such that $|G(z)| \leq M$ for $z \in \bar{S}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and write $z := x + iy \in \bar{S}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |G_n(z)|^2 &= |G(z)|^2 |e^{((x+iy)^2-1)/n}|^2 \\ &\leq M^2 e^{(x^2+2ixy-y^2-1)/n} e^{(x^2-2ixy-y^2-1)/n} \\ &= M^2 \left(e^{-y^2/n}\right)^2 \left(e^{(x^2-1)/n}\right)^2 \\ &\leq M^2 \left(e^{-y^2/n}\right)^2 \\ &= M^2 \left(e^{-|y|^2/n}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

we have $\lim_{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} \sup\{|G_n(z)| : x \in [0, 1]\} = 0$ by the pinching-principle. Hence there exists some $C(n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, such that $|G_n(z)| \leq 1$ for all $|y| \geq C(n)$ and all $x \in [0, 1]$. Consider the rectangle $R := [0, 1] \times [-C(n), C(n)]$. Now $|G_n(z)| \leq 1$ on the lines $[0, 1] \times \{\pm C(n)\}$ and since $|G(z)| = |F(z)|/B_0 \leq 1$, $|G(z)| = |F(z)|/B_1 \leq 1$ on the line $\{0\} \times [-C(n), C(n)]$ and $\{1\} \times [-C(n), C(n)]$ respectively by assumption, we have $|G_n(z)| \leq 1$ on ∂R . By the maximum modulus principle¹⁰ we have $|G_n(z)| \leq 1$ on R and thus $|G_n(z)| \leq 1$ on \bar{S} . Since inequalities are preserved by limits and the modulus is a continuous function, we have that $|G(z)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |G_n(z)| \leq 1$ on \bar{S} . Taking $z := \theta + it$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we conclude $|F(z)| = |G(z)| |B_0^{1-z} B_1^z| \leq B_0^{1-\theta} B_1^\theta$, which completes the proof. \square

that $g(z) \neq 0$. If $g : U \rightarrow V$ and $f : V \rightarrow C$ are analytic so is $f \circ g$. Further, if $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n z^n$ is a power series with radius of convergence r , f is analytic on $B_r(0)$ (for a proof see [Lan93, pp. 69–70]).

¹⁰ The theorem can be found in [Lan93, pp. 91–92]. I will reproduce it here.

LEMMA 3.2. (Maximum Modulus Principle, global version) *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a connected open set, and let f be an analytic function on U . If $z_0 \in U$ is a maximum point for $|f|$, that is $|f(z_0)| \geq |f(z)|$ for all $z \in U$, then f is constant on U .*

For our purpose the following corollary is more appropriate.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a connected open set and f be a continuous function on \bar{U} , analytic and non-constant on U . If $z_0 \in \bar{U}$ is a maximum for f , that is $|f(z_0)| \geq |f(z)|$ for all $z \in \bar{U}$, then $z_0 \in \partial U$.*

3.2. The Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem. Now we are able to prove the Riesz-Thorin Interpolation theorem without an interruption.

THEOREM 3.1. (Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem) *Let (X, μ) be a measure space, (Y, ν) a σ -finite measure space and T be a linear operator defined on the set of all finitely simple functions on X and taking values in the set of measurable functions on Y . Let $1 \leq p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$ and assume that*

$$\|T(f)\|_{L^{q_0}} \leq M_0 \|f\|_{L^{p_0}} \quad \|T(f)\|_{L^{q_1}} \leq M_1 \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \quad (22)$$

holds for all finitely simple functions f on X and $0 < M_0, M_1 < \infty$. Then for all $0 < \theta < 1$ we have

$$\|T(f)\|_{L^q} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (23)$$

for all finitely simple functions f on X , where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1} \quad (24)$$

Proof. The proof heavily relies on the fact, that the L^p norm of a function can be obtained via duality for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (for $p = \infty$ the underlying space has to be σ -finite according to [Els11, pp. 288–289]) by

