## Chapter 2

# From Hodge theory to Hermitian symmetric domains

#### 2.1Basic background knowledge on reductive groups

Let k be a field. Let G be a connected linear group defined over k. Let  $\overline{k}$  be an algebraic closure of k.

Denote by  $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$  the group defined by: for any k-algebra R, we have  $\mathbb{G}_a(R) = R$ . When k is clear in the context, we simply write  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** G is called a reductive group if  $G_{\overline{k}}$  does not contain a normal subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

A notion closely related to reductive groups is the unipotent radical. Let us briefly recall the definition. Recall that G can be embedded as a closed subgroup scheme of  $GL_N$  for some N. An element  $g \in G$  is said to be unipotent if  $(I_N - g)^N = 0$  (as matrix). A subgroup of G is said to be unipotent if all its elements are unipotent. As an example,  $U_N$  (consisting of upper triangular matrices whose diagonal entries are 1) is a unipotent subgroup of  $GL_N$ . Moreover, it is known that any unipotent subgroup of  $GL_N$  is a subgroup of  $gU_Ng^{-1}$  for some  $g \in GL_N$ .

**Definition 2.1.2.** The unipotent radical of G, denoted by  $R_u(G)$ , is the identity component of its maximal normal unipotent subgroup.

As an example,  $R_u(GL_N) = 1$ . Moreover, any algebraic torus has trivial unipotent radical.

Since 
$$\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$$
 is a unipotent subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_N$  via  $x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , we have:

**Lemma 2.1.3.** G is a reductive group if and only if  $R_u(G_{\overline{k}}) = 1$ .

For any reductive group G, its connected center  $Z(G)^{\circ}$  is an algebraic torus. Among reductive groups, those with trivial connected center are of particular importance.

**Definition 2.1.4.** A reductive group G is called **semi-simple** if its connected center  $Z(G)^{\circ}$  is trivial. It is called simple if its only connected normal subgroups are 1 and G.

Clearly, simple groups are semi-simple because Z(G) is a normal subgroup of G. Given a reductive group G, one can naturally construct two semi-simple subgroups:

- (i) the derived subgroup  $G^{\text{der}} := [G, G]$  which is a normal subgroup of G,
- (ii) the adjoint  $G^{ad} := G/Z(G)$  which is a quotient of G.

The composite  $G^{\operatorname{der}} \to G \to G^{\operatorname{ad}}$  is a central isogeny, *i.e.* it is surjective and has finite kernel contained in Z(G). As an example,  $\operatorname{GL}_N^{\operatorname{der}} = \operatorname{SL}_N$  and  $\operatorname{GL}_N^{\operatorname{ad}} = \operatorname{PGL}_N$ , and the kernel of  $\operatorname{SL}_N \to \operatorname{PGL}_N$  is  $\{\pm I_N\}$ .

Next we recall the following structural theorem of reductive groups.

**Theorem 2.1.5** (Structural theorem of reductive groups). Let G be a reductive group. Then there are only finitely many non-trivial simple normal subgroups  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  of G, and

$$G = Z(G)G_1 \cdots G_n$$

with the intersections  $G_i \cap G_j < Z(G)$ .

We end this revision by a characterization of a C-group to be reductive.

**Proposition 2.1.6.** Assume chark = 0. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) G is a reductive group;
- (ii) Any representation V of G can be decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible ones.

**Corollary 2.1.7.** Let G be a connected linear algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then G is reductive if and only if G has a real form  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  (i.e.  $G_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq G$ ) such that  $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$  is compact.

*Proof.* We only sketch for  $\Leftarrow$ . By definition it is enough to prove that  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  is reductive. For any representation V of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ , define an inner product on V induced by  $||v|| := \int_{G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})} gv$  with respect to a Haar measure on  $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then this inner product is  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant. Thus V can be decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible sub-representations of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Example 2.1.8.** Let  $G = GL_{N,\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $GL_{N,\mathbb{R}}$  and (write  $J_{p,q} = \operatorname{diag}\{I_p, -I_q\}$  and denote for simplicity by  $J = J_{p,q}$ )

$$U(p,q) := \{ g \in \operatorname{GL}_{N,\mathbb{C}} : \overline{g}^{t} J g = J \}$$

are  $\mathbb{R}$ -forms of G, with all p+q=N. The associated complex conjugation for U(p,q) is  $\sigma: g \mapsto J(\overline{g}^t)^{-1}J$ . A compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -form is U(N).

