### **Table of Contents**

1.	Qualified References	1
	Using Table Alias	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	SQL Inner Joins	
4.	ANSI inner join: Column Name Join ( the USING clause)	3
5.	ANSI inner join: Condition Join (the ON clause)	6
6.	NATURAL JOIN	9

One of the goals of a relational database is to reduce redundancy; we want to store descriptive data one time only. To do this we need to split data across several tables- so we have a Customer table and an Order table and an OrderDetails table. As a consequence, we will often need to write queries that bring the data back together again From two or more tables. We will need to indicate in the query how these tables are related. We will first discuss table aliases which are commonly used when our query involves more than one table. Then we will look at the ANSI standard inner join syntax.

### 1. Qualified References

We know that each column in a table needs to have a unique name. But we can have the same identifier for columns in different tables. It is common, and good style, for the pk column in the parent table and the fk column in the child table to have the same name. So if we are joining two tables we may have to qualify any reference to a column name which is the same in the two tables. (The exception is the joining column when we use the Using (col) syntax.) The format for a qualified name is tblName. ColumnName.

We can qualify all of the column names in the query. If this is a single table query there is no need to do this. With a multi-table query, the query will be somewhat more efficient if we fully qualify the column names.

For most of the example in this discussion, I will not fully qualify all of the column names since the queries are short and they are easier to read without the qualification.

The purpose of these demos is to show you techniques and syntax- and ease of reading is more important for this purpose than execution efficiency.

For some of our data the tables occurs in different databases. Assuming your account has permissions on all the databases used in the query you can do joins across databases. For these queries the tables will be qualified with the database names.

An ambiguous reference means that you have a column identifier in your query and the same identifier is part of more than one of the tables used in the query. Therefore the system does not know which column is being referenced. This is an error.

# 2. Using Table Alias

In MySQL table aliases may be case specific depending on your system. I will try to stick with lower case table aliases in these discussions. My test system is not case specific for table names and table aliases, so if a demo does not work and your system is case specific check for a case issue.

Demo 01: A single table Select without table aliases. You can run this query From any database since the From clause specifies the database for the table.

```
Select
a_prd.warehouses.warehouse_id
, a_prd.warehouses.loc_id
From a_prd.warehouses;
+-----+
| warehouse_id | loc_id |
+-----+
| 100 | 1400 |
```

	125		1500	
	250		1500	
	300		1500	
	200		1800	
+		+		-+

### Demo 02: You could also use these versions of the query

```
Select
   warehouses.warehouse_id
, warehouses.loc_id
From a_prd.warehouses;

Select
   warehouse_id
, loc_id
From a prd.warehouses;
```

# Demo 03: A single table Select with a table alias; this will give us the same output as the first query. The table alias is new name to refer to the table.

```
Select
  wh.warehouse_id
, wh.loc_id
From a prd.warehouses wh;
```

The use as of key word AS is optional with a table alias.

We could rewrite this query as

```
Select
   warehouse_id
, loc_id
From a prd.warehouses wh;
```

But we cannot use the following; once we set up the alias we cannot use the table name as a qualifier.

```
Select
a_prd.warehouses.warehouse_id
, a_prd.warehouses.loc_id
From a_prd.warehouses wh;
ERROR 1054 (42S22): Unknown column 'a_prd.warehouses.warehouse_id' in 'field list'
```

# 3. SQL Inner Joins

We can use several types of joins between tables. We start with inner joins. For a row to appear in the result set returned by an inner join, there needs to be matching data in the joining column(s) in the two tables. There are several ways to implement the inner join.

These examples show the ANSI standard INNER JOIN syntax with the column name joins syntax and the condition join syntax. Most dbms now support this syntax.

The legacy comma join syntax is discussed later. For assignments in this class you are required to use a syntax that does the join in the From clause. In a job situation where you are reading and maintaining old code, you will need to understand the legacy syntax which expresses the joining condition as a criterion in the Where clause along with any filter criteria.

We will also talk about the Cartesian product- which is the logical basis for all of the joins.

# 4. ANSI inner join: Column Name Join (the USING clause)

Let's start with an example of an inner join between the employee table and the department table. We want to see the name of the employee (which is in the employee table) and the name of the department which is in the Department table. If we described this situation to someone we might say that we are **joining** the data in these two tables and that we are **using** the department\_id to associate each employee row with the correct department row. We also want to display the department \_id. If someone asks us which department\_id we want- the one From the employee table or the one From the department table, we would reply that we don't care because they have to be the same value.

