




# MetaTrust Report

## Overview

Project Name	zkSwap Finance
Auditor	MetaTrust.io
Source Code	<a href="https://github.com/ZkSwapFinance/mainnet-contracts">https://github.com/ZkSwapFinance/mainnet-contracts</a>
Time	Fri Jun 23 <sup>rd</sup> 2023

## Summary

Scan Engine	Critical Issues	High Risk Issues	Medium Risk Issues	Low Risk Issues	Information Issues
Security Analyzer	1	0	1	6	7
Security Prover	0	0	0	0	0
Open-Source Analyzer	0	0	0	0	0

<div> METASCAN</div> <div>DashboardProjectsIntegrationsSettingsHelp</div> <div>zkSwap_FinanceCOMMUNITY</div>					
<div>Total 1 Project</div> <div>Search projects</div> <div>Sort ByCreation Time Descending</div> <div><div>mainnet-contracts</div><div>Added: 2023-06-23 20:24</div><div>39 minutes ago4m 23s</div><div>Start Scan</div></div>					
<div><div>Security Analyzer</div><div>1167</div><div>2023-06-23 20:28</div></div>					
<div><div>Security Prover</div><div>No issues found</div><div>2023-06-23 20:28</div></div>					
<div><div>Open Source Analyzer</div><div>No issues found</div><div>2023-06-23 20:29</div></div>					



Projects > mainnet-contracts

## mainnet-contracts

Project added: 2023/06/23 20:24 Scan time: 2023/06/23 20:25 Scan ID: 3793

Start Scan

Scan Reports

History

Scan Comparison

Project Settings

Vulnerability

15

Total Issues

1

Critical

0

High

1

Medium

6

Low

7

Info

Code Duplication

-

Clone Rate

Code Quality

-

Total Issues

Medium

Warning

Info

Completed

4m 23s

Total Duration

Security Analyzer (15)

Security Prover

Open Source Analyzer

IP Analyzer

Code Quality

AI Analyzer

Scan Duration

3m 11s

Solo Version

auto

Node Version

16.15

Branch

main

Commit Hash

3fe685b

Issues (15)

Scan Log

Search vulnerabilities ... Expand All Collapse All Sort By Severity Descending

MWE-099: Possibility of Price manipulation with Pool Reserves

Severity Critical

Files(s) Affected

contracts/libraries/protocol/ZFlibrary.sol

Report Inaccuracy AI Suggestions View on GitHub

162

amounts = getAmountsOutUnchecked(factory, amountIn, path);

127

amounts = getAmountsInUnchecked(factory, amountOut, path);

Description

Please check all child function call based on this expression or expression itself, the potential price manipulation risk may in it, for example, in some functions, certain variables used in "transfer" or "mint" or "return" procedures depend on another dangerous variable that derives its data from "balanceof", "getReserve", "totalSupply()" or "address(someAddress).balance" and is vulnerable to manipulation by flash loan.

Recommendation

It is recommended to use the chainlink oracle to obtain data, or to avoid relying on easily manipulated variables, or to use the TWAP mechanism.

MWE-100: Possibility of Price manipulation with Balances in contracts/core/Multicall.sol

Severity Medium

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/Multicall.sol

Report Inaccuracy AI Suggestions View on GitHub

28

balance = add.balance;

Description

Please check all child function call based on this expression or expression itself, the potential price manipulation risk may in it, for example, in some functions, certain variables used in "transfer" or "mint" or "return" procedures depend on another dangerous variable that derives its data from "balanceof", "getReserve", "totalSupply()" or "address(someAddress).balance" and is vulnerable to manipulation by flash loan.

Recommendation

It is recommended to use the chainlink oracle to obtain data, or to avoid relying on easily manipulated variables, or to use the TWAP mechanism.

MWE-084: Possible Usage of a Variable before Declaration

Severity Low

File(s) Affected

contracts/libraries/cryptography/ECDSA.sol

Report Inaccuracy View on GitHub

76

// ecrecover takes the signature parameters, and the only way to get them

77

// currently is to use assembly.