### 2.2 Polarization on families and reductive groups

Recall the setting of §1.3.2: V is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $G < \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $X^+ \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G)$  is a  $G^+$ -orbit. We know that  $X^+$  parametrizes certain Hodge structures on V of weight n, and hence has carries a family of Hodge structures. By Proposition 1.3.5,  $X^+$  has a unique complex structure such that this family of Hodge structures varies holomorphically.

Better, we have fixed a  $(-1)^n$ -symmetric pairing  $Q: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  which induces a polarization for the Hodge structure on V given by each  $h \in X^+$ . In this section, we prove that this extra information forces G to be a reductive group.

### 2.2.1 Cartan involution

We need some background knowledge on Cartan involutions.

Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a linear algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\sigma: G_{\mathbb{C}} \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the associated conjugation.

**Definition 2.2.1.** A Cartan involution is a morphism  $\theta: G_{\mathbb{R}} \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\theta^2 = 1$  and that  $(G_{\mathbb{C}})^{\tau} := \{g \in G_{\mathbb{C}} : \tau(g) = g\}$  is a compact real form of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $\tau = \theta_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Example 2.2.2.** Let us look at the following examples with  $G_{\mathbb{C}} = GL_{N,\mathbb{C}}$ .

- (a)  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(N)$ , with  $\theta = 1$ .
- (b)  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p,q)$ , with  $\theta(g) = JgJ$  where  $J = J_{p,q}$ .
- (c)  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{GL}_{N,\mathbb{R}}$ , with  $\theta(g) = (g^{t})^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.3.**  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  is reductive if and only if  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  admits a Cartan involution. And any two Cartan involutions of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  are conjugate.

In Example 2.2.2, the Cartan involutions in (a) and (b) are induced by an element of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ , while in (c) it is not. The first kind is called *inner Cartan involution* and is of particular importance because of its relation with polarizations explained by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.4** (Deligne). Let  $C \in G(\mathbb{R})$  with  $C^2 = 1$ . Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) Int(C) is a Cartan involution of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,
- (ii) any  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -representation V is C-polarizable, i.e. there exists a  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant bi-linear map  $\phi \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(x,y) \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x,C\overline{y})$  is Hermitian and positive-definite (equivalently,  $(x,y) \mapsto \phi(x,Cy)$  is symmetric and positive-definite),
- (iii)  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  admits one faithful representation which is C-polarizable.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a bi-linear map. Observe that the followings are equivalent:

- $\phi$  is G-invariant;
- $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, \overline{y})$  for all  $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $x, y \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ ;
- $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)C\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, C\overline{y})$  for all  $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $x, y \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ ;
- $(x,y) \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x,C\overline{y})$  is *U*-invariant, where  $U=(G_{\mathbb{C}})^{\tau}$  with  $\tau=\mathrm{Int}(C)\circ\sigma$ .

The last equivalence follows from  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)C\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, C\tau(g)\overline{y}).$ 

Now let us go back to the proof of the lemma. (ii) implying (iii) is trivial. (iii) implies that U is compact, and hence implies (i). It remains to show that (i) implies (ii).

Assume (i). Then U is compact. So there exists a U-invariant positive-definite symmetric bi-linear map  $\phi \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}$  is  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant, and so  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \tau(g)\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, \overline{y})$  for all  $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . But  $\tau(g) = C\sigma(g)C^{-1} = C\sigma(g)C$ , hence  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)C\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, C\overline{y})$  for all  $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Thus  $\phi$  is also  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant. This establishes (ii).

Here is a corollary on the Mumford–Tate group.

Corollary 2.2.5. Let (V, h) be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of weight n with a polarization  $\psi$ . Then  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  is a reductive group.

