#### **Table of Contents**

1.	Any and All Operators	1
1.1.	Some examples using the altgeld-mart tables.	6
2.	Finding the best(?)	8

## 1. Any and All Operators

The Any and All operators accept a list as an argument; you can compare the value returned by Any or All using the relational operators =, !=, >, <, >=, <=. The list is provided by a subquery. The key words Any and Some are interchangeable.

Set up this table in a\_testbed.

```
create table a_testbed.TodaysSpecials (an_type varchar(15));
insert into a_testbed.TodaysSpecials values ('fish');
insert into a testbed.TodaysSpecials values ('cat');
```

For reference, these are the rows in the zoo\_ex table

+.		-+-		-+-		+
	id		an_type		an_price	
+.		-+-		-+-		-+
	1		dog		80	
	2		turtle		NULL	
	3		lizard		NULL	
	4		bird		100	
	5		bird		50	
	6		fish		10	
	7		lizard		50	
	8		cat		10	
	9		snake		50	
	10		snake		NULL	
	11		fish		10	
	12		lizard		50	
	13		fish		10	
	14		snake		25	
	15		bird		80	
	16		cat		NULL	
	17		bird		80	
+.		-+-		-+-		+

Demo 01: This uses ANY and says to return the rows from the zoo\_ex table where the an\_type has any of those values that are in the todaysSpecial table. There are other ways- such as a join- to do this.

```
Select *
From a testbed.zoo ex
Where an type = ANY (
   Select an type
   From a testbed.todaysSpecials
+---+
| id | an type | an price |
| 6 | fish |
| 8 | cat |
                   10 |
                   10 |
| 11 | fish |
                   10 I
| 13 | fish |
                  10 |
| 16 | cat
                NULL |
+---+
```

Demo 02: If we do this with ALL then no rows are returned because no row in zoo\_ex has a value for an\_type that matches all of the values in the todaysSpecial table.

```
Select *
From a_testbed.zoo_ex
Where an_type = ALL (
    Select an_type
    From a_testbed.todaysSpecials
    );
Empty set (0.00 sec)
```

What would the result be if the TodaysSpecial table had just one row- the row for 'fish'?

Demo 03: Now we can do an ANY test on price. If we ask to see all of the rows with a price greater than any of the prices we get rows returned. This means we want prices greater than any of the other prices- essentially all prices greater than the smallest price in the table.

```
Select *
From a testbed.zoo ex
Where an price > ANY (
  Select an price
  From zoo ex
Order by an_price;
+---+
| id | an type | an price |
+---+
| 14 | snake | 25 |
| 5 | bird |
                50 I
| 7 | lizard |
                50 I
 9 | snake |
                50 I
| 12 | lizard |
                50 I
| 1 | dog
                 80 |
| 15 | bird
                 80 I
         | 17 | bird |
                 80 I
| 4 | bird
                100 I
+---+
```

Demo 04: This uses greater than or equal and still does not return all the rows.

```
Select *
From a testbed.zoo ex
Where an price >= ANY (
  Select an price
  from a testbed.zoo ex
Order by an price;
+---+
| id | an type | an price |
+---+
| 13 | fish |
                  10 I
| 11 | fish |
                  10 |
| 8 | cat
                   10 |
 6 | fish
                   10 |
| 14 | snake
                   25 I
 7 | lizard |
                   50 |
 5 | bird
                   50 |
| 12 | lizard
                   50 I
```

```
| 9 | snake | 50 |
| 15 | bird | 80 |
| 1 | dog | 80 |
| 17 | bird | 80 |
| 4 | bird | 100 |
```

Now test similar queries using the ALL operator

#### Demo 05: It makes sense that we have no rows with a price greater than all of the prices.

```
Select *
From a_testbed.zoo_ex
Where an_price > All (
    Select an_price
    From a_testbed.zoo_ex
    )
Order by an_price;
Empty set (0.00 sec)
```

# Demo 06: With MySQL we do get a result if we use >= ALL- this seems to be inconsistent since the table has a null price.

# Demo 07: The table has some nulls in the price attribute so we need to handle that. I would suggest using this syntax if the table being tested might contain nulls

```
Select *
From a_testbed.zoo_ex
Where an_price >= All (
    Select an_price
    From a_testbed.zoo_ex
    Where an_price is not null
    )
Order by an_price;
+---+----+
| id | an_type | an_price |
+---+----+
| 4 | bird | 100 |
+---+-----+
```

Now we add another filter to the subquery

Demo 08: Which animals cost the same as a bird- any bird?

```
Select *
From a testbed.zoo ex
Where an price = ANY (
   Select an _price
   From a testbed.zoo ex
   Where an price is not null
   And an type ='bird'
   );
+---+
| id | an type | an price |
+---+
| 1 | dog | 80 |
| 4 | bird | 100 |
| 5 | bird | 50 |
| 7 | lizard | 50 |
| 9 | snake |
                    50 |
| 12 | lizard |
                   50 I
| 15 | bird |
                    80 |
           | 17 | bird
+---+
```

#### Demo 09: Which animals cost the same as a lizard- any lizard?

# Demo 10: Which animals cost the same as a bird- all of the birds? We get no rows returned because we have birds at different prices.

```
Select *
From a_testbed.zoo_ex
Where an_price = All (
    Select an_price
    From a_testbed.zoo_ex
    Where an_price is not null
    And an_type ='bird'
    );
Empty set (0.00 sec)
```

Demo 11: Which animals cost the same as a lizard- all of the lizards? This time we do get rows because all of our lizards have the same price.

Maybe we could see which categories of animals we have where all of the rows for that type of animal have the same price.

We need to consider where or not we want to ignore the nulls.

