

## Chapter 3

# Preparation on analysis for the proof of Arithmetic Hilbert–Samuel

The goal of this chapter is to prove the Arithmetic Hilbert–Samuel Theorem. We follow the approach of Abbès–Bouche.

### 3.1 Distortion function

#### 3.1.1 Fubini–Study metric

Let  $X$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n$ , endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric (*i.e.* a  $J$ -invariant positive-definite Hermitian inner product  $h(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $T_X$  where  $J$  is the complex structure on  $X$ ). This Hermitian metric induces a positive  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\omega = -\text{Im}h$  on  $X$ , and hence a volume form  $dV := \omega^n/n!$  on  $X$ . Notice that  $h$  can be recovered from  $\omega$  and  $J$  via the formula  $h(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv) - \sqrt{-1}\omega(u, v)$ .

**Definition 3.1.1.** *Such a complex manifold  $X$  is called a **Kähler manifold** if  $\omega$  is closed.*

If  $X$  is a Kähler manifold, we usually call  $\omega$  its *Kähler form*.

**Example 3.1.2.** *For  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ , the **Fubini–Study metric** is defined as follows. We have the standard projection  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  by viewing  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as the space consisting of all complex lines in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . The standard Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  defines the following  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{P}^n$*

$$\omega_{\text{FS}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(|z_0|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2)$$

with  $(z_0, \dots, z_n)$  the standard coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . To see this, consider any open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  such that natural projection admits a lifting  $Z: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then any other lifting  $Z'$  differs from  $Z$  by a non-zero holomorphic function  $f$ , and hence  $\partial \bar{\partial} \log |Z'|^2 = \partial \bar{\partial} \log |fZ|^2 = \partial \bar{\partial} \log |Z|^2 + \partial \bar{\partial} \log (f\bar{f}) = \partial \bar{\partial} \log |Z|^2$ . Thus the local  $(1, 1)$ -forms  $\partial \bar{\partial} \log |Z|^2$ , with  $U$  varying, patch together to a global  $(1, 1)$ -form, which is exactly  $(2\pi/\sqrt{-1})\omega_{\text{FS}}$ .

Notice that  $d\omega_{\text{FS}} = 0$ , *i.e.*  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  is closed.

To see that  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  is a positive  $(1, 1)$ -form, it suffices to prove that it is positive at one point since  $\omega$  is invariant under the group action of  $U(n+1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (which is transitive). Use  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  to denote the standard coordinate on the open subset  $U_0 := \{z_0 \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ , *i.e.*  $w_j = z_j/z_0$ . Then

$$\omega_{\text{FS}}|_{U_0} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(1 + \sum w_j \bar{w}_j) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\sum dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j}{1 + \sum w_j \bar{w}_j} - \frac{(\sum \bar{w}_j dw_j) \wedge (\sum w_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j)}{(1 + \sum w_j \bar{w}_j)^2} \right),$$

which is  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j$  at  $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ . Thus  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  is positive.

By the discussion above,  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  defines a Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , which is called the *Fubini-Study metric*.

By Example [3.1.2](#) the analytification of any smooth quasi-projective variety is a Kähler manifold.

### 3.1.2 Distortion function

Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold. Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  which is positive, *i.e.*  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is a positive  $(1,1)$ -form on  $X$ . By the Kodaira embedding theorem,  $L$  is an ample line bundle on  $X$  (and hence  $X$  is projective). Now for each  $k \geq 0$ , denote by  $kL := L^{\otimes k}$ ,  $V_k := H^0(X, kL)$  the space of holomorphic sections of  $kL$  on  $X$ , and

$$\Phi_k: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_k^\vee), \quad x \mapsto H_x = \{\sigma \in V_k : \sigma(x) = 0\}. \quad (3.1.1)$$

Then  $\Phi_k$  is a closed immersion with  $\Phi_k^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_k^\vee)}(1) \simeq kL$  for all  $k \gg 1$ .

On  $kL$ , we have the natural Hermitian metric  $\|\cdot\|_k$ , which is the metric of  $(L, \|\cdot\|)^{\otimes k}$ . This induces the  $L^2$ -norm on  $V_k$  defines by

$$\|s\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_X \|s(x)\|_k^2 dV \quad \text{for all } s \in V_k = H^0(X, kL).$$

Notice that this defines a metric on  $V_k$ . Notice that  $H^0(\mathbb{P}(V_k^\vee), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_k^\vee)}(1))$  is naturally in bijection with the space of complex lines in  $V_k$ . Thus the construction of Example [3.1.2](#) gives a Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_k^\vee)}(1)$ , which we also call the *Fubini-Study metric*. Thus its pullback via  $\Phi_k$  defines a Hermitian metric on  $kL$ , which we call  $\|\cdot\|_{k\text{FS}}$ .

