Logical Operators and Compound Criteria

Table of Contents

1.	Compound criteria	. J
1.1.	The AND logical operator	. 1
	The OR logical operator	
	The NOT logical operator	
	Xor	
	Hierarchy of evaluation of the logical operators	
3.	DeMorgan's laws	ç
	Three-way logic and truth tables	
		_

For more interesting queries, we can use compound criteria. These are criteria that contain multiple conditions joined with the logical operators AND, OR, and NOT.

1. Compound criteria

For more interesting queries, we can use compound criteria. These are criteria that contain multiple conditions joined with the logical operators AND, OR, and NOT.

1.1. The AND logical operator

With this operator, the compound test has a true value if both conditions are true.

Demo 01: We want to see employees hired in 2008. Note that hire_date is a date type, not a datetime type. Why does that matter?

```
Select emp_id, name_last as "Employee", hire_date, salary
From a_emp.employees
Where hire_date BETWEEN '2008-01-01' AND '2008-12-31';
+-----+
| emp_id | Employee | hire_date | salary |
+-----+
| 101 | Koch | 2008-06-17 | 98005.00 |
| 145 | Russ | 2008-03-30 | 65000.00 |
| 205 | Higgs | 2008-06-01 | 15000.00 |
```

Demo 02: We want to see employees hired in 2008 who earn more than 50000. A row has to pass both tests to be included in the result set

When we AND in another filter we will generally reduce the number of rows returned by the query.

Demo 03: We want to see jobs that do not seem to be in Sales with a minimum salary more than 40000. We cannot be certain that these are all of the non-sales jobs- just that they are jobs which do not have Sales in the job title.

```
Select job_id, min_salary, max_salary
From a_emp.jobs
Where job_title NOT LIKE '%Sales%'
AND min_salary > 40000;
+----+
| job_id | min_salary | max_salary |
+----+
| 16 | 60000.00 | 120000.00 |
| 32 | 60000.00 | NULL |
| 64 | 60000.00 | NULL |
| 128 | 60000.00 | NULL |
```

Demo 04: This shows employees with a salary between 12000 and 25000

Select emp id, name last as "Employee", salary

```
From a_emp.employees
Where salary between 12000 and 25000
Order by salary;
+-----+
| emp_id | Employee | salary |
+-----+
| 108 | Green | 12000.00 |
| 109 | Fiet | 15000.00 |
| 160 | Dorna | 15000.00 |
| 161 | Dewal | 15000.00 |
| 201 | Harts | 15000.00 |
| 204 | King | 15000.00 |
| 205 | Higgs | 15000.00 |
| 100 | King | 24000.00 |
```

Demo 05: If you need to **exclude** the end point, then use fld > x and fld < y.

Select emp id, name last as "Employee", salary

Demo 06: Avoid writing tests that logically can never have a True value.

```
Select emp_id, name_last as "Employee", salary
From a_emp.employees
Where salary < 12000
AND salary > 25000
Order by salary;
Empty set (0.00 sec)
```

Demo 07: You are not limited to combining two tests.

Demo 08: Earlier we had a row constructor with an equality test

```
Select prod_id, prod_name, catg_id, prod_warranty_period
From a_prd.products
Where row(catg_id, prod_warranty_period) = row('HW', 12);

We could do this with an AND test.
Select prod_id, prod_name, catg_id, prod_warranty_period
From a_prd.products
Where catg_id= 'HW' and prod_warranty_period = 12;
```

1.2. The OR logical operator

With this operator, the compound test has a true value if either one or both conditions are true.

Demo 09: Find employees who work in either dept 20 or 30. It would be better to use an IN operator for this test. Notice that you have to repeat the full test for each OR clause.

```
Select emp_id, name_last as "Employee", dept_id
From a_emp.employees
Where dept_id = 30
OR dept_id = 20
Order by `Employee`;
+-----+
| emp_id | Employee | dept_id |
+-----+
| 110 | Chen | 30 |
| 109 | Fiet | 30 |
| 206 | Geitz | 30 |
| 108 | Green | 30 |
| 201 | Harts | 20 |
| 205 | Higgs | 30 |
| 204 | King | 30 |
| 101 | Koch | 30 |
| 203 | Mays | 30 |
```

Demo 10: Here we want employees who earn more than 50000

```
Select emp_id, name_last as "Employee", hire_date, salary, job_id
From a_emp.employees
Where salary > 50000
;
```

```
+----+
| emp id | hire date | salary | job id |
+----+
  101 | 2008-06-17 | 98005.00 | 16 |
  145 | 2008-03-30 | 65000.00 |
  146 | 2011-06-15 | 88954.00 |
  155 | 2004-03-05 | 80000.00 |
 +----+
```