78

assembly {

79

r := mload(add(signature, 0x20))

80

vs := mload(add(signature, 0x40))

81

}

82

return tryRecover(hash, r, vs);

83

bytes32 r;

Description

Detects the possible usage of a variable before the declaration is stepped over (either because it is later declared or declared in another scope).

Recommendation

Move all variable declarations before any variable usage, and ensure that reaching a variable declaration does not depend on some conditional if used unconditionally.

MWE-110: Missing Event Setter in contracts/core/ZFfactory.sol

Severity Low

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/ZFfactory.sol

Report Inaccuracy View on GitHub

68

function setFeeTo(address \_feeTo) external override onlyFeeSetter {

69

feeTo = \_feeTo;

70

}

73

function setSwapFee(uint16 newFee) external override onlyFeeSetter {

74

require(newFee <= 1000, "Swap fee point is too high"); // 10%

75

swapFee = newFee;

76

}

79

function setProtocolFeeFactor(uint8 newFactor) external override onlyFeeSetter {

80

require(protocolFeeFactor < 1, "Protocol fee factor is too high");

81

protocolFeeFactor = newFactor;

82

}

85

function setFeeSetter(address \_feeSetter) external override onlyFeeSetter {

86

pendingFeeSetter = \_feeSetter;

87

}

96

function setSwapFeeOverride(address \_pair, uint16 \_swapFeeOverride) external override onlyFeeSetter {

97

ZFPair[\_pair].setSwapFeeOverride(\_swapFeeOverride);

98

}

Description

Setter-functions must emit events

Recommendation

Emit events in setter functions






MWE-110: Missing Event Setter

Severity Low

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/ZFPair.sol

Report Inaccuracy View on GitHub

<pre>73 function setSwapFeeOverride(uint6 _swapFeeOverride) external override { 74     require(msg.sender == factory, "FORBIDDEN"); 75     require(_swapFeeOverride &lt;= 1000    _swapFeeOverride == SWAP_FEE_INHERIT, "INVALID_FEE"); 76     swapFeeOverride = _swapFeeOverride; 77 }</pre>	
<p><b>Description</b></p> <p>Setter-functions must emit events</p>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Emit events in setter functions</p>	
<div><div><div>▼</div><div><div></div><div>MWE-105: Missing Zero Address Check in contracts/core/ZFFactory.sol</div></div></div><div>Severity Low</div></div>	
<p><b>File(s) Affected</b></p> <p>contracts/core/ZFFactory.sol ▼</p> <p><a href="#">Report Inaccuracy</a> <a href="#">View on GitHub</a></p> <pre>23 constructor(address _feeToSetter) { 24     feeToSetter = _feeToSetter; 25 26     function setFeeTo(address _feeTo) external override onlyFeeToSetter { 27         feeTo = _feeTo; 28 29         function setFeeToSetter(address _feeToSetter) external override onlyFeeToSetter { 30             pendingFeeToSetter = _feeToSetter; 31         } 32     } 33 }</pre>	
<p><b>Description</b></p> <p>This Function is lack of zero address check in important operation, which may cause some unexpected result.</p>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Add check of zero address in important operation.</p>	
<div><div><div>▼</div><div><div></div><div>MWE-105: Missing Zero Address Check</div></div></div><div>Severity Low</div></div>	
<p><b>File(s) Affected</b></p> <p>contracts/core/ZFPair.sol ▼</p> <p><a href="#">Report Inaccuracy</a> <a href="#">View on GitHub</a></p> <pre>51 constructor(address _token0, address _token1) { 52     token0 = _token0; 53     token1 = _token1; 54 }</pre>	
<p><b>Description</b></p> <p>This Function is lack of zero address check in important operation, which may cause some unexpected result.</p>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Add check of zero address in important operation.</p>	
<div><div><div>▼</div><div><div></div><div>MWE-105: Missing Zero Address Check in contracts/core/ZFRouter.sol</div></div></div><div>Severity Low</div></div>	
<p><b>File(s) Affected</b></p> <p>contracts/core/ZFRouter.sol ▼</p> <p><a href="#">Report Inaccuracy</a> <a href="#">View on GitHub</a></p> <pre>18 constructor(address _factory, address _ETH) { 19     factory = _factory; 20 }</pre>	
<p><b>Description</b></p> <p>This Function is lack of zero address check in important operation, which may cause some unexpected result.</p>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Add check of zero address in important operation.</p>	
<div><div><div>▼</div><div><div></div><div>MWE-108: Centralized Risk With Other in contracts/libraries/access/Ownable.sol</div></div></div><div>Severity Info</div></div>	
<p><b>File(s) Affected</b></p> <p>contracts/libraries/access/Ownable.sol ▼</p> <p><a href="#">Report Inaccuracy</a> <a href="#">View on GitHub</a></p> <pre>52 function renounceOwnership() public virtual onlyOwner { 53     _transferOwnership(address(0)); 54 } 55 56 function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner { 57     require(newOwner != address(0), "Disable: new owner is the zero address"); 58     _transferOwnership(newOwner); 59 }</pre>	
<p><b>Description</b></p> <p>The contract has a centralized risk, which means that the contract is controlled by a single address. If the address is compromised, the contract will be compromised.</p>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Avoid using centralized risk contracts.</p>	
<div><div><div>▼</div><div><div></div><div>MWE-086: Unused Internal Functions in contracts/core/ZFRouterInternal.sol</div></div></div><div>Severity Info</div></div>	
<p><b>File(s) Affected</b></p> <p>contracts/libraries/utils/Context.sol ▼</p> <p><a href="#">Report Inaccuracy</a> <a href="#">View on GitHub</a></p> <pre>17 function _msgSender() internal view virtual returns (address) { 18     return msg.sender; 19 } 20 21 function _msgData() internal view virtual returns (bytes calldata) { 22     return msg.data; 23 }</pre> <p>contracts/core/ZFRouterInternal.sol &gt;</p> <p>contracts/libraries/token/ERC20/ERC20Readonly.sol &gt;</p>	
<p><b>Description</b></p> <p>Presence of internal functions that are defined but never used in the contract. Such functions may introduce unnecessary gas consumption and make the code's review more difficult.</p>	
<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>Remove unused functions to save gas and improve code readability.</p>	