Thus on  $kL$ , we have two Hermitian metrics:  $\|\cdot\|_k$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{k\text{FS}}$ .

**Definition 3.1.3.** *The  $k$ -th distortion function is*

$$b_k: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{\|\xi\|_k^2}{\|\xi\|_{k\text{FS}}^2}$$

for any  $\xi \in L_x^k \setminus \{0\}$ .

Here is a more explicit expression of the distortion function. Let  $s_1, \dots, s_N$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_k = H^0(X, kL)$  for the  $L^2$ -norm defined above. Then obviously  $\|s_j(x)\|_{k\text{FS}}^2 = 1$  for each  $j$ , and hence  $\|\xi\|_{k\text{FS}}^2 = (\|s_1(x)\|_k^2 + \cdots + \|s_N(x)\|_k^2)^{-1} \|\xi\|_k^2$ . Thus

$$b_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \|s_j(x)\|_k^2. \quad (3.1.2)$$

### 3.1.3 Main result on the distortion function

The main result about the distortion function is the following:

**Theorem 3.1.4.** *The function  $(b_k)^{1/k}$  converges to 1 uniformly on  $X$ . Namely for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0$  such that  $|b_k(x)^{1/k} - 1| < \epsilon$  for all  $k \geq k_0$  and all  $x \in X$ .*

In other terminology, the Fubini–Study metric on  $L$  flattens uniformly into the initial metric  $\|\cdot\|$ .

We shall prove a more precise version of this theorem. For the statement need to introduce the following notion. Locally on  $X$  we can find a suitable complex coordinate  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  of  $X$  such that: (i)  $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$  (in other words,  $(dz_1, d\bar{z}_1, \dots, dz_n, d\bar{z}_n)$  is an orthonormal frame of  $T_X^*$  with respect to the Hermitian metric), (ii) the  $(1, 1)$ -form  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  equals  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(x) dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$  with  $\alpha_j(x) > 0$ .

**Definition 3.1.5.** *The functions  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are called the **eigenfunctions** of  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  with respect to  $\omega$  (or with respect to the Hermitian metric on  $X$ ). The **determinant** is defined to be the smooth function on  $X$*

$$\det c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) := \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n.$$

**Theorem 3.1.6.** *When  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the function*

$$\frac{b_k}{k^n \det c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)}$$

*converges to 1 uniformly on  $X$ .*

Theorem 3.1.6 implies Theorem 3.1.4 immediately.

## 3.2 Proof of the main theorem on the distortion function via heat kernel

Let  $X$  be a connected compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$ , and let  $dV$  be the volume form on  $X$ . Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric  $\|\cdot\|$ .

### 3.2.1 Kodaira Laplacian and Harmonic forms

For any  $k \geq 1$ , denote by  $A^{0,q}(X, kL)$  the space of smooth global  $(0, q)$ -forms with values in  $kL := L^{\otimes k}$  (i.e. global sections of  $(T_X^{0,q})^* \otimes L^{\otimes k}$ ). If  $q = 0$ , notice that  $A^{0,0}(X, kL)$  is precisely the space of smooth (real) sections of  $kL$  over  $X$ .

The Hermitian metric on  $X$  and the Hermitian metric on  $L$  together induce a Hermitian metric on  $(T_X^{0,q})^* \otimes L^{\otimes k}$  which we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{k,q}$ . Then we can endow  $A^{0,q}(X, kL)$  with norms, for example the  $L^2$ -norm

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^2} := \left( \int_X \|\sigma(x)\|_{k,q}^2 dV \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall \sigma \in A^{0,q}(X, kL).$$

Each such norm defines a sesquilinear pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)_q$  on  $A^{0,q}(X, kL)$ .

Denote by  $L_q^2(X, kL)$  the completion of  $A^{0,q}(X, kL)$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -norm. It is a Hilbert space which contains  $A^{0,q}(X, kL)$  as a dense subset.