*Proof.* Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathrm{MT}(h)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $C := h(\sqrt{-1})$ . Then  $C^2 = 1$ , and  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a faithful representation of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  which is C-polarization. Hence  $\mathrm{Int}(C)$  is a Cartan involution of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  by Lemma [2.2.4]. So  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  is reductive by Proposition [2.2.3]. Hence  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  is a reductive group.

### 2.2.2 Polarization on parametrizing space

Now let us go back to our setting at the beginning of this section.

Let  $h \in X^+$ . Let  $G_1$  be the subgroup of G generated by  $h(\mathbb{S})$  for all  $h \in X^+$ . In other words,  $G_1$  is the smallest subgroup of G which contains  $h(\mathbb{S})$  for all  $h \in X^+$ . It is easy to check that  $G_1$  is a normal subgroup of G, and that  $X^+$  is a  $G_1^+$ -orbit.

Recall the weight cocharacter  $w \colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathbb{S}$  induced by  $\mathbb{R}^{\times} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.6.** Assume  $h \circ w$  factors through Z(G) for one (and hence all)  $h \in X^+$ . Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) There exists  $\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}(-n)$  which is a polarization for the Hodge structure determined by each  $h \in X^+$ :
- (2)  $G_1$  is a reductive group for one (and hence all)  $h \in X^+$ , and  $Int(h(\sqrt{-1}))$  is a Cartan involution of  $G_1^{ad}$ .

In our setting,  $\psi$  is induced by Q. But this proposition also gives an abstract way of showing the existence of a polarization on a family of Hodge structures, which will be useful in §??.

*Proof.* By assumption, the subgroup  $(h \circ w)(\mathbb{G}_m)$  of  $G_1$  is independent of the choice of  $h \in X^+$ , and we denote it by W. Then  $W < Z(G_1)$ .

Recall the short exact sequence of group over  $\mathbb{R}$ 

$$1 \to U(1) \to \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nm}} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to 1.$$

Let  $G_2$  be the subgroup of  $G_1$  generated by h(U(1)) for all  $h \in X^+$ . Then  $G_1 = W \cdot G_2$ . Moreover since  $W < Z(G_1)$  and  $W \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$ , the inclusion  $G_2 < G_1$  induces  $G_2^{\mathrm{ad}} \simeq G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}$ . So (2) is equivalent to:

(\*)  $G_2$  is a reductive group for  $h \in X^+$ , and  $Int(h(\sqrt{-1}))$  is a Cartan involution of  $G_2^{ad}$ . Take a map  $\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then

```
\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}(-n) is a morphism of Hodge structures for all h \in X^+
\Leftrightarrow \psi is h(\mathbb{S})-equivariant for all h \in X^+
\Leftrightarrow \psi is h(U(1))-invariant for all h \in X^+ because \mathbb{S} = w(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}) \cdot U(1)
\Leftrightarrow \psi is G_2-invariant.
```

Thus  $\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}(-n)$  is a polarization for all  $h \in X^+$  if and only if the  $G_2$ -equivariant map  $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(x,h(\sqrt{-1})\overline{y})$  is Hermitian and positive-definite. Hence by Lemma 2.2.4, (1) is equivalent to  $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$  being a Cartan involution of  $G_2$ . Hence by (\*), it suffices to prove that  $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$  is a Cartan involution of  $G_2$  if and only if it is a Cartan involution of  $G_2^{\operatorname{ad}}$ . So it remains to prove that  $Z(G_2)$  is compact. This is true because  $G_2$  is generated by compact subgroups (since U(1) is compact).

### 2.3 Hermitian symmetric domains

Motivated by Proposition 1.3.5 and 2.2.6, we shall study pairs  $(G, X^+)$  where

- G is a reductive group defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- $X^+$  is a  $G^+$ -orbit contained in  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S},G)$ , with G acting on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S},G)$  via conjugation

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) For any  $h \in X^+$ , the Hodge structure (LieG, h) has type (-1,1) + (0,0) + (1,-1),
- (ii) For any  $h \in X^+$ ,  $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$  is a Cartan involution for  $G^{\operatorname{ad}}$ .

