

Chapter 5

(Reductive) Borel–Serre compactification

Let (\mathbf{G}, X) be a Shimura datum. By abuse of notation use X to denote a connected component. Throughout the whole chapter, \mathbf{P} will denote a proper parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G}^{der} .

5.1 Borel–Serre compactification

5.1.1 Revision on the rational symmetric spaces and Siegel sets

Recall the rational Langlands decomposition of \mathbf{P} from (4.5.9)

$$P(\mathbb{R})^+ \simeq N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$$

and the induced rational horospherical decomposition (4.5.10)

$$h: X \simeq N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$$

with the rational boundary symmetric space

$$X_{\mathbf{P}} = M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ / (M_{\mathbf{P}} \cap K_{\infty}).$$

Let $\Delta(A_{\mathbf{P}}, P) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be the subset of simple roots defined as in (4.5.8); they are characters of a maximal \mathbb{Q} -split torus $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}}$ contained in \mathbf{P} . Then we have an isomorphism

$$A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}_{>0}^r, \quad a \mapsto (\alpha_1(a)^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_r(a)^{-1}). \quad (5.1.1)$$

A Siegel set in X associated with \mathbf{P} is of the form

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{P}, U, t, V} := h^{-1}(U \times A_{\mathbf{P}, t} \times V) \subseteq X$$

with $U \subseteq N_P(\mathbb{R})$ and $V \subseteq X_{\mathbf{P}}$ bounded and

$$A_{\mathbf{P}, t} := \{a \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ : \alpha(a)^{-1} \leq t, \forall \alpha \in \Delta(A_{\mathbf{P}}, P)\}.$$

If $\mathbf{P} < \mathbf{Q}$ are parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G}^{der} , then $A_{\mathbf{P}} > A_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Moreover, it can be shown that the followings are equivalent: (i) $X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is compact, (ii) $M_{\mathbf{P}}$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank 0, (iii) \mathbf{P} is minimal parabolic in \mathbf{G}^{der} . Furthermore, *reduction theory* asserts the following: Let \mathbf{P} be a minimal parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G}^{der} , then there exist a Siegel set $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathbf{P}, U, t, V}$ associated with \mathbf{P} and a finite set $J \subseteq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $J \cdot \Sigma$ is a fundamental set for the action of Γ on X .

5.1.2 Borel–Serre partial compactification: definition

For any \mathbf{P} , define the *Borel–Serre boundary component*

$$e(\mathbf{P}) := N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (5.1.2)$$

Since N_P is a normal subgroup of P , the boundary component $e(\mathbf{P}) \simeq P(\mathbb{R})^+/A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+(M_{\mathbf{P}} \cap K_{\infty})$ is then an $N_P(\mathbb{R})$ -principle bundle over the rational boundary symmetric space $X_{\mathbf{P}} \simeq P(\mathbb{R})^+/N_P(\mathbb{R})A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+(M_{\mathbf{P}} \cap K_{\infty})$. Another visualization of $e(\mathbf{P})$ is given in (5.1.9), where we see that $e(\mathbf{P})$ is in some way the quotient of X by $A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+$.

The *Borel–Serre partial compactification* \overline{X}^{BS} is defined, as a set, to be

$$\overline{X}^{\text{BS}} := X \cup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{P}} e(\mathbf{P}). \quad (5.1.3)$$

To define the topology on \overline{X}^{BS} , we only need to define the neighborhoods of the boundary points. For this purpose, we need to analyze boundary components $e(\mathbf{Q})$ and $e(\mathbf{P})$ for two parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P} < \mathbf{Q}$ of \mathbf{G}^{der} .

For the reductive subgroup $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of \mathbf{Q} , set $\mathbf{P}' := \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then \mathbf{P}' is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that, by looking at the root system construction,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}'} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}'}, \quad N_P = N_Q \rtimes N_{P'}. \quad (5.1.4)$$

Thus the horospherical decomposition of $X_{\mathbf{Q}}$ associated with \mathbf{P}' is

$$X_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq N_{P'}(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P}'}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}'} = N_{P'}(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P}'}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (5.1.5)$$