The differential operator  $\bar{\partial}: (T_X^{0,q})^* \rightarrow (T_X^{0,q+1})^*$  induces a differential operator  $\bar{\partial}_{k,q}: A^{0,q}(X, kL) \rightarrow A^{0,q+1}(X, kL)$ . And  $\bar{\partial}_{k,q}$  has an adjoint  $\bar{\partial}_{k,q}^*: A^{0,q+1}(X, kL) \rightarrow A^{0,q}(X, kL)$  with respect to the given norms, determined by  $(\bar{\partial}_{k,q} u, u')_{q+1} = (u, \bar{\partial}_{k,q}^* u')_q$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.** *The anti-holomorphic Kodaira Laplacian is*

$$\Delta_{k,q}'' := \bar{\partial}_{k,q} \bar{\partial}_{k,q}^* + \bar{\partial}_{k,q}^* \bar{\partial}_{k,q}.$$

*A smooth  $(0, q)$ -form  $u$  is called a **harmonic form** if  $\Delta_{k,q}'' u = 0$ .*

In our case, we are interested in the operator

$$\bar{\square}_k^q := \frac{2}{k} \Delta_{k,q}'' \quad (3.2.1)$$

Notice that  $\text{Ker} \bar{\square}_k^q = \text{Ker} \Delta_{k,q}''$ .

The cohomology of the Dolbeault complex  $\cdots \rightarrow A^{0,q}(X, kL) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} A^{0,q+1}(X, kL) \rightarrow \cdots$  gives  $H^{0,q}(X, kL) \simeq H^q(X, \Omega_X^0 \otimes L^{\otimes k}) = H^q(X, kL)$ .

We state the following lemma without proof (the proof is not hard).

**Lemma 3.2.2.** *A  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form  $u \in A^{0,q}(X, kL)$  is of minimal norm in  $u + \text{Im} \bar{\partial}$  if and only if  $\bar{\partial}^* u = 0$ .*

This lemma (formally) implies that the Dolbeault cohomology group  $H^{0,q}(X, kL)$  is represented exactly by solutions of two first-order equations

$$\bar{\partial} u = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^* u = 0,$$

which can be replaced by the single second-order equation

$$\Delta_{k,q}'' u = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$H^q(X, kL) \simeq \text{Ker} \Delta_{k,q}'' = \text{Ker} \bar{\square}_k^q.$$

In particular if  $q = 0$ , then this realizes  $H^0(X, kL)$  as the subspace  $\text{Ker} \bar{\square}_k^0$  of  $A^{0,0}(X, kL)$ .

In general, we have an  $L^2$ -orthogonal decomposition

$$A^{0,q}(X, kL) = \text{Ker} \bar{\square}_k^q \oplus \text{Im} \bar{\partial}_{k,q-1} \oplus \text{Im} \bar{\partial}_{k,q+1}^*.$$

Recall that  $X$  is compact. We state the following (special case of a) theorem on the spectrum of any self-adjoint elliptic operator which is semi-bounded.

**Theorem 3.2.3** (Spectral theorem). *The operator  $\bar{\square}_k^q$  has discrete spectrum (of eigenvalues)*

$$0 = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_m \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty$$

*and there exists a corresponding orthonormal basis consisting of smooth eigenforms  $\{\psi_m\}$ , i.e.  $\bar{\square}_k^q \psi_m = \lambda_m \psi_m$  for non-zero  $\psi_m$ .*

In general, this theorem can be applied to any self-adjoint elliptic operator  $P$  which is semi-bounded (i.e.  $(Pu, u)_{L^2} \geq -c\|u\|_{L^2}^2$  for some fixed  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and with 0 replaced by  $-c$ .

### 3.2.2 Heat kernel associated with the anti-holomorphic Kodaira Laplacian

We shall assume the following proposition which claims the existence of the heat kernel, which is our main tool to prove Theorem [3.1.6](#).

**Proposition 3.2.4.** *The operator  $\bar{\square}_k^q$  admits a smooth (heat) kernel  $e_k^q(t, x, y)$ , uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (i) *It is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times X \times X$  taking values in  $\text{End}((T_X^{0,q})^* \otimes L^{\otimes k})$ .*
- (ii)  *$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bar{\square}_k^q) e_k^q = 0$  with  $\bar{\square}_k^q$  acting on the  $x$ -variable.*

(iii)  $e_k^q(t, x, y) \rightarrow \delta_y$  (Dirac function) when  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ .

