# **COMP2432 Operating Systems**

# Written Assignment 2

# ZHOU Siyu April 13th

#### 1. Page Replacement

# 3 memory frames

(a) FIFO: total page fault: 19

	0	1	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	1
F2		1	1	1	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	6	6	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
F3			2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2

**(b) optimal:** total page fault: 14

` /	_					_																			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	0	0	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	1	1	1	1	0	0	4	4	4	1
F2		1	1	1	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
F3			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

(c) LRU: total page fault: 18

	0	1	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	1
F2		1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	0	0	0	3	3	3
F3			2	2	2	5	5	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	6	6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

#### 4 memory frames

(a) **FIFO:** total page fault: 13

()					0			-																	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1
F2		1	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F3			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	6	6	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4				3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4

**(b) optimal:** total page fault: 10

\ /						_																			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1
F2		1	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
F3			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F4				3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

(c) LRU: total page fault: 14

	0	1	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3
F2		1	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F3			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4
F4				3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	6	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	1

**(d)** 

 $0 \; 1 \; 2 \; 3 \; 4 \; 5 \; 2 \; 4 \; 3 \; 2 \; 5 \; 4 \; 2 \; 5 \; 6 \; 1 \; 3 \; 2 \; 1 \; 0 \; 2 \; 4 \; 3 \; 2 \; 1$ 

FIFO: 01323452432542561321024321

LRU: 0 1 2 3 2 4 5 2 4 3 2 5 4 2 5 6 1 3 2 1 0 2 4 3 2 1

**(e)** 

FIFO and LRU: 0 1 2 3 4 5 2 4 3 2 5 4 2 5 6 1 3 2 4 0 2 4 3 2 1

# 2. Alternative Rage Replacement

#### **KBS** (3 memory frame)

**P=10:** page fault = 18

	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F2	1	1	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1
F3	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	6	1	3	3	1	0	0	4	3	3	3

**P=5:** page fault = 16

	2	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	0	4	3	3	3
F2	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

#### **KBS** (4 memory frame)

**P=10:** page fault =13

	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
F2	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1
F3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	1	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

**P=5:** page fault = 13

	3	4	5	2	4	3	2	5	4	2	5	6	1	3	2	1	0	2	4	3	2	1
F1	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
F2	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1
F3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	1	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Compared to the LRU algorithm, KBS algorithm will has less page fault rate in this case.

#### 3. Deadlock Avoidance

(a)

	Alloca	tion			Need			
	Α	В	C	D	Α	В	C	D
$P_0$	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	0
$P_1$	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
$P_2$	1	2	0	1	1	2	3	1
$P_3$	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	2
$P_4$	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
P <sub>5</sub>	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	0

A possible safe sequence:

$$1\ 1\ 1\ 0 \xrightarrow{P1:\ 2\ 1\ 0\ 1} 3\ 2\ 1\ 1 \xrightarrow{P5:\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 2} 5\ 2\ 3\ 3 \xrightarrow{P0:\ 1\ 0\ 12} 6\ 2\ 4\ 5 \xrightarrow{P2:\ 1\ 2\ 0\ 1} 7\ 4\ 4\ 6 \xrightarrow{P3:\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 0} 9\ 5\ 5\ 6$$

Available: 1 1 1 0

	Work	Allocation	Need	W + A						
$\mathbf{P}_1$	1110	2 1 0 1	1010	3 2 1 1						
$P_0$	3 2 1 1	1012	1210	4223						
					Choice 1	$P_3$	4223	2110	1102	6333
					Choice 2	$P_4$	4223	1112	1211	5335
					Choice 3	P <sub>5</sub>	4223	2022	1100	6245

6 3 3 3 is bigger than request of left 3 processes.

 $P_1$ - $P_0$ - $P_3$ - $(P_2, P_4, P_5)$ 

5 3 3 5 is bigger than request of left 3 processes.

 $P_1-P_0-P_4-(P_2, P_3, P_5)$  3P3

6 2 4 5 is bigger than request of left 3 processes.