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_Y f g d\nu \right| : \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = 1 \right\}$$

with $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$ for $p \in]1, \infty[$ and $p' := 1$ for $p = \infty$. Let \mathcal{B} denote the domain of ν and define for notational simplification $\mathfrak{F} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_E : E \in \mathcal{B}, \nu(E) < \infty\}$, the set of all finitely simple functions on Y ¹¹. Since \mathfrak{F} is dense in L^p for every $0 < p < \infty$ ¹², we may use the corollary found in [Bou95, p. 76]

COROLLARY 3.2. (Principle of extension of identities) *Let f, g be two continuous mappings of a topological space X into a Hausdorff space Y . If $f(x) = g(x)$ at all points of a dense subset of X , then $f \equiv g$.*

to see, that also

¹¹ This is almost trivial. Consider $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\nu(Y_1), \nu(Y_2) < \infty$ and $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then $f \equiv z_1 \chi_{Y_1} + z_2 \chi_{Y_2} \in \mathfrak{F}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We see, that $f \equiv z_1 \chi_{Y_1 \setminus Y_2} + z_2 \chi_{Y_2 \setminus Y_1} + (z_1 + z_2) \chi_{Y_1 \cap Y_2} \in \mathfrak{F}$ where the latter function is a finitely simple one since $\nu(Y_1 \cup Y_2) \leq \nu(Y_1) + \nu(Y_2) < \infty$ and $Y_1 \setminus Y_2, Y_2 \setminus Y_1, Y_1 \cap Y_2 \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_2$.

¹² In [Els11, p. 242] a proof can be found, that \mathfrak{F} is dense in L^p for $0 < p < \infty$. Now the canonical map $\pi : \mathcal{L}^p \rightarrow L^p/\mathcal{N}$ is continuous. Hence we may use the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let X and Y be topological spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $A \subseteq X$ dense in X . Then $f(A)$ is dense in Y .*

Proof. By [Mun00, p. 104] we have $Y = f(X) = f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)} \subseteq Y$. □

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_Y f g d\mu \right| : g \in \mathfrak{F}, \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = 1 \right\}$$

First we will deal with the case $q > 1$. Fix $f := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{i\alpha_k} \chi_{X_k}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $a_k > 0$, $\alpha_k \in [0, 2\pi[$, $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mu(X_k) < \infty$ for every $k = 1, \dots, n$. Further let $g := \sum_{k=1}^m b_k e^{i\beta_k} \chi_{Y_k} \in \mathfrak{F}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $b_k > 0$ and $\beta_k \in [0, 2\pi[$. Define

$$P(z) := \frac{p}{p_0}(1-z) + \frac{p}{p_1}z \quad Q(z) := \frac{q'}{q'_0}(1-z) + \frac{q'}{q'_1}z$$

for $z \in \overline{S}$ (in the case $p = \infty$ we get also $p_0 = p_1 = \infty$ and hence by stipulating $\frac{\infty}{\infty} := 1$ the function P is well-defined). Further let

$$f_z := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{P(z)} e^{i\alpha_k} \chi_{X_k} \quad g_z := \sum_{k=1}^m b_k^{Q(z)} e^{i\beta_k} \chi_{Y_k} \quad (25)$$

and

$$F(z) := \int_Y T(f_z)(y) g_z(y) d\nu(y) \quad (26)$$

By the linearity of the operator T we have

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_j^{P(z)} b_k^{Q(z)} e^{i\alpha_j} e^{i\beta_k} \int_Y T(\chi_{X_j})(y) \chi_{Y_k}(y) d\nu(y) \quad (27)$$