Next, we find another \mathbb{Q} -split torus $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}$ of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}'}$. We start with the case where \mathbf{P} is a standard parabolic subgroup. Namely, we fix a basis ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta$ of the relative root system ${}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Phi := \Phi(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}})$ for some maximal \mathbb{Q} -split torus \mathbf{A} in \mathbf{G}^{der} , and then we obtain a minimal parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_0 of \mathbf{G}^{der} as below (4.4.2), and assume $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_I$ for some subset $I \subseteq {}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta$ as in Theorem 4.4.6. Since $\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}$, we have $\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}_0$ and hence $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}_J$ for some $J \subseteq {}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta$ by Theorem 4.4.6, and it is clear that $I \subseteq J$. By Lemma 4.4.7, we have then $\mathbf{A}_I > \mathbf{A}_J$. Better, using definitions of \mathbf{A}_I and \mathbf{A}_J we get that $\mathbf{A}_I = \mathbf{A}_{I,J} \times \mathbf{A}_J$, with $\mathbf{A}_{I,J} := \left(\bigcap_{\alpha' \in J \setminus I} \text{Ker } \alpha' \right)^{\circ}$. Notice that in this case, $\Delta(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}}, P) = {}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta \setminus I$, and hence $J \setminus I \subseteq \Delta(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}}, P)$. In general, \mathbf{P} is conjugate to a unique \mathbf{P}_I , and then the conjugation of \mathbf{Q} by the same element in $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is standard (*i.e.* contains \mathbf{P}_0), and hence $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}_J$ for some $J \subseteq {}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta$. Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} < \mathbf{P}$ be the suitable conjugation of $\mathbf{A}_{I,J}$, and let $I_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}} \subseteq \Delta(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}}, P)$ be the suitable conjugation of $J \setminus I$. Then we have $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}'} \simeq \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}$ by the second equality in (5.1.4). So (5.1.5) becomes

$$X_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq N_{P'}(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (5.1.6)$$

Therefore by (5.1.4) and (5.1.6), we have

$$e(\mathbf{Q}) = N_Q \times X_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (5.1.7)$$

Definition 5.1.1. *The topology on \overline{X}^{BS} is defined as follows: (i) on X it is the natural one, (ii) for each parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G}^{der} , the neighborhoods of a point $(n, z) \in e(\mathbf{P}) = N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is $\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}} U \times A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q},t} \times V$ for all neighborhoods U of n in $N_P(\mathbb{R})$, all neighborhoods V of z in $X_{\mathbf{P}}$, and all $t > 0$, with*

$$A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q},t} := \{a \in A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})^+ : \alpha(a)^{-1} < t, \forall \alpha \in I_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}\}.$$

5.1.3 Borel–Serre partial compactification: corners and Hausdorff property

Recall the isomorphism $A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^r$ from (5.1.1). Use $\overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}}$ to denote the closure of $A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ in \mathbb{R}^r under the natural inclusion $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^r \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$. The discussion on the topology of \overline{X}^{BS} in the previous subsection yields easily the following results.

Lemma 5.1.2. *We have a disjoint decomposition*

$$\overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}} = A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \cup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}} (A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times 0_{\mathbf{Q}})$$

where $0_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the origin of the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{r'}$ arising from $A_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{r'} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{r'}$.

Proposition 5.1.3. *The embedding $N_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}} \simeq X \subseteq \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$ can be naturally extended to an open embedding $N_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}} \times X_{\mathbf{P}} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$. Moreover, the image of $N_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}} \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$ in \overline{X}^{BS} is equal to the subset*

$$X \cup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}} e(\mathbf{Q}) \quad (5.1.8)$$

in \overline{X}^{BS} .

We will call (5.1.8) the *corner associated with \mathbf{P}* and denote it by $X(\mathbf{P})$. Then we have

$$X(\mathbf{P}) \simeq X \times_{A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+} \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}}, \quad e(\mathbf{P}) = N_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \times X_{\mathbf{P}}, \quad X(\mathbf{P}) \simeq e(\mathbf{P}) \times [0, \infty)^r. \quad (5.1.9)$$

Another corollary of Lemma 5.1.2 is the following description of neighborhood bases of points in the boundaries.

