

## Group Assignment 3

### Part A (Textbook Chapter 4.8 Exercises: Q1, Q6, Q8)

**Problem 1.** *Problem 1: Using a little bit of algebra, prove that (4.2) is equivalent to (4.3). In other words, the logistic function representation and the logit representation for the logistic regression model are equivalent.*

**Answer. Solution:**

Let

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X)}}.$$

We want to show this is equivalent to

$$\log \left( \frac{p(X)}{1 - p(X)} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

**Proof:**

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}, \quad \text{where } z = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

Then

$$1 - p(X) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{1 + e^{-z} - 1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{e^{-z}}{1 + e^{-z}}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{p(X)}{1 - p(X)} = \frac{\frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}}{\frac{e^{-z}}{1 + e^{-z}}} = \frac{1}{e^{-z}} = e^z.$$

Taking the natural logarithm on both sides,

$$\log \left( \frac{p(X)}{1 - p(X)} \right) = \log(e^z) = z = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

Thus, (4.2) and (4.3) are indeed equivalent.

**Problem 6.** *Problem 6: Logistic Regression Probability Estimate*

**Answer. Solution:**

(a) Given that  $\beta_0 = -6$ ,  $\beta_1 = 0.05$ , and  $\beta_2 = 1$ , we estimate the probability of getting an A for a student studying 40 hours with a GPA of 3.5 as follows:

$$P(Y = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(-6+0.05 \cdot 40+1 \cdot 3.5)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(-6+2+3.5)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-0.5}} \approx 0.378 \text{ (37.8\%)}$$

(b) To find the number of hours a student with a GPA of 3.5 needs to study to have a 50% chance of getting an A, we set  $P(Y = 1) = 0.5$  and solve for  $X_1$ :

$$0.5 = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(-6+0.05 \cdot X_1+1 \cdot 3.5)}}$$

Simplifying:

$$\begin{aligned} 0.5(1 + e^{-(-6+0.05 \cdot X_1+3.5)}) &= 1 \\ 1 + e^{-(-6+0.05 \cdot X_1+3.5)} &= 2 \\ e^{-(-6+0.05 \cdot X_1+3.5)} &= 1 \\ -(-6 + 0.05 \cdot X_1 + 3.5) &= 0 \\ 6 - 0.05 \cdot X_1 - 3.5 &= 0 \\ 2.5 - 0.05 \cdot X_1 &= 0 \\ -0.05 \cdot X_1 &= -2.5 \\ X_1 &= \frac{2.5}{0.05} = 50 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the student would need to study 50 hours to have a 50% chance of getting an A in the class.

### **Problem 8. Problem 8: Comparison of Logistic Regression and K-Nearest Neighbors**

**Answer. Solution:**

We have two classification methods:

#### **1. Logistic Regression:**

- Training error: 20%
- Test error: 30%

#### **2. 1-Nearest Neighbor (K=1):**

- Average error: 18%

Even though KNN (K=1) has a lower average error, it is prone to overfitting and does not generalize well. Logistic regression, despite having a higher test error, is more stable and interpretable.

Thus, logistic regression is the better choice for classifying new observations in this case. However, using a better K value (e.g.,  $K=5$  or  $K=10$ ) for KNN might improve its performance.

## Part B (Stock Market Data: Logistic Regression & LDA)

**Problem 1. *Problem 1: (a)–(d) Logistic Regression on the Stock Market Data***

**Answer. Solution:**

- (a) Compute the testing error rate using all predictors Lag1, Lag2, Lag3, Lag4, Lag5.
- (b) Identify which predictors can be removed to reduce the testing error (based on p-values or other criteria).
- (c) Recompute the testing error after removing the less significant predictors.
- (d) Given  $\text{Lag1} = 2.1$  and  $\text{Lag2} = -0.5$ , calculate the predicted probability of the market going up.

**Problem 2. *Problem 2: (a)–(c) LDA on the Stock Market Data***

**Answer. Solution:**

- (a) Calculate  $\Pr(Y = \text{UP})$  and  $\Pr(Y = \text{DOWN})$  based on the training set.
- (b) Compute the mean vector of  $\mathbf{X}$  (the predictors) for each class (UP vs. DOWN).
- (c) Discuss whether using a 70% posterior probability threshold ( $\Pr(Y = \text{UP} | \mathbf{X} = x) \geq 0.70$ ) is feasible or advisable for predicting a market increase.

