

Robust Inventory Management: A Cycle-Based Approach

Manufacturing & Service Operations Management

February 11, 2025

Reporter: Ziyang Zhu

outline



- 1 basic model(CB)
- 2 rolling-cycle implementation+ two solution approaches for CB: only extend to the backlogging model with a lead time
 - LP-based approach:general polyhedral uncertainty set
 - DP-based approach: box uncertainty set
 - implementation method
- 3 one-cycle look-ahead heuristic:
 - 1.extend to both the backlogging and lost-sales models with a lead time
 - 2.computationally tractable for large problem instances for two uncertainty sets

Basic model: Notation



parameters

- T: a finite discrete horizon of T periods
- K: fixed ordering cost
- c: the unit variable ordering cost
- h/s: the unit holding/shortage cost
- $lacktriangledown d_t$: the stochastic demand in period t, taking values in a nonnegative bounded polyhedral uncertainty set

variables

- lacksquare x_t : inventory available at the beginning of period t
- x_t^e : $x_t + u_t d_t$ the inventory level at the end of period t
- lacksquare u_t : the quantity ordered at the beginning of period t
- lacksquare S_m : base stock levels
- M: circles
- \blacksquare w_m : m th cycle length
- $\overline{W}_m/\underline{W}_m$: the first/last period of the mth cycle

inventory cycles and cycle cost



- inventory cycles: a viable policy divides the planning horizon into a sequence of nonoverlapping inventory cycles, where an order is placed in the first period of a cycle and no orders are placed in the subsequent periods of the cycle.
- Consider a cycle starting in period r and lasting for w periods, let y = x + u denote the inventory level right after the order u is received, then x_t^e has the following specification for t = r+1,...,r+w-1:

$$x_t^e = \begin{cases} y - \sum_{q=r}^t d_q, & \text{backlogging,} \\ \max\left\{y - \sum_{q=r}^{t-1} d_q, 0\right\} - d_t, & \text{lost sales.} \end{cases}$$

■ let $C_{h/s}$ denote the inventory holding/shortage cost incurred over this cycle. $C_{h/s}(r, w, y, d_{r,r+w-1}) \triangleq \sum_{t=r}^{r+w-1} \max\{hx_t^e, -sx_t^e\}$

Basic model: property of cost



Proposition 1. The inventory holding/shortage cost $C_{h/s}(r, w, y, \mathbf{d}_{r+w-1})$ is a **piecewise-linear convex function** of (y, \mathbf{d}_{r+w-1}) on the set $\mathcal{B} \triangleq \{(y, \mathbf{d}_{r+w-1}) | \mathbf{d}_{r+w-1} \geq 0\}$ with w+1 linear pieces for both the backlogging and lost-sales models. The same conclusion holds for $C_{h/s} - cx_{r+w}$.

We partition $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\omega} \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_{\textit{n}} \triangleq \begin{cases} \left\{ (\textit{y},\textit{d}_{\textit{r},\textit{r}+\textit{w}-1}) \in \mathcal{B} \mid \textit{y} \leq \textit{d}_{\textit{r}} \right\}, & \textit{n} = 0, \\ \left\{ (\textit{y},\textit{d}_{\textit{r},\textit{r}+\textit{w}-1}) \in \mathcal{B} \mid \sum_{t=r}^{r+\textit{n}-1} \textit{d}_{t} \leq \textit{y} \leq \sum_{t=r}^{r+\textit{n}} \textit{d}_{t} \right\}, & 1 \leq \textit{n} \leq \omega - 1, \\ \left\{ (\textit{y},\textit{d}_{\textit{r},\textit{r}+\textit{w}-1}) \in \mathcal{B} \mid \textit{y} \geq \sum_{t=r}^{r+\omega-1} \textit{d}_{t} \right\}, & \textit{n} = \omega. \end{cases}$$

■ In the backlogging model, $C_{h/s}$ has the following representation on \mathcal{B}_n , for $0 \le n \le \omega$:

$$C_{h/s} = f_n \triangleq \sum_{t=r}^{r+n-1} h\left(y - \sum_{q=r}^t d_q\right) - \sum_{t=r+n}^{r+\omega-1} s\left(y - \sum_{q=r}^t d_q\right).$$

Basic model: property of cost



it is straightforward to verify that $C_{h/s} = \max_{0 \le n \le w} \{f_n\}$ on \mathcal{B} .

- cycle cost: $C_{h/s} cx_{r+w}$ $C_{h/s} - cx_{r+w} = \tilde{f}_n \triangleq f_n - c\left(y - \sum_{q=r}^{r+w-1} d_q\right)$.
- In the lost-sales model $C_{h/s}$ has the following representation on B_n , for 0 < n < w:

$$C_{h/s} = g_n \triangleq \begin{cases} \sum_{t=r}^{r+n-1} h\left(y - \sum_{q=r}^{t} d_q\right) - s\left(y - \sum_{t=r}^{r+w-1} d_t\right), & 0 \leq n \leq w-1, \\ \sum_{t=r}^{r+w-1} h\left(y - \sum_{q=r}^{t} d_q\right), & n = w. \end{cases}$$

. . . .