Corollary 5.1.4. *For any point $(n, z) \in e(\mathbf{P}) = N_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$, a neighborhood basis in \overline{X}^{BS} is given by $U \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P},t}} \times V \subseteq X(\mathbf{P})$, where $n \in U, z \in V$ are bases of neighborhoods of n and z respectively, and $t > 0$ with*

$$\overline{A_{\mathbf{P},t}} := \{a \in \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}} : \alpha(a)^{-1} < t, \forall \alpha \in \Delta(A_{\mathbf{P}}, P)\}.$$

Finally, we close this subsection by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1.5. *\overline{X}^{BS} is a Hausdorff space.*

Proof. Take two distinct points $y_1, y_2 \in \overline{X}^{\text{BS}} \setminus X$, with $y_j \in e(\mathbf{P}_j)$.

If $\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{P}_2$, then $e(\mathbf{P}_1) = e(\mathbf{P}_2)$ and clearly there are open neighborhoods of y_1 and y_2 which are disjoint.

From now on assume $\mathbf{P}_1 \neq \mathbf{P}_2$. Assume that y_1 and y_2 have open neighborhoods which are non-disjoint. By Corollary 5.1.4, we may assume that the neighborhoods are $U_1 \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_1,t}} \times V_1$ and $U_2 \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_2,t}} \times V_2$ with $t > 0$. We may furthermore assume that U_1, V_1, U_2, V_2 are bounded. Call the intersection W . Then W is open in $U_j \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_j,t}} \times V_j$.

Because $U_j \times A_{\mathbf{P}_j,t} \times V_j$ is open and dense in $U_j \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_j,t}} \times V_j$, we have that $W \cap (U_j \times A_{\mathbf{P}_j,t} \times V_j)$ is open and dense in W . So $W \cap (U_1 \times A_{\mathbf{P}_1,t} \times V_1) \cap (U_2 \times A_{\mathbf{P}_2,t} \times V_2)$ is non-empty.

But $\mathbf{P}_1 \neq \mathbf{P}_2$, so general theory of Siegel sets says that $(U_1 \times A_{\mathbf{P}_1,t} \times V_1) \cap (U_2 \times A_{\mathbf{P}_2,t} \times V_2) = \emptyset$ for $t \gg 1$ (say $t \geq t_0$ for some fixed $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$). Therefore by the previous paragraph, $t < t_0$. Hence we find open neighborhoods $U_1 \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_1,t_0}} \times V_1$ of y_1 and $U_2 \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_2,t_0}} \times V_2$ of y_2 which are disjoint. We are done. \square

5.1.4 Extension of $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -action

For any element $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{C})$, write $\gamma(\cdot)$ for the conjugate $\gamma(\cdot)\gamma^{-1}$.

We start by explaining the action of $P(\mathbb{R})^+$ on the boundary component $e(\mathbf{P})$. Recall $P = N_P \rtimes (A_{\mathbf{P}}M_{\mathbf{P}})$. Let $p \in P(\mathbb{R})^+$, which decomposes into $p = n_0 a_0 m_0$ with $n_0 \in N_P(\mathbb{R})$, $a_0 \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $m_0 \in M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $(n, z) \in e(\mathbf{P}) = N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$, set

$$p \cdot (n, z) := (n_0 \cdot {}^{a_0 m_0} n, m_0 z) \in N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{\mathbf{P}} = e(\mathbf{P}). \quad (5.1.10)$$

We can rewrite this action in the following way. Instead of decomposing $p = n_0 a_0 m_0$, we can also decompose it into $p = m' a' n'$ with $m' \in M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$, $a' \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $n' \in N_P(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed (since $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $M_{\mathbf{P}}$ commute), we can take $m' = m_0$, $a' = a_0$, and $n' = (a_0 m_0)^{-1} n_0$. Then

$$p \cdot (n, z) = ({}^{m' a'}(n' n), m' z). \quad (5.1.11)$$

Next we extend this action to the action of $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ on \overline{X}^{BS} as follows. Let $g \in \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $(n, z) \in e(\mathbf{P}) = N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$. Then we can decompose $g = kp$ for $k \in K_{\infty}$ and $p \in P(\mathbb{R})$, and moreover $p = m' a' n'$ with $m' \in M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$, $a' \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $n' \in N_P(\mathbb{R})$. Notice that both k and m' are not uniquely determined by g , but determined up to an element in $K_{\infty} \cap P = K_{\infty} \cap M_{\mathbf{P}}$. In particular, the product km' is uniquely determined by g . Notice that ${}^k \mathbf{P} = {}^g \mathbf{P}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G}^{der} . Set

$$g \cdot (n, z) := ({}^{km' a'}(n' n), k \cdot m' z) \in N_{kP}(\mathbb{R}) \times X_{k\mathbf{P}} = e({}^k \mathbf{P}) = e({}^g \mathbf{P}). \quad (5.1.12)$$