and by using Hölder's inequality ¹³

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Y T(\chi_{X_j})(y) \chi_{Y_k}(y) d\nu(y) \right| &\leq \int_Y |T(\chi_{X_j})(y)| \chi_{Y_k}(y) d\nu(y) \\ &= \|T(\chi_{X_j}) \chi_{Y_k}\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \|T(\chi_{X_j})\|_{L^{q_0}} \|\chi_{Y_k}\|_{L^{q'_0}} \\ &\leq M_0 \|\chi_{X_j}\|_{L^{p_0}} \|\chi_{Y_k}\|_{L^{q'_0}} \\ &\stackrel{q'_0 \neq \infty}{=} M_0 \|\chi_{X_j}\|_{L^{p_0}} \mu(Y_k)^{1/q'_0} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. In the case $q'_0 = \infty$ we simply have $\|\chi_{Y_k}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$. Thus the function F is well-defined on \overline{S} . Now

¹³A proof can be found in [Els11, p. 223].

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_{it}\|_{L^{p_0}} &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \int_X |f_{it}|^{p_0} d\mu + \int_{X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n X_k} |f_{it}|^{p_0} d\mu \right)^{1/p_0} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k^{P(it)} e^{i\alpha_k}|^{p_0} \int_X \chi_{X_k} d\mu \right)^{1/p_0} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{p_0 \operatorname{Re} P(it)} \mu(X_k) \right)^{1/p_0} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p \mu(X_k) \right)^{p/(p_0 p)} \\
&= \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

for $p, p_0 \neq \infty$. Let us consider $p_0 = \infty, p \neq \infty$. Then either $\|f_{it}\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ or $\|f_{it}\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ is a norm for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (see [Els11, p. 231]), we have $f = 0$ μ -a.e. if $\|f_{it}\|_{L^\infty} = 0$. Since f is finitely simple, we may conclude $f \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{i\alpha_k} \chi_{X_k}$, where $\mu(X_k) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. But then $\|f_{it}\|_{L^\infty} = \inf\{B > 0 : \mu(\{|f_{it}| > B\}) = 0\} = \inf\{B > 0 : \mu(\{1 > B\}) = 0\} = 0$ since $|a_k^{P(it)}| = \lim_{p_0 \rightarrow \infty} a_k^{p/p_0} = 1$. In the other case we simply have $\|f_{it}\|_{L^\infty} = 1$ since there exists at least one subset X_k such that $\mu(X_k) \neq 0$. Now consider $p = \infty$. Then $p_0 = p_1 = \infty$. Thus $P(it) = 1$ and so $f_z \equiv f$ and the equation holds trivially. By the same considerations we see that $\|g_{it}\|_{L^{q'_0}} = \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/q'_0}$ for $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ (set $\infty' := 1$). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(it)| &\leq \int_Y |T(f_{it})(y) g_{it}(y)| d\nu(y) \\
&= \|T(f_{it}) g_{it}\|_{L^1} \\
&\leq \|T(f_{it})\|_{L^{q_0}} \|g_{it}\|_{L^{q'_0}} \\
&\leq M_0 \|f_{it}\|_{L^{p_0}} \|g_{it}\|_{L^{q'_0}} \\
&= M_0 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_0} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/q'_0} \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

by Hölder's inequality. By similar calculations we get

$$\|f_{1+it}\|_{L^{p_1}} = \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_1} \quad \|g_{1+it}\|_{L^{q'_1}} = \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/q'_1} \tag{31}$$

