



Security Assessment

# **ZombieWorldZ**

Nov 15th, 2021



# Table of Contents

## Summary

### Overview

[Project Summary](#)

[Audit Summary](#)

[Vulnerability Summary](#)

[Audit Scope](#)

### Findings

[ABZ-01 : Unlocked Compiler Version](#)

[BTZ-01 : Unlocked Compiler Version](#)

[BTZ-02 : Token Minted To Centralized Address](#)

[GLOBAL-01 : Centralization Risk](#)

[ABZ-02 : Function Visibility Optimization](#)

[ZZT-01 : Unlocked Compiler Version](#)

[ZZT-02 : Token Minted To Centralized Address](#)

[ZZT-03 : Mutability Specifiers Missing](#)

[ZZT-04 : Proper Usage of `require` And `assert` Functions](#)

## Appendix

### Disclaimer

### About

# Summary

This report has been prepared for ZombieWorldZ to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of the ZombieWorldZ project as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. A comprehensive examination has been performed, utilizing Static Analysis and Manual Review techniques.

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.

Additionally, this audit is based on a premise that all external contracts were implemented safely.

The security assessment resulted in findings that ranged from critical to informational. We recommend addressing these findings to ensure a high level of security standards and industry practices. We suggest recommendations that could better serve the project from the security perspective:

- Enhance general coding practices for better structures of source codes;
- Add enough unit tests to cover the possible use cases;
- Provide more comments per each function for readability, especially contracts that are verified in public;
- Provide more transparency on privileged activities once the protocol is live.

# Overview

## Project Summary

Project Name	ZombieWorldZ
Platform	BSC
Language	Solidity
Codebase	<a href="https://github.com/ZombieWorldZ-Game/zwz-tokenimic/tree/main/src">https://github.com/ZombieWorldZ-Game/zwz-tokenimic/tree/main/src</a>
Commit	6946a29eeb1d0738ce5e4d5f46873f1082dc3bb5 7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589

## Audit Summary

Delivery Date	Nov 15, 2021
Audit Methodology	Static Analysis, Manual Review
Key Components	

## Vulnerability Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	⚠ Pending	⊗ Declined	ℹ Acknowledged	🕒 Partially Resolved	✅ Resolved
🔴 Critical	0	0	0	0	0	0
🟠 Major	3	0	0	3	0	0
🟡 Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0
🟠 Minor	0	0	0	0	0	0
🔵 Informational	6	0	0	0	0	6
🟢 Discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Audit Scope

ID	File	SHA256 Checksum
ABZ	AntiBot.sol	db77e3119f7f7a7571d2828c689942124aa598ff2527eb255d464956315a8c41
BTZ	BrainToken.sol	12f5b52baf19e836f2d30399ed44fa45d3de12ccae89f556ca599984405e2115
ZZT	ZwZToken.sol	9b1037d5a225240d1285787904115c367418dc559c93f5c581592aac6ff22499

# Findings



Critical	0 (0.00%)
Major	3 (33.33%)
Medium	0 (0.00%)
Minor	0 (0.00%)
Informational	6 (66.67%)
Discussion	0 (0.00%)

ID	Title	Category	Severity	Status
ABZ-01	Unlocked Compiler Version	Language Specific	Informational	Resolved
BTZ-01	Unlocked Compiler Version	Language Specific	Informational	Resolved
BTZ-02	Token Minted To Centralized Address	Logical Issue	Major	Acknowledged
GLOBAL-01	Centralization Risk	Centralization / Privilege	Major	Acknowledged
ABZ-02	Function Visibility Optimization	Gas Optimization	Informational	Resolved
ZTZ-01	Unlocked Compiler Version	Language Specific	Informational	Resolved
ZTZ-02	Token Minted To Centralized Address	Logical Issue	Major	Acknowledged
ZTZ-03	Mutability Specifiers Missing	Gas Optimization	Informational	Resolved
ZTZ-04	Proper Usage of <code>require</code> And <code>assert</code> Functions	Coding Style	Informational	Resolved

## ABZ-01 | Unlocked Compiler Version

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Language Specific	● Informational	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/AntiBot.sol (f3e2f47): 3	✓ Resolved

### Description

The contract has unlocked compiler version. An unlocked compiler version in the source code of the contract permits the user to compile it at or above a particular version. This, in turn, leads to differences in the generated bytecode between compilations due to differing compiler version numbers. This can lead to an ambiguity when debugging as compiler specific bugs may occur in the codebase that would be hard to identify over a span of multiple compiler versions rather than a specific one.