In fact, it is enough to require (i) and (ii) for one  $h \in X^+$ . And condition (i) implies that  $h \circ w \colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to G$  factors through Z(G). Indeed by (i),  $\mathrm{Ad} \circ h \circ w \colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{Lie}G)$  sends z to the multiplication by  $z^0 = 1$ , and hence is trivial. So  $\mathrm{im}(h \circ w) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ad}) = Z(G)$ .

Now take any representation V of G. Then  $X^+ \times V \to X^+$  is a family of  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge structures, with the Hodge structure on  $h \in X^+$  determined by  $\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{h} G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ . By Proposition 1.3.5 and 2.2.6, this family is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -variation of Hodge structures endowed with a polarization.

**Theorem 2.3.1.**  $X^+$  is a Hermitian symmetric domain. More precisely, this means:

- (1)  $X^+ \simeq X_1^+ \times \cdots \times X_k^+$ ;
- (2) Each  $X_i^+$  is a Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type, i.e.  $X_i^+ \simeq G_i/K_{i,\infty}$  where  $G_i$  is a simple group defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $K_{i,\infty}$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_i$ ;
- (3) For each  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ ,  $X_i^+$  has a  $G_i$ -invariant complex structure.

Conversely, any Hermitian symmetric domain can be obtained as  $X^+$  for a pair  $(G, X^+)$  as above. But we will not prove this in this course.

### 2.3.1 The example of Siegel case

Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Let  $\psi \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $(x,y) \mapsto x^{\mathsf{t}}Jy$  with  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Define the  $\mathbb{R}$ -group

$$G_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{GSp}(\psi) = \operatorname{GSp}_{2d} := \left\{ g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) : \psi(gx, gy) = c\psi(x, y) \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2n} : gJg^{\operatorname{t}} = cJ \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \right\}.$$

The derived subgroup  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}} = \operatorname{Sp}_{2d} = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) : \psi(gx, gy) = \psi(x, y)\} = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2d} : gJg^{\operatorname{t}} = J\}.$  Define

$$h_0: \mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \qquad a + b\sqrt{-1} \mapsto aI_{2d} + bJ.$$

Indeed, this map is well-defined since  $(aI_{2d} + bJ)J(aI_{2d} + bJ)^{t} = (a^{2} + b^{2})J$ . Notice that  $h_{0} \circ w \colon \mathbb{G}_{m} \to \mathrm{GSp}_{2d}$  sends  $r \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  to multiplication on V by r. Hence the Hodge structure  $(V_{0}, h_{0})$  has weight -1.

The eigenvalues for J are  $\pm \sqrt{-1}$ . Let  $V^{-1,0}$  (resp.  $V^{0,-1}$ ) be the eigenspace of  $\sqrt{-1}$  (resp. of  $-\sqrt{-1}$ ). Then one can check that each  $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  acts on  $V^{-1,0}$  as multiplication by z and on  $V^{0,-1}$  as multiplication by  $\overline{z}$ . Thus  $(V, h_0)$  is a Hodge structure of type (-1,0) + (0,-1), and  $\psi$  is a polarization.

Now that  $\text{Lie}G_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \text{End}(V) = V \otimes V^{\vee}$ , we know that the Hodge structure (LieG, h) has type (-1,1) + (0,0) + (1,-1). So condition (i) holds true.

For condition (ii), apply Lemma 2.2.4 to the group  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$  and the element  $h_0(\sqrt{-1}) = J$ . Since  $\psi$  is a J-polarization of the Hodge structure  $(V, h_0)$ , by Lemma 2.2.4  $\operatorname{Int}(h_0(\sqrt{-1}))$  is a Cartan involution for  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$ . Hence condition (ii) holds true because  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\operatorname{ad}} = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}/\{\pm I_{2d}\}$ .

