Homework8 N/A 2023-10-15 library(caret) ## Loading required package: ggplot2 ## Loading required package: lattice library(glmnet) ## Loading required package: Matrix ## Loaded glmnet 4.1-8 library(ggplot2) library(leaps) library(MASS) library(olsrr) ## ## Attaching package: 'olsrr' ## The following object is masked from 'package:MASS': ## ## cement ## The following object is masked from 'package:datasets': ## rivers ## Question 11.1 Using the crime data set uscrime.txt from Questions 8.2, 9.1, and 10.1, build a regression model using: 1. Stepwise regression 2. Lasso 3. Elastic net For Parts 2 and 3, remember to scale the data first – otherwise, the regression coefficients will be on different scales and the constraint won't have the desired effect. I read in the dataset and run some basic summary statistics. crime <- read.table("uscrime.csv", header = TRUE)</pre> summary(crime) ## Μ Ed Po1 So : 8.70 ## :11.90 Min. :0.0000 : 4.50 Min. Min. 1st Qu.: 9.75 ## 1st Qu.:13.00 1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.: 6.25 Median :0.0000 Median :13.60 Median :10.80 Median : 7.80 ## :13.86 : 8.50 ## Mean :0.3404 Mean :10.56 Mean 3rd Qu.:14.60 3rd Qu.:1.0000 3rd Qu.:11.45 3rd Qu.:10.45 ## :17.70 :12.20 :16.60 ## Max. Max. :1.0000 Max. Max. LF M.F ## Po2 Pop : 4.100 Min. : 93.40 : ## Min. :0.4800 Min. Min. 3.00 1st Qu.: 5.850 1st Qu.:0.5305 1st Qu.: 96.45 1st Qu.: 10.00 ## Median : 7.300 Median :0.5600 Median : 97.70 Median : 25.00 ## : 8.023 : 98.30 : 36.62 ## Mean Mean :0.5612 Mean Mean 3rd Qu.:0.5930 3rd Qu.: 9.700 3rd Qu.: 99.20 3rd Qu.: 41.50 ## :107.10 :168.00 ## :15.700 :0.6410 ## NW U1 U2 Wealth : 0.20 :2.000 :2880 ## Min. Min. :0.07000 Min. Min. 1st Qu.: 2.40 1st Qu.:0.08050 1st Qu.:2.750 ## 1st Qu.:4595 Median : 7.60 Median :0.09200 Median :3.400 Median:5370 ## :10.11 :0.09547 :3.398 ## Mean Mean Mean :5254 ## 3rd Qu.:13.25 3rd Qu.:0.10400 3rd Qu.:3.850 3rd Qu.:5915 ## Max. :42.30 Max. :0.14200 Max. :5.800 Max. :6890 Crime ## Ineq Prob Time : 342.0 ## Min. :12.60 Min. :0.00690 Min. :12.20 Min. 1st Qu.:21.60 1st Qu.: 658.5 ## 1st Qu.:16.55 1st Qu.:0.03270 Median :17.60 Median :0.04210 Median :25.80 Median : 831.0 ## :26.60 ## Mean :19.40 Mean :0.04709 Mean Mean : 905.1 3rd Qu.:1057.5 ## 3rd Qu.:22.75 3rd Qu.:0.05445 3rd Qu.:30.45 :44.00 ## Max. :27.60 :0.11980 Max. Max. :1993.0 head(crime) Ed Po1 Po₂ M.F Pop NW U2 Wealth Ineq ## 1 15.1 9.1 5.8 5.6 0.510 95.0 33 30.1 0.108 4.1 3940 26.1 0.084602 ## 2 14.3 0 11.3 10.3 9.5 0.583 101.2 13 10.2 0.096 3.6 5570 19.4 0.029599 ## 3 14.2 8.9 4.5 4.4 0.533 96.9 18 21.9 0.094 3.3 3180 25.0 0.083401 ## 4 13.6 0 12.1 14.9 14.1 0.577 99.4 157 8.0 0.102 3.9 6730 16.7 0.015801 0 12.1 10.9 10.1 0.591 98.5 18 3.0 0.091 2.0 5780 17.4 0.041399 0 11.0 11.8 11.5 0.547 4.4 0.084 2.9 96.4 25 6890 12.6 0.034201 ## Time Crime ## 1 26.2011 ## 2 25.2999 ## 3 24.3006 ## 4 29.9012 1969 ## 5 21.2998 1234 ## 6 20.9995 The code defines a function called split_data for dividing a dataset into training and testing subsets. It takes the input data and a specified training size (defaulting to 80%). The function calculates the split point based on the training size, then uses the split function to split the data into "train" and "test" subsets. The function returns either the training or testing subset based on the is_training parameter. Subsequently, this function is applied to the crime dataset, creating two subsets: crime_train for training data and crime_test for testing data. The training data is used to build the model, while the testing data is used to assess the model's performance on unseen examples. split_data <- function(input_data, train_size = 0.8, is_training = TRUE) {</pre> n_rows <- nrow(input_data)</pre> split_row <- train_size * n_rows</pre> split_index <- round(split_row)</pre> split_data <- split(input_data, ifelse(seq_len(n_rows) <= split_index, "train", "test"))</pre> if (is_training) { return(split_data[["train"]]) } **else** { return(split_data[["test"]]) } crime_train <- split_data(crime, 0.8, is_training = TRUE)</pre> crime_test <- split_data(crime, 0.8, is_training = FALSE)</pre> Here I run a linear reg model without doing anything: uscrime_model <- lm(Crime~., data = crime_train)</pre> summary(uscrime_model) ## ## Call: ## lm(formula = Crime ~ ., data = crime_train) ## ## Residuals: ## Min 10 Median 3Q Max -309.13 -104.13 -33.14 116.47 399.91 ## ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)## ## (Intercept) -7594.8378 2164.2749 -3.509 0.00198 ** 44.8335 1.663 0.11054 ## M 74.5493 100.5641 174.7852 0.575 0.57089 ## So 150.1609 70.5539 2.128 0.04476 * ## Ed 182.6350 157.0649 