Projects > mainnet-contracts

mainnet-contracts

Start Scan

Scan Reports

Project added 2023/06/23 20:24

Scan time 2023/06/23 20:25

Scan ID 3793

History

Scan Comparison

Project Settings

<b>Vulnerability</b> Total Issues	1 Critical	0 High	1 Medium	6 Low	7 Info
<b>Code Duplication</b> Clone Rate	-				
<b>Code Quality</b> Total Issues	-	Medium	-	Warning	Info
<b>Completed</b> Total Duration					4m 23s

Security Analyzer (15)

Security Prover

Open Source Analyzer

IP Analyzer

Code Quality

AI Analyzer

Scan Duration  
3m 11s

Solc Version  
auto

Node Version  
16.15

Branch  
main

Commit Hash  
3fe685b

Issues (15)

Scan Log

Search vulnerabilities ... Expand All Collapse All Sort By Severity Descending

MWE-076: DoS with Block Gas Limit

Severity Info

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/Multicall.sol

```
17 function aggregate(Call[] calldata calls) public returns (uint256 blockNumber, bytes[] memory returnData) {
18     blockNumber = block.number;
19     returnData = new bytes[](calls.length);
20     for(uint256 i = 0; i < calls.length; i++) {
21         (bool success, bytes memory ret) = calls[i].target.call(calls[i].callData);
22         require(success);
23         returnData[i] = ret;
24     }
25 }
26 // Helper functions
27 function getEthBalance(address addr) public view returns (uint256 balance) {
```

Description

When smart contracts are deployed, functions inside them are called, and the execution of these actions always requires a certain amount of gas based on how much computation is needed to complete them. The Ethereum network specifies a block gas limit, and the sum of all transactions included in a block can not exceed the threshold. In programming patterns that are harmless in centralized applications can lead to Denial of Service conditions in smart contracts when the cost of executing a function exceeds the block gas limit. For example, modifying an array of unknown size that increases over time can lead to a Denial of Service condition.

