

# 1 Analysis

Consider the problem of imitation learning within a discrete MDP with horizon  $T$  and an expert policy  $\pi^*$ . We gather expert demonstrations from  $\pi^*$  and fit an imitation policy  $\pi_\theta$  to these trajectories so that

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_{\pi^*}(s)} \pi_\theta(a \neq \pi^*(s) \mid s) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{p_{\pi^*}(s_t)} \pi_\theta(a_t \neq \pi^*(s_t) \mid s_t) \leq \varepsilon,$$

i.e., the expected likelihood that the learned policy  $\pi_\theta$  disagrees with the expert  $\pi^*$  within the training distribution  $p_{\pi^*}$  of states drawn from random expert trajectories is at most  $\varepsilon$ .

For convenience, the notation  $p_\pi(s_t)$  indicates the state distribution under  $\pi$  at time step  $t$  while  $p(s)$  indicates the state marginal of  $\pi$  across time steps, unless indicated otherwise.

1. Show that  $\sum_{s_t} |p_{\pi_\theta}(s_t) - p_{\pi^*}(s_t)| \leq 2T\varepsilon$ .

[Hint: In lecture, we showed a similar inequality under the stronger assumption  $\pi_\theta(a_t \neq \pi^*(s_t) \mid s_t) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $s_t \in \text{supp}(p_{\pi^*})$ . Try converting the inequality above into an expectation over  $p_{\pi^*}$  and use a union bound ( $\Pr[\bigcup_i E_i] \leq \sum_i \Pr[E_i]$ ) to get the desired result.]

From hint, we know that  $\pi_\theta(a_t \neq \pi^*(s_t) \mid s_t) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $s_t \in \text{supp}(p_{\pi^*})$ , where  $\varepsilon$  represent the probability of making mistakes.

Since  $p_{\pi^*}(s) \neq p_{\pi_\theta}(s)$ , and  $p_{\pi^*}(s)$  is training data, thus

$$p_{\pi_\theta}(s_t) = (1 - \varepsilon)^t p_{\pi^*}(s_t) + (1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^t) p_{\text{mistake}}(s_t)$$

$p_{\pi_\theta}(s_t)$  is the distribution over states at timestep  $t$ : sum of probability we made no mistakes and some other probability.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \sum_{s_t} |p_{\pi_\theta}(s_t) - p_{\pi^*}(s_t)| &= (1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^T) |p_{\text{mistake}}(s_t) - p_{\pi^*}(s_t)| \\ &\leq 2(1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^T) \\ &\leq 2T\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where we use identity:  $(1 - \varepsilon)^T \geq 1 - T\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$

and the fact: worst case of variation divergence is 2

because the worst case is that in one state one probability is 1, the other is 0 and in some other state it's the way around; so the worst possible difference between 2 distributions when you sum over all states is 2.

2. Consider the expected return of the learned policy  $\pi_\theta$  for a state-dependent reward  $r(s_t)$ , where we assume the reward is bounded with  $|r(s_t)| \leq R_{\max}$ :

$$J(\pi) = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{p_\pi(s_t)} r(s_t).$$

- (a) Show that  $J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) = \mathcal{O}(T\varepsilon)$  when the reward only depends on the last state, i.e.,  $r(s_t) = 0$  for all  $t < T$ .  
 (b) Show that  $J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) = \mathcal{O}(T^2\varepsilon)$  for an arbitrary reward.

$$a) \quad J(\pi^*) = \mathbb{E}_{p_{\pi^*}(s_T)} r(s_T) \leq R_{\max} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{p_{\pi^*}(s_T)}$$

$$J(\pi_\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{p_{\pi_\theta}(s_T)} r(s_T) \leq R_{\max} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{p_{\pi_\theta}(s_T)}$$

$$J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) \leq R_{\max} |p_{\pi^*}(s_T) - p_{\pi_\theta}(s_T)|$$

$$\leq 2T R_{\max} \varepsilon$$

from the conclusion of 1)

$$\text{thus } J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) = \mathcal{O}(T\varepsilon)$$

b) from a) we know that for the last state  $J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) = \mathcal{O}(T\varepsilon)$ , thus if for all states with arbitrary reward

$$J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) \leq R_{\max} \sum_{t=1}^T |p_{\pi^*}(s_t) - p_{\pi_\theta}(s_t)|$$

$$\leq 2T^2 R_{\max} \varepsilon$$

$$\text{thus } J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\theta) = \mathcal{O}(T^2\varepsilon)$$