Chapter 2

From Hodge theory to Hermitian symmetric domains

2.1Basic background knowledge on reductive groups

Let k be a field. Let G be a connected linear group defined over k. Let \overline{k} be an algebraic closure of k.

Denote by $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ the group defined by: for any k-algebra R, we have $\mathbb{G}_a(R) = R$. When k is clear in the context, we simply write \mathbb{G}_a .

Definition 2.1.1. G is called a reductive group if $G_{\overline{k}}$ does not contain a normal subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a .

A notion closely related to reductive groups is the unipotent radical. Let us briefly recall the definition. Recall that G can be embedded as a closed subgroup scheme of GL_N for some N. An element $g \in G$ is said to be unipotent if $(I_N - g)^N = 0$ (as matrix). A subgroup of G is said to be unipotent if all its elements are unipotent. As an example, U_N (consisting of upper triangular matrices whose diagonal entries are 1) is a unipotent subgroup of GL_N . Moreover, it is known that any unipotent subgroup of GL_N is a subgroup of gU_Ng^{-1} for some $g \in GL_N$.

Definition 2.1.2. The unipotent radical of G, denoted by $R_u(G)$, is the identity component of its maximal normal unipotent subgroup.

As an example, $R_u(GL_N) = 1$. Moreover, any algebraic torus has trivial unipotent radical.

Since
$$\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$$
 is a unipotent subgroup of GL_N via $x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we have:

Lemma 2.1.3. G is a reductive group if and only if $R_u(G_{\overline{k}}) = 1$.

For any reductive group G, its connected center $Z(G)^{\circ}$ is an algebraic torus. Among reductive groups, those with trivial connected center are of particular importance.

Definition 2.1.4. A reductive group G is called **semi-simple** if its connected center $Z(G)^{\circ}$ is trivial. It is called simple if its only connected normal subgroups are 1 and G.

Clearly, simple groups are semi-simple because Z(G) is a normal subgroup of G. Given a reductive group G, one can naturally construct two semi-simple subgroups:

- (i) the derived subgroup $G^{\text{der}} := [G, G]$ which is a normal subgroup of G,
- (ii) the adjoint $G^{ad} := G/Z(G)$ which is a quotient of G.

The composite $G^{\operatorname{der}} \to G \to G^{\operatorname{ad}}$ is a central isogeny, *i.e.* it is surjective and has finite kernel contained in Z(G). As an example, $\operatorname{GL}_N^{\operatorname{der}} = \operatorname{SL}_N$ and $\operatorname{GL}_N^{\operatorname{ad}} = \operatorname{PGL}_N$, and the kernel of $\operatorname{SL}_N \to \operatorname{PGL}_N$ is $\{\pm I_N\}$.

Next we recall the following structural theorem of reductive groups.

Theorem 2.1.5 (Structural theorem of reductive groups). Let G be a reductive group. Then there are only finitely many non-trivial simple normal subgroups G_1, \ldots, G_n of G, and

$$G = Z(G)G_1 \cdots G_n$$

with the intersections $G_i \cap G_j < Z(G)$.

We end this revision by a characterization of a C-group to be reductive.

Proposition 2.1.6. Assume chark = 0. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) G is a reductive group;
- (ii) Any representation V of G can be decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible ones.

Corollary 2.1.7. Let G be a connected linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{C} . Then G is reductive if and only if G has a real form $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ (i.e. $G_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq G$) such that $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

Proof. We only sketch for \Leftarrow . By definition it is enough to prove that $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is reductive. For any representation V of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, define an inner product on V induced by $||v|| := \int_{G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})} gv$ with respect to a Haar measure on $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then this inner product is $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant. Thus V can be decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible sub-representations of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Example 2.1.8. Let $G = GL_{N,\mathbb{C}}$. Then $GL_{N,\mathbb{R}}$ and (write $J_{p,q} = \operatorname{diag}\{I_p, -I_q\}$ and denote for simplicity by $J = J_{p,q}$)

$$U(p,q) := \{ g \in \operatorname{GL}_{N,\mathbb{C}} : \overline{g}^{t} J g = J \}$$

are \mathbb{R} -forms of G, with all p+q=N. The associated complex conjugation for U(p,q) is $\sigma: g \mapsto J(\overline{g}^t)^{-1}J$. A compact \mathbb{R} -form is U(N).

