

# Chapter 1

## Preparation on Hodge theory

### 1.1 Hodge structure and polarizations

Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

#### 1.1.1 Hodge decomposition and Hodge filtration

**Definition 1.1.1.** An  $R$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$  is a torsion-free  $R$ -module of finite type  $V$  endowed with a bigrading (called the **Hodge decomposition**)

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{p,q}, \quad \text{with} \quad \overline{V^{q,p}} = V^{p,q}.$$

An  $R$ -linear map  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  between two Hodge structures of weight  $n$  is said to be a **morphism of Hodge structures** if  $\varphi(V^{p,q}) \subseteq W^{p,q}$  for all  $p, q$ .

We thus have the *category of  $R$ -Hodge structures of weight  $n$* , denoted by  $\text{HS}_R^n$ . One can define direct sums in  $\text{HS}_R^n$ , and hence makes it into an *abelian category*.

We can also consider the *category of  $R$ -Hodge structures*, denoted by  $\text{HS}_R$ . The objects are  $R$ -Hodge structures of any weight. Then we can define tensor products, duals, and internal homs in  $\text{HS}_R$  as follows. Let  $V \in \text{HS}_R^n$  and  $W \in \text{HS}_R^m$ ,

- (i) the bigrading on  $V \otimes W \in \text{HS}_R^{n+m}$  is given by  $(V \otimes W)^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{r+r'=p, s+s'=q} V^{r,s} \otimes W^{r',s'}$ ;
- (ii) the bigrading on  $V^{\vee} \in \text{HS}_R^{-n}$  is given by  $(V^{\vee})^{p,q} = (V^{-p,-q})^{\vee}$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{Hom}(V, W) := V^{\vee} \otimes W$ .

Here are some examples.

**Example 1.1.2** (Tate twist). For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set  $R(m) \in \text{HS}_R^{-2m}$  to be

$$R(m) = (2\pi i)^m R, \quad R(m)_{\mathbb{C}} = R^{-m, -m}.$$

Then  $R(0) = R$ ,  $R(m) = R(1)^{\otimes m}$  with  $R(-1) = R(1)^{\vee}$ .

**Example 1.1.3** (cohomology from geometry). Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective variety defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For each  $n \geq 0$ , the Betti cohomology  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{tor}$  admits a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$  via the Betti-de Rham comparison  $H^n(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^n(X)$  and the decomposition of  $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X)$  into the direct sum of subspaces arising from  $(p, q)$ -forms.

**Example 1.1.4** (Complex tori). *We explain in this example the following equivalence of categories:*

$$\{\text{complex tori}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\mathbb{Z}\text{-Hodge structures of type } (-1, 0) + (0, -1)\}.$$

The direction  $\rightarrow$  is by sending  $T \mapsto H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $T$  be a complex torus of dimension  $g \geq 1$ . Set

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}} := H_1(T, \mathbb{Z}).$$

As a real manifold, we then have  $T \simeq V_{\mathbb{R}}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Moreover, as a real space  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Lie}(T_{\mathbb{R}})$ , the Lie algebra with  $T_{\mathbb{R}}$  seen as a real Lie group. The complex structure on  $T$  gives an action of  $J$  on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , with

$$J := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence the desired Hodge decomposition

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^{-1,0} \oplus V^{0,-1}$$

with  $V^{-1,0}$  the eigenspace of  $\sqrt{-1}$  and  $V^{0,-1}$  the eigenspace of  $-\sqrt{-1}$ .

The direction  $\leftarrow$  is given as follows. Let  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge structure of type  $(-1, 0) + (0, -1)$ . Then  $V_{\mathbb{C}}/V^{0,-1}$  is a complex space of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}\text{rank} V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Thus we obtain the desired complex torus

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash V_{\mathbb{C}}/V^{0,-1} \simeq V_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash V^{-1,0}.$$

Notice that we have implicitly an isomorphism of real vector spaces  $V_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq V_{\mathbb{C}}/V^{0,-1} = V^{-1,0}$  given as the composite  $V_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}/V^{0,-1} = V^{-1,0}$ .