More concretely, (ii) and (iii) mean the following: For each  $u_0(x)$ , there exists a unique smooth solution  $u = u(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \rightarrow \text{End}((T_X^{0,q})^* \otimes L^{\otimes k})$  to the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bar{\square}_k^q)u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

which can be obtained as

$$u(t, x) = \int_X e_k^q(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy. \quad (3.2.2)$$

We sometimes call  $e_k^q(t, x, y)$  the *fundamental solution* of  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bar{\square}_k^q)u = 0$ . It is known that under the eigenbasis given by Theorem 3.2.3 we have

$$e_k^q(t, x, y) = \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-\lambda_m t} \psi_m(x) \otimes \psi_m^*(y).$$

We shall be interested in the *diagonal* of the heat kernel, which for simplicity we denote by

$$e_k^q(t, x) := e_k^q(t, x, x) = \sum_{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t} \|\psi_{\lambda}(x)\|_{k,q}^2 \quad (3.2.3)$$

for the  $L^2$ -orthonormal eigenbasis  $(\lambda, \psi_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$  given by Theorem 3.2.3.

The following theorem is the main theorem on heat kernel expansion and is of fundamental importance. We state the theorem without proof.

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the eigenfunctions of  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  with respect to the Hermitian metric on  $X$ . For any multi-index  $J$ , set  $\bar{\alpha}_J := \sum_{j \notin J} \alpha_j - \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j$ . Define

$$e_{\infty}^q(t, x) := \alpha_1(x) \cdots \alpha_n(x) \frac{\sum_{|J|=q} e^{t\bar{\alpha}_J(x)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (e^{t\alpha_j(x)} - e^{-t\alpha_j(x)})}. \quad (3.2.4)$$

**Theorem 3.2.5.** *There exists a real number  $\epsilon > 0$  with the following property. When  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the function  $k^{-n} e_k^q(t, x)$  converges to  $e_{\infty}^q(t, x)$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in X$  and  $t \in (0, k^{2\epsilon})$ .*

### 3.2.3 Application to the proof of Theorem 3.1.6

Let us prove Theorem 3.1.6 by using the results on heat kernel above.

Let  $(\lambda, \psi_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$  be an  $L^2$ -orthonormal eigenbasis for the operator  $\bar{\square}_k^0$  from Theorem 3.2.3. Recall that  $H^0(X, kL)$  is precisely the subspace  $\text{Ker} \bar{\square}_k^0$  of  $A^{0,0}(X, kL)$ . Thus

$$e_k^0(t, x) = \sum_{\lambda} e^{-t\lambda} \|\psi_{\lambda}(x)\|_{k,0}^2 = b_k(x) + \sum_{\lambda > 0} e^{-t\lambda} \|\psi_{\lambda}(x)\|_{k,0}^2 \quad (3.2.5)$$

where the second equality follows from (3.1.2).

We will study the asymptotic behavior of  $e_k^0(t, x)$  and of  $e_k^0(t, x) - b_k(x) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} e^{-t\lambda} \|\psi_{\lambda}(x)\|_{k,0}^2$  separately.

By Theorem 3.2.5 with  $q = 0$ , we get

$$e_k^0(t, x) = \left( \alpha_1(x) \cdots \alpha_n(x) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2t\alpha_j(x)}} \right) k^n + o(k^n)$$

uniformly in  $x \in X$  and in  $t \in (0, k^{2\epsilon})$  for a fixed  $\epsilon$ . Taking  $t = k^\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$e_k^0(k^\epsilon, x) \sim \alpha_1(x) \cdots \alpha_n(x) k^n. \quad (3.2.6)$$

On the other hand for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we have  $e^{-t\lambda/2} \|\psi_\lambda(x)\|_{k,0}^2 < e_k^0(t/2, x)$  by (3.2.5). Thus

$$\sum_{\lambda>0} e^{-t\lambda} \|\psi_\lambda(x)\|_{k,0}^2 < e_k^0(t/2, x) \sum_{\lambda>0} e^{-t\lambda/2} \quad (3.2.7)$$

**Lemma 3.2.6.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  be an eigenvalue of  $\bar{\square}_k^0$ . For any eigenfunction  $\psi_\lambda$  associated with  $\lambda$ , the  $(0, 1)$ -form  $\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda$  is an eigenform for  $\bar{\square}_k^1$  associated with  $\lambda$ .*

Sometimes we say that *the positive spectrum of  $\bar{\square}_k^0$  injects into the positive spectrum of  $\bar{\square}_k^1$* . Notice that this lemma immediately implies that  $\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda = \bar{\partial}\psi'_\lambda$  if and only if  $\psi_\lambda = \psi'_\lambda$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\bar{\square}_k^0 \psi_\lambda = \lambda \psi_\lambda$ . Applying  $\bar{\partial}$  to both sides, we get  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda = (k/2)\lambda \bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda$ . Thus  $\bar{\square}_k^1(\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda) = \lambda \bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda$ .

