Required for 231 Students

Homework 4

PSTAT 131/231

Resampling

For this assignment, we will continue working with part of a Kaggle data set that was the subject of a machine learning competition and is often used for practicing ML models. The goal is classification; specifically, to predict which passengers would survive the Titanic shipwreck.

```
Fig. 1: RMS Titanic departing Southampton on April 10, 1912.
```

Load the data from data/titanic.csv into R and familiarize yourself with the variables it contains using the codebook (data/titanic codebook.txt).

Notice that survived and pclass should be changed to factors. When changing survived to a factor, you may want to reorder the factor so that "Yes" is the first level.

Make sure you load the tidyverse and tidymodels!

library(ggplot2) library(tidyverse)

```
library(tidymodels)
library(corrplot)
library(ggthemes)
library(corrr)
library(discrim)
#install.packages("pROC")
library(pROC)
library(klaR)
tidymodels prefer()
setwd("/Users/abhayzope/Desktop/Pstat 131")
Titanic_data=read.csv("titanic.csv")
Titanic_data$survived <- factor(Titanic_data$survived)</pre>
Titanic_data$pclass <- factor(Titanic_data$pclass)</pre>
Titanic_data %>%
 head()
     passenger_id survived pclass
## 1
                        No
                                 3
## 2
                       Yes
```

```
3
                       Yes
                       Yes
                    No
                                 3
 ## 5
 ## 6
                        No
                                                     name
                                                             sex age sib_sp parch
                                                           male 22
                                  Braund, Mr. Owen Harris
 ## 2 Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Thayer) female 38
                                                                                0
 ## 3
                                   Heikkinen, Miss. Laina female 26
             Futrelle, Mrs. Jacques Heath (Lily May Peel) female 35
 ## 4
 ## 5
                                 Allen, Mr. William Henry
                                                           male 35
 ## 6
                                         Moran, Mr. James male NA
                ticket
                          fare cabin embarked
             A/5 21171 7.2500 <NA>
              PC 17599 71.2833 C85
 ## 3 STON/O2. 3101282 7.9250 <NA>
                113803 53.1000 C123
 ## 5
                373450 8.0500 <NA>
                330877 8.4583 <NA>
Remember that you'll need to set a seed at the beginning of the document to reproduce your results.
Create a recipe for this dataset identical to the recipe you used in Homework 3.
Question 1
```

Split the data, stratifying on the outcome variable, survived. You should choose the proportions to split the data into. Verify that the training and testing data sets have the appropriate number of observations.

set.seed(3435)

15

No

titanic_split <- initial_split(Titanic_data, prop = 0.80,</pre> strata = survived)

```
titanic train <- training(titanic split)</pre>
titanic_test <- testing(titanic_split)</pre>
titanic_train %>%
 head()
      passenger id survived pclass
                                                                           sex age
## 1
                                                Braund, Mr. Owen Harris
                                                                          male 22
## 5
                        No
                                               Allen, Mr. William Henry
                                                                          male 35
                                                McCarthy, Mr. Timothy J
                                                                          male 54
## 8
                                 3
                                         Palsson, Master. Gosta Leonard
                        No
                                                                          male 2
## 14
               14
                                 3
                                            Andersson, Mr. Anders Johan
                        No
                                                                          male 39
```

3 Vestrom, Miss. Hulda Amanda Adolfina female 14

```
sib_sp parch
                     ticket
                              fare cabin embarked
## 1
                0 A/5 21171 7.2500 <NA>
                     373450 8.0500 <NA>
## 5
          0
                     17463 51.8625
## 7
          0
                                     E46
## 8
          3
                    349909 21.0750 <NA>
## 14
                    347082 31.2750 <NA>
## 15
                    350406 7.8542 <NA>
                                                S
                                                                                                 Hide
dim(titanic_train)
## [1] 712 12
```

```
dim(titanic_test)
 ## [1] 179 12
                                                                                                           Hide
 titanic_recipe <- recipe(survived ~ pclass + sex + age + sib_sp + parch</pre>
                              + fare, data = titanic_train) %>%
   step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
   step_impute_linear(age, impute_with = imp_vars(all_predictors())) %>%
   step_interact(terms = ~ sex:fare) %>%
   step_interact(terms = ~ age:fare)
Question 2
Fold the training data. Use k-fold cross-validation, with k = 10.
```