An alternative way to see the Hodge decomposition is the following Hodge filtration. It is of particular importance when we consider families of Hodge structures.

**Definition 1.1.5.** Let  $V$  be an  $R$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$ . The **Hodge filtration** is the decreasing chain  $\cdots \supseteq F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} \supseteq F^{p-1} V_{\mathbb{C}} \supseteq \cdots$  with

$$F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} := \bigoplus_{r \geq p} V^{r,s}. \quad (1.1.1)$$

Conversely, the Hodge decomposition can be recovered by the Hodge filtration via

$$V^{p,q} = F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \overline{F^q V_{\mathbb{C}}}. \quad (1.1.2)$$

## 1.1.2 Polarizations

Let  $V$  be an  $R$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$ .

The *Weil operator*  $C \in \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  is defined as follows: It acts on  $V^{p,q}$  by multiplication by  $\sqrt{-1}^{q-p}$ . We have  $Cx = \overline{Cx}$  for all  $x \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .<sup>[1]</sup> So  $C \in \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

**Definition 1.1.6.** A **polarization** on  $V$  is a morphism of Hodge structures

$$\psi: V \otimes V \rightarrow R(-n)$$

such that the bi-linear map

$$V_{\mathbb{R}} \times V_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \psi_C(x, y) := (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n \psi(x, Cy) \quad (1.1.3)$$

is symmetric and positive definite.

---

<sup>[1]</sup>Indeed, for  $x = \sum_{p,q} x_{p,q} \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have  $\overline{x_{p,q}} = x_{q,p}$  because  $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$ . So  $\overline{Cx} = \sum_{p,q} \sqrt{-1}^{q-p} \overline{x_{p,q}} = \sum_{p,q} \sqrt{-1}^{p-q} x_{q,p} = \sum_{p,q} \sqrt{-1}^{p-q} x_{q,p} = Cx$ , and hence  $Cx \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

The Hermitian pairing associated with the bi-linear map (1.1.3) is  $(x, y) \mapsto \psi_C(x, \bar{y})$ .

**Lemma 1.1.7.** *Let  $V \in \text{HS}_R^n$ , and let  $\psi$  be a polarization. Then*

- (i)  $\psi$  is  $(-1)^n$ -symmetric, i.e. is alternating if  $n$  is odd and is symmetric if  $n$  is even.
- (ii) the decomposition  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$  is orthogonal with respect to the Hermitian pairing associated with (1.1.3).

*Proof.* We start by proving (ii). Take  $x \in V^{p,q}$  and  $y \in V^{r,s}$ . Then

$$(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n}\psi_C(x, \bar{y}) = \psi(x, C\bar{y}) = \psi(x, \sqrt{-1}^{r-s}\bar{y}) = \sqrt{-1}^{r-s}\psi(x, \bar{y})$$

Now  $(x, \bar{y}) \in V^{p,q} \times V^{s,r} \subseteq (V \times V)^{p+s, q+r}$ . So  $\psi(x, \bar{y}) \in R(-n)^{p+s, q+r}$  since  $\psi$  is a morphism of Hodge structures. Assume  $\psi(x, \bar{y}) \neq 0$ . Then  $p+s = q+r = n$ . But  $p+q = r+s = n$ . So  $p=r$  and  $q=s$ . Thus  $\psi_C(V^{p,q}, \overline{V^{r,s}}) = 0$  unless  $p=r$  and  $q=s$ . This establishes (ii).

Now we turn to (i). The proof will be much easier if we apply Proposition 1.3.5. Here we give a direct computation without using this proposition.