2.2 Polarization on families and reductive groups

Recall the setting of §1.3.2: V is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector space, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $G < \operatorname{GL}(V)$ and $X^+ \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G)$ is a G^+ -orbit. We know that X^+ parametrizes certain Hodge structures on V of weight n, and hence has carries a family of Hodge structures. By Proposition 1.3.5, X^+ has a unique complex structure such that this family of Hodge structures varies holomorphically.

Better, we have fixed a $(-1)^n$ -symmetric pairing $Q: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ which induces a polarization for the Hodge structure on V given by each $h \in X^+$. In this section, we prove that this extra information forces G to be a reductive group.

2.2.1 Cartan involution

We need some background knowledge on Cartan involutions.

Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $\sigma: G_{\mathbb{C}} \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the associated conjugation.

Definition 2.2.1. A Cartan involution is a morphism $\theta: G_{\mathbb{R}} \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\theta^2 = 1$ and that $(G_{\mathbb{C}})^{\tau} := \{g \in G_{\mathbb{C}} : \tau(g) = g\}$ is a compact real form of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\tau = \theta_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \theta_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Example 2.2.2. Let us look at the following examples with $G_{\mathbb{C}} = GL_{N,\mathbb{C}}$.

- (a) $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(N)$, with $\theta = 1$.
- (b) $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p,q)$, with $\theta(g) = JgJ$ where $J = J_{p,q}$.
- (c) $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{GL}_{N,\mathbb{R}}$, with $\theta(g) = (g^{t})^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.2.3. $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is reductive if and only if $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ admits a Cartan involution. And any two Cartan involutions of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ are conjugate.

In Example 2.2.2, the Cartan involutions in (a) and (b) are induced by an element of $G(\mathbb{R})$, while in (c) it is not. The first kind is called *inner Cartan involution* and is of particular importance because of its relation with polarizations explained by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.4 (Deligne). Let $C \in G(\mathbb{R})$ with $C^2 = 1$. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) Int(C) is a Cartan involution of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$,
- (ii) any $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -representation V is C-polarizable, i.e. there exists a $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant bi-linear map $\phi \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $(x,y) \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x,C\overline{y})$ is Hermitian and positive-definite (equivalently, $(x,y) \mapsto \phi(x,Cy)$ is symmetric and positive-definite),
- (iii) $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ admits one faithful representation which is C-polarizable.

Proof. Let ϕ be a bi-linear map. Observe that the followings are equivalent:

- ϕ is G-invariant;
- $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, \overline{y})$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x, y \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$;
- $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)C\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, C\overline{y})$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x, y \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$;
- $(x,y) \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x,C\overline{y})$ is *U*-invariant, where $U=(G_{\mathbb{C}})^{\tau}$ with $\tau=\mathrm{Int}(C)\circ\sigma$.

The last equivalence follows from $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)C\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, C\tau(g)\overline{y}).$

Now let us go back to the proof of the lemma. (ii) implying (iii) is trivial. (iii) implies that U is compact, and hence implies (i). It remains to show that (i) implies (ii).

Assume (i). Then $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a compact real form U, which is the set of fixed points of $\tau = \operatorname{Int}(C) \circ \sigma$. There exists a U-invariant positive-definite symmetric bi-linear map $\phi \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ since U is compact. Hence $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant, and so $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \tau(g)\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, \overline{y})$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$. But $\tau(g) = C\sigma(g)C^{-1} = C\sigma(g)C$, hence $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}(gx, \sigma(g)C\overline{y}) = \phi_{\mathbb{C}}(x, C\overline{y})$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus ϕ is also $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant. This establishes (ii).

Here is a corollary on the Mumford–Tate group.

Corollary 2.2.5. Let (V, h) be a \mathbb{Q} -Hodge structure of weight n with a polarization ψ . Then $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ is a reductive group.