Recommendation

Caution is advised when you expect to have large arrays that grow over time. Actions that require looping across the entire data structure should be avoided. If you absolutely must loop over an array of unknown size, then you should plan for it to take multiple blocks and potentially require multiple transactions.

MWE-107: Centralized Risk With Key Variable Setting in contracts/core/ZFFactory.sol

Severity Info

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/ZFFactory.sol

```
68 function setFeeTo(address _feeTo) external override onlyFeeSetter {
69     feeTo = _feeTo;
70 }
71
72 function setSwapFee(uint16 newFee) external override onlyFeeSetter {
73     require(newFee <= 1000, "Swap fee point is too high"); // 10%
74     swapFee = newFee;
75 }
76
77 function setProtocolFeeFactor(uint8 newFactor) external override onlyFeeSetter {
78     require(protocolFeeFactor > 1, "Protocol fee factor is too high");
79     protocolFeeFactor = newFactor;
80 }
81
82 function setFeeSetter(address _feeSetter) external override onlyFeeSetter {
83     pendingFeeSetter = _feeSetter;
84 }
85
86 function acceptFeeSetter() external override {
87     require(msg.sender == pendingFeeSetter, "FORBIDDEN");
88     feeSetter = pendingFeeSetter;
89 }
```

Description

The contract has a centralized risk, which means that the contract is controlled by a single address. If the address is compromised, the contract will be compromised.

Recommendation

Avoid using centralized risk contracts.

MWE-087: Uninitialized Local Variables

Severity Info

File(s) Affected

contracts/libraries/protocol/ZFLibrary.sol

```
112 for (uint i; i < path.length - 1; ) {
```

Description

A local variable is either never initialized or is initialized only under certain conditions, while the variable will be used regardless of its initialization. As a result, the default zero value is used, which is not desired.

Recommendation

Initialize the local variable to a reasonable value. Explicitly setting it to zero if it is meant to be initialized to zero.

MWE-087: Uninitialized Local Variables in contracts/core/ZFRouter.sol

Severity Info

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/ZFRouter.sol

```
390 for (uint i; i < path.length - 1; ) {
```

Description

A local variable is either never initialized or is initialized only under certain conditions, while the variable will be used regardless of its initialization. As a result, the default zero value is used, which is not desired.

Recommendation

Initialize the local variable to a reasonable value. Explicitly setting it to zero if it is meant to be initialized to zero.

MWE-087: Uninitialized Local Variables

severity

info

File(s) Affected

contracts/core/ZFRouterInternal.sol

Report Inaccuracy

View on GitHub

170for (uint i; i < path.length - 1; ) {

Description

A local variable is either never initialized or is initialized only under certain conditions, while the variable will be used regardless of its initialization. As a result, the default zero value is used, which is not desired.

Recommendation

Initialize the local variable to a reasonable value. Explicitly setting it to zero if it is meant to be initialized to zero.

Projects > mainnet-contracts

mainnet-contracts

Project added: 2023/06/23 20:24    Scan time: 2023/06/23 20:25    Scan ID: 3793

Start Scan    Scan Reports

History    Scan Comparison    Project Settings

Vulnerability

15

Total Issues

1

Critical

0

High

1

Medium

6

Low

7

Info

Code Duplication

-

Clone Rate

Code Quality

-

Total Issues

-

Medium

-

Warning

-

Info

Completed

4m 23s

Total Duration

- Security Analyzer (15)
- Security Prover
- Open Source Analyzer
- IP Analyzer
- Code Quality
- AI Analyzer

Scan Duration: 2m 51s    Solc Version: auto    Node Version: 16.15    Branch: main    Commit Hash: 3fe685b

Issues    Scan Log



Scan completed, no vulnerabilities found.

Start Scan Scan Reports

History Scan Comparison Project Settings

 Security Analyzer (15)
  Security Prover
  **Open Source Analyzer**
 IP Analyzer
  Code Quality
  AI Analyzer

Issues Scan Log