For each  $x, y \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , write  $x = \sum_{p,q} x_{p,q}$  and  $y = \sum_{p,q} y_{p,q}$  under  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$ . Then  $(y_{p,q}, x_{r,s}) \subseteq (V \times V)^{p+r, q+s}$ , and hence  $\psi(y_{p,q}, x_{r,s}) \in R(-n)^{p+r, q+s}$  is 0 unless  $p+r = q+s = n$ . So

$$\psi(y, x) = \sum_{p,q} \psi(y_{p,q}, x_{p,q}).$$

On the other hand,  $x_{p,q} = \overline{x_{q,p}}$  and  $y_{p,q} = \overline{y_{q,p}}$  since  $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_C(Cy, x) &= \psi_C\left(\sum_{p,q} \sqrt{-1}^{q-p} y_{p,q}, \sum_{p,q} x_{p,q}\right) \\ &= \psi_C\left(\sum_{p,q} \sqrt{-1}^{q-p} y_{p,q}, \sum_{p,q} \overline{x_{p,q}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{p,q} \psi_C(\sqrt{-1}^{q-p} y_{p,q}, \overline{x_{p,q}}) \\ &= \sum_{p,q} \psi_C(\sqrt{-1}^{q-p} y_{p,q}, x_{q,p}) \\ &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n \sum_{p,q} \psi(\sqrt{-1}^{q-p} y_{p,q}, Cx_{q,p}) \\ &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n \sum_{p,q} \psi(\sqrt{-1}^{q-p} y_{p,q}, \sqrt{-1}^{p-q} x_{q,p}) \\ &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n \sum_{p,q} \psi(y_{p,q}, x_{q,p}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\psi(y, x) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n} \psi_C(Cy, x).$$

Since  $\psi_C$  is symmetric, we furthermore have

$$\psi(y, x) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n} \psi_C(x, Cy) = \psi(x, C^2 y).$$

Notice that  $C^2$  acts on  $V^{p,q}$  by multiplication by  $(-1)^{q-p} = (-1)^{q+p} = (-1)^n$  for all  $p, q$ . Thus  $C^2$  acts on  $V$  as multiplication by  $(-1)^n$ . So we have

$$\psi(y, x) = (-1)^n \psi(x, y).$$

This establishes (i). □

**Example 1.1.8** (Complex abelian varieties). We continue with Example 1.1.4 and prove

$$\{\text{complex abelian varieties}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{polarizable } \mathbb{Z}\text{-Hodge structures of type } (-1, 0) + (0, -1)\}.$$

Let  $T$  be a complex torus which corresponds to  $V_{\mathbb{Z}} = H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $T \simeq V_{\mathbb{R}}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  as real manifolds. Thus  $\bigwedge^2 V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\vee} \simeq \bigwedge^2 H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$ . Therefore the set of alternating pairings

$$\psi: V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(1)$$

is in bijection with  $H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ .

The short exact sequence of sheaves  $0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}_T^* \rightarrow 0$  induces

$$\text{Pic}(T) = H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T^*) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H^2(T, \mathcal{O}_T).$$

Assume  $T$  is an abelian variety. Then there exists an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $T$ . The Ampell–Hubert data for  $L$  then gives an alternating pairing  $\psi \in H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}(1))$  such that the Hermitian pairing  $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(x, \sqrt{-1}\bar{y})$  is the  $c_1$  of  $L$  for a suitable Hermitian metric on  $L$ . But  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  has Hodge type  $(-1, 0) + (0, -1)$  and the complex structure on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is by identifying  $V_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq V^{-1,0}$ . So  $c_1(L)$  is precisely  $\psi_C$ . The ampleness of  $L$  implies that  $\psi_C$  is positive-definite. Thus  $\psi$  is a polarization on  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Conversely assume  $\psi$  is a polarization on  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $\psi$  can be seen as an element in  $H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ , and  $\psi_C$  equals  $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(x, \sqrt{-1}\bar{y})$  as above. So the Ampell–Hubert Theorem gives a line bundle  $L$  on  $T$  such that  $c_1(L) = \psi_C$ . The positivity of  $\psi_C$  thus implies the ampleness of  $L$  by Kodaira embedding. So  $T$  is an abelian variety.