### Recommendation

We advise that the compiler version is instead locked at the lowest version possible that the contract can be compiled at. For example, for version `v0.8.7` the contract should contain the following line:

```
pragma solidity 0.8.7;
```

### Alleviation

The development team solved this issue at commit `7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589`.

## BTZ-01 | Unlocked Compiler Version

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Language Specific	● Informational	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/BrainToken.sol (f3e2f47): 3	✓ Resolved

### Description

The contract has unlocked compiler version. An unlocked compiler version in the source code of the contract permits the user to compile it at or above a particular version. This, in turn, leads to differences in the generated bytecode between compilations due to differing compiler version numbers. This can lead to an ambiguity when debugging as compiler specific bugs may occur in the codebase that would be hard to identify over a span of multiple compiler versions rather than a specific one.

### Recommendation

We advise that the compiler version is instead locked at the lowest version possible that the contract can be compiled at. For example, for version `v0.8.7` the contract should contain the following line:

```
pragma solidity 0.8.7;
```

### Alleviation

The development team solved this issue at commit `7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589`.



## BTZ-02 | Token Minted To Centralized Address

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	● Major	Global	ⓘ Acknowledged

### Description

The amount of tokens that are minted to the centralized address, may raise the community's concerns about the centralization issue.

### Recommendation

We advise the client to carefully manage the `owner` account's private key and avoid any potential risks of being hacked. We also advise the client to adopt Multisig, Timelock, and/or DAO in the project to manage this specific account in this case.

### Alleviation

The client responded that they have acknowledged this issue.

## GLOBAL-01 | Centralization Risk

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Centralization / Privilege	● Major	Global	ⓘ Acknowledged

### Description

The role `owner` has the authority over the listed functions:

- `modifyWhiteList()` in `AntiBot.sol`
- `setAntiBot()` in `AntiBot.sol`

The role `MINTER_ROLE` has the authority over the listed functions:

- `mint()` in `BrainToken.sol`, mint any amount of tokens to any address without limitation.

Any compromise to the key role account may allow a potential hacker to take advantage of this and execute malicious acts.

### Recommendation

We advise the client to carefully manage the key role account's private key to avoid any potential risks of being hacked. In general, we strongly recommend centralized privileges or roles in the protocol to be improved via a decentralized mechanism or smart-contract-based accounts with enhanced security practices, e.g., Multisignature wallets.

Indicatively, here are some feasible suggestions that would also mitigate the potential risk at the different levels in terms of short-term and long-term scenarios:

- Time-lock with reasonable latency, e.g., 48 hours, for awareness on privileged operations;
- Assignment of privileged roles to multi-signature wallets to prevent a single point of failure due to the private key;
- Introduction of a DAO/governance/voting module to increase transparency and user involvement.

### Alleviation

The client responded that they have acknowledged this issue.

## ABZ-02 | Function Visibility Optimization

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Gas Optimization	● Informational	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/AntiBot.sol (f3e2f47): 14	✓ Resolved

### Description

The following functions are declared as `public`, contain array function arguments, and are not invoked in any of the contracts contained within the project's scope. The functions that are never called internally within the contract should have external visibility.

### Recommendation

We advise that the functions' visibility specifiers are set to `external` and the array-based arguments change their data location from `memory` to `calldata`, optimizing the gas cost of the function.

### Alleviation

The development team solved this issue at commit `7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589`.

## ZZT-01 | Unlocked Compiler Version

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Language Specific	● Informational	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/ZwZToken.sol (f3e2f47): 3	✓ Resolved

### Description

The contract has unlocked compiler version. An unlocked compiler version in the source code of the contract permits the user to compile it at or above a particular version. This, in turn, leads to differences in the generated bytecode between compilations due to differing compiler version numbers. This can lead to an ambiguity when debugging as compiler specific bugs may occur in the codebase that would be hard to identify over a span of multiple compiler versions rather than a specific one.