10-fold cross-validation ## # A tibble: 10 × 2 splits

titanic_folds

15

<list> <chr> ## 1 <split [640/72]> Fold01

titanic_folds <- vfold_cv(titanic_train, v = 10)</pre>

```
2 <split [640/72]> Fold02
## 3 <split [641/71]> Fold03
## 4 <split [641/71]> Fold04
## 5 <split [641/71]> Fold05
## 6 <split [641/71]> Fold06
## 7 <split [641/71]> Fold07
## 8 <split [641/71]> Fold08
## 9 <split [641/71]> Fold09
## 10 <split [641/71]> Fold10
degree_grid <- grid_regular(degree(range = c(1, 10)), levels = 10)</pre>
degree grid
## # A tibble: 10 × 1
      degree
       <dbl>
          1
           2
           3
```

```
6
  ## 6
              7
  ## 7
  ## 8
               8
  ## 9
               9
  ## 10
              10
Question 3
In your own words, explain what we are doing in Question 2. What is k-fold cross-validation? Why should we use it, rather than
simply fitting and testing models on the entire training set? If we did use the entire training set, what resampling method would that
be?
K-fold cross validation is an alternative attempt to evaluate a model on some data. When performing k-fold cross validation, we are
essentially dividing our data into folds and ensuring that each fold is used as a testing set at some point. K-fold cross validation
```

ensures every observation from the original dataset has the chance of appearing in the training and test set. This is the key

advantage that k-fold cross validation has over the Leave One Out Cross-Validation approach which we have been using up until

Question 4 Set up workflows for 3 models: 1. A logistic regression with the glm engine;

set_engine("glm") %>%

set_mode("classification")

log_wkflow <- workflow() %>% add model(log reg) %>%

add recipe(titanic recipe)

qda_mod <- discrim_quad() %>%

set_engine("MASS")

set_mode("classification") %>%

tune_res_logistic <- log_wkflow %>%

fit_resamples(titanic_folds)

tune res lda <- lda wkflow %>%

four models.

2. A linear discriminant analysis with the MASS engine;

now.

3 ## 4

5

4

5

3. A quadratic discriminant analysis with the MASS engine. log reg <- logistic reg() %>%

```
lda mod <- discrim linear() %>%
 set mode("classification") %>%
 set engine("MASS")
lda wkflow <- workflow() %>%
 add_model(lda_mod) %>%
 add recipe(titanic recipe)
                                                                                                      Hide
```

```
qda_wkflow <- workflow() %>%
    add_model(qda_mod) %>%
    add recipe(titanic recipe)
How many models, total, across all folds, will you be fitting to the data? To answer, think about how many folds there are, and how
many models you'll fit to each fold.
We will be fitting thirty models to the data across all folds.
Question 5
Fit each of the models created in Question 4 to the folded data.
```

Hide tune_res_qda <- qda_wkflow %>% fit_resamples(titanic_folds)

Use collect metrics() to print the mean and standard errors of the performance metric accuracy across all folds for each of the

```
time you knit. Instead, run them once, using an R script, and store your results; look into the use of loading and saving. You should
still include the code to run them when you knit, but set eval = FALSE in the code chunks.
Question 6
```

collect_metrics(tune_res_logistic)

.metric .estimator mean

.metric .estimator mean

<chr>

<chr>

A tibble: 2 × 6

1 accuracy binary

2 roc_auc binary

<chr>

Question 7

new_log_reg <- logistic_reg() %>%

add_recipe(titanic_recipe)