**Example 1.1.9** (Primitive cohomology and Lefschetz). We continue with Example 1.1.3. Assume  $d = \dim X$ . Let  $\omega$  be a Kähler form on  $X^{\text{an}}$ , which is a closed  $(1, 1)$ -form. It induces a homomorphism  $L: H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{n+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $[\alpha] \mapsto [\omega \wedge \alpha]$ ; here we are using  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H^n(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^n(X)$ . The Hard Lefschetz Theorem says that  $L^r: H^{d-r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{d+r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . Now let  $r = d - n$ . Set  $H_{\text{prim}}^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$  to be the kernel of  $L^{r+1}: H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2d-n+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . We have a morphism of Hodge structures

$$\psi: H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow[\sim]{1 \otimes L^r} H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2d-n}(X, \mathbb{Q}) (\dim X - n) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Q}) (d - n) = \mathbb{Q}(-n).$$

The restriction of  $\psi$  to  $H_{\text{prim}}^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is a polarization. Thus we obtain a polarization on  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$  by the Lefschetz decomposition  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} L^s(H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2s}(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ .

## 1.2 Variation of Hodge structures

In practice it is important for us to work with families. Let  $S$  be a complex manifold.

**Definition 1.2.1.** A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -variation of Hodge structures ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -VHS) of weight  $n$  on  $S$  is  $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet})$  where

- $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a local system of free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on  $S$  of finite rank,
- $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}$  is a finite decreasing filtration (called the **Hodge filtration**) of the holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_S} \mathcal{O}_S$  by holomorphic subbundles,

such that

- (i)  $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z},s}, \mathcal{F}_s^\bullet)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$  for each  $s \in S$ ,
- (ii) the connection  $\nabla: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \Omega_S^1$  whose sheaf of horizontal sections is  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the **Griffiths' transversality condition**

$$\nabla(\mathcal{F}^p) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_S^1 \quad \text{for all } p. \quad (1.2.1)$$

A **polarization** on  $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  is a morphism of local systems

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_S$$

inducing on each fiber a polarization of the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure.

**Example 1.2.2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a smooth projective morphism. Then  $\mathbb{V} := R^n f_* \mathbb{Z}_X$  is a local system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on  $S$  with fiber  $\mathbb{V}_s = H^n(X_s, \mathbb{Z})$ . Replace  $\mathbb{V}$  by its quotient by torsion. Under the isomorphism  $\mathcal{V} \simeq R^n f_* \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$ , the Hodge filtration is  $\mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{V} = R^n f_* \Omega_{X/S}^{\geq p}$ . Notice that the subbundle of  $(p, q)$ -forms is not holomorphic if  $q \neq 0$ , but  $\mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{V}$  is holomorphic. The fiberwise polarization from Example 1.1.9 gives a polarization on  $\mathbb{V}$ .

## 1.3 Mumford–Tate group

### 1.3.1 Revision on algebraic tori

Let  $k$  be a field. A *linear algebraic group* defined over  $k$  is an affine group scheme  $G/k$  of finite type; it can be embedded as a closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathrm{GL}_N$  for some  $N$ . If  $\mathrm{char} k = 0$ , then  $G$  is reduced and smooth. As an example, we have  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} := \mathrm{GL}_{1,k}$  which is defined by: for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , we have  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}(R) = R^\times$ . When  $k$  is clear in the context, we simply write  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .

Let  $k^s$  be a separable closure of  $k$ . If  $\mathrm{char} k = 0$ , then  $k^s$  is an algebraic closure of  $k$ .

**Definition 1.3.1.** An **algebraic torus** defined over  $k$  is a linear algebraic group  $T$  defined over  $k$  such that its base change to  $k^s$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k^s}^r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ .

The group of characters (resp. group of cocharacters) of  $T$  is

$$X^*(T) := \mathrm{Hom}(T_{k^s}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k^s}), \quad (\text{resp. } X_*(T) := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k^s}, T_{k^s})).$$

Both  $X^*(T)$  and  $X_*(T)$  are isomorphic (as groups) to  $\mathbb{Z}^{\dim T}$  and are naturally endowed with a  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -action. We also have a *perfect pairing* as  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -modules

$$X^*(T) \times X_*(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k^s}), \quad (\chi, \mu) \mapsto \langle \chi, \mu \rangle := \chi \circ \mu. \quad (1.3.1)$$

By definition,  $T_{k'} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,k'}^r$  for some finite separable extension  $k'/k$ . So the Galois action of  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$  on  $X^*(T)$  factors through  $\mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$  which is a finite group. Therefore the  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -action on  $X^*(T)$  is continuous. Same for the  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -action on  $X_*(T)$ . Thus the functor  $T \mapsto X_*(T)$  gives an equivalence from the category of *algebraic tori defined over  $k$*  to the category of *free abelian groups of finite rank endowed with a continuous  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ -action*.

Next we turn to the representations of algebraic tori  $\rho: T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Passing to  $k'$ ,  $\rho$  becomes  $T_{k'} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,k'}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{k'})$ . Then  $V_{k'}$  can be decomposed into

$$V_{k'} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in X^*(T)} V_\chi = \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r} V^{n_1, \dots, n_r}$$

where  $V_\chi = \{v \in V_{k'} : \rho(t)v = \chi(t)v\}$  and  $V^{n_1, \dots, n_r} = \{v \in V_{k'} : \rho(z_1, \dots, z_r)v = z_1^{-n_1} \cdots z_r^{-n_r}v\}$ . On the base field  $k$ , the decomposition is Galois compatible, i.e.  $\sigma(V_\chi) = V_{\chi^\sigma}$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ .

### 1.3.2 Deligne torus

View  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra using the inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the algebraic group  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m$  defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , *i.e.* for any  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra  $R$ , we have

$$\mathbb{S}(R) = (R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times} = ((\mathbb{R} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times} = (\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times} \times (\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\times} = \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}.$$

Hence  $\mathbb{S}$  is an algebraic torus defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \sigma\}$  acts on  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\sigma(z_1, z_2) = (\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \{z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) : z = \sigma(z)\} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} : z_2 = \bar{z}_1\}$ . In other words, the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$  is given by  $z \mapsto (z, \bar{z})$ .

**Definition 1.3.2.** *The algebraic torus  $\mathbb{S}$  is called the **Deligne torus**.*

The character group of the Deligne torus is

$$X^*(\mathbb{S}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}^{\times}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.3.2)$$

where the last isomorphism is obtained from the inverse of

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}), \quad p \mapsto (z \mapsto z^{-p}). \quad (1.3.3)$$

The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \sigma\}$  acts on  $X^*(\mathbb{S})$  by  $\sigma(p, q) = (q, p)$ .

Among the cocharacters of  $\mathbb{S}$ , two are particularly important:

- the *weight cocharacter*  $w: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}, z \mapsto (z, z)$ , which descends to  $\mathbb{R}$  (namely it is the base change to  $\mathbb{C}$  of a morphism  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ ).
- the *principal cocharacter*  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}, z \mapsto (z, 1)$ .

An important character of  $\mathbb{S}$  is the *norm character*  $\text{Nm}: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, z \mapsto z\sigma(z)$ . It fits into the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.3.4)$$

Notice that  $\text{Nm} \circ w$  sends each  $z \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  to  $z^2$ .