It remains to show that  $\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda = 0$ . Then  $\psi_\lambda$  is a holomorphic function on  $X$ , and hence is constant since  $X$  is compact. But then  $\bar{\square}_k^0 \psi_\lambda = 0$ , so  $\psi_\lambda = 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

These  $(0, 1)$ -forms  $\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda$  are still orthogonal to each other, but they do not necessary have  $L^2$ -norm 1 (and hence should be normalized).

By Lemma 3.2.6 and (3.2.3), we have

$$\sum_{\lambda>0} e^{-t\lambda} \frac{\|\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda(x)\|_{k,1}^2}{\|\bar{\partial}\psi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2} < e_k^1(t, x).$$

Integrating on  $X$  and by the definition of the  $L^2$ -norm, we get

$$\sum_{\lambda>0} e^{-t\lambda} < \int_X e_k^1(t, x) dV. \quad (3.2.8)$$

Now (3.2.7) and (3.2.8) together yield

$$\sum_{\lambda>0} e^{-t\lambda} \|\psi_\lambda(x)\|_{k,0}^2 < e_k^0(t/2, x) \int_X e_k^1(t/2, x) dV. \quad (3.2.9)$$

By Theorem 3.2.5 with  $q = 1$ , we get

$$e_k^1(t, x) = \left( \alpha_1(x) \cdots \alpha_n(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{e^{2t\alpha_j(x)} - 1} \prod_{j' \neq j} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2t\alpha_{j'}(x)}} \right) k^n + o(k^n)$$

uniformly in  $x \in X$  and in  $t \in (0, k^{2\epsilon})$ . Set  $\alpha_0 := 2 \inf_{j,x} \alpha_j(x) > 0$ . Then  $e_k^1(t, x)$  is uniformly bounded above by  $Ce^{-\alpha_0 t} k^n$  for some real number  $C > 0$ . Letting  $t = k^\epsilon$ , we get

$$e_k^0(k^\epsilon/2, x) \int_X e_k^1(k^\epsilon/2, x) dV \leq C' e^{-\alpha_0 k^\epsilon} k^{2n}$$

which converges to 0 uniformly in  $x \in X$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus by (3.2.9) we have

$$\sum_{\lambda>0} e^{-k^\epsilon \lambda} \|\psi_\lambda(x)\|_{k,0}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.2.10)$$

uniformly in  $x \in X$ .

Now let  $t = k^\epsilon$  in (3.2.5). Then Theorem 3.1.6 immediately follows from (3.2.6) and (3.2.10).

### 3.2.4 Application to a lower bound of the smallest non-zero eigenvalue

**Lemma 3.2.7.** *Let  $\mu_k$  be the smallest non-zero eigenvalue of  $\bar{\square}_k^0$  on  $X$ . Then*

$$\liminf_k \mu_k \geq \alpha_0$$

where  $\alpha_0 := 2 \inf_{j,x} \alpha_j(x) > 0$  for the eigenfunctions  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  with respect to the Hermitian metric on  $X$ .

*Proof.* By (3.2.8), we have  $e^{-t\mu_k} < \int_X e_k^1(t, x) dV$ . By Theorem 3.2.5 with  $q = 1$ , we get that  $e_k^1(k^\epsilon, x)$  is uniformly bounded above in  $x \in X$  by  $Ce^{-\alpha_0 t} k^n$  for some real numbers  $C > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  by the argument as above. Thus  $e^{t\mu_k} < Ce^{-\alpha_0 k^\epsilon} k^n$ . Taking the log of both sides and letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we can conclude.  $\square$

## 3.3 $L^2$ -existence

Let  $X$  be a connected Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  with Kähler form  $\omega$ , and let  $dV_\omega = \omega^n/n!$  be the volume form on  $X$ .

Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric  $\|\cdot\|$ .