### Recommendation

We advise that the compiler version is instead locked at the lowest version possible that the contract can be compiled at. For example, for version `v0.8.7` the contract should contain the following line:

```
pragma solidity 0.8.7;
```

### Alleviation

The development team solved this issue at commit `7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589`.

## ZZT-02 | Token Minted To Centralized Address

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Logical Issue	● Major	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/ZwZToken.sol (f3e2f47): 14	📄 Acknowledged

### Description

The amount of tokens that are minted to the centralized address, may raise the community's concerns about the centralization issue.

### Recommendation

We advise the client to carefully manage the `owner` account's private key and avoid any potential risks of being hacked. We also advise the client to adopt Multisig, Timelock, and/or DAO in the project to manage this specific account in this case.

### Alleviation

The client responded that they have acknowledged this issue.

## ZZT-03 | Mutability Specifiers Missing

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Gas Optimization	● Informational	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/ZwZToken.sol (f3e2f47): 12	✓ Resolved

### Description

The linked variables are assigned only once, either during their contract-level declaration or during the `constructor`'s execution.

### Recommendation

For the former, we advise that the `constant` keyword is introduced in the variable declaration to greatly optimize the gas cost involved in utilizing the variable. For the latter, we advise that the `immutable` mutability specifier is set at the variable's contract-level declaration to greatly optimize the gas cost of utilizing the variables. Please note that the `immutable` keyword only works in Solidity versions `v0.6.5` and up.

### Alleviation

The development team solved this issue at commit `7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589`.

## ZZT-04 | Proper Usage of `require` And `assert` Functions

Category	Severity	Location	Status
Coding Style	● Informational	projects/ZombieWorldZ/contracts/ZwZToken.sol (f3e2f47): 23~25	🟢 Resolved

### Description

The `assert` function should only be used to test for internal errors, and to check invariants. The `require` function should be used to ensure valid conditions, such as inputs, or contract state variables are met, or to validate return values from calls to external contracts.

### Recommendation

We advise the client to use the `require` function, along with a custom error message when the condition fails, instead of the `assert` function.

### Alleviation

The development team solved this issue at commit [7e24550f7062e25f626ea5d39279095ec02f1589](#).

# Appendix

## Finding Categories

### Centralization / Privilege

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that act against the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles in combination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

### Gas Optimization

Gas Optimization findings do not affect the functionality of the code but generate different, more optimal EVM opcodes resulting in a reduction on the total gas cost of a transaction.

### Logical Issue

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on how `block.timestamp` works.

### Language Specific

Language Specific findings are issues that would only arise within Solidity, i.e. incorrect usage of `private` or `delete`.

### Coding Style

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated byte-code but rather comment on how to make the codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

## Checksum Calculation Method

The "Checksum" field in the "Audit Scope" section is calculated as the SHA-256 (Secure Hash Algorithm 2 with digest size of 256 bits) digest of the content of each file hosted in the listed source repository under the specified commit.

The result is hexadecimal encoded and is the same as the output of the Linux `"sha256sum"` command against the target file.



# Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you (“Customer” or the “Company”) in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without CertiK’s prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts CertiK to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. CertiK’s position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. CertiK’s goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies, and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

The assessment services provided by CertiK is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third-parties.

ALL SERVICES, THE LABELS, THE ASSESSMENT REPORT, WORK PRODUCT, OR OTHER MATERIALS, OR ANY PRODUCTS OR RESULTS OF THE USE THEREOF ARE PROVIDED “AS IS” AND “AS

