

1. Let D be the set that contains precisely 1, 3, 5, 7, 9. Which of the following propositions are true? Which are false? Briefly explain your answers.

(a) $\forall x \in D (x + 1 \in D \rightarrow x < 0)$. [2 marks]

Solution. True, because given any $x \in D$, we know $x + 1 \notin D$, and thus the conditional is vacuously true.

(b) $\exists x, y \in D x + y = 18$. [2 marks]

Solution. True: take $x = 9$ and $y = 9$.

(c) $\forall x \in D \exists y \in \mathbb{Z} (y = 2x \vee x \geq 0)$. [2 marks]

Solution. True, because given any $x \in D$, we see that $2x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and/or $x \geq 0$.

(d) $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z} \forall y \in D y = 2x + 1$. [3 marks]

Solution. False, as explained below.

- If $x = 0$, then choose $y = 3$, so that $y = 3 \neq 1 = 2x + 1$.
- If $x \neq 0$ then choose $y = 1$, so that $y = 1 = 2 \times 0 + 1 \neq 2x + 1$.

Alternative solution. Suppose the proposition is true. Fix $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\forall y \in D y = 2x + 1$. As $1, 3 \in D$, this implies $1 = 2x + 1 = 3$. However, we know $1 \neq 3$. This is a contradiction. So the proposition must be false.

Comments. Many did not give specific ways to substitute values into y to make the proposition false. Without these, it is not clear why such substitutions exist.

(e) $\forall x \in D ((\exists y \in \mathbb{N} x + 2y = 3) \rightarrow x = 1)$. [3 marks]

Solution. False, because if $x = 3$, then $x \in D$ and $\exists y \in \mathbb{N} x + 2y = 3$ is true with witness 0, but $x = 1$ is false.

2. Rewrite the following propositions symbolically. In your answers, you can use $\text{Even}(n)$ and $\text{Odd}(n)$ to stand for $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z} n = 2x$ and $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z} n = 2x + 1$ respectively.

(a) For two integers to have the same square, it is necessary that either both are even or both are odd. [2 marks]

Solution. $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} (x^2 = y^2 \rightarrow (\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Even}(y)) \vee (\text{Odd}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)))$.

Two acceptable but less preferable answers are $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} (x^2 = y^2 \rightarrow (\text{Even}(x) \leftrightarrow \text{Even}(y)))$ and $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} (x^2 = y^2 \rightarrow (\text{Odd}(x) \leftrightarrow \text{Odd}(y)))$

Comments. • Some has the \rightarrow pointing in the wrong direction. See Terminology 1.3.3 and Tutorial Exercise 1.2(b) for the meaning of the word “necessary” in mathematics.

- Many wrote $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ instead of $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proposition given means “for x, y to have the same square, it is necessary ...” is true for *all* integers x, y . So the correct quantifier here is \forall .
- According to Convention 1.4.3 and Convention 2.2.8(3), all brackets given in the answers above are necessary. Many omitted at least one pair of them.

(b) An integer is non-negative if and only if it is the sum of the squares of four integers. [2 marks]

Solution. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} (n \geq 0 \leftrightarrow \exists x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z} n = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2)$.

It is also acceptable to split \leftrightarrow into \rightarrow and \leftarrow .

Comments. • Some wrote $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \exists x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z} (n \geq 0 \leftrightarrow n = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2)$, which is not what the given proposition expresses. In general, one can find predicates $P(n)$ and $Q(n, z)$ on \mathbb{Z} such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} (P(n) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z} Q(n, x))$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \exists x \in \mathbb{Z} (P(n) \leftrightarrow Q(n, x))$ are not equivalent: for example, one can take $P(n)$ and $Q(n, x)$ to be $n \neq n$ and $n = x$ respectively, in which case the former proposition is false, say, with counterexample $n = 0$, while the latter proposition is true by picking $x = n + 1$ no matter which $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given.

- Many wrote $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$ instead of $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proposition given means “ n is non-negative if and only if ...” is true for *all* integers n . So the correct quantifier here is \forall .
- According to Convention 2.2.8(3), the pair of brackets given in the answer above is necessary. Many omitted them.

3. Let p, q, r be propositional variables. Which of the following pairs of compound expressions are equivalent? Which are not? Prove that your answer is correct.

(a) $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$ and $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$. [3 marks]

Solution. Yes, as shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p \wedge q \rightarrow r &\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee r && \text{by the logical identity on implication;} \\
 &\equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \vee r && \text{by De Morgan's Laws;} \\
 &\equiv \neg p \vee (q \rightarrow r) && \text{by the logical identity on implication;} \\
 &\equiv p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) && \text{by the logical identity on implication.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, one can draw a truth table to show the equivalence.

p	q	r	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q \rightarrow r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T

(b) $p \wedge q \leftrightarrow p$ and $p \wedge q$. [3 marks]

Solution. No, because if we substitute false propositions into p and q , then $p \wedge q \leftrightarrow p$ evaluates to T while $p \wedge q$ evaluates to F.

Comments. Some proved using the logical identities that $p \wedge q \leftrightarrow p$ is equivalent to $p \rightarrow q$, and then deduce directly that it is not equivalent to $p \wedge q$. Simply noticing $p \rightarrow q$ and $p \wedge q$ are different does not logically imply that they are not equivalent; see Note 1.4.24.

4. Consider the proposition “a rational number x satisfies $x^2 + 2 = 3x$ if and only if $x = 1$ or $x = 2$ ”.

(i) Someone tries to prove this proposition as follows.

Let x be a rational number such that $x^2 + 2 = 3x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0. \\
 \therefore &(x - 1)(x - 2) = 0. \\
 \therefore &x = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad x = 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

What is wrong with this attempt? [1 mark]

Solution. This attempt shows only $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} (x^2 + 2 = 3x \rightarrow x = 1 \vee x = 2)$. It does not explain why $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} (x = 1 \vee x = 2 \rightarrow x^2 + 2 = 3x)$.

Comments. Some wrote that the author proved the “if” part, while s/he actually proved the “only if” part; see Terminology 1.3.3.

(ii) Give a correct proof of this proposition. [2 marks]

Solution. Let x be a rational number. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x^2 + 2 = 3x \\
 \Leftrightarrow &x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0 \\
 \Leftrightarrow &(x - 1)(x - 2) = 0 \\
 \Leftrightarrow &x = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad x = 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comments. One can split the proof into a \Rightarrow part and a \Leftarrow part, but including only one of these is not enough.