MiniProject 3: Classification of Textual Data

COMP 551, Winter 2023, McGill University Contact TAs: Ziyang Song and Aishik Chakraborty

Please read this entire document before beginning the assignment.

Preamble

- This mini-project is **due on March 28th at 11:59pm (EST, Montreal Time).** There is a penalty of 2^k percent penalty for k days of delay, which means your grade will be scaled to be out of $100 2^k$. No submission will be accepted after 6 days of delay.
- This mini-project is to be completed in groups of three. All members of a group will receive the same grade except when a group member is not responding or contributing to the project. If this is the case and there are major conflicts, please reach out to the group TA for help and flag this in the submitted report. Please note that it is not expected that all team members will contribute equally. However every team member should make integral contributions to the project, be aware of the content of the submission and learn the full solution submitted.
- You will submit your assignment on MyCourses as a group. You must register your group on MyCourses and any group member can submit. See MyCourses for details.
- We recommend to use Overleaf for writing your report and Google colab for coding and running the experiments. The latter also gives access to the required computational resources. Both platforms enable remote collaborations.
- You should use Python for this and the following mini-projects. You are free to use libraries with general utilities, such as matplotlib, numpy and scipy for Python, unless stated otherwise in the description of the task. In particular, in most cases you should implement the models and evaluation functions yourself, which means you should not use pre-existing implementations of the algorithms or functions as found in SciKit learn, and other packages. The description will specify this in a per case basis.

Background

In this miniproject you will **implement naive Bayes from scratch and BERT with pertained weights through package (fine tuning could be optional component)**, and compare these two algorithms on IMDB review dataset. The goal is to gain experience implementing machine learning algorithm from scratch and running the modern deep learning libraries, and getting hands-on experience comparing their performances on the real-world textual dataset.

Task 1: Acquire and preprocess the IMDB data

The IMDB Reviews data can be downloaded from here: http://ai.stanford.edu/~amaas/data/sentiment/. Here, you need to use only reviews in the "train" folder for training and report the performance from the "test" folder. You need to work with the text documents to build your own features and ignore the pre-formatted feature files.

For the naive Bayes method, you need to design the data preprocessing pipeline that turns the unstructured text data into numerical features. Specifically, you should use the bags of words representation using the scikit-learn function *CountVectorizer*¹.

For BERT, you can use the transformers package to tokenize the input text and convert the tokens into numerical features https://pytorch.org/hub/huggingface_pytorch-transformers/. Find out how you can do that from this tutorial https://www.kaggle.com/code/atulanandjha/bert-testing-on-imdb-dataset-extensive-tutorial that uses PyTorch.

You are free to use any Python libraries you like to extract features and preprocess the data.

Task 2: Implement Naive Bayes and BERT models

You must implement the Naive Bayes model from scratch (i.e., you cannot use SciKit Learn or any other pre-existing implementations of these methods).

In particular, your two main tasks in the part are to:

- 1. **Implement naive Bayes from scratch**, using the appropriate type of likelihood for features.
- 2. Implementing BERT model with pre-trained weights with a package.

Naive Bayes Model Details

For the Naive Bayes model, you must use Python and you must implement the model from scratch (i.e., you cannot use SciKit Learn or similar libraries). Using the numpy package is encouraged. Regarding the implementation, we recommend the following approach:

- Implement naive Bayes model as a Python class. You should use the constructor for the class to initialize the model parameters as attributes, as well as to define other important properties of the model.
- Your model class should have (at least) these functions:
 - Define a fit function, which takes the training data (i.e., X and y)—as well as other hyperparameters (e.g., the learning rate and/or number of gradient descent iterations)—as input. This function should train your model by modifying the model parameters.
 - Define a predict function, which takes a set of input features (i.e., X) as input and outputs predictions (i.e., ŷ) for these points.
 - Define a functions evaluate_acc to evaluate the model accuracy. This function should take the true labels (i.e., \hat{y}), and target labels (i.e., \hat{y}) as input, and it should output the accuracy score.

BERT Model Details

For the BERT model, you can use pre-trained weights by downloading the already existing pre-trained BERT model by Google or others. You can then use these pretrained weights to do the IMDB movie review prediction task. You can optionally choose to finetune your model. You are not required to implement this model from scratch and you are free to use a package like https://pytorch.org/hub/huggingface_pytorch-transformers/.