### 1.3.3 Hodge structures as representations of the Deligne torus

Now let  $V$  be an  $R$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$ . Recall the Hodge decomposition  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$ . It gives rise to an action of  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  by setting  $V^{p,q}$  to be the eigenspace of the character  $(p, q) \in X^*(\mathbb{S})$ . More precisely, for each  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  and each  $v = (v_{p,q})_{p,q} \in V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$ , we have

$$(z_1, z_2) \cdot v = (z_1^{-p} z_2^{-q} v_{p,q})_{p,q}. \quad (1.3.5)$$

This action of  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  induces a morphism

$$h: \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{C}}). \quad (1.3.6)$$

**Lemma 1.3.3.** *The morphism  $h$  descends to  $\mathbb{R}$ , *i.e.* it is the base change to  $\mathbb{C}$  of a morphism  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \sigma\}$ , we can do the following computation. Let  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $v = (v_{p,q})_{p,q} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Recall that the Hodge decomposition satisfies  $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$ . So  $\overline{v_{p,q}} \in \overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$ . Hence the decomposition of  $\bar{v} = \sigma(v)$  under  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$  is  $\bar{v} = (\overline{v_{q,p}})_{p,q}$ . In particular,  $\bar{v}_{p,q} = \overline{v_{q,p}}$ .

Now we have

$$h(\sigma(z_1, z_2))v = (\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1) \cdot v = (\bar{z}_2^{-p} \bar{z}_1^{-q} v_{p,q})_{p,q}$$

and

$$\sigma(h(z_1, z_2))v = \sigma(h(z_1, z_2)\bar{v}) = \sigma((z_1, z_2) \cdot \bar{v}) = \sigma((z_1^{-p} z_2^{-q} \bar{v}_{p,q})_{p,q}) = \sigma((z_1^{-p} z_2^{-q} \overline{v_{q,p}})_{p,q}) = (\bar{z}_1^{-q} \bar{z}_2^{-p} v_{p,q})_{p,q}.$$

Hence  $h$  is  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant, and therefore descends to  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

Thus from any  $R$ -Hodge structure  $V$  of weight  $n$ , we have constructed a morphism  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Conversely given any  $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ , we can define  $V^{p,q}$  to be the eigenspace of the character  $(p, q) \in X^*(\mathbb{S})$  of  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $V = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$ , and  $\overline{V^{q,p}} = V^{p,q}$  because  $h$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.3.4.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$  and let  $V$  be a torsion-free  $R$ -module of finite type.*

*Then there are bijections between the following sets of:*

- (i) *Hodge structures of weight  $n$  on  $V$ ;*
- (ii) *morphisms  $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$  such that the eigenspace of  $(p, q) \in X^*(\mathbb{S})$  is 0 unless  $p+q = n$ .*
- (iii) *morphisms  $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$  such that the composite  $h \circ w: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$  sends each  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  to the multiplication by  $z^{-n}$ .*

If a Hodge structure on  $V$  corresponds to  $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ , by abuse of notation we use  $(V, h)$  to denote this Hodge structure. In this terminology, the Weil operator  $C$  of the Hodge structure  $(V, h)$  in the definition of polarizations (1.1.3) is simply  $h(\sqrt{-1})$ .

**Proposition 1.3.5.** *Let  $(V, h)$  and  $(W, h')$  be two  $R$ -Hodge structures of weight  $n$ , and let  $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$  be an  $R$ -linear map.*

*Then  $\varphi$  is a morphism of Hodge structures if and only if  $\varphi(h(z)v) = h'(z)\varphi(v)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

The proof of Lemma 1.1.7 (i) can be much simplified by this proposition:  $\psi(y, x) = \psi(Cy, Cx) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-2n}\psi_C(Cy, x) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-2n}\psi_C(x, Cy) = \psi(x, C^2y) = (-1)^n\psi(x, y)$ , and hence  $\psi$  is  $(-1)^n$ -symmetric.

*Proof.* Write  $v = (v_{p,q})_{p,q} \in V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$ . Then  $h(z)v = (z^{-p}\bar{z}^{-q}v_{p,q})_{p,q}$ . So  $\varphi(h(z)v) = (z^{-p}\bar{z}^{-q}\varphi(v_{p,q}))_{p,q}$  by linearity of  $\varphi$ .