Proof. Let $G_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathrm{MT}(h)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $C := h(\sqrt{-1})$. Then $C^2 = 1$, and $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a faithful representation of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is C-polarization. Hence $\mathrm{Int}(C)$ is a Cartan involution of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ by Lemma 2.2.4 So $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is reductive by Proposition 2.2.3 Hence $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ is a reductive group.

2.2.2 Polarization on parametrizing space

Now let us go back to our setting at the beginning of this section.

Let $h \in X^+$. Let G_1 be the subgroup of G generated by $h(\mathbb{S})$ for all $h \in X^+$. In other words, G_1 is the smallest subgroup of G which contains $h(\mathbb{S})$ for all $h \in X^+$. It is easy to check that G_1 is a normal subgroup of G, and that X^+ is a G_1^+ -orbit.

Recall the weight cocharacter $w \colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathbb{S}$ induced by $\mathbb{R}^{\times} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

Proposition 2.2.6. Assume $h \circ w$ factors through Z(G) for one (and hence all) $h \in X^+$. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) There exists $\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}(-n)$ which is a polarization for the Hodge structure determined by each $h \in X^+$;
- (2) G_1 is a reductive group for one (and hence all) $h \in X^+$, and $Int(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ is a Cartan involution of G_1^{ad} .
- In (2), Int $(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ is an automorphism of G_1 which acts trivially on $Z(G_1)$, and so can be seen as an automorphism of G_1^{ad} .

In our setting, ψ is induced by Q. But this proposition also gives an abstract way of showing the existence of a polarization on a family of Hodge structures, which will be useful in §2.3.

Proof. By assumption, the subgroup $(h \circ w)(\mathbb{G}_m)$ of G_1 is independent of the choice of $h \in X^+$, and we denote it by W. Then $W < Z(G_1)$.

Recall the short exact sequence of group over \mathbb{R}

$$1 \to U(1) \to \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nm}} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to 1.$$

Let G_2 be the subgroup of G_1 generated by h(U(1)) for all $h \in X^+$. Then $G_1 = W \cdot G_2$. Moreover since $W < Z(G_1)$, the inclusion $G_2 < G_1$ induces $G_2^{\operatorname{ad}} \simeq G_1^{\operatorname{ad}}$. So (2) is equivalent to: (*) G_2 is a reductive group for $h \in X^+$, and $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ is a Cartan involution of G_2^{ad} . Take a map $\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}$. Then

```
\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}(-n) is a morphism of Hodge structures for all h \in X^+ \Leftrightarrow \psi is h(\mathbb{S})-equivariant for all h \in X^+ \Leftrightarrow \psi is h(U(1))-invariant for all h \in X^+ because \mathbb{S} = w(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}) \cdot U(1) \Leftrightarrow \psi is G_2-invariant.
```

Thus $\psi \colon V \otimes V \to \mathbb{R}(-n)$ is a polarization for all $h \in X^+$ if and only if the G_2 -equivariant map $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(x,h(\sqrt{-1})\overline{y})$ is Hermitian and positive-definite. Hence by Lemma [2.2.4], (1) is equivalent to $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ being a Cartan involution of G_2 . Hence by (*), it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ is a Cartan involution of G_2 if and only if it is a Cartan involution of G_2^{ad} . So it remains to prove that $Z(G_2)$ is compact. This is true because G_2 is generated by compact subgroups (since U(1) is compact).

2.3 Hermitian symmetric domains

Motivated by Proposition 1.3.5 and 2.2.6, we shall study pairs (G, X^+) where

• G is a reductive group defined over \mathbb{R} ,

- X^+ is a G^+ -orbit contained in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G)$, with G acting on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G)$ via conjugation satisfying the following properties:
 - (i) For any $h \in X^+$, the Hodge structure (LieG, h) has type (-1,1) + (0,0) + (1,-1),
 - (ii) For any $h \in X^+$, $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ is a Cartan involution for G^{ad} .