### 3.3.1 Setup

Denote by  $A_c^{p,q}(X, L)$  the space of compactly supported smooth global  $(p, q)$ -forms with values in  $L$  (i.e. global sections of  $(T_X^{p,q})^* \otimes L$  which are compactly supported). The Hermitian metric on  $X$  and the Hermitian metric on  $L$  together induce a Hermitian metric on  $(T_X^{p,q})^* \otimes L$  which we denote by  $|\cdot|_{p,q}$ . Then we can endow  $A_c^{p,q}(X, L)$  with the  $L^2$ -norm

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^2} := \left( \int_X |\sigma(x)|_{p,q}^2 dV_\omega \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall \sigma \in A_c^{p,q}(X, L).$$

This norm defines a sesquilinear pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$  on  $A^{p,q}(X, L)$ .

Denote by  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$  the completion of  $A^{p,q}(X, L)$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -norm. It is a Hilbert space which contains  $A_c^{p,q}(X, L)$  as a dense subset.

Let  $\Lambda := \Lambda_\omega$  be the adjoint of the operator  $\omega \wedge : A_c^{p,q}(X, L) \rightarrow A_c^{p+1,q+1}(X, L)$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -norm. Then we have a differential operator

$$A_\omega := [2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \wedge, \Lambda] = 2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \wedge \circ \Lambda - \Lambda \circ 2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \wedge \quad (3.3.1)$$

on  $A_c^{p,q}(X, L)$  for all  $p, q \geq 1$ .

**Example 3.3.1.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$  with the standard metric, and  $L = \mathcal{O}_X$  with the trivial metric (i.e.  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \|\cdot\|)$  is the trivial Hermitian line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ). Then  $\omega = 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{O}_X, \|\cdot\|) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ . For each  $j$ , denote by  $e_j : A_c^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow A_c^{p+1,q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the operator  $dz_j \wedge$  (resp.  $\bar{e}_j : A_c^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow A_c^{p,q+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the operator  $d\bar{z}_j \wedge$ ). Then their adjoints satisfy  $e_j^*(dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}) = 0$  if  $j \notin J$  and  $e_j^*(dz_j \wedge dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}) = 2dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}$  (since the length of  $dz_j$  is 2), and  $\bar{e}_j^*(dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}) = 0$  if  $j \notin J'$  and  $\bar{e}_j^*(d\bar{z}_j \wedge dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}) = 2dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}$ . In this case,  $\omega \wedge = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum e_j \bar{e}_j$  and  $\Lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum \bar{e}_j^* e_j^*$ . Thus  $A_\omega = \frac{1}{4} \sum (\bar{e}_j \bar{e}_j^* - e_j^* e_j)$ .

Also we have  $\bar{\partial} = \sum \bar{\partial}_j \bar{e}_j = \sum \bar{e}_j \bar{\partial}_j$ , where  $\bar{\partial}_j(\sum f_{JJ'} dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}) = \sum \frac{\partial f_{JJ'}}{\partial \bar{z}_j} dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_{J'}$ . Then  $\bar{\partial}^* = -\sum \partial_j \bar{e}_j^*$ .

We need to extend the differential operators  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $A_\omega$  to  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$ . First, notice that  $A_\omega$  extends to an operator on the whole  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$  because both  $2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \wedge$  and  $\Lambda_\omega$  do. Next, the differential operator  $\bar{\partial}: A_c^{p,q}(X, L) \rightarrow A_c^{p,q+1}(X, L)$  then has an adjoint  $\bar{\partial}^*: A_c^{p,q+1}(X, L) \rightarrow A_c^{p,q}(X, L)$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -norm. Let  $\text{dom } \bar{\partial} \subseteq L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$  consist of those  $u$  for which  $\bar{\partial}u$ , computed in the sense of distribution (*i.e.* using  $\langle \bar{\partial}u, v \rangle_{L^2} := \langle u, \bar{\partial}^*v \rangle_{L^2}$  for all  $v \in A_c^{p,q+1}(X, L)$ ), is in  $L_{p,q+1}^2(X, L)$ .

### 3.3.2 Classical $L^2$ -existence

**Theorem 3.3.2** (Classical  $L^2$ -existence). *Assume  $X$  is geodesic complete for the Riemannian metric determined by  $\omega$ .*

*Assume that the operator  $A_\omega$  is positive definite everywhere in  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$ . Assume  $p \geq 0$ ,  $q \geq 1$  and  $u \in L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$  satisfies  $\bar{\partial}u = 0$  (in the sense of distributions) and  $\langle A_\omega^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2} < \infty$ .*

*Then there exists  $f \in L_{p,q-1}^2(X, L)$  such that  $\bar{\partial}f = u$  and  $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \langle A_\omega^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2}$ .*

We shall assume the following lemma, which is an easy application of the Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano identity (which itself is an easy computation via the Hodge identities).