The ANSI syntax here models that way of talking about the join.

Demo 04: Inner join employees and their dept

```
Select
  emp id
, name last as "Employee"
, dept name
From a emp.employees
INNER JOIN a emp.departments USING (dept id)
+----+
| emp id | Employee | dept name
+----+
     100 | King | Administration | 201 | Harts | Marketing |
     101 | Koch | Development
     108 | Green | Development
     100 | Green | Development

109 | Fiet | Development

110 | Chen | Development

203 | Mays | Development

204 | King | Development
     205 | Higgs | Development
     206 | Geitz
                      | Development
```

#### Discussion:

With this syntax (USING), the joining column must have the same name in both tables. This is commonly true of tables in a child-parent situation.

We do not need to qualify the column names in common. We do need to put parentheses around the common column name in the USING clause.

We need to qualify any other column names that would cause an ambiguous reference.

All of the information about the joining of the tables is placed in the From clause. The From clause is supposed to indicate the data source and this syntax defines the data source.


It might seem that the dbms could figure out which columns are the joining columns- particularly if we define the relationships when we create the table- but it doesn't.

Another thing to be aware of: if we have any department with no employees, the inner join will not display that department. To get those rows we would need an outer join which we will discuss soon.

Demo 05: Inner join with USING - show customers and their orders.


```
Select cust_id
, cust_name_last as "Customer"
```

The following is a graphic of a two table join. This is done with the Toad client.



We can join more than two tables- we just add them to the From clause in a logical order and set up the joining column for each pair of tables as we go. In the next query we get one row for each product a customer has ordered. Tables are joined two at a time. The customer table is joined to the orders table to form a virtual table, which is then joined to the order details table to form another virtual table used as the table expression.

Demo 06: three table join; inner join with column name join



The keyword INNER is optional and is omitted for the next queries. The default Join is an Inner Join. This style of SQL layout makes it easy to scan down the left edge of the query and see the tables involved.

Demo 07: four table join; inner join with USING

### Demo 08: five table join including a row filter for appliances.

```
Select cust_id
, ord_id
, prod_id
, prod_name
, quoted_price
From a_oe.customers
JOIN a_oe.order_headers USING (cust_id)
JOIN a_oe.order_details USING (ord_id)
JOIN a_prd.products USING (prod_id)
JOIN a_prd.categories USING (catg_id)
```


```
where catg_desc in( 'APPLIANCES' )
limit 10;
+----+
| cust id | ord id | prod id | prod name | quoted price |
+----+
  402100 | 115 | 1120 | Washer | 475.00 | 409030 | 130 | 1120 | Washer | 500.00 |
 903000 |
             306 | 1120 | Washer |
                                              500.00 |
 900300 |
             307 | 1120 | Washer |
                                              450.00 |
  400300 |
             378 | 1120 | Washer |
                                              450.00
 403010 | 118 | 1125 | Dryer

409030 | 130 | 1125 | Dryer

903000 | 306 | 1125 | Dryer

900300 | 307 | 1125 | Dryer

400300 | 378 | 1125 | Dryer
                                      475.00
                                              500.00
                                              500.00
                                              450.00 |
                                              450.00 I
```



# 5. ANSI inner join: Condition Join (the ON clause)

Sometimes we need to join two tables that have different names for the joining column. In this example we are joining the order table to the employee table. In the employee table employees are identified with an employee\_id. The order table uses the term sales\_rep\_id to refer to the same values.

Now we use the key word ON instead of Using and we list the two columns with an equality operator. We would not really have to qualify these columns but it is common, and helpful, to do so.

Demo 09: two table join- inner join with ON clause. In this case, the joining columns have different names and you cannot have a USING clause.

It is not required to use table aliases -but it does make the query easier to read.

```
Select ord id
, cust id
, emp id
, name_last as "SalesRep"
From a oe.order headers OH
JOIN a emp.employees EM ON OH.sales rep id = EM.emp id
limit 10;
+----+
| ord id | cust id | emp id | SalesRep |
+----+
    105 | 403000 | 150 | Tuck

106 | 401250 | 150 | Tuck

107 | 403050 | 150 | Tuck

108 | 403000 | 155 | Hiller

109 | 403000 | 155 | Hiller
                        155 | Hiller
     110 | 404950 |
     111 | 403000 |
                        150 | Tuck
     112 | 401890 |
                        145 | Russ
                        150 | Tuck
     113 | 401250 |
```

```
| 114 | 402100 | 155 | Hiller | +-----+
```

It is legal to use the On syntax when you are joining two tables which use the same column name for the joining column.