Let  $X^+ \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G_{\mathbb{R}})$  be the  $G^+$ -orbit of  $h_0$ . Then  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$  acts transitively on  $X^+$ , and  $\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}}(h_0) = U(d) = O(2d) \cap \operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$ . So

$$X^+ \simeq \operatorname{Sp}_{2d}/(O(2d) \cap \operatorname{Sp}_{2d})$$

with  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$  a simple group defined over  $\mathbb R$  which is not compact. To see the complex structure in a more concrete way, let us make the identification

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}/(O(2d)\cap\operatorname{Sp}_{2d})\stackrel{\sim}{\to}\mathfrak{H}_d:=\left\{\tau\in\operatorname{Mat}_{d\times d}(\mathbb{C}):\tau=\tau^{\operatorname{t}}\text{ and }\operatorname{Im}\tau>0\right\}$$

which sends

$$g \cdot h_0 \mapsto g \cdot \tau := (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}$$
 with  $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ .

The  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$ -invariant complex structure on  $X^+$  is the same as the complex structure on  $\mathfrak{H}_d$  inherited from the open inclusion  $\mathfrak{H}_d \subseteq \left\{ \tau \in \operatorname{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}) : \tau = \tau^{\operatorname{t}} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d(d+1)/2}$ .

### 2.3.2 Cartan decomposition of semi-simple groups

In this subsection, we review background knowledge (without proof) on the Cartan decomposition of semi-simple groups G defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is closely related to the Cartan involution from  $\S 2.2.1$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of a semi-simple group G defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Composing with the adjoint representation Ad:  $G \to \operatorname{GL}(\operatorname{Lie}G)$ , we get an involution on  $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Lie}G$  which we still call a *Cartan involution* and denote by  $\theta$ . Then  $\theta$  has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , and let  $\mathfrak{k}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) be the eigenspace for 1 (resp. for -1). Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}. \tag{2.3.1}$$

Moreover,  $[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , and  $[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$  by looking at the eigenvalues. So  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , while any Lie subalgebra contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is commutative.

**Lemma 2.3.2.**  $K_{\infty} := \exp(\mathfrak{k})$  is a maximal compact subgroup of G.

We can also recover the compact real form of G as follows. The Cartan involution  $\theta$  extends to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and we have a corresponding  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_c := \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $G_c := \exp(\mathfrak{g}_c)$  is a compact real Lie group and which is a real form of G. Notice that  $K_{\infty} = G \cap G_c$ .

### 2.3.3 Proof of Theorem **2.3.1**

By definition of  $X^+$ , the center Z(G) acts trivially on  $X^+$ . Hence the action of  $G^+$  factors through  $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ . By Theorem 2.1.5,  $(G^{\mathrm{ad}})^+$  can be decomposed into a direct product  $(G^{\mathrm{ad}})^+ = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$  with each  $G_i$  a simple group. Fix  $h \in X^+$ , and let  $X_i^+ := G_i \cdot h$ . Then the decomposition of the group induces

$$X^+ \simeq X_1^+ \times \dots \times X_k^+.$$

This establishes (1).

In the rest of proof, to ease notation, use G to denote  $G_i$  and  $X^+$  to denote  $X_i^+$ . Then G is a simple group with trivial center.

Denote by  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}G$ . Consider the action of  $h(\sqrt{-1})$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the adjoint representation. Then  $h(\sqrt{-1})$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$  as identity and on  $\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$  as multiplication by -1. Thus  $X^+ \simeq G/K_{\infty}$  for the subgroup  $K_{\infty} := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{0,0})$  of G. Condition (ii) says that the action of  $h(\sqrt{-1})$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Cartan involution, and hence we have a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  as in (2.3.1). Then condition (i) says that  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$  (and  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ ). Hence  $K_{\infty} := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{0,0})$  is maximal compact in G by Lemma (2.3.2). This establishes (2).

Remark 2.3.3. Assume G is simple with trivial center. If G is compact, we claim that  $X^+ = \{trivial\ map\}$ . Indeed,  $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$  is identity because it is a Cartan involution for G. Thus  $\operatorname{Ad} \circ h \colon \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  sends  $\sqrt{-1}$  to identity, and hence  $(\mathfrak{g},h)$  has Hodge type (0,0) by assumption (i) (since  $\sqrt{-1}$  acts on the complement of  $\mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$  by multiplication by -1). But then  $\operatorname{Ad} \circ h$  is trivial since  $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as multiplication by  $z^0\overline{z}^0 = 1$ . Thus  $h(\mathbb{S}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Ad}) = Z(G) = \{1\}$ .