We need to explain the notation $k \cdot m' z$. Denoting by $K_{\mathbf{P}} := K_{\infty} \cap M_{\mathbf{P}}$, the point $m' z \in X_{\mathbf{P}} \simeq M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ / K_{\mathbf{P}}$ can be written as $m K_{\mathbf{P}}$ for some $m \in M_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Then $k \cdot m' z \in X_{k\mathbf{P}} = X_{g\mathbf{P}}$ is ${}^k m K_{k\mathbf{P}} = {}^k m K_{g\mathbf{P}}$.

Proposition 5.1.6. *The action of $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ on \overline{X}^{BS} defined above is continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the following: Let $\{y_j\}$ be a sequence of points in \overline{X}^{BS} which converges to y_{∞} , then $\{g \cdot y_j\}$ converges to $g \cdot y_{\infty}$ for any $g \in \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$. This is clearly true if $y_{\infty} \in X$. Thus we may assume $y_{\infty} \in e(\mathbf{P})$ for some \mathbf{P} .

Now there are two cases to consider: either $\{y_j\} \subseteq X$, or $\{y_j\} \subseteq e(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}$. Indeed, by passing to a subsequence we can always reduce to one of these two cases. In the first case, write each y_j under the horospherical decomposition associated with \mathbf{P} . In the second case, write the $X_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -component of each y_j under the relative horospherical decomposition (5.1.6). We omit the details of the computation. \square

Finally, let $\Gamma < \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ be an arithmetic subgroup. We have:

Corollary 5.1.7. *Γ acts properly on \overline{X}^{BS} , i.e. any point $x \in \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$ has an open neighborhood W such that*

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma W \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a finite set.

Proof. It is known that Γ acts properly on X . So it suffices to prove the result for $x \in e(\mathbf{P})$ for any \mathbf{P} . By Corollary 5.1.4, we may take $W = U \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P},t}} \times V$, with $U \times A_{\mathbf{P},t} \times V$ a Siegel set in X . Since W is open in \overline{X}^{BS} and that Γ acts continuously on \overline{X}^{BS} (Proposition 5.1.6), we have:

$$\gamma(U \times A_{\mathbf{P},t} \times V) \cap (U \times A_{\mathbf{P},t} \times V) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \gamma W \cap W \neq \emptyset$$

with an argument similar to Proposition 5.1.5. Hence the desired finiteness follows from general theory of Siegel sets. \square

5.1.5 Conclusion

Theorem 5.1.8. *The quotient $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$ is a compact Hausdorff space. If Γ is torsion-free, then $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$ has a canonical structure of a real analytic manifold with corners.*

Moreover, there are finitely many Γ -conjugacy classes of proper rational parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G}^{der} . Taking a set of representatives $\{\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_m\}$, we have

$$\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}} = \Gamma \backslash X \cup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_{\mathbf{P}_j} \backslash e(\mathbf{P}_j) \quad (5.1.13)$$

with $\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}_j} := \Gamma \cap \mathbf{P}_j(\mathbb{Q})$.

We also use $\overline{\Gamma \backslash X}^{\text{BS}}$ to denote $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$.

Proof. $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$ by Proposition 5.1.5 and Corollary 5.1.7.

By Reduction Theory, there are finitely many proper rational parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_m$ of \mathbf{G}^{der} such that the images of some associated Siegel sets

$$U_1 \times A_{\mathbf{P}_1, t_1} \times V_1, \dots, U_m \times A_{\mathbf{P}_m, t_m} \times V_m$$

under $X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$ cover the whole space. Clearly we can take all the U_j, V_j 's to be compact. By Proposition 5.1.3, the closure of $U_j \times A_{\mathbf{P}_j, t_j} \times V_j$ in \overline{X}^{BS} is $U_j \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}_j, t_j}} \times V_j$, which is a compact set. The Γ -translates of these compact sets cover \overline{X}^{BS} because X is dense in \overline{X}^{BS} . So we prove the compactness of $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$.