8 rows in set (0.00 sec)

Demo 11: Here we want employees who earn more than 50000 or who were hired between 1985 and 2005

```
Select emp id, name last as "Employee", hire date, salary, job id
From a emp.employees
Where hire date between '1985-01-01' and '2005-12-31'
OR salary > 50000;
+----+
| emp_id | hire_date | salary | job id |
+----+
     100 | 1989-06-17 | 24000.00 | 1 |
     101 | 2008-06-17 | 98005.00 |
     108 | 1995-04-14 | 12000.00 |
    145 | 2008-03-30 | 65000.00 |
    146 | 2012-02-29 | 88954.00 | 64 |
150 | 2001-10-28 | 6500.00 | 8 |
155 | 2004-03-05 | 80000.00 | 8 |
162 | 2011-03-17 | 98000.00 | 16 |
200 | 2011-06-17 | 65000.00 | 2 |
201 | 2004-08-25 | 15000.00 | 2 |
    206 | 2011-06-15 | 88954.00 |
    207 | 2011-06-17 | 65000.00 | 8 |
+----+
```

12 rows in set (0.00 sec)

Demo 12: Now we add another possibility - that the employee's job id is 8 or 16

```
From a emp.employees
Where hire date between '1985-01-01' and '2005-12-31'
OR salary > 15000
OR job id in (8, 16);
+----+
| emp id | hire date | salary | job id |
  +----+
   150 | 2001-10-28 | 6500.00 |
   155 | 2004-03-05 | 80000.00 |
                             8 |
   161 | 2011-06-15 | 15000.00 |
                             16 I
   162 | 2011-03-17 | 98000.00 |
                             16 I
   200 | 2011-06-17 | 65000.00 |
                             16 I
   201 | 2004-08-25 | 15000.00 |
```

Select emp id, name last as "Employee" , hire date, salary, job id

With each additional Or clause we add, we have the potential of having more rows match.

Demo 13: We had a previous query for max_salary >= 20000 Here we are also including the nulls with an IS NULL test

1.3. The NOT logical operator

Demo 14: The NOT operator works on a single test and reverses the value of that test. The NOT test is commonly used in combination with AND or OR tests.

Demo 15: We want employees who are **not** in department 20 or 30. This could also be written as a NOT IN test.

```
Select emp_id, name_last as "Employee", dept_id
From a_emp.employees
Where NOT dept_id IN ( 30, 20)
Order by `Employee`;
+-----+-----+
| emp_id | Employee | dept_id |
+------+
| 102 | D'Haa | 215 |
| 161 | Dewal | 215 |
| 160 | Dorna | 215 |
| 104 | Ernst | 210 |
| 155 | Hiller | 80 |
| 162 | Holme | 35 |
| 103 | Hunol | 210 |
| 100 | King | 10 |
| 146 | Partne | 215 |
| 207 | Russ | 35 |
| 145 | Russ | 80 |
| 150 | Tuck | 80 |
| 200 | Whale | 35 |
```

1.4. Xor

MySQL supports the XOr operator; this is used when you have two logical expressions and you test that they have different truth values. This is not commonly used but sometimes it is the easiest way to write a query.

Demo 16: This is a simple OR. Rows are returned if the dept id is 30 or if the salary < 200 or if both are true. We have some rows for people from dept 30 with a low salary

Demo 17: With the XOR operation a person who is in dept 30 and who has a low salary is not returned. We get rows for people in dept 30 who do not have a low salary and we get rows for people with a low salary who are not in dept 30.

2. Hierarchy of evaluation of the logical operators

If you write a criterion that includes more than one logical operator, you need to be concerned about the hierarchy of evaluation. The order of operations is first the NOT operators are evaluated then the ANDs and then the ORs. Parentheses are used to change the order of operations.

Suppose we want to see products that are either pet supplies or sporting goods that cost less than 100. We can look at the current set of data and find the following rows.

Demo 18: This query following the wording of the task description but does not do the job. We have two Pet items that cost more than \$100.

```
Select prod id, prod list price, catg id
From a prd.products
Where catg id = 'PET' OR catg id = 'SPG'
AND prod list_price < 100
+----+
| prod id | prod list price | catg id |
+----+
   1020 | 12.95 | SPG
1030 | 29.95 | SPG
    1140 |
1141 |
1142 |
                    14.99 | PET
                    99.99 | PET
                     2.50 | PET
    1150 |
1151 |
1152 |
4567 |
4568 |
4576 |
                     4.99 | PET
                    14.99 | PET
                  14.99 | PET
55.00 | PET
549.99 | PET
549.99 | PET
                    29.95 | PET
    4577 |
                     29.95 | PET
12 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

Demo 19: If we reverse the testing of the two categories, we get sporting goods items that cost more than \$100. That is not right.