Demo 10: Inner join with ON clause - Show customers and their orders. Now you have to qualify the cust\_id column because we are not using the column name join.

```
Select CS.cust id
, cust name last as "Customer"
, ord id
From a oe.customers CS
JOIN a oe.order headers OH ON CS.cust id = OH.cust id
+----+
| cust id | Customer | ord id |
+----+
| 400300 | McGold | 378 |
| 401250 | Morse | 106 |
| 401250 | Morse | 113 |
| 401250 | Morse | 119 |
| 401250 | Morse | 301 |
| 401250 | Morse | 506 |
| 401890 | Northrep | 112 |
| 401890 | Northrep | 519 |
| 402100 | Morise | 114 |
| 402100 | Morise | 115 |
+----+
```

Demo 11: The only column in the Select you are required to qualify is cust\_id because it occurs in two tables in the From clause. But it is common and good style to qualify all of the columns when you have a join. This is easier to read with table aliases.

You can combine both syntaxes in the same query but it seems confusing to do this.

Demo 12: Inner join with a USING and an ON clause- Show customers and their orders and their sales rep.

```
| 401250 | Morse | 106 | 150 | Tuck | 401250 | Morse | 113 | 150 | Tuck | 401250 | Morse | 119 | 155 | Hiller | 401250 | Morse | 301 | 150 | Tuck | 401250 | Morse | 506 | 150 | Tuck | 401250 | Morse | 506 | 150 | Tuck | 401890 | Northrep | 112 | 145 | Russ | 401890 | Northrep | 519 | 155 | Hiller | 402100 | Morise | 114 | 155 | Hiller | 402100 | Morise | 117 | 150 | Tuck |
```

Demo 13: This is the five table join including a row filter using the condition join syntax.

I find it easier to get all the joins written if I have a pattern. My pattern is to use 2 character table aliases. This reduces the problems with using O for the order\_headers table and then using D for the order details table.

When I use the condition join syntax, I write the join as the prior table.col = this table.col..

Demo 14: This is a style of query I often get from students. This is barely readable and has an error. How easy is it to see the error- without reformatting the query?

```
Select a_oe.customers.cust_id, a_oe.order_headers.ord_id,
a_oe.order_details.prod_id,
a_prd.products.prod_name,a_oe.order_details.quoted_price
From a_oe.customers join a_oe.order_headers on a_oe.customers.cust_id =
a_oe.order_headers.Cust_id join a_oe.order_details on
a_oe.order_details.ord_id = a_oe.order_headers.ord_id
join a_prd.products on a_oe.order_details.prod_id =
a_oe.order_details.prod_id join a_prd.categories on a_prd.categories.catg_id
where a_prd.categories.catg_desc in( 'APPLIANCES' )
limit 10;
```

There is a small difference in using a Select \* From tbl1 join tbl2 depending on whether you use the column name join and the condition join. The condition join show two copies on the joining column and the column name join shows only one column in the result set with the joining column.

### Demo 15:

```
Select *
From a_prd.warehouses W
JOIN a_prd.inventory I USING (warehouse_id);
```

_	loc_id	prod_id	+   quantity_on_ha +	and					
100 1 100	1400	1000 1010		80   500					
<pre>Select * From a_prd.warehouses W JOIN a_prd.inventory I ON W.warehouse_id = I.warehouse_id; +</pre>									
warehouse_id	loc_id	prod_id	warehouse_id	quantity_on_hand					
100	1400		100	80					

# 6. NATURAL JOIN

This join can be used if the columns in common have the same name. This will join on any and all columns having the same identifier in the two tables. Therefore it creates maintenance problems if attributes are renamed or additional columns are added to the tables. Suppose we had two tables such as a customer table and a salesrep table which should be joined on a salesrepID column. But perhaps we also have attributes named City and State in each of these tables. If we did a natural join, the join would be on all of these columns. We will not use the Natual Join syntax in this class.

If you specify a natural join on tables that do not have any column names in common, then you get a cross join.