

Microensing is becoming an increasingly popular method to search for planets because of its ability to discover more diverse distributions of planets than other common methods. Whereas the radial velocity method and the transit method are most sensitive to hot Jupiters, microensing is most sensitive to distant Neptunes. Moreover, it has the potential to detect Earth-sized and even Mercury-sized planets, something the other methods are not yet able to do. The Wide Field Infrared Survey Telescope (WFIRST) is expected to be launched in the mid-2020s. Astronomers are planning on focusing the WFIRST on the galactic bulge, where it will measure light curves for microensing events. By doing this, researchers hope to discover more properties of planet formation, including for Earth-like planets.

A microensing event occurs when a distant body, known as the source, passes behind another body or system of bodies, known as the lens. By the gravitational lens effect, the light of the source is bent around the lens and magnified. This produces an observable light curve that can indicate details about the lensing bodies, including the mass ratios and separations between each of the lensing bodies. My project focus on modeling point-source point-lens microensing events for binary and triple lenses.

In a binary lens, the mass ratio of the planet to the star is denoted by q , and the separation between the planet and the star is denoted by s . The separation is in units of the Einstein radius of the whole lens system, which means q and s are both unitless. In a triple lens, there will be two mass ratios, two separations, and an angle that is formed by the 3 bodies, which all have various definitions depending on the types of bodies in the system (e.g. star(s), planet(s), or a moon) and the parameter space being used. The definitions of these parameters are arbitrarily defined on a case-by-case basis, so they will not be defined here.

The lensing equation is given by

$$\zeta = z + \sum_i \frac{m_i}{\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}} \quad (1)$$

where m_i is the mass of the lensing body divided by the total mass of the system, z_i is the position of the lensing body in units of the total system's Einstein radius, z is the position of each of the resulting images, \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of z , and ζ is the position of the source. The positions are complex so that we can simplify the 2-dimensional lens equation into a single complex equation, allowing us to solve it analytically.

Equation (1) can be turned into a complex polynomial of z by taking its conjugate, substituting the expression for \bar{z} back into equation (1), moving z to the right hand side, and setting the remaining expression equal to 0. This results in a 5th-degree polynomial for the binary lens case, and a 10th-degree polynomial for the triple lens case. Writing the equation in terms of a polynomial is favored because it more easily allows us to use polynomial root solvers to determine the positions and magnifications of the images. This form of the polynomial, without further simplifications or assumptions, will hereafter be referred to as the "general form" of the lens polynomial. The polynomial

can also be derived and simplified in different coordinate frames, which will be discussed later.

One problem with calculating microlensing events with a typical 64-bit computer is that floating point values are used to represent these variables. Because floating point values are expressed in binary code, there will inevitably be roundoff error as the terms of the polynomial are of greatly varying orders of magnitude. For reference, a 64-bit double floating point number carries approximately 16 significant digits. This means, if a computer were to make the computation $1 + 10^{-17} - 1$, it would truncate the decimal and yield the value 0, where we would expect it to return 10^{-17} . On the other hand, using more precise calculation methods is not favorable because it will take much longer than necessary. One purpose of this project is to determine if we can derive the polynomial form of the lens equation in ways that minimize the roundoff error without relying on more time-consuming methods. My project addresses the effects of deriving the polynomial in different coordinate frames and solving it with different root solver algorithms.