```
Select prod_id, prod_list_price, catg_id
From a_prd.products
Where catg_id = 'SPG' OR catg_id = 'PET'
AND prod_list_price < 100
;</pre>
```

+			-+-		+
	prod_id	prod_list_price		catg_id	
+	1010 1020 1030 1040 1050	150.00 12.95 29.95 349.95 269.95	-+- 	SPG SPG SPG SPG SPG	+ .
	1060 1140 1141 1142 1150 1151 1152 4576 4577	255.95 14.99 99.99 2.50 4.99 14.99 55.00 29.95		SPG PET PET PET PET PET PET PET PET PET	
+.			-+-		+

What is happening here is that we have an AND operator and an OR operator. The rules of precedence is that the AND operator is evaluated first. So the second of these where clauses

```
where catg id = 'SPG' or catg id = 'PET' and prod list price < 100;
```

is evaluated as shown here and all of the sporting goods items are returned and Pet supplies that cost more than \$100 are returned.

```
where catg id = 'SPG' or (catg id = 'PET' and prod list price < 100);
```

We can use parentheses to change the order of evaluation. The order of precedence for these operators is:

NOT

AND

XOR

OR

Demo 20: Adding the parentheses gives us the correct result.

```
Select prod id, prod list_price, catg_id
From a prd.products
where (catg id = 'SPG' OR catg id = 'PET')
AND prod list price < 100;
| prod id | prod list price | catg id |
   1020 | 12.95 | SPG
                  29.95 | SPG
   1030 |
                   14.99 | PET
    1140 |
    1141 |
                   99.99 | PET
                   2.50 | PET
    1142 |
   1150 |
                    4.99 | PET
   1151 |
                   14.99 | PET
    1152 |
                   55.00 | PET
                   29.95 | PET
    4576 |
    4577 |
                    29.95 | PET
```

Demo 21: It is better to use the IN operator, avoiding the AND/OR Issue.

Select prod id, prod list price, catg id From a prd.products Where catg id IN ('SPG', 'PET') AND prod list price < 100; +----+ | prod id | prod list price | catg id | 1020 | 1030 | 1140 | 12.95 | SPG 29.95 | SPG 14.99 | PET 1141 | 1142 | 99.99 | PET 2.50 | PET 1150 | 4.99 | PET 1151 | 14.99 | PET 55.00 | PET 1152 | 4576 | 29.95 | PET 4577 I 29.95 | PET

3. DeMorgan's laws

Often, there is more than one way to write a complex logical expression. The following equivalencies are known as DeMorgan's Laws.

Where expP and expQ represent logical expressions

NOT (expP AND expQ) is equivalent to NOT expP OR NOT expQ NOT (expP OR expQ) is equivalent to NOT expP AND NOT expQ

4. Three-way logic and truth tables

Generally we think of logical expressions having two possible values — True and False. Because database systems allow the use of Null, we have to be concerned with three logical values — True, False, and Unknown. Suppose we have a row in the jobs table with no value for the attribute max_salary , and we evaluate the logical expression: $\max_{salary} > 25000$ the value of the expression is Unknown for that row. If you are executing a query with a Where clause, if the value of the test is Unknown, the row is not returned.

Remember, NULL is a data value, UNKNOWN is a logical value.

These are the truth tables for the operators NOT, AND, Or and XOR.

The evaluation of the True and False cases are straight forward. With the NOT operator, if I do not know the value of an expression is True or False then I do not know if the negation of that expression is True or False.

NOT		
True	False	
Unknown	Unknown	
False	True	

For the AND operator to Return True both of the operands must have a True value. So if one of the operands is True and the other is unknown, then I cannot know if the ANDed expression is true- so the value is unknown. But if one of the operands is False, then the ANDed expression cannot be true and we know its value is False.

AND	True	Unknown	False
True	True	Unknown	False
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	False
False	False	False	False

For the OR operator to Return True at least one of the operands must have a True value. So if one of the operands is True and the other is unknown, then the ORed expression is TRUE. If one of the operands is False and the other is unknown then I cannot know the value of the Ored expression and its value is Unknown.

OR	True	Unknown	False
True	True	True	True
Unknown	True	Unknown	Unknown
False	True	Unknown	False

For the XOR operator to Return one of the operands must have a True value and the other operand a False value. If both operands and True or if both operands are false then the result is False.

XOR	True	Unknown	False
True	False	Unknown	True
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
False	True	Unknown	False