Basic model: objective function



$$\min_{M,w,S} \max_{d_{1,T} \in \Omega} C_{total}(M, w, S, d_{1,T})$$

In the backlogging model:

$$MK + c\left(S_M - x_1 + \right)$$

 $C_{\text{total}} =$

$$MK + c\left(S_M - x_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\overline{W}_{M-1}} d_t\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} C_{h/s}\left(\underline{W}_m, w_m, S_m, d_{\underline{W}_m, \overline{W}_m}\right)$$

In the lost-sales model:

$$C_{total} = MK + c(S_1 - x_1) + \sum_{m=2}^{M} c(S_m - x_{\underline{W_m}}) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} C_{h/s}^m$$

= $MK + c\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} S_m - x_1\right) + C_{h/s}^M + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \left(C_{h/s}^m - cx_{\underline{W_{m+1}}}\right)$

Constraints and Model



$$(CB) \min_{M,w,S} \quad \max_{d_{1,T} \in \Omega} \quad C_{\text{total}}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad 1 \leq M \leq T, \quad M \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} w_{m} = T,$$

$$w_{m} \geq 1, \quad w_{m} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$S \in \mathcal{S}_{M,w},$$
 backlogging:
$$S \in S_{M,w} \triangleq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_{1} > x_{1}, S_{m} > S_{m-1} \\ -\min_{d_{1},\tau \in \Omega} \sum_{t=W_{m-1}}^{\overline{W}_{m-1}} d_{t} \text{ for } m = 2,..., M \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\min_{d_1,\tau\in\Omega}\sum_{t=W_{m-1}}^{W_{m-1}}d_t \text{ for } m=2,...,M \end{array} \right\}$$
 lost-sales: $S\in S_{M,w}\triangleq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_1>x_1,S_m>S_{m-1} \\ -\min_{d_1,\tau\in\Omega}\sum_{t=W_{m-1}}^{\overline{W}_{m-1}}d_t \text{ and } S_m>0 \text{ for } m=2,... \end{array} \right.$

LP-based solution approach for CB



- When Ω is a general polyhedral uncertainty set, we solve (CB) by first solving it with respect to S and then enumerating all feasible values for (M, w).
- For a fixed value of (M, w), we compute the constants in $S_{M,w}$ by solving LPs.
- Consider the inner maximization problem, and get a mixed-integer quadratic program by replacing the objective function with additional adversarial binary decision variables $z_{i,k}$, and linearize the quadratic term

$$\begin{array}{lll} \underset{\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\text{maximize}} & \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \max_{\boldsymbol{c}_{i,k}^T} \boldsymbol{\zeta} + d_{i,k} \right\} & \text{(3a)} \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \leq \boldsymbol{b}, & \text{(3b)} & \max_{\left[\mathbf{z} \in \left[0, 1 \right]^{N \times K} \mid \sum_{k=1}^K z_{i,k} = 1, \, V_i \right]} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{i,k} (\mathbf{c}_{i,k}^T \boldsymbol{\zeta} + d_{i,k}), \\ \| \boldsymbol{\zeta} \|_i \leq \Gamma. & \text{(3d)} & \text{(3d)} \\ \end{array}$$

Rolling-Cycle Implementation



- reoptimization is carried out at the beginning of each cycle
- **a** at the beginning of the first cycle, we solve a modified version of (CB) to compute (M, w, S), but only implement w_1 and S_1
- at the beginning of a cycle that starts in period r, we formulate (CB_R) for the horizon consisting of periods r, r + 1, ..., T, compute the lengths and base-stock levels for all cycles, but again only implement the decisions for the current cycle

One-Cycle Look-Ahead Heuristic



- robust cycle-based policy + its rolling-cycle implementation :
 1. computationally tractable for large problem instances only when the uncertain demand belongs to a box uncertainty set;
 2.only be tractably extended to the backlogging model with a positive lead time
- hence,propose a one-cycle lookahead heuristic + rolling-cycle implementation
- one-cycle lookahead heuristic
 1.solves an optimization problem at the beginning of each cycle to compute the cycle length w and base-stock level S
 2.Instead of adopting the total cost incurred over periods r, r + 1,...,
 T as the cost function, the heuristic considers C_{cycle} and a term cx_{r+w} that approximates the impact of (w, S) on the cost incurred over the future cycles

One-Cycle Look-Ahead Heuristic



$$\mathbb{C}_{\text{cycle}} = \begin{cases} K1(S-x>0) + c(S-x) + \mathbb{C}_{h/s}(r,w,S,\mathbf{d}_{r,r+w-1}) - \mathbf{c}x_{r+w}, \\ r+w-1 < T, \\ K1(S-x>0) + c(S-x) + \mathbb{C}_{h/s}(r,w,S,\mathbf{d}_{r,r+w-1}), \\ r+w-1 = T. \end{cases}$$

 (CB_H)

$$\min_{w \in \{1,2,\dots,T-r+1\}, u \geq 0} \max_{d_{r,r} \in \Omega_r} \frac{C_{\mathsf{cycle}}}{w}.$$

We solve (CB_H) by enumerating over w, and solving

$$\min_{u\geq 0} \max_{d_{r,r}\in\Omega_r} \frac{C_{\text{cycle}}}{w},$$

for each w. Proposition 2 shows that this can be solved extremely efficiently by exploiting the simple structure of C_{cycle} .