and thus

$$|F(1+it)| \leq M_1 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_1} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/q'_1} \tag{32}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(z)| &\leq \int_Y |T(f_z)(y)g_z(y)|d\nu(y) \\
&= \|T(f_z)g_z\|_{L^1} \\
&\leq \|T(f_z)\|_{L^{q_0}} \|g_z\|_{L^{q'_0}} \\
&\leq M_0 \|f_z\|_{L^{p_0}} \|g_z\|_{L^{q'_0}} \\
&\stackrel{p_0, q'_0 \neq \infty}{=} M_0 \left(\int_X |f_z|^{p_0} d\mu \right)^{1/p_0} \left(\int_Y |g_z|^{q'_0} d\nu \right)^{1/q'_0} \\
&= M_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{p_0 \operatorname{Re} P(z)} \mu(X_j) \right)^{1/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^{q'_0 \operatorname{Re} Q(z)} \nu(Y_k) \right)^{1/q'_0} \\
&= M_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{p(1-\operatorname{Re} z) + (pp_0 \operatorname{Re} z)/p_1} \mu(X_j) \right)^{1/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^{q'(1-\operatorname{Re} z) + (q'q'_0 \operatorname{Re} z)/q'_1} \nu(Y_k) \right)^{1/q'_0} \\
&\leq M_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{p+(pp_0)/p_1} \mu(X_j) \right)^{1/p_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^{q'+(q'q'_0)/q'_1} \nu(Y_k) \right)^{1/q'_0} \\
&= M_0 \|f\|_{L^{p+(pp_0)/p_1}}^{p/p_0 + p/p_1} \|g\|_{L^{q'+(q'q'_0)/q'_1}}^{q'/q'_0 + q'/q'_1} \\
&=: C(f, g)
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality and in the edge cases

$$\begin{aligned}
p_0 = \infty, q'_0 \neq \infty : \quad C(f, g) &:= M_0 \max_{j=1, \dots, n} a_j^{p/p_1} \|g\|_{L^{q'+(q'q'_0)/q'_1}}^{q'/q'_0 + q'/q'_1} \\
p_0 \neq \infty, q'_0 = \infty : \quad C(f, g) &:= M_0 \|f\|_{L^{p+(pp_0)/p_1}}^{p/p_0 + p/p_1} \max_{k=1, \dots, m} b_k^{q'/q'_1} \\
p_0 = \infty, q'_0 = \infty : \quad C(f, g) &:= M_0 \max_{j=1, \dots, n} a_j^{p/p_1} \max_{k=1, \dots, m} b_k^{q'/q'_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence F is bounded on \overline{S} . It is obvious, that F is analytic on S and continuous on \overline{S} (as the sum, product, quotient, composition of analytic/continuous functions). Therefore we can apply Hadamard's three lines lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(z)| &\leq \left(M_0 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_0} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/q'_0} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(M_1 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_1} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/q'_1} \right)^{\theta} \\
&= M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{q'}}^{\theta}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for $\operatorname{Re} z = \theta$ where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Further observe $P(\theta) = Q(\theta) = 1$ for $0 < \theta < 1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_{L^q} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_Y T(f)g d\nu \right| : g \in \mathfrak{F}, \|g\|_{L^{q'}} = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \{ |F(\theta)| : g \in \mathfrak{F}, \|g\|_{L^{q'}} = 1 \} \\ &\leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|f\|_{L^p} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now assume $q = 1$. Then $q_0 = q_1 = 1$. Let $g \equiv \sum_{k=1}^m b_k e^{i\beta_k} \chi_{Y_k}$ be a simple function (this means, that $\nu(Y_k) < \infty$ is possible for some Y_k) with $\|g\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Define f_z as above and

$$F(z) := \int_Y T(f_z)(y)g(y)d\nu(y) \quad (35)$$

Using the linearity property of T we see again, that $F(z)$ is well-defined on \bar{S} . Analogously we get

$$|F(it)| \leq M_0 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_0} \quad |F(1+it)| \leq M_1 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_1} \quad (36)$$

Again, F is bounded on \bar{S} by

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 \neq \infty, q'_0 = \infty : \quad & C(f, g) := M_0 \|f\|_{L^{p+(pp_0)/p_1}}^{p/p_0+p/p_1} \max_{k=1, \dots, m} b_k^{q'/q'_1} \\ p_0 = \infty, q'_0 = \infty : \quad & C(f, g) := M_0 \max_{j=1, \dots, n} a_j^{p/p_1} \max_{k=1, \dots, m} b_k^{q'/q'_1} \end{aligned}$$