A tibble: 179 × 5

#log modelaccuracy #log_modelpredict

<dbl>

new_log_fit <- fit(new_log_wkflow, titanic_test)</pre>

.pred_No .pred_Yes .metric .estimator .estimate

<chr>

<dbl> <chr>

1 accuracy binary

2 roc_auc binary

fit resamples(titanic folds)

collect_metrics(tune_res_lda) ## # A tibble: 2 × 6

n std_err .config

n std_err .config

0.794 10 0.0194 Preprocessor1_Model1

0.849 10 0.0142 Preprocessor1_Model1

0.811 10 0.0155 Preprocessor1_Model1 0.849 10 0.0123 Preprocessor1_Model1

<dbl> <int> <dbl> <chr>

<dbl> <int> <dbl> <chr>

Now that you've chosen a model, fit your chosen model to the entire training dataset (not to the folds).

```
collect_metrics(tune_res_qda)
 ## # A tibble: 2 × 6
                                      n std_err .config
       .metric .estimator mean
                           <dbl> <int> <dbl> <chr>
      <chr>
                <chr>
 ## 1 accuracy binary
                           0.791 10 0.0174 Preprocessor1_Model1
                           0.841 10 0.0107 Preprocessor1_Model1
 ## 2 roc_auc binary
Decide which of the 3 fitted models has performed the best. Explain why. (Note: You should consider both the mean accuracy and its
standard error.)
The Logistic regression model is the best performing model as it has the highest mean and the lowest standard error.
```

set_engine("glm") %>% set_mode("classification") new_log_wkflow <- workflow() %>% add_model(new_log_reg) %>%

```
Question 8
Finally, with your fitted model, use predict(), bind cols(), and accuracy() to assess your model's performance on the
testing data!
Compare your model's testing accuracy to its average accuracy across folds. Describe what you see.
                                                                                                               Hide
 log_modelpredict <- predict(new_log_fit, new_data = titanic_test, type = "prob")</pre>
 log_modelaccuracy<- augment(new_log_fit, new_data = titanic_train) %>%
   accuracy(truth = survived, estimate = .pred_class)
 bind_cols(log_modelpredict, log_modelaccuracy)
```

```
1
       0.932
                0.0682 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
       0.267
                0.733 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
       0.150
                 0.850 accuracy binary
  3
                                               0.789
       0.880
                0.120 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
       0.273
                 0.727 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
       0.964
                 0.0357 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
       0.888
                0.112 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
                0.500 accuracy binary
       0.500
                                               0.789
       0.0543
                0.946 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
       0.282
                 0.718 accuracy binary
                                               0.789
## # ... with 169 more rows
                                                                                                  Hide
```

<dbl>

decreased from 80.4% to 78.9%. However, this is to be expected as most models generally perform slightly worse on testing data. Overall, while the logistic regression model is the most accurate model at our disposal, it still leaves a lot to be desired as approximately 20% of all predictions are incorrect.

Consider the following intercept-only model, with $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$:

Required for 231 Students

 $Y = \beta + \epsilon$ where β is the parameter that we want to estimate. Suppose that we have n observations of the response, i.e. y_1, \ldots, y_n , with

least-squares estimator of β that we obtain by taking the second fold as a training set?

uncorrelated errors. **Question 9**

Question 10 Suppose that we perform leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV). Recall that, in LOOCV, we divide the data into n folds. What is the covariance between $\hat{\beta}^{(1)}$, or the least-squares estimator of β that we obtain by taking the first fold as a training set, and $\hat{\beta}^{(2)}$, the

Code **▼**

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

IMPORTANT: Some models may take a while to run – anywhere from 3 to 10 minutes. You should NOT re-run these models each

Hide

Hide

Hide

Hide

We see a slight reduction on the model's testing accuracy in relation to its average accuracy across folds as the accuracy rate

Derive the least-squares estimate of β .