One-Cycle Look-Ahead Heuristic



proposition 2 : the above problem can be solved using two LPs in both the backlogging and lost-sales models.

$$\min \left\{ \min_{u \geq 0} \max_{\mathbf{d}_{r,T} \in \Omega_r} \frac{K + cu + C_{\text{h/s}} \left(r, w, x + u, \mathbf{d}_{r,r+w-1}\right)}{w}, \ \max_{\mathbf{d}_{r,T} \in \Omega_r} \frac{C_{\text{h/s}} \left(r, w, x, \mathbf{d}_{r,r+w-1}\right)}{w} \right\}. \tag{A2} \right\}$$

 $C_{h/s} = \max_{0 \le n \le w} \{f_n\}$, where f_n 's are linear functions of $(u, d_{r,r+w-1})$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min\limits_{u\geq 0} & z\\ \text{s.t.} & z\geq \alpha_n u + \gamma_n + \max\limits_{n = \infty} \; \boldsymbol{\beta}_n^\top \mathbf{d}_{r,T}, \quad \text{ for } n=0,...,w. \end{array} \tag{A4}$$

Since Ω_r is a bounded polyhedron, strong duality implies that $\max_{\mathbf{d}_{r,T} \in \Omega_r} \boldsymbol{\beta}_n^{\top} \mathbf{d}_{r,T} = \min_{\Delta_r^{\top} \mathbf{v}_n = \boldsymbol{\beta}_n, \, \mathbf{v}_n \geq 0} \delta_r^{\top} \mathbf{v}_n$. Replacing $\max_{\mathbf{d}_{r,T} \in \Omega_r} \boldsymbol{\beta}_n^{\top} \mathbf{d}_{r,T}$ with its dual

s.t.
$$z \ge \alpha_n u + \gamma_n + \boldsymbol{\delta}_r^\top \mathbf{v}_n$$
, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_r^\top \mathbf{v}_n = \boldsymbol{\beta}_n$, $\mathbf{v}_n \ge 0$, for $n = 0, ..., w$.

Extension with a Positive Lead Time



- The main difference introduced by L is that the decisions w and u now determine the inventory dynamics in periods r + L, ..., r + w 1 + L instead of periods r, ..., r + w 1, and hence should be associated with the inventory holding/shortage cost incurred in periods r + L, ..., r + w 1 + L
- the order u is received in period r + L and no order is received in the subsequent periods r + L + 1, ..., r + w 1 + L, this cost can be represented by $C_{h/s}(r + L, w, x_{r+L}, d_{r+L,r+L+w-1})$
- a heuristic term cx_{r+w+L} to approximate the impact on the cost incurred over the future cycles

Extension with a Positive Lead Time



$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{cycle}}^L = \\ \begin{cases} \mathsf{K}1(u>0) + cu + \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{h/s}}(r+L,w,x_{r+L}+u,d_{r+L,r+w-1+L}) - cx_{r+w+L},r+w-1 \\ \mathsf{K}1(u>0) + cu + \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{h/s}}(r+L,w,x_{r+L}+u,d_{r+L,r+w-1+L}),r+w-1 = T. \end{cases} \\ \mathsf{Here},\ x_{r+w+L} = x_{r+L} + u - \sum_{q=r+L}^{r+w-1+L} dq \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{backlogging} \ \mathsf{model}, \ \mathsf{and} \\ x_{r+w+L} = \max\{x_{r+L} + u - \sum_{q=r+L}^{r+w-1+L} dq, 0\} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{lost-sales} \ \mathsf{model}. \end{cases}$$
 We propose the following optimization problem for determining w and u :

$$(CB_H^L) \quad \min_{w \in \{1,2,\dots,T-r+1\}, u \geq 0} \max_{d_{r,T+L} \in \Omega_r^L} \frac{C_{\mathsf{cycle}}^L}{w}.$$

Here $\Omega_r^L = \{d_{r,T+L} \in \mathbb{R}^{T-r+1+L} | \Delta_r^L d_{r,T+L} \leq \delta_r^L \}$ is a non-negative bounded polyhedral uncertainty set.

Extension with a Positive Lead Time 🚱 深圳市



We solve (CB_H^L) by first solving the optimization problem

$$\min_{u\geq 0} \max_{d_{r,T+L}\in\Omega_r^L} \frac{C_{\mathsf{cycle}}^L}{w},$$

for fixed w and then using a simple enumeration to compute the optimal w and its associated u.

Proposition 5. This problem can be solved using two LPs in both the backlogging and lost-sales models.

References I



论文链接