DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

SOLUTIONS S2 2004/5

MA30188 (now 40188)

JUNE'SS MA'SO188

University of Bath

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES EXAMINATION

You have used an obsolete rubric.

If you are preparing a new exam, please check examdoc for the correct arguments to \papertype.

1. (a) C is rational if it is birationally equivalent to \mathbb{P}^1 . It is nonsingular at P if T_PC is a line, that is, if the subvariety of \mathbb{A}^2 given by the vanishing of a local equation and its partial derivatives is empty.

[6, bookwork]

(b) On the affine piece z=1 we have a singular point where $y^3-x^4+x^3=0$ and the two partials also vanish, i.e. $4x^3-3x^2=3y^2=0$. So y=0 and then x=0, so (0:0:1) is the only singular point. On y=1 we have $z-x^4+x^3z=1+x^3=-4x^3+3x^2+z=0$. But the first two give $x^3=-1$ and $x^4=0$ which is impossible. On x=1 we have $y^3z-1+z=3y^2z=y^3+1=0$. The second tells us that y=0 or z=0; the first excludes z=0 and the third excludes y=0.

Thus the only singular point is (0:0:1).

The points at infinity are given by $x^4 = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^1 with coordinates (x : y : 0), so there is only one and it is (0 : 1 : 0).

[8, unseen but not new]

(c) Project from the origin in the affine piece z=1, so $y^3-x^4+x^3=0$. Put y=tx: we get $0=t^3x^3-x^4+x^3=x^3(t^3+1-x)$. So the remaining point of intersection (there is only one) is at (t^3+1,t^4+t) and this gives a rational parametrisation, with inverse $(x,y)\mapsto y/x$. [6, unseen]

2. (a) The group law is most simply defined by choosing an inflexion point (often done by choosing coordinates so that (0:1:0) is the only point at infinity) and taking it to be the identity. Then three points P, Q, R on E sum to zero if and only if they are collinear.

[5, bookwork]

(b) Q is an inflexion point if the tangent to E at Q meets E to order at least 3: in other words, if we parametrise the tangent line L in such a way that the (linear) parameter t is zero at Q, then the equation of E restricted to L as a function of t is divisible by t^3 .

[2, bookwork]

(c) First, $P \in E$ since $23^2 \equiv 11 \mod 37$.

[1, unseen]

The tangent to E at P has slope $-\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}|_{P}\right)/\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}|_{P}\right)=-9/46=-1$, so a point on the tangent is (t,23-t). Such a point is on E if

$$0 = (23 - t)^{2} - t^{3} + 9t - 11$$
$$= 23^{2} - 46t + t^{2} - t^{3} + 9t - 11$$
$$= t^{2} - t^{3}$$

so the remaining point of intersection, which is Q, is given by t = 1. So Q = (1, 22). [5, unseen but seen examples]

(d) We can check that Q is an inflexion point by computing the Hessian or by calculating the tangent again. The latter has slope $-6/44 = -3/22 = -3/\sqrt{3} = -22$, so a point on it is (1+t, 22(1-t)). So it meets E when

$$0 = (22(1-t))^{2} - (1+t)^{3} + 9(1+t) - 11$$

$$= 3(1-t)^{2} - (1+t)^{3} + 9 + 9t - 11$$

$$= 3 - 6t + 3t^{2} - 1 - 3t - 3t^{2} - t^{3} + 9 + 9t - 11$$

$$= -t^{3}$$

i.e. three times at Q.

[4, unseen]

Finally, Q = -2P and since Q is an inflexion point 3Q is the identity. So -6P = 0; but $2P = -Q \neq 0$ and $3P \neq 0$ as P is not an inflexion point. So the order of P is 6. [3, unseen]

3. (a) If $V \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, $W \subset \mathbb{A}^m$ are irreducible then a map $\phi: V \to W$ is given by m elements $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in k[V]$ such that for all $P \in V$, $(f_1(P), \ldots, f_m(P)) \in W$. ϕ^* is given by composition with ϕ . The map ϕ is an isomorphism if there exists a map $\psi: W \to V$ such that $\phi\psi = id_W$ and $\psi\phi = id_V$: then $\phi^*: k[W] \to k[V]$ is an isomorphism.

[8, bookwork]

(b) Certainly for any b such an a exists because k is algebraically closed, so Φ is surjective. Now $(X-a)^p = X^p - b + \sum_{0 < r < p} \binom{p}{r} X^r a^{p-r}$ and all binomial coefficients $\binom{p}{r}$ with 0 < r < p are zero mod p because p divides the numerator and not the denominator. Thus if $x^p = b$ then x = a, so Φ is injective.

[6]

(c) $k[\mathbb{A}^1] = k[X]$ and Φ is given by the polynomial map $f(X) = X^p$, so Φ is a map of affine varieties. Φ is not an isomorphism because the image of Φ^* is $k[X^p]$ which is not the whole of k[X]

[6, unseen]

4. (a) Suppose IA = A: then we may write $a_i = \sum_j b_{ij} a_j$ with $b_{ij} \in I$. So $\sum_j (b_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) a_j = 0$, so $\det(b_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) = 0$. Expanding this gives

 $0 = \det(b_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) = 1 + \text{ terms involving } b_{ij} \in 1 + I$

so I = B.

[8, on examples sheet]

- (b) $k[V] = k[X_1, ..., X_n]/I(V)$ where I(V) is the ideal of polynomial vanishing on V. [2, bookwork]
- (c) ϕ is projection on the first coordinate.

[3, unseen]

(d) ϕ is surjective if $V_a = \{Q \in V \mid \phi(Q) = a\} \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in k$. But $I(V_a) = I(V) + (X_1 - a)$, and by the Nullstellensatz $V_a \neq \emptyset$ if $I(V_a)$ is a proper ideal of $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. That is true if and only if $1 \notin (X_1 - a)k[V]$. The ideal generated by $X_1 - a$ is a proper ideal of $k[X_1]$ (in fact it is a maximal ideal) so Nakayama's Lemma tells us that $(X_1 - a)k[V] \neq k[V]$ and therefore $1 \notin (X_1 - a)k[V]$.

[7, unseen]