Mentoring

Q1 We are a team of computer science researchers investigating implicit/informal mentoring in open-source communities. Your input will help us identify implicit mentoring in open-source communities and understand how they impact projects.

This survey should take approximately 5-10 minutes to complete. The results of this survey will be used in a scientific publication. There will also be a question at the end of the survey for you to indicate if you would like to receive a copy of any publications or reports generated from this study. By clicking the button below, you acknowledge that your participation in the study is voluntary, you are at least 18 years of age, and that you are aware that you may choose to terminate your participation in the study at any time and for any reason. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Dr Anita Sarma (sarmaa@oregonstate.edu).

EEA Data Privacy: Participants in the EEA are advised that data privacy laws have not been deemed adequate by the European Commission. For more information, participants can contact Tom Ordeman, Data Protection Officer dpo@oregonstate.edu 541-737-9800 Oregon State University A008 Kerr Administration Building Corvallis, OR 97331-4501.

O I consent

O I do not consent

Skip To: End of Survey If We are a team of computer science researchers investigating implicit/informal mentoring in open-s... = I do not consent

Q2 How do you identify yourself?
○ Woman
○ Man
○ Trans woman
○ Trans man
Gender variant / Non-conforming / Non-binary
O Prefer not to say
O Prefer to self-describe (please answer as comment)
Q3 How long have you been involved with the Linux Foundation?
O Less than 1 year
O 1 to 2 years
○ 3 to 5 years
○ 6 to 10 years
Over 10 years
Q4 In which country do you currently reside?
▼ Afghanistan (1) Zimbabwe (1357)

Q5 What is your education level (or equivalent)?		
O No formal education		
O High school		
Technical training		
O Undergraduate degree		
O Master's degree		
○ Ph.D		
Q6 Please identify which of these activities are a part of mentoring: (select all that apply)		
Giving/receiving suggestion with an explanation		
Giving/receiving instruction with an explanation		
Helping address an issue/error and giving an explanation		
None of above		
Others, please specify:		
Q7 Do you agree with the statement: Implicit / informal mentoring occurs in everyday development activities? (e.g., code review)		
○ Agree		
O Disagree		

Q8 Please	identify where implicit mentoring takes place: (select all that apply)
	Email
	In person
	Pull-request comments on GitHub
	Comments on Stack Overflow
	Comments on issues list
	Others, please specify:
Q9 Please	indicate whether the following PR comments include (implicit) mentoring:
going the ex later as you	nent 1: "Did you take note of the discussion on the dev mailing list? Would you mind atra step to update other tests that might be a problem (you're likely to run into them continue with your implementation anyway)?" Pull-request comment (user: spmallette)
O Men	itoring, why?
O Not	mentoring, why?
O Not	sure
mailing list o actions. I do	nent 2: "I think changes like this need to be discussed before hand on the devor on JIRA issue. This would configure a build on a Windows machine using GitHubon't think we need this, at least not in this form." Pull-request comment (user: zregvart)
O Men	itoring, why?
O Not	mentoring, why?
O Not	sure

Q12 Comment 3: "I think this is better than reverting the three commits." GitHub Pull-request comment (user: aljoscha)
O Mentoring, why?
O Not mentoring, why?
O Not sure
Q13 Comment 4: "Thanks for this PR, but: Is this needed? Do 7 chars less per line change something? - It impacts lot of files for IMO rather very low value and could make regression analysis more complex knowing we have already changed a huge amount of code." GitHub Pull-request comment (user: pmouawad)
O Mentoring, why?
O Not mentoring, why?
O Not sure
Q14 Comment 5: "Yeah, I don't know what things Kafka improve from Spark script so I wanted to see the benefit if you know about it. As I commented earlier, just adopting script doesn't work since we use different branch model (master, minor, bugfix) so it should be fully tested (including JIRA integration) before adopting." GitHub Pull-request comment (user: HeartSaVioR)
O Mentoring, why?
O Not mentoring, why?
O Not sure
Q15

Comment 6: "@swill @milamberspace weird, we've lost our Travis integration with PRs here. https://travis-ci.org/apache/cloudstack/ says "The repository at apache/cloudstack was not

found"
GitHub Pull-request comment (user: rhtyd)
O Mentoring, why?
O Not mentoring, why?
O Not sure
Comment 7: "ClassInfo#remove is fine, adding it to InvokerHelper#removeClass is probably fine too. Doing this to Eval is probably working out, since you rarely will create a class within the eval and then using meta programming on it. For the other three this is different, but adding a flushCaches we could have. Of course if we use Closeable, we could use close instead. Adding this to GroovyShell and GroovyScriptEngine would be actually a good idea I think. GroovyClassLoader is, because it is extending URLClassloader, already closeable. So it could go there even without adding a new interface." GitHub Pull-request comment (user: blackdrag)
O Mentoring, why?
O Not mentoring, why?
O Not sure
Q17 Comment 8: "This seems like it might not be backwards compatible with the existing kafkaspout; i.e., the offsets in ZK are presumably not going to be stored exactly the same as they were before. Is there any plan for supporting migration from the current kafka-spout to this one
GitHub Pull-request comment (user: erikdw)
O Mentoring, why?
O Not mentoring, why?
O Not sure