In fact, it is enough to require (i) and (ii) for one $h \in X^+$. And condition (i) implies that $h \circ w \colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to G$ factors through Z(G). Indeed by (i), $\mathrm{Ad} \circ h \circ w \colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{Lie}G)$ sends z to the multiplication by $z^0 = 1$, and hence is trivial. So $\mathrm{im}(h \circ w) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ad}) = Z(G)$.

Now take any representation V of G. Then $X^+ \times V \to X^+$ is a family of \mathbb{R} -Hodge structures, with the Hodge structure on $h \in X^+$ determined by $\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{h} G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$. By Proposition 1.3.5 and 2.2.6, this family is an \mathbb{R} -variation of Hodge structures endowed with a polarization.

Theorem 2.3.1. X^+ is a Hermitian symmetric domain. More precisely, this means:

- (1) $X^+ \simeq X_1^+ \times \cdots \times X_k^+$;
- (2) Each X_i^+ is a Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type, i.e. $X_i^+ \simeq G_i/K_{i,\infty}$ where G_i is a simple group defined over \mathbb{R} and $K_{i,\infty}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G_i ;
- (3) For each $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, X_i^+ has a G_i -invariant complex structure.

Conversely, any Hermitian symmetric domain can be obtained as X^+ for a pair (G, X^+) as above. But we will not prove this in this course.

2.3.1 The example of Siegel case

Let
$$V = \mathbb{R}^{2d}$$
. Let $\psi \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ be $(x, y) \mapsto x^{t}Jy$ with $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{d} \\ -I_{d} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Define the \mathbb{R} -group

$$G_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{GSp}(\psi) = \operatorname{GSp}_{2d} := \left\{ g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) : \psi(gx, gy) = c\psi(x, y) \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2d,\mathbb{R}} : gJg^{\operatorname{t}} = cJ \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \right\}.$$

The derived subgroup $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}} = \operatorname{Sp}_{2d} = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) : \psi(gx, gy) = \psi(x, y)\} = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}_{2d,\mathbb{R}} : gJg^{\operatorname{t}} = J\}.$ Define

$$h_0: \mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{GSp}_{2d}, \qquad a + b\sqrt{-1} \mapsto aI_{2d} + bJ.$$

Indeed, this map is well-defined since $(aI_{2d} + bJ)J(aI_{2d} + bJ)^{t} = (a^{2} + b^{2})J$. Notice that $h_{0} \circ w \colon \mathbb{G}_{m} \to \mathrm{GSp}_{2d}$ sends $r \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ to multiplication on V by r. Hence the Hodge structure (V, h_{0}) has weight -1.

The eigenvalues for J are $\pm \sqrt{-1}$. Let $V^{-1,0}$ (resp. $V^{0,-1}$) be the eigenspace of $\sqrt{-1}$ (resp. of $-\sqrt{-1}$). Then one can check that each $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ acts on $V^{-1,0}$ as multiplication by z and on $V^{0,-1}$ as multiplication by \overline{z} . Thus (V, h_0) is a Hodge structure of type (-1,0) + (0,-1), and ψ is a polarization.

Now that $\text{Lie}G_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \text{End}(V) = V \otimes V^{\vee}$, we know that the Hodge structure (LieG, h) has type (-1,1)+(0,0)+(1,-1). So condition (i) holds true.

For condition (ii), apply Lemma 2.2.4 to the group $(GSp_{2d})^{ad} = GSp_{2d}/Z$, where Z is the subgroup of scalar matrices, and the element $C \in (GSp_{2d})^{ad}(\mathbb{R})$ being the image of $h_0(\sqrt{-1}) = J \in GSp_{2d}(\mathbb{R})$. Since ψ is a J-polarization of the Hodge structure (V, h_0) , by Lemma 2.2.4 $Int(h_0(\sqrt{-1}))$ is a Cartan involution for $(GSp_{2d})^{ad}$.