#### Demo 12:

```
Select distinct an type
From a testbed.zoo ex p1
Where an price = All (
   Select an price
   From a testbed.zoo ex p2
   Where an price is not null
   And p1.an type = p2.an type
   ) ;
+----+
| an type |
+----+
| dog |
| turtle |
| fish
| lizard |
| cat |
+----+
```

#### Demo 13:

Maybe we do not want to include animal types where there is only one animal of that type.

#### Demo 14:

#### Demo 15:

```
Select distinct an_type
From a_testbed.zoo_ex p1
Where an_price = All (
    Select an_price
    From a_testbed.zoo_ex p2
    Where p1.an_type = p2.an_type )
Group by an_type
Having count(*) > 1 ;
+-----+
| an_type |
+-----+
| fish |
+------+
```

### 1.1. Some examples using the altgeld-mart tables.

We sometimes sell products at the current list price value and sometime the sale price is different. We could ask to see the items which are sold at their list price and those which are sold at less than their list price. We will limit this to HD items to make it easier to see the results.

Demo 16: Some intro queries to see the data we are working with.

# -- these are the HD items we carry. Select PR.prod\_id, PR.prod\_name From a\_prd.products PR Where catg\_id = 'HD' order by prod\_id; +----+ | prod\_id | prod\_name | +----+ | 5002 | Ball-Peen Hammer | 5004 | Dead Blow hammer | 5005 | Shingler Hammer | 5008 | Claw Framing |

#### -- these are the orders for the HD items

The Claw Framing hammer (5008) was always sold at less than its list price.

The Shingler hammer (5005) was sometimes sold at its list price and sometimes less than its list price.

The Dead Blow hammer (5004) and the Ball-Peen hammer (5002) were always sold at their list price. So we want to write queries to do this logic.

## Demo 17: This uses > **ALL**

#### Demo 18: This uses > **ANY**

```
Select distinct PR.prod_id, pr.prod_name
From a_prd.products PR
Join a_oe.order_details OD on PR.prod_id = OD.prod_id
Where catg_id = 'HD'
And prod_list_price > ANY (
    Select quoted_price
    From a_oe.order_details OD2
    Where OD2.prod_id = PR.prod_id
    );
```

#### Demo 19: This uses = $\mathbf{ALL}$

#### Demo 20: This uses = ANY

## 2. Finding the best(?)

Sometimes we need to analyze data and find the item that is- in some sense- the best among the data. For example we could be asked to find the best selling product. The first thing to do is to get a better definition of "best selling". We will get to this in a moment.

Demo 21: Let's start with a count function; we are interested in sales of products so we should use the order details table.

```
Select prod_id, count(*) as Cnt
From a_oe.order_details OD
Group by prod_id
Order by 2;
```

+		-+-		+
	prod_id		Cnt	
	1140 1151 2412	     	1 1 1	
	1040		6	
	1010 1020 1060		7 7 7	   
	1080 1125 1110		7 7 8	
  -	1130	  -+-	9	  -

What are we counting? We used count(\*) so we are counting order detail rows. Is that the same as counting orders? Run the following query to look at order 312. Product 1060 appears on two lines in this order. So if we are counting orders for the product, the previous query is not correct.

Demo 22: Checking on count versus count distinct

```
Select ord id, line Item id, prod id
From a oe.order details
Where ord id = 312;
+----+
| ord id | line Item id | prod id |
+----+
   312 | 1 | 1040 |
               2 |
   312 |
                    1050 |
   312 |
               3 |
                     1060
   312 |
               4 |
                     1060 I
```

If we change the query to count distinct order\_id values, then we get the proper counts for counting orders for a product (assuming we want to count order 312 as a single order for product 1060)

Demo 23: Checking on count versus count distinct

```
Select prod id, count (distinct ord id) as CntOrders
From a oe.order details OD
Group by prod id
order by 2;
  ----+
| prod_id | CntOrders |
 ----+
    1140 |
    1151 |
                 1 |
                1 |
    2412 |
    1040 |
    1060 |
    1125 |
                  7 I
                  7 |
    1010 |
                  7 |
    1020 |
                  7 |
    1080 |
                  8 1
    1110 |
    1130 |
                  9 |
```

Now we can find the row with the largest value for CntOrders. We will need to consider the possibilities of ties so we cannot just sort and take the last row. When we say that product 1130 has the most orders we are saying that its count is bigger than the other counts; that means it is bigger than or equal to all of the counts.

Demo 24: Note that we are comparing the same calculated expressions

```
Select prod_id
From a_oe.order_details
Group by prod_id
Having count(distinct ord_id) >= All(
    Select count(distinct ord_id)
    From a_oe.order_details
    Group by prod_id
    );
+-----+
| prod_id |
+-----+
| 1130 |
+-------+
```

You probably should insert some test data here to get a tie for the winner spot to test that your logic works for ties. It is easy to get that wrong.

Demo 25: What if our definition of "best selling" should be based on the quantity of items sold?

```
Select prod_id
From a_oe.order_details
Group by prod_id
Having sum(quantity_ordered) >= All(
    Select sum(quantity_ordered)
    From a_oe.order_details
    Group by prod_id
    );
+-----+
| prod_id |
+-----+
| 1150 |
+------+
```

Demo 26: What if our definition of "best selling" should be based on the sales amount (total of price \* quantity)?

```
Select prod_id
From a_oe.order_details
Group by prod_id
Having sum(quantity_ordered*quoted_price) >= All (
    Select sum(quantity_ordered*quoted_price)
    From a_oe.order_details
    Group by prod_id
    );
+-----+
| prod_id |
+-----+
| 1010 |
+------+
```