Assume  $\varphi$  is a morphism of Hodge structures. Then  $\varphi(V^{p,q}) \subseteq W^{p,q}$  for all  $p, q$ , and hence  $\varphi(v_{p,q}) = \varphi(v)_{p,q}$  for all  $p, q$ . So  $\varphi(h(z)v) = (z^{-p}\bar{z}^{-q}\varphi(v)_{p,q})_{p,q} = h'(z)\varphi(v)$ .

Conversely assume  $\varphi(h(z)v) = h'(z)\varphi(v)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $v \in V^{p,q}$ . By considering  $v + \bar{v}$  and  $(v - \bar{v})/\sqrt{-1}$ , we have  $\varphi(h(z)v) = h'(z)\varphi(v)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . So  $h'(z)\varphi(v) = \varphi(h(z)v) = \varphi(z^{-p}\bar{z}^{-q}v) = z^{-p}\bar{z}^{-q}\varphi(v)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore  $\varphi(v) \in W^{p,q}$ .  $\square$

This proposition has the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.6.** *Let  $(V, h)$  be an  $R$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$ , and let  $W$  be a torsion-free  $R$ -submodule of  $V$ .*

*Then  $h|_W$  is an  $R$ -Hodge structure if and only if  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  is an  $h(\mathbb{S})$ -submodule of  $V$ .*

In this case, we call the Hodge structure  $(W, h|_W)$  a *sub- $R$ -Hodge structure* of  $(V, h)$ .

### 1.3.4 Mumford–Tate group

In this subsection, assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $(V, h)$  be an  $R$ -Hodge structure.

**Definition 1.3.7.** *The Mumford–Tate group of  $(V, h)$  is the smallest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroup  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $h(\mathbb{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{MT}(h)(\mathbb{R})$ .*

It is easy to check that  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  is connected since  $\mathbb{S}$  is, and  $\mathrm{MT}(h)(\mathbb{C})$  is the subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V(\mathbb{C}))$  generated by  $\sigma(h(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})))$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ . We also have the following characterization of  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  using the principal cocharacter  $\mu$  defined above (1.3.4).

**Lemma 1.3.8.**  *$\mathrm{MT}(h)$  is the smallest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\mu_h := h \circ \mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  factors through  $\mathrm{MT}(h)_{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  $\mu_h(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \mathrm{MT}(h)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Conversely let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$  which contains  $\mu_h(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}) = h(\mu(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}))$ . Then  $M(\mathbb{C})$  contains  $h(z, 1) \in \mathrm{GL}(V(\mathbb{C}))$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Since  $M$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $h$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $M(\mathbb{C})$  contains  $\sigma(h(z, 1)) = h(\sigma(z, 1)) = h(1, \bar{z})$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , where  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \sigma\}$ . Hence  $M(\mathbb{C})$ , as a group, contains  $h(z, 1)h(1, \bar{z}') = h(z, \bar{z}')$  for all  $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Hence  $h(\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq M_{\mathbb{C}}$ , so  $\mathrm{MT}(h) \subseteq M$ .  $\square$

It is not hard to check that the Mumford–Tate of the dual Hodge structure of  $(V, h)$  is still  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ .

Now assume  $R = \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have a Hodge structure  $T^{m, n}V := V^{\otimes m} \otimes (V^{\vee})^{\otimes n}$ , and  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  acts on  $T^{m, n}V$  componentwise. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.3.6 (applied to  $T^{m, n}V$ ).

**Proposition 1.3.9.** *Let  $W$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of  $T^{m, n}V$ . Then  $W$  is a sub- $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of  $T^{m, n}V$  if and only if  $W$  is a  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ -submodule of  $T^{m, n}V$ .*

This proposition gives rise to another useful characterization of  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ , which is important in the study of (sub-)Shimura varieties. We make the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.10.** *The elements of  $(T^{m, n}V_{\mathbb{C}})^{0,0} \cap T^{m, n}V$ , with  $m$  and  $n$  running over all non-negative integers, are called the **Hodge tensor** for  $(V, h)$ .*

Denote by  $\mathrm{Hdg}_h$  the set of all Hodge tensors for  $(V, h)$ .