Hadamard's three lines lemma therefore yields

$$|F(z)| \leq \left(M_0 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_0} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(M_1 \|f\|_{L^p}^{p/p_1} \right)^\theta = M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (37)$$

and observing $P(\theta) = 1$ for $0 < \theta < 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_{L^1} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_Y T(f)g d\nu \right| : g \text{ simple}, \|g\|_{L^\infty} = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \{ |F(\theta)| : g \text{ simple}, \|g\|_{L^\infty} = 1 \} \\ &\leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|f\|_{L^p} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

This is justified by the fact, that the simple functions are dense in L^∞ (for a proof see [Coh13, p. 100]). \square

REMARK 3.1. As you can see in the proof of the case $q = 1$, it is necessary to have $0 < \theta < 1$. Since for example choosing $q_1 = 1$ and $q_0 > 1$ arbitrary leads for $\theta = 1$ to $q = 1$ but then the function g can be chosen so, that the integral in the definition (27) diverges.

REMARK 3.2. The proof initially given by Grafakos differs in the case study of q . I argued in a different way, because I used the density of the simple functions and finitely

simple functions whereas he used the theorem given here [Fol99, p. 189], which makes the distinction of the cases $q > 1$ and $q = 1$ unnecessary.

3.3. Young's inequality. Using the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, we can give an alternative proof of Young's inequality [Gra14, pp. 22–23].

THEOREM 3.2. (Young's inequality) *Let G be a locally compact group, which is a countable union of compact subsets, and let η be a left invariant Haar measure. Let $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$*

$$\frac{1}{q} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} \quad (39)$$

*Then for all $f \in L^p(G, \eta)$ and all $g \in L^r(G, \eta)$ satisfying $\|g\|_{L^r} = \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^r}$ we have $f * g$ exists η -a.e. and satisfies*

$$\|f * g\|_{L^q} \leq \|g\|_{L^r} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (40)$$

Proof. Fix $g \in L^r(G, \eta)$ and let $T(f) := f * g$ be defined on $L^1(G, \eta) + L^{r'}(G, \eta)$. Obviously, T is a linear operator by the linearity of the integral. By Minkowski's integral inequality (see exercise 1.1.6 [Gra14, p. 13]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_{L^r} &= \left(\int_G \left| \int_G f(y) g(y^{-1}x) d\eta(y) \right|^r d\eta(x) \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq \int_G \left(\int_G |f(y)|^r |g(y^{-1}x)|^r d\eta(x) \right)^{1/r} d\eta(y) \\ &= \int_G |f(y)| \left(\int_G |g(y^{-1}x)|^r d\eta(y^{-1}x) \right)^{1/r} d\eta(y) \\ &= \int_G |f(y)| \left(\int_G |g(z)|^r d\eta(z) \right)^{1/r} d\eta(y) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^r} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

for $f \in L^1(G, \mu)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ (since (G, η) is σ -finite). The case $r = \infty$ follows from

$$|(f * g)(x)| = \left| \int_G f(y) g(y^{-1}x) d\eta(y) \right| \leq \int_G |f(y)| |g(y^{-1}x)| d\eta(y) \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^1} \quad (42)$$

By stipulating $h(y) := g(y^{-1}x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x)| &= \left| \int_G f(y) g(y^{-1}x) d\eta(y) \right| \leq \int_G |f(y) g(y^{-1}x)| d\eta(y) \\ &= \|fh\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^{r'}} \|h\|_{L^r} = \|f\|_{L^{r'}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^r} = \|g\|_{L^r} \|f\|_{L^{r'}} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for $r < \infty$ and $f \in L^{r'}(g, \eta)$, since

$$\|h\|_{L^r}^r = \int_G |g(y^{-1}x)|^r d\eta(y) = \int_G |\tilde{g}(x^{-1}y)| d\eta(y) = \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^r}^r$$

The Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem now yields for any $0 < \theta < 1$

$$\|f * g\|_{L^q} = \|T(f)\|_{L^q} \leq \|g\|_{L^r}^{1-\theta} \|g\|_{L^r}^\theta \|f\|_{L^p} = \|g\|_{L^r} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (44)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta}{r'} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{r} + \frac{\theta}{\infty}$$

and by

$$\frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{r} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\theta}{r}$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{q} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$$

□

REMARK 3.3. *The proof would be much shorter if we just used Minkowski's inequality [Gra14, pp. 21–22] instead of Minkowski's integral inequality. However, the proof given here is an alternative version of the one given already for Minkowski's inequality.*

4. Interpolation of Analytic Families of Operators. This generalization of the classical Riesz-Thorin theorem is due to [Elias M. Stein](#). Crucial for its proof is again a complex-analytic theorem which can be extended on the basis of Hadamard's three lines lemma.

4.1. Extension of Hadamard's Three Lines Lemma. This theorem is analogous to the one originally used by Stein itself and formulated by [I. I. Hirschman, Jr.](#)

LEMMA 4.1. (Hadamard's three lines lemma, extension) *Let F be an analytic function on the strip $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$ and continuous on \overline{S} , such that for every $z \in \overline{S}$ we have $\log |F(z)| \leq A e^{\tau |\operatorname{Im} z|}$ for some $A < \infty$ and $\tau \in [0, \pi]$. Then*

$$|F(z)| \leq \exp \left(\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\log |F(it + iy)|}{\cosh(\pi t) - \cos(\pi x)} + \frac{\log |F(1 + it + iy)|}{\cosh(\pi t) + \cos(\pi x)} \right] d\lambda(t) \right)$$

whenever $z := x + iy \in S$.

Proof. Assume F not identically zero (the case where F is identically zero is trivial). Consider the function

$$h(z) := \frac{1}{\pi i} \text{Log} \left(i \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) \quad (45)$$

on $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Define $\psi(z) := i(1+z)/(1-z)$. If we write $z := x + iy \in D$, we have

$$\psi(z) = \frac{-2y}{(1-x)^2 + y^2} + i \frac{1-x^2-y^2}{(1-x)^2 + y^2} \quad (46)$$

Hence $\text{Im}h(z) > 0$. Stipulating $x := 1 - y$ for y satisfying $y^2 < y$, we get

$$\lim_{y^2 < y, y \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im}\psi(z) = \lim_{y^2 < y, y \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{y} - 1 \right) = \infty \quad (47)$$

using the same definition of x we get

$$\lim_{y^2 < y, y \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Re}\psi(z) = - \lim_{y^2 < y, y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{y} = -\infty \quad (48)$$

and by stipulating $x := 1 + y$

$$\lim_{y^2 < -y, y \rightarrow 0^-} \text{Re}\psi(z) = - \lim_{y^2 < -y, y \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{y} = \infty \quad (49)$$

Since $2i \neq 0$, ψ is a linear fractional transformation with

$$\psi^{-1}(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i} \quad (50)$$

Therefore ψ maps the unit circle onto the upper half plane. The principal value of $\log z$ is $\text{Log} z$ defined by

$$\text{Log} z := \log |z| + i \text{Arg} z, \quad z \neq 0 \quad (51)$$

where $-\pi < \text{Arg} z \leq \pi$ is the principal value of the argument of $z \neq 0$. We see that $\pi i h(z)$ maps the upper half plane onto the strip $\mathbb{R} \times]0, \pi[$. Thus $h(z)$ maps the unit circle D onto the strip $]0, 1[\times \mathbb{R}$. Since h is a sum, product, quotient and composition of analytic functions, by [Rud87, pp. 278–279] and

$$h'(z) = \frac{2}{\pi i} \frac{1}{z-1} \neq 0 \quad (52)$$

on D , h is a conformal map from D onto S . By composition, $F \circ h$ is analytic and thus by [Rud87, p. 336] $\log |F \circ h|$ is subharmonic on D .