 $P_1-P_0-P_5-(P_2, P_3, P_4)$  3P3

	Work	Allocation	Need	W + A					
$\mathbf{P}_1$	1110	2 1 0 1	1010	3 2 1 1					
$P_4$	3 2 1 1	1112	1211	4323					
				Choice 1	$P_0$	4323	1012	1210	5335
				Choice 2	$P_3$	4323	2110	1102	6433
				Choice 3	P <sub>5</sub>	4323	2022	1100	6345

5 3 3 5 is bigger than request of left 3 processes.

 $P_1$ - $P_4$ - $P_0$ - $(P_2, P_3, P_5)$ 

6 4 3 3 is bigger than request of left 3 processes.

3P3

 $P_1-P_4-P_3-(P_0, P_2, P_5)$  3P3

6 3 4 5 is bigger than request of left 3 processes.

 $P_1-P_4-P_5-(P_0, P_2, P_3)$  3P3

	Work	Allocation	Need	W + A	
$\mathbf{P}_1$	1110	2 1 0 1	1010	3 2 1 1	
P <sub>5</sub>	3 2 1 1	2022	1100	5233	

5 2 3 3 is bigger than request of left 4 processes.

 $P_1$ - $P_5$ - $(P_0, P_2, P_3, P_4)$  4P4

	Work	Allocation	Need	W + A
P <sub>5</sub>	1110	2022	1100	3 1 3 2
$P_1$	3132	2 1 0 1	1010	5233

5 2 3 3 is bigger than request of left 4 processes.

 $P_5-P_1-(P_0, P_2, P_3, P_4)$  4P4

	Work	Allocation	Need	W + A
P <sub>5</sub>	1110	2022	1100	3 1 3 2
$P_3$	3 1 3 2	2 1 1 0	1102	5242

5 2 4 2 is bigger than request of left 4 processes.

 $P_5-P_3-(P_0, P_1, P_2, P_4)$  4P4

Possible safe sequences:  $3P3 \times 6 + 4P4 \times 3 = 108$ 

**(b)** 

 $P_x$  is under reporting but also safe, so which will not affect the process sequence. According to the description,  $P_x$  is not  $P_1$ , so must be  $P_5$  (because of the first process must be  $P_1$  or  $P_5$ ), when change A, still be safe. Then  $P_x$  is  $P_5$ 

**(c)** 

 $Y_1$  will be affected the safe sequence of these processes without  $Y_2$ ,  $P_5$  is safe for (b), if B is changed, it will be unsafe.

Then  $P_y$  is  $P_5$ , and  $Y_1$  is B.

#### 4. Deadlock Detection

(a)

	Allocation				Request			
	A	В	C	D	Α	В	C	D
$P_0$	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
$\mathbf{P}_1$	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
$P_2$	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
$P_3$	2	0	1	1	1	2	1	2
$P_4$	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0
P <sub>5</sub>	2	2	2	1	1	0	1	2

Available: 1010

	Work	Allocation	Need	Work + Allocation
$\mathbf{P}_2$	1010	1100	1010	2110
P <sub>4</sub>	2110	1 1 1 1	0110	3 3 3 1
$P_1$	3 3 3 1	2001	1111	5332

5 3 3 2 is bigger than request of all the left processes.

 $P_2-P_4-P_1-(P_0, P_3, P_5)$  3P3

All possible safe sequence:

$$P_2$$
- $P_4$ - $P_1$ - $P_0$ - $P_3$ - $P_5$ 

$$P_2$$
- $P_4$ - $P_1$ - $P_0$ - $P_5$ - $P_3$ 

$$P_2$$
- $P_4$ - $P_1$ - $P_5$ - $P_3$ - $P_0$ 

Possible safe sequences: 3P3 = 6

**(b)** 

If  $P_2$  increase 2, then  $P_2$  will be unsafe (because of the first process must be  $P_2$ ), so  $P_x = P_2$ .

(c)

If  $Y_2$  is D, then it will be deadlock. For  $P_2$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_1$ , if D increases, first three process can be changed, so that the result would not be safe. Then  $P_y$  is  $P_2$ ,  $P_4$  or  $P_1$ .