## Appendix: Screenshots

```
> # Load necessary libraries
> library(ISLR) # Contains the Stock Market dataset
> library(MASS) # For LDA
> # Load the data
> data(Smarket)
> # Split data into training (Year < 2005) and testing (Year == 2005)
> train <- Smarket$Year < 2005
> test <- Smarket$Year == 2005
> # Logistic Regression with all predictors
> logit_model <- glm(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 + Lag4 + Lag5,
+                   data = Smarket, subset = train, family = binomial)
> # Predict on test data
> logit_probs <- predict(logit_model, Smarket[test, ], type = "response")
> logit_pred <- ifelse(logit_probs > 0.5, "Up", "Down")
> # Compute the testing error rate
> logit_error_rate <- mean(logit_pred != Smarket$Direction[test])
> print(paste("Test Error Rate (All Predictors):", logit_error_rate))
[1] "Test Error Rate (All Predictors): 0.412698412698413"
> # Remove least significant predictors
> logit_model_reduced <- glm(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2,
+                             data = Smarket, subset = train, family = binomial)
> # Predict on test data using reduced model
> logit_probs_reduced <- predict(logit_model_reduced, Smarket[test, ], type = "response")
> logit_pred_reduced <- ifelse(logit_probs_reduced > 0.5, "Up", "Down")
> # Compute the new testing error rate
> logit_error_rate_reduced <- mean(logit_pred_reduced != Smarket$Direction[test])
> print(paste("Test Error Rate (Reduced Model):", logit_error_rate_reduced))
[1] "Test Error Rate (Reduced Model): 0.44047619047619"
> # Compute predicted probability for given Lag1 = 2.1, Lag2 = -0.5
> new_data <- data.frame(Lag1 = 2.1, Lag2 = -0.5)
> predicted_prob <- predict(logit_model_reduced, new_data, type = "response")
> print(paste("Predicted Probability of Market Going Up:", predicted_prob))
[1] "Predicted Probability of Market Going Up: 0.484419143967993"
> # Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)
> lda_model <- lda(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2, data = Smarket, subset = train)
> lda_pred <- predict(lda_model, Smarket[test, ])
> lda_class <- lda_pred$class
> # Compute LDA error rate
> lda_error_rate <- mean(lda_class != Smarket$Direction[test])
> print(paste("LDA Test Error Rate:", lda_error_rate))
[1] "LDA Test Error Rate: 0.44047619047619"
```

Figure 1: Screenshot 1

```

> # Compute prior probabilities
> lda_prior <- lda_model$prior
> print("Prior Probabilities:")
[1] "Prior Probabilities:"
> print(lda_prior)
      Down      Up
0.491984 0.508016
> # Compute class means
> lda_means <- lda_model$means
> print("Class Means:")
[1] "Class Means:"
> print(lda_means)
      Lag1      Lag2
Down 0.04279022 0.03389409
Up   -0.03954635 -0.03132544
> # Assessing the 70% posterior probability threshold
> posterior_probs <- lda_pred$posterior
> pred_high_confidence <- ifelse(posterior_probs[, "Up"] > 0.7, "Up", "Down")
> print("Predictions with 70% Posterior Probability Threshold:")
[1] "Predictions with 70% Posterior Probability Threshold:"
> print(table(pred_high_confidence))
pred_high_confidence
Down
  257

```

Figure 2: Screenshot 2









| Data                      |   |   |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| lda_means                 | num [1:2, 1:2] 0.0428 -0.0395 0.0339 -0.0313                              |  |
| lda_model                 | List of 10  |  |
| lda_pred                  | List of 3   |  |
| logit_model               | List of 30  |  |
| logit_model_reduced       | List of 30  |  |
| new_data                  | 1 obs. of 2 variables   |  |
| posterior_probs           | num [1:252, 1:2] 0.49 0.479 0.467 0.474 0.493 ...                         |  |
| Smarket                   | 1250 obs. of 9 variables  |  |
| Values                    |   |   |
| lda_class                 | Factor w/ 2 levels "Down","Up": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...                   |   |
| lda_error_rate            | 0.44047619047619  |   |
| lda_prior                 | Named num [1:2] 0.492 0.508   |   |
| logit_error_rate          | 0.412698412698413   |   |
| logit_error_rate_reduc... | 0.44047619047619  |   |
| logit_pred                | chr [1:252] "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" ...         |   |
| logit_pred_reduced        | chr [1:252] "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" "Up" ...         |   |
| logit_probs               | Named num [1:252] 0.512 0.52 0.533 0.524 0.503 ...                        |   |
| logit_probs_reduced       | Named num [1:252] 0.51 0.521 0.533 0.526 0.507 ...                        |   |
| pred_high_confidence      | chr [1:252] "Down" "Down" "Down" "Down" "Down" "Down" "Down" "Down" "D... |   |
| predicted_prob            | Named num 0.484   |   |
| test                      | logi [1:1250] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE ...                     |   |
| train                     | logi [1:1250] TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE ...                           |   |

Figure 3: Screenshot 3