AVAILABLE” AND WITH ALL FAULTS AND DEFECTS WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED UNDER APPLICABLE LAW, CERTIK HEREBY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, WHETHER EXPRESS, IMPLIED, STATUTORY, OR OTHERWISE WITH RESPECT TO THE SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, OR OTHER MATERIALS. WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, CERTIK SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE AND NON-INFRINGEMENT, AND ALL WARRANTIES ARISING FROM COURSE OF DEALING, USAGE, OR TRADE PRACTICE. WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, CERTIK MAKES NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND THAT THE SERVICES, THE LABELS, THE ASSESSMENT REPORT, WORK PRODUCT, OR OTHER MATERIALS, OR ANY PRODUCTS OR RESULTS OF THE USE THEREOF, WILL MEET CUSTOMER’S OR ANY OTHER PERSON’S REQUIREMENTS, ACHIEVE ANY INTENDED RESULT, BE COMPATIBLE OR WORK WITH ANY SOFTWARE, SYSTEM, OR OTHER SERVICES, OR BE SECURE, ACCURATE, COMPLETE, FREE OF HARMFUL CODE, OR ERROR-FREE. WITHOUT LIMITATION TO THE FOREGOING, CERTIK PROVIDES NO WARRANTY OR UNDERTAKING, AND MAKES NO REPRESENTATION OF ANY KIND THAT THE SERVICE WILL MEET CUSTOMER’S REQUIREMENTS, ACHIEVE ANY INTENDED RESULTS, BE COMPATIBLE OR WORK WITH ANY OTHER SOFTWARE, APPLICATIONS, SYSTEMS OR SERVICES, OPERATE WITHOUT INTERRUPTION, MEET ANY PERFORMANCE OR RELIABILITY STANDARDS OR BE ERROR FREE OR THAT ANY ERRORS OR DEFECTS CAN OR WILL BE CORRECTED.

WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, NEITHER CERTIK NOR ANY OF CERTIK’S AGENTS MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED AS TO THE ACCURACY, RELIABILITY, OR CURRENCY OF ANY INFORMATION OR CONTENT PROVIDED THROUGH THE SERVICE. CERTIK WILL ASSUME NO LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR (I) ANY ERRORS, MISTAKES, OR INACCURACIES OF CONTENT AND MATERIALS OR FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND INCURRED AS A RESULT OF THE USE OF ANY CONTENT, OR (II) ANY PERSONAL INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE, OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER, RESULTING FROM CUSTOMER’S ACCESS TO OR USE OF THE SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, OR OTHER MATERIALS.

ALL THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS ARE PROVIDED “AS IS” AND ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY OF OR CONCERNING ANY THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS IS STRICTLY BETWEEN CUSTOMER AND THE THIRD-PARTY OWNER OR DISTRIBUTOR OF THE THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS.

THE SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, AND ANY OTHER MATERIALS HEREUNDER ARE SOLELY PROVIDED TO CUSTOMER AND MAY NOT BE RELIED ON BY ANY OTHER PERSON OR FOR ANY PURPOSE NOT SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED IN THIS AGREEMENT, NOR MAY COPIES BE DELIVERED TO, ANY OTHER PERSON WITHOUT CERTIK’S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT IN EACH INSTANCE.

NO THIRD PARTY OR ANYONE ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY THEREOF, SHALL BE A THIRD PARTY OR OTHER BENEFICIARY OF SUCH SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, AND ANY ACCOMPANYING

MATERIALS AND NO SUCH THIRD PARTY SHALL HAVE ANY RIGHTS OF CONTRIBUTION AGAINST CERTIK WITH RESPECT TO SUCH SERVICES, ASSESSMENT REPORT, AND ANY ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS.

THE REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF CERTIK CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT ARE SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF CUSTOMER. ACCORDINGLY, NO THIRD PARTY OR ANYONE ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY THEREOF, SHALL BE A THIRD PARTY OR OTHER BENEFICIARY OF SUCH REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES AND NO SUCH THIRD PARTY SHALL HAVE ANY RIGHTS OF CONTRIBUTION AGAINST CERTIK WITH RESPECT TO SUCH REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES OR ANY MATTER SUBJECT TO OR RESULTING IN INDEMNIFICATION UNDER THIS AGREEMENT OR OTHERWISE.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE SERVICES, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED ASSESSMENT REPORTS OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.

## About

Founded in 2017 by leading academics in the field of Computer Science from both Yale and Columbia University, CertiK is a leading blockchain security company that serves to verify the security and correctness of smart contracts and blockchain-based protocols. Through the utilization of our world-class technical expertise, alongside our proprietary, innovative tech, we're able to support the success of our clients with best-in-class security, all whilst realizing our overarching vision; provable trust for all throughout all facets of blockchain.