**Proposition 1.3.11.** *We have  $\mathrm{MT}(h) = Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\mathrm{Hdg}_h)$ .*

*In particular by dimension reasons,  $\mathrm{MT}(h) = Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\mathfrak{J})$  for some finite set  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathrm{Hdg}_h$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $t \in \mathrm{Hdg}_h$ . For any  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ , we have  $\sigma(t) = t$  since  $t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -element. By (1.3.5) we have  $h(z_1, z_2)t = z_1^0 \bar{z}_2^0 t = t$  for any  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$ . Applying the action of any  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$  and recalling that  $\mathrm{MT}(h)(\mathbb{C})$  is generated by the  $\sigma(h(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})))$ 's, we have that  $t$  is fixed by  $\mathrm{MT}(h)(\mathbb{Q})$ . This establishes “ $\subseteq$ ”.

To get  $\mathrm{MT}(h) = Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\mathrm{Hdg}_h)$ , notice that  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . By theory of algebraic groups,  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$  is thus the stabilizer of some 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace  $L$  in  $\bigoplus_{(m, n) \in I} T^{m, n}V$  for some finite subset  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ . Now that  $L$  is a 1-dimensional  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ -submodule of  $\bigoplus_{(m, n) \in I} T^{m, n}V$ , Proposition 1.3.9 implies that  $L$  is a 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure, and hence  $L_{\mathbb{C}} = L^{p, q}$  for some  $p$  and  $q$ . But then  $p = q$  since  $L^{p, q} = \bar{L}^{q, p}$ .<sup>[2]</sup> In other words,  $L \simeq \mathbb{Q}(-p)$  has weight  $2p$ .

If  $p = 0$ , take a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generator  $\ell$  of  $L$ . Then  $\mathrm{MT}(h)(\mathbb{Q})$  fixes  $\ell$  by the same argument on proving “ $\subseteq$ ”. So  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ , being the stabilizer of  $\mathbb{Q}\ell$ , equals  $Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\ell)$ . If  $p \neq 0$ , then the weight of  $(V, h)$  is not zero,

<sup>[2]</sup>To make the argument in this paragraph vigorous, we need to argue with *mixed* Hodge structures because  $\bigoplus_{(m, n) \in I} T^{m, n}V$  may have more than one weight. However, since  $\bigoplus_{(m, n) \in I} T^{m, n}V$  is a direct sum of (pure) Hodge structures and  $\dim L = 1$ , we are essentially working with a pure Hodge structure.



and hence the weight  $r$  of the Hodge structure  $\det V := \bigwedge^{\dim V} V$  is non-zero (since  $\det V$  can be realized as a  $\mathrm{MT}(h)$ -submodule of  $V^{\otimes \dim V}$ ). We may assume  $r > 0$  up to replacing  $V$  by  $V^\vee$ . The 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -space  $L^{\otimes r} \otimes (\det V)^{\otimes -2p}$  is a Hodge structure of weight 0 and hence equals its  $(0, 0)$ -piece. Let  $\ell$  be a generator of  $L^{\otimes r} \otimes (\det V)^{\otimes -2p}$ . Then  $\ell$  is fixed by  $\mathrm{MT}(h)(\mathbb{Q})$  by the same argument on proving “ $\subseteq$ ”. Hence  $\mathrm{MT}(h) = Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(\ell)$  as in the case of  $p = 0$ .

To summarize, there exists a finite sum of Hodge tensors  $t_1 + \cdots + t_N$  such that  $\mathrm{MT}(h) = Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(t_1 + \cdots + t_N)$ . So  $\mathrm{MT}(h) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^N Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(t_i) \subseteq Z_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(t_1 + \cdots + t_N)$  becomes an equality. We are done.  $\square$

Finally, we point out that the Mumford–Tate group of any *polarized*  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of weight  $n$  is a reductive group. A detailed discussion on this will be given in the next chapter.