Fix some $0 \leq R < 1$. Then $\log |F \circ h|$ is continuous (as the sum, product, quotient, composition of continuous functions) for $|z| = R$. Define

$$H(re^{i\theta}) := \begin{cases} \log |F(h(Re^{i\theta}))| & r = R, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |F(h(Re^{it}))| \frac{R^2 - r^2}{R^2 - 2Rr \cos(\theta - t) + r^2} d\lambda(t) & 0 \leq r < R \end{cases}$$

Then H is continuous for $|z| \leq R$ and harmonic for $|z| < R$ (see [Rud87, pp. 234–235]). Since $\log |F(h(Re^{i\theta}))| = H(Re^{i\theta})$, by [Rud87, p. 336] we have

$$\log |F(h(re^{i\theta}))| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |F(h(Re^{it}))| \frac{R^2 - r^2}{R^2 - 2Rr \cos(\theta - t) + r^2} d\lambda(t) \quad (53)$$

Consider $e^{i\theta}$ where $\operatorname{Arg} e^{i\theta} \neq 0, \pi$, we have $\operatorname{Im} \psi(e^{i\theta}) = 0$ and hence $\psi(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathbb{R}$. But then either $\operatorname{Re} h(e^{i\theta}) = 0$, $\psi(e^{i\theta}) > 0$ or $\operatorname{Re} h(e^{i\theta}) = 1$, $\psi(e^{i\theta}) < 0$. Hence the growth property of the hypothesis implies

$$\log |F(h(e^{i\theta}))| \leq Ae^{\tau|\operatorname{Im} h(e^{i\theta})|} = Ae^{\tau/\pi \log |(1+e^{i\theta})(1-e^{i\theta})|} = A|1+e^{i\theta}|^{\tau/\pi} |1-e^{i\theta}|^{-\tau/\pi} \quad (54)$$

□

4.2. Stein's Theorem on Interpolation of Analytic Families of Operators.

DEFINITION 4.1. (Analytic family, admissible growth) *Let (X, μ) , (Y, ν) be measure spaces and $(T_z)_{z \in \overline{S}}$, where T_z is defined on the space of all finitely simple functions on X and taking values in the space of all measurable functions on Y such that*

$$\int_Y |T_z(\chi_A)\chi_B| d\nu \quad (55)$$

whenever $\mu(A), \nu(B) < \infty$. The family $(T_z)_{z \in \overline{S}}$ is said to be analytic if for all f, g finitely simple we have that

$$z \mapsto \int_Y T_z(f)g d\nu \quad (56)$$

is analytic on S and continuous on \overline{S} . Further, an analytic family $(T_z)_{z \in \overline{S}}$ is called of admissible growth, if there is a constant $\tau \in [0, \pi[$, such that for all finitely simple functions f, g a constant $C(f, g)$ exists with

$$\log \left| \int_Y T_z(f)g d\nu \right| \leq C(f, g) e^{\tau|\operatorname{Im} z|} \quad (57)$$

for all $z \in \overline{S}$.

THEOREM 4.1. (Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, extension) *Let $(T_z)_{z \in \overline{S}}$ be an analytic family of admissible growth, $1 \leq p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$ and suppose that M_0, M_1 are positive functions on the real line such that for some $\tau \in [0, \pi[$*

$$\sup \left\{ e^{-\tau|y|} \log M_0(y) : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\} < \infty \quad \sup \left\{ e^{-\tau|y|} \log M_1(y) : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\} < \infty \quad (58)$$

Fix $0 < \theta < 1$ and define

$$\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1} \quad \frac{1}{q} := \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1} \quad (59)$$