Next we show that \overline{X}^{BS} has a canonical structure of real semi-algebraic manifolds with corners. Indeed, this is clearly true for $X(\mathbf{P}) \simeq N_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \overline{A_{\mathbf{P}}} \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$ for each \mathbf{P} , and it is not hard to check that the real semi-algebraic structures of different $X(\mathbf{P})$'s are compatible (it suffices to check for $\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}$, for which we can use (5.1.6)). The $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -action is easily seen to be given by real semi-algebraic diffeomorphisms.

Now if Γ is torsion-free, then the action of Γ on \overline{X}^{BS} has no fixed points. So $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{BS}}$ has a canonical structure of a real analytic manifold with corners.

Finally to get (5.1.13), it suffices to show that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}}$ is the stabilizer of $e(\mathbf{P})$ in Γ for each \mathbf{P} . This is true because: for any $g \in \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$, either $g \in P(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $ge(\mathbf{P}) = e(\mathbf{P})$, or $g \notin P(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $ge(\mathbf{P}) \cap e(\mathbf{P}) = \emptyset$; see (5.1.12). We are done. \square

Example 5.1.9. *For the Poincaré upper half plan \mathfrak{H} and the group \mathbf{SL}_2 , consider the parabolic subgroup*

$$\mathbf{P} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

We have $N_{\mathbf{P}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{R}}$. So $e(\mathbf{P}) \simeq \mathbb{R}$, by adding a “real axis” at the point ∞ . Then $\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} \backslash e(\mathbf{P}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ is a loop, with $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

5.2 Reductive Borel–Serre compactification

It often occurs that the Borel–Serre compactification is too large. In this section we define the *reductive Borel–Serre compactification*. For each \mathbf{P} , define the *reductive Borel–Serre boundary component* to be

$$e(\mathbf{P}) := X_{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (5.2.1)$$

Then clearly it is obtained from the Borel–Serre boundary component (5.1.2) by collapsing $N_P(\mathbb{R})$. Define the *reductive Borel–Serre partial compactification* to be

$$\overline{X}^{\text{RBS}} := X \cup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{P}} e(\mathbf{P}), \quad (5.2.2)$$

with the topology as follows. Recall, for each $\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}$, (5.1.6)

$$X_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq N_{P'}(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}}.$$

So $e(\mathbf{P})$ is attached to $e(\mathbf{Q})$ at infinity (here, we use the isomorphism $A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^r$ which is componentwise the inverse of (5.1.1)). In particular for $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$, we retain the horospherical decomposition $X \simeq N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^+ \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$. Now for any $z \in e(\mathbf{P}) = X_{\mathbf{P}}$, a basis of neighborhood system of z in $\overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$ is given by

$$(N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P},t} \times W) \cup \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{P}} (N_{P'}(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q},t} \times W)$$

with W a neighborhood of z in $e(\mathbf{P})$ and $t > 0$. Observe that if W is open, then the union above is the interior of the closure of $N_P(\mathbb{R}) \times A_{\mathbf{P},t} \times W$ in $\overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$.

Similarly to the discussion on Borel–Serre compactifications, we have:

Theorem 5.2.1. $\overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$ is Hausdorff, and the $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -action on X extends continuously to $\overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$.

The quotient $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$ is a compact Hausdorff space containing $\Gamma \backslash X$ as an open dense subset. If we let $\{\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_m\}$ be a set of representatives of the Γ -conjugacy classes of proper parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G}^{der} , then we have

$$\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{RBS}} = \Gamma \backslash X \cup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}_j}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}_j} \quad (5.2.3)$$

with $\Gamma_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}_j}} := \Gamma \cap \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}_j}(\mathbb{Q})$.

We also use $\overline{\Gamma \backslash X}^{\text{RBS}}$ to denote $\Gamma \backslash \overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$.

Theorem 5.2.2. The identity map on X extends to a continuous surjective $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant map $\overline{X}^{\text{BS}} \rightarrow \overline{X}^{\text{RBS}}$.

The identity map on $\Gamma \backslash X$ extends to a continuous map $\overline{\Gamma \backslash X}^{\text{BS}} \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma \backslash X}^{\text{RBS}}$.