For part (3), notice that  $[\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1},\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{2,-2} = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$  is an abelian Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Same is true for  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ . Thus  $F^0\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{0,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Therefore  $P_{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(F^0\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$  is a subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{C})$ , with  $P_{\mathbb{C}} \cap G = K_{\infty}$ . Thus the inclusion  $G \subseteq G(\mathbb{C})$  induces an injective morphism of real smooth manifolds

$$X^{+} = G/K_{\infty} \to X^{\vee} := G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}}.$$
(2.3.2)

The tangent of this map is an isomorphism as real vector spaces. Hence this map realizes  $X^+$  as an open subset of  $X^{\vee}$ . This establishes (3). We are done.

### 2.3.4 Borel embedding theorem and Harish-Chandra realization

Replacing G by  $G^{\text{der}}$  does not change  $X^+$ . Hence we may assume that G is semi-simple. Fix  $h \in X^+$ , and take the inner Cartan involution  $\theta$  obtained from  $h(\sqrt{-1})$ . Use the notation from §2.3.2. The real tangent space of  $X^+$  at h, denoted by  $T_{\mathbb{R}}(X^+)$ , can be identified as  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

The element  $J:=h(e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/4})$  satisfies  $J^2=1$ . Its action on  $X^+$  induces a decomposition

$$T_{\mathbb{R}}(X^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}(X^+) \oplus T^{0,1}(X^+)$$

where J acts by multiplication by  $\sqrt{-1}$  on  $T^{1,0}(X^+)$  and by  $-\sqrt{-1}$  on  $T^{0,1}(X^+)$ . Then  $T^{1,0}(X^+)$  is the holomorphic tangent space at h. On the other hand, we have  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{m}^+\oplus\mathfrak{m}^-$  where J acts by multiplication by  $\sqrt{-1}$  on  $\mathfrak{m}^+$  and by  $-\sqrt{-1}$  on  $\mathfrak{m}^-$ ; in fact  $\mathfrak{m}^+=\mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^-=\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$ . Then as we have seen above, both  $\mathfrak{m}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^-$  are abelian Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Let  $M^+ := \exp(\mathfrak{m}^+)$ ,  $M^- := \exp(\mathfrak{m}^-)$ ; both are abelian subgroups of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $K_{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$  and  $P_{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{m}^-) = K_{\mathbb{C}}M^-$ . Then  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Here is a more precise version of (2.3.2), with  $G_c$  the real form of G from the end of (2.3.2)

**Theorem 2.3.4** (Borel Embedding Theorem). The embedding  $G_c < G(\mathbb{C})$  induces an isomorphism of real manifolds  $G_c/K_\infty \simeq G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}} = X^\vee$ . The embedding  $G < G(\mathbb{C})$  induces an open embedding

$$X^+ = G/K_{\infty} \to X^{\vee} = G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}},$$

realizing  $X^+$  as an open subset (in the usual topology) of  $X^{\vee}$ .

We call  $X^{\vee}$  the *compact dual* of  $X^{+}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.5** (Harish–Chandra). The map

$$F: M^+ \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \times M^- \to G_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad (m^+, k, m^-) \mapsto m^+ k m^-$$

is a biholomorphism of of the left hand side onto an open subset of  $G(\mathbb{C})$  containing G. As a consequence, the map

$$\eta \colon \mathfrak{m}^+ \to X^{\vee} = G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad m^+ \mapsto \exp(m^+)P_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is a biholomorphism onto a dense open subset of  $X^{\vee}$  containing  $X^+$ . Futhermore,  $\mathcal{D} := \eta^{-1}(X^+)$  is a bounded symmetric domain in  $\mathfrak{m}^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$  and  $\eta^{-1}(h) = 0$ .

**Example 2.3.6.** Let us continue with Example 2.3.1. The Harish-Chandra realization of Siegel upper-half space  $\mathfrak{H}_d$ , based at  $\sqrt{-1}I_d$ , is

$$\{Z \in \operatorname{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}) : Z = Z^{\operatorname{t}} \ and \ I_d - Z\overline{Z} > 0\}.$$