 $^{^{1}} https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.feature_extraction.text. CountVectorizer.html$

Task 3: Run experiments

The goal of this project is to have you explore traditional machine learning and deep learning NLP techniques. You will need to conduct binary classification experiment on IMDB Reviews data and **report the performance using accuracy**. You are welcome to perform any experiments and analyses you see fit (e.g., to compare different features), but at a minimum you must complete the following experiments in the order stated below:

- 1. In a single table, compare and report the performance of the Naive Bayes and BERT models on the IMDB Reviews classification task, and highlight the winner for each dataset and overall.
- 2. Examine the attention matrix between the words and the class tokens for some of the correctly and incorrectly predicted documents. You will need to choose one of transformer blocks and use a specific attention head for the multi-layer multi-headed transformer architecture. in BERT.

You are free to do more experiments to your choosing. As a conclusion, you must answer the following question:

- 1. Is pretraining on an external corpus (like BERT does) good for the movie review prediction task? What do you think pretraining does that might help with this task in particular?
- 2. What conclusions can you make about the performance difference between deep learning and traditional machine learning methods?

These questions are open-ended and must be answered based on your experiment results. Try to demonstrate curiosity, creativity, rigour, and an understanding of the course material in how you run your chosen experiments and how you report on them in your write-up.

Deliverables

You must submit two separate files to MyCourses (using the exact filenames and file types outlined below):

- 1. **code.zip**: Your data processing, classification and evaluation code (as some combination of .py and .ipynb files).
- 2. writeup.pdf: Your (max 5-page) project write-up as a pdf (details below).

Project write-up instruction

Your team must submit a project write-up that is a maximum of five pages (single-spaced, 11pt font or larger; minimum 0.5 inch margins, an extra page for references/bibliographical content can be used). We highly recommend that students use LaTeX to complete their write-ups. This first mini-project report has relatively strict requirements, but as the course progresses your project write-ups will become more and more open-ended. You have some flexibility in how you report your results, but you must adhere to the following structure and minimum requirements:

Abstract (100-250 words) Summarize the project task and your most important findings. For example, include sentences like "In this project we investigated the performance of linear classification models on two benchmark datasets", "We found that the logistic regression approach was achieved worse/better accuracy than naive Bayes and was significantly faster/slower to train."

Introduction (5+ sentences) Summarize the project task, the two datasest, and your most important findings. This should be similar to the abstract but more detailed. You should include background information and citations to relevant work (e.g., other papers analyzing these datasets).

Datasets (5+ sentences) Very briefly describe the and how you processed them. Describe the new features you come up with in detail. Present the exploratory analysis you have done to understand the data, e.g. class distribution.

Results (7+ sentences, possibly with figures or tables) Describe the results of all the experiments mentioned in Task 3 (at a minimum) as well as any other interesting results you find. At a minimum you must report:

- 1. A comparison of the accuracy of Naive Bayes and BERT on IMDB.
- 2. Discussion between the BERT and Naive Bayes results.

Discussion and Conclusion (5+ sentences) Summarize the key takeaways from the project and possibly directions for future investigation.

Statement of Contributions (1-3 sentences) State the breakdown of the workload across the team members.

Evaluation

The mini-project is out of 100 points, and the evaluation breakdown is as follows:

- Completeness (20 points)
 - Did you submit all the materials?
 - Did you run all the required experiments?
 - Did you follow the guidelines for the project write-up?
- Correctness (40 points)
 - Are your models implemented correctly?
 - Are your reported accuracy close to the reference solutions?
 - Do you observe the correct trends in the experiments (e.g., how well Naive Bayes and BERT models regarding the accuracy)?
 - Do you observe the correct impact of activation choice, initialization, regularization and normalization on the model performance?
- Writing quality (25 points)
 - Is your report clear and free of grammatical errors and typos?
 - Did you go beyond the bare minimum requirements for the write-up (e.g., by including a discussion of related work in the introduction)?
 - Do you effectively present numerical results (e.g., via tables or figures)?
- Originality / creativity (15 points)
 - Did you go beyond the bare minimum requirements for the experiments?
 - Note: Simply adding in a random new experiment will not guarantee a high grade on this section! You should be thoughtful and organized in your report.

Final remarks

You are expected to display initiative, creativity, scientific rigour, critical thinking, and good communication skills. You don't need to restrict yourself to the requirements listed above - feel free to go beyond, and explore further.

You can discuss methods and technical issues with members of other teams, but you cannot share any code or data with other teams.