Further suppose that for all finitely simple functions f on X and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|T_{iy}(y)\|_{L^{q_0}} \leq M_0(y)\|f\|_{L^{p_0}} \quad \|T_{1+iy}(y)\|_{L^{q_1}} \leq M_1(y)\|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \quad (60)$$

Then for all finitely simple functions f on X we have

$$\|T_\theta(f)\|_{L^q} \leq M(\theta)\|f\|_{L^p}$$

where for $0 < x < 1$

$$M(x) = \exp \left(\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\log M_0(t)}{\cosh(\pi t) - \cos(\pi x)} + \frac{\log M_1(t)}{\cosh(\pi t) + \cos(\pi x)} \right] d\lambda(t) \right)$$

Proof. Fix $0 < \theta < 1$ and finitely simple functions f, g on X, Y respectively with $\|f\|_{L^p} = \|g\|_{L^{q'}} = 1$. Define f_z, g_z as in (25) and for $z \in \bar{S}$

$$F(z) := \int_Y T_z(f_z)g_z d\nu \quad (61)$$

Observe, that $|a_j^{P(z)}| \leq a_j^{p/p_0+p/p_1}$ and $|b_k^{Q(z)}| \leq b_k^{q'/q'_0+q'/q'_1}$ for $z \in \bar{S}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log |F(z)| &= \log \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_j^{P(z)} b_k^{Q(z)} e^{i\alpha_j} e^{i\beta_k} \int_Y T_z(\chi_{X_j})(y) \chi_{Y_k}(y) d\nu(y) \right| \\ &\leq \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m |a_j^{P(z)}| |b_k^{Q(z)}| \int_Y |T_z(\chi_{X_j})(y)| \chi_{Y_k}(y) d\nu(y) \right) \\ &\leq \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_j^{p/p_0+p/p_1} b_k^{q'/q'_0+q'/q'_1} \int_{Y_k} |T_z(\chi_{X_j})| d\nu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

□

Appendix A. Measure Theory

Let (X, μ) be a measure space. Recall, that if for each measurable set Y with $\mu(Y) = \infty$ there exists a measurable set $E \subseteq Y$ and $0 < \mu(E) < \infty$, μ is called *semifinite*.

LEMMA A.1. *Every σ -finite measure is semifinite.*

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ where $\mu(X_n) < \infty$ and $\mu(Y) = \infty$. By letting $\tilde{X}_N := \bigcup_{n \leq N} X_n$, \tilde{X}_N is an increasing sequence. Then $Y \cap \tilde{X}_n$ is measurable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by [Coh13, p. 10]

$$\begin{aligned}\infty = \mu(Y) &= \mu(Y \cap X) = \mu\left(Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_N\right)\right) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} (Y \cap \tilde{X}_N)\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Y \cap \tilde{X}_N)\end{aligned}$$

Since $Y \cap \tilde{X}_N \subseteq \tilde{X}_N$, $\mu(Y \cap \tilde{X}_N) < \infty$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence for every $C > 0$ there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\mu(Y \cap \tilde{X}_N) > M$$

for $N > M$. □

References

- [Bou95] Nicolas Bourbaki. *General Topology - Chapters 1-4*. Elements of Mathematics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [Coh13] Donald L. Cohn. *Measure Theory*. Second edition. Springer, 2013.
- [Els11] Jürgen Elstrodt. *Mass- und Integrationstheorie*. 7., korrigierte und aktualisierte Auflage. Springer Verlag, 2011.
- [Fol99] Gerald B. Folland. *Real Analysis*. Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999.
- [Gra14] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Third Edition. Springer Science + Business Media New York, 2014.
- [Lan93] Serge Lang. *Complex Analysis*. Third Edition. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [Mun00] James R. Munkres. *Topology*. Second edition. Prentice Hall, 2000.
- [Rud87] Walter Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. Third Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1987.
- [Zor04] Vladimir A. Zorich. *Mathematical Analysis I*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.