**Lemma 3.3.3.** *For any  $v \in A_c^{p,q}(L)$  with  $q \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\|\bar{\partial}v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*v\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \langle A_\omega v, v \rangle_{L^2}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.2.* Both  $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$  and  $\text{Im } \bar{\partial}^*$  are closed subspaces of  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L)$ . General theory of Hilbert spaces gives the orthogonal decomposition  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L) = \text{Ker } \bar{\partial} \oplus \text{Im } \bar{\partial}^*$ .

Denote for simplicity by  $C := \langle A_\omega^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2} < \infty$ . Consider the linear functional

$$\text{Im } \bar{\partial}^* \subseteq L_{p,q}^2(X, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \bar{\partial}^*v \mapsto \langle v, u \rangle_{L^2}. \quad (3.3.2)$$

We shall prove that the norm of this linear functional is bounded by  $\sqrt{C}$ , *i.e.*

$$\frac{|\langle v, u \rangle_{L^2}|^2}{\|\bar{\partial}^*v\|_{L^2}^2} \leq C \quad \text{for all } v \in \text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*. \quad (3.3.3)$$

We start with  $v \in A_c^{p,q+1}(X, L)$ , and write  $v = v_1 + v_2$  according to the decomposition  $L_{p,q}^2(X, L) = \text{Ker } \bar{\partial} \oplus \text{Im } \bar{\partial}^*$ . Then Lemma 3.3.3 applied to  $v_1$  implies

$$\|\bar{\partial}^*v\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\bar{\partial}^*v_1\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \langle A_\omega v_1, v_1 \rangle_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, Cauchy–Schwarz yields

$$|\langle v, u \rangle_{L^2}|^2 = |\langle v_1, u \rangle_{L^2}|^2 \leq \langle A_\omega v_1, v_1 \rangle_{L^2} \langle A_\omega^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Thus (3.3.3) holds true for all  $v \in A_c^{p,q+1}(X, L)$ .

To claim (3.3.3) for all  $v \in \text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*$ , we need to use the geodesic completeness of  $\omega$ . Indeed, under this assumption, the Andreotti–Vesentini lemma says that  $A_c^{p,q+1}(X, L)$  is dense in the graph norm of  $\bar{\partial}^*$ , and hence we can conclude for (3.3.3).

Thus we can apply the Riesz representation theorem to the *continuous* linear functional (3.3.2) to conclude that (3.3.2) is represented by an element  $f \in L_{p,q-1}^2(X, L)$  of  $L^2$ -norm  $\leq \sqrt{C}$ , *i.e.*  $\langle v, u \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \bar{\partial}^*v, f \rangle_{L^2}$  for all  $v \in \text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*$ . Therefore  $\bar{\partial}f = u$  as distributions. We are done.  $\square$



### 3.3.3 Hörmander's $L^2$ -existence theorem

**Theorem 3.3.4.** *Assume  $X$  carries a Kähler form  $\widehat{\omega}$  such that  $X$  is geodesic complete for the Riemannian metric determined by  $\widehat{\omega}$ .*

*Assume  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) > 0$ . Assume  $q \geq 1$  and  $u \in L^2_{n,q}(X, L)$  satisfies  $\bar{\partial}u = 0$  (in the sense of distributions) and  $\langle A_{\omega}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2} < \infty$ .*

*Then there exists  $f \in L^2_{n,q-1}(X, L)$  such that  $\bar{\partial}f = u$  and  $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \langle A_{\omega}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2}$ .*

**Remark 3.3.5.** (i) *A particularly important case for which  $X$  carries such a complete Kähler form  $\widehat{\omega}$  is as follows:  $X = X' \setminus Z$  where  $X'$  is a compact Kähler manifold and  $Z$  be an analytic subvariety.*

(ii) *Since  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) > 0$ , locally on  $X$  we can find a suitable complex coordinate  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  of  $X$  such that: (i)  $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ , (ii) the  $(1,1)$ -form  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  equals  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(x) dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$  with  $\alpha_j(x) > 0$ . By the computation from Example 3.3.1, we have then  $A_{\omega} = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_j \alpha_j(\bar{e}_j \bar{e}_j^* - e_j^* e_j)$ , which simplifies to  $\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_j \alpha_j \bar{e}_j \bar{e}_j^*$  for  $(n, q)$ -forms. Thus  $A_{\omega}$  is positive definite.*

With this observation, we shall reduce Theorem 3.3.4 to Theorem 3.3.2 using the following monotonicity result.