Let $X^+ \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{S}, G_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the G^+ -orbit of h_0 . Then Sp_{2d} acts transitively on X^+ , and $\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{Sp}_{2d}}(h_0) = U(d) = O(2d) \cap \operatorname{Sp}_{2d}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of Sp_{2d} . So

$$X^+ \simeq \operatorname{Sp}_{2d}/(O(2d) \cap \operatorname{Sp}_{2d})$$

with Sp_{2d} a simple group defined over $\mathbb R$ which is not compact. To see the complex structure in a more concrete way, let us make the identification

$$X^{+} = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}/(O(2d) \cap \operatorname{Sp}_{2d}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{H}_{d} := \{ \tau \in \operatorname{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}) : \tau = \tau^{t} \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}\tau > 0 \}$$
 (2.3.1)

which sends

$$g \cdot h_0 \mapsto g \cdot \tau := (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}$$
 with $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$.

The Sp_{2d} -invariant complex structure on X^+ is the same as the complex structure on \mathfrak{H}_d inherited from the open inclusion $\mathfrak{H}_d \subseteq \{\tau \in \operatorname{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}) : \tau = \tau^{\operatorname{t}}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d(d+1)/2}$.

2.3.2 Cartan decomposition of semi-simple groups

In this subsection, we review background knowledge (without proof) on the Cartan decomposition of semi-simple groups G defined over \mathbb{R} . This is closely related to the Cartan involution from §2.2.1.

Let θ be a Cartan involution of a semi-simple group G defined over \mathbb{R} . Composing with the adjoint representation Ad: $G \to \operatorname{GL}(\operatorname{Lie}G)$, we get an involution on $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Lie}G$ which we still call a *Cartan involution* and denote by θ . Then θ has eigenvalues ± 1 , and let \mathfrak{k} (resp. \mathfrak{m}) be the eigenspace for 1 (resp. for -1). Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}. \tag{2.3.2}$$

Moreover, $[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$, $[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, and $[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ by looking at the eigenvalues. So \mathfrak{k} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , while any Lie subalgebra contained in \mathfrak{m} is commutative.

Lemma 2.3.2. $K_{\infty} := \exp(\mathfrak{k})$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G.

We can also recover the compact real form of G as follows. The Cartan involution θ extends to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and we have a corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_c := \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{m}$. Then $G_c := \exp(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ is a compact real Lie group and which is a real form of G. Notice that $K_{\infty} = G \cap G_c$.

2.3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.3.1

By definition of X^+ , the center Z(G) acts trivially on X^+ . Hence the action of G^+ factors through $G^{ad}(\mathbb{R})^+$. By Theorem 2.1.5, $(G^{ad})^+$ can be decomposed into a direct product $(G^{ad})^+ = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$ with each G_i a simple group. Fix $h \in X^+$, and let $X_i^+ := G_i \cdot h$. Then the decomposition of the group induces

$$X^+ \simeq X_1^+ \times \cdots \times X_k^+.$$

This establishes (1).

In the rest of proof, to ease notation, use G to denote G_i and X^+ to denote X_i^+ . Then G is a simple group with trivial center.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}G$. Consider the action of $h(\sqrt{-1})$ on \mathfrak{g} via the adjoint representation. Then $h(\sqrt{-1})$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$ as identity and on $\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ as multiplication by -1. Thus $X^+ \simeq G/K_{\infty}$ for the subgroup $K_{\infty} := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{0,0})$ of G. Condition (ii) says that the action of $h(\sqrt{-1})$ on \mathfrak{g} is a Cartan involution, and hence we have a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ as in (2.3.2). Then condition (i) says that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$ (and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$). Hence $K_{\infty} := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{0,0})$ is maximal compact in G by Lemma (2.3.2). This establishes (2).

Remark 2.3.3. Assume G is simple with trivial center. If G is compact, we claim that $X^+ = \{trivial\ map\}$. Indeed, $\operatorname{Int}(h(\sqrt{-1}))$ is identity because it is a Cartan involution for G. Thus $\operatorname{Ad} \circ h \colon \mathbb{S} \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ sends $\sqrt{-1}$ to identity, and hence (\mathfrak{g}, h) has Hodge type (0,0) by assumption (i) (since $\sqrt{-1}$ acts on the complement of $\mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$ by multiplication by -1). But then $\operatorname{Ad} \circ h$ is trivial since $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ acts on \mathfrak{g} as multiplication by $z^0\overline{z}^0 = 1$. Thus $h(\mathbb{S}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Ad}) = Z(G) = \{1\}$.