**Proposition 3.3.6** (Monotonicity). *Assume  $X$  has two Kähler metrics  $\omega, \omega'$  such that  $\omega' \geq \omega$  pointwise. Then for any positive  $(1,1)$ -form  $\beta$ , we have*

$$|u|_{\omega'}^2 dV_{\omega'} \leq |u|_{\omega}^2 dV_{\omega}, \quad \langle [\beta, \Lambda_{\omega'}]u, u \rangle_{L^2, \omega'} dV_{\omega'} \geq \langle [\beta, \Lambda_{\omega}]u, u \rangle_{L^2, \omega} dV_{\omega}$$

for all  $(n, q)$ -form  $u$ .

Here is a brief explanation on the proof of Proposition 3.3.6. The conclusion can be checked locally, and hence it suffices to check for  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\omega$  the standard Kähler form, and  $\omega' = (\sqrt{-1}/2) \sum \gamma_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$  for  $\gamma_j \geq 1$ . The proof is then a direct computation.

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.4.* For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , set  $\omega_{\epsilon} := \omega + \epsilon \widehat{\omega}$ . Since  $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) \geq 0$ , we can apply Proposition 3.3.6 to  $\beta = 2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$  and to  $\omega$  and  $\omega' = \omega_{\epsilon}$  to get that  $u$  is  $L^2$  with respect to  $\omega_{\epsilon}$  and

$$\langle A_{\omega_{\epsilon}}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2, \omega_{\epsilon}} \leq \langle A_{\omega}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2, \omega}.$$

It is known that  $\omega_{\epsilon}$  is complete. The argument of Remark 3.3.5(ii) shows that  $A_{\omega_{\epsilon}}$  is positive definite. Thus we can apply Theorem 3.3.2 to the Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega_{\epsilon})$ . So we obtain an  $f_{\epsilon} \in L^2_{n,q-1}(X, L)$  (with  $L^2$  with respect to  $\omega_{\epsilon}$ ) satisfying  $\bar{\partial}f_{\epsilon} = u$  and  $\|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2, \omega_{\epsilon}}^2 \leq \langle A_{\omega_{\epsilon}}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2, \omega_{\epsilon}}$ . In particular, the family  $(f_{\epsilon})$  is locally bounded in the  $L^2$ -norm, and hence we can extract a weak limit  $f$  in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}$  (locally  $L^2$ -coefficients), which is the required  $f$ .  $\square$

### 3.3.4 Weighted $L^2$ -existence

To prove the  $L^2$ -extension theorem in the next section, we need a fancier version of Hörmander's  $L^2$ -existence theorem by introducing weights on the operator  $A_{\omega}$ . Let us explain this.

Let  $\eta, \lambda: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be smooth functions. Define

$$B_{\eta, \lambda, \omega} := [(\eta 2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) - \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta - \sqrt{-1} \lambda^{-1} \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta) \wedge, \Lambda_{\omega}]. \quad (3.3.4)$$

**Theorem 3.3.7.** *Assume  $X$  carries a Kähler form  $\hat{\omega}$  such that  $X$  is geodesic complete for the Riemannian metric determined by  $\hat{\omega}$ .*

*Assume that the  $(1,1)$ -form  $\eta 2\pi c_1(L, \|\cdot\|) - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\eta - \sqrt{-1}\lambda^{-1}\partial\eta \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta$  is positive.*

*Assume  $q \geq 1$  and  $u \in L^2_{n,q}(X, L)$  satisfies  $\bar{\partial}u = 0$  (in the sense of distributions) and  $\langle B_{\eta,\lambda,\omega}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2} < \infty$ .*

*Then there exists  $f \in L^2_{n,q-1}(X, L)$  such that  $\bar{\partial}f = u$  and*

$$\left\| \frac{f}{\sqrt{\eta + \lambda}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \left\| \frac{f}{\eta^{1/2} + \lambda^{1/2}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \langle B_{\eta,\lambda,\omega}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2}.$$

The proof follows the same line as Theorem [3.3.4](#). The extra information needed is the following estimate: For all  $(n, q)$ -forms  $u$ , we have

$$\langle B_{\eta,\lambda,\omega}^{-1}u, u \rangle_{L^2} \leq \|(\eta^{1/2} + \lambda^{1/2})\bar{\partial}^*u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\eta^{1/2}\bar{\partial}u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

### 3.4 $L^2$ -extension