For part (3), notice that $[\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1},\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{2,-2} = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Same is true for $\mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$. Thus $F^0\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{0,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore $P_{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(F^0\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{C})$, with $P_{\mathbb{C}} \cap G = K_{\infty}$. Thus the inclusion $G \subseteq G(\mathbb{C})$ induces an injective morphism of real smooth manifolds

$$X^{+} = G/K_{\infty} \to X^{\vee} := G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}}.$$
(2.3.3)

The tangent of this map is an isomorphism as real vector spaces. Hence this map realizes X^+ as an open subset of X^{\vee} . This establishes (3). We are done.

2.3.4 Borel embedding theorem and Harish-Chandra realization

Replacing G by G^{der} does not change X^+ . Hence we may assume that G is semi-simple. Fix $h \in X^+$, and take the inner Cartan involution θ obtained from $h(\sqrt{-1})$. Use the notation from §2.3.2. The real tangent space of X^+ at h, denoted by $T_{\mathbb{R}}(X^+)$, can be identified as \mathfrak{m} .

The element $J := h(e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/4})$ satisfies $J^2 = 1$. Its action on X^+ induces a decomposition

$$T_{\mathbb{R}}(X^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}(X^+) \oplus T^{0,1}(X^+)$$

where J acts by multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$ on $T^{1,0}(X^+)$ and by $-\sqrt{-1}$ on $T^{0,1}(X^+)$. Then $T^{1,0}(X^+)$ is the holomorphic tangent space at h. On the other hand, we have $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{m}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{m}^-$ where J acts by multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$ on \mathfrak{m}^+ and by $-\sqrt{-1}$ on \mathfrak{m}^- ; in fact $\mathfrak{m}^+ = \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^- = \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1}$. Then as we have seen above, both \mathfrak{m}^+ and \mathfrak{m}^- are abelian Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $M^+ := \exp(\mathfrak{m}^+)$, $M^- := \exp(\mathfrak{m}^-)$; both are abelian subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $K_{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $P_{\mathbb{C}} := \exp(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{m}^-) = K_{\mathbb{C}}M^-$. Then $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Here is a more precise version of (2.3.3), with G_c the real form of G from the end of (2.3.2).

Theorem 2.3.4 (Borel Embedding Theorem). The embedding $G_c < G(\mathbb{C})$ induces an isomorphism of real manifolds $G_c/K_\infty \simeq G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}} = X^{\vee}$. The embedding $G < G(\mathbb{C})$ induces an open embedding

$$X^+ = G/K_{\infty} \to X^{\vee} = G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}},$$

realizing X^+ as an open subset (in the usual topology) of X^{\vee} .

We call X^{\vee} the compact dual of X^{+} .

Theorem 2.3.5 (Harish-Chandra). The map

$$F: M^+ \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \times M^- \to G_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad (m^+, k, m^-) \mapsto m^+ k m^-$$

is a biholomorphism of of the left hand side onto an open subset of $G(\mathbb{C})$ containing G. As a consequence, the map

$$\eta \colon \mathfrak{m}^+ \to X^{\vee} = G(\mathbb{C})/P_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad m^+ \mapsto \exp(m^+)P_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is a biholomorphism onto a dense open subset of X^{\vee} containing X^+ . Futhermore, $\mathcal{D}:=\eta^{-1}(X^+)$ is a bounded symmetric domain in $\mathfrak{m}^+\simeq\mathbb{C}^N$ and $\eta^{-1}(h)=0$.

Example 2.3.6. Let us continue with Example 2.3.1. The Harish-Chandra realization of Siegel upper-half space \mathfrak{H}_d , based at $\sqrt{-1}I_d$, is

$$\{Z \in \operatorname{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}) : Z = Z^{\operatorname{t}} \text{ and } I_d - Z\overline{Z} > 0\}.$$