1.163 0.25737 ## Po1 -103.8188 174.9515 -0.593 0.55896 ## Po2 ## LF -1109.8071 1693.0149 -0.656 0.51893 23.1726 1.598 0.12432 ## M.F 37.0280 1.4560 -0.321 0.75147 ## Pop -0.4669 2.1385 7.4178 0.288 0.77582 ## NW -6068.4033 4644.5716 -1.307 0.20486 ## U1 1.435 0.16524 131.5777 91.6661 ## U2 0.1097 1.641 0.11504 ## Wealth 0.1801 3.315 0.00315 ** 24.7287 ## Ineq 81.9726 -5406.1560 2638.0973 -2.049 0.05255 . ## Prob 9.3250 -0.074 0.94164 ## Time -0.6905 ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## ## Residual standard error: 205.2 on 22 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8521, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7512 ## F-statistic: 8.448 on 15 and 22 DF, p-value: 5.794e-06 The R² of this model is 0.8 which isn't too bad. Observing some basic statistics about our dataset. plot(uscrime_model) Residuals vs Fitted 110 00 0 200 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 290 019 500 1000 1500 2000 Fitted values Im(Crime ~ .) Q-Q Residuals 3 110 7 Standardized residuals 7 000 -2 -2 0 -1 1 2 **Theoretical Quantiles** $Im(Crime \sim .)$ Scale-Location 110 5. 019 290 residuals 0 0 **Standardized** 0 5 0 00 0.0 500 1000 1500 2000 Fitted values $Im(Crime \sim .)$ Residuals vs Leverage 110 Standardized residuals 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 7 Cook's distance 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Leverage Im(Crime ~ .) 1) Stepwise Regression. stepwise_model <- train(Crime ~., data = crime_train,</pre> method = "lmStepAIC", trControl = trainControl(), trace = FALSE) stepwise_model\$results Rsquared MAESD ## parameter RMSE MAE RMSESD RsquaredSD ## 1 none 382.168 0.4565835 298.6403 109.1995 0.1956438 88.66819 summary(stepwise_model\$finalModel) ## $lm(formula = .outcome \sim M + Ed + Po1 + M.F + U1 + U2 + Wealth +$ ## Ineq + Prob, data = dat) ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median ## 3Q Max -344.45 -90.59 -32.53 355.91 ## ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)## (Intercept) -8.079e+03 1.367e+03 -5.911 2.32e-06 *** ## M 8.929e+01 3.819e+01 2.338 0.026750 * ## Ed 1.274e+02 5.925e+01 2.150 0.040345 * ## Po1 9.277e+01 1.864e+01 4.977 2.95e-05 *** ## M.F 3.460e+01 1.481e+01 2.336 0.026875 * ## **U1** -6.295e+03 3.494e+03 -1.802 0.082381 ## U2 1.659e+02 7.825e+01 2.121 0.042937 * ## Wealth 1.581e-01 9.836e-02 1.608 0.119112 8.303e+01 1.943e+01 4.274 0.000201 *** ## Ineq ## Prob -3.659e+03 1.607e+03 -2.277 0.030630 * 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 189.8 on 28 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8389, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7872 ## F-statistic: 16.21 on 9 and 28 DF, p-value: 6.605e-09 From the summary of our model, our R squared value has decreased slightly. I now move on to lasso and elastic net. 2) Lasso Model Now I experiment with the lasso model. First we need to scale our values, as stated in the instructions. uscrime_scale <- cbind(as.data.frame(scale(crime_train[,1])),</pre> as.data.frame(crime_train[,2]), as.data.frame(scale(crime_train[,c(3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15)])), as.data.frame(crime_train[,16])) Adjust column names colnames(uscrime_scale) <- colnames(crime_train)</pre> uscrime_lasso <- cv.glmnet(x=as.matrix(uscrime_scale[,-16]), y=as.matrix(uscrime_scale\$Crime),</pre> alpha=1, nfolds = 5, type.measure="mse", family="gaussian") plot(uscrime_lasso) 15 15 14 14 14 13 10 11 8 6 5 2 1 1 1 Mean-Squared Error $\widetilde{8}$ 0 2 -2 4 6 $Log(\lambda)$ Here, I attempt to find the lowest lambda value because that would be the one with the lowest best_lambda_min <- uscrime_lasso\$lambda.min</pre> best_lambda_1se <- uscrime_lasso\$lambda.1se</pre> Here I find display the coefficients and use the scaled coefficients in another linear model. coefficients(uscrime_lasso, best_lambda_min) ## 16 x 1 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix" ## ## (Intercept) 900.92446 ## M 66.98995 ## So 103.32255 ## Ed 84.98754 ## Po1 318.69762 ## Po2 ## LF ## M.F 98.11665 ## Pop ## NW ## U1 -43.71647 ## U2 53.25360 ## Wealth 71.10063 ## Ineq 224.77158 ## Prob -99.41184 ## Time lasso_coef <- predict(uscrime_lasso, s = "lambda.min", type = "coefficients")</pre> uscrime_lasso_lm <- lm(Crime ~., data = crime_train)</pre> We can see that the R² value has improved and we obtain a value of 0.85 summary(uscrime_lasso_lm) ## ## Call: ## lm(formula = Crime ~ ., data = crime_train) ## ## Residuals: ## 1Q Median 3Q Max -33.14 116.47 -309.13 -104.13 399.91 ## ## ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)-3.509 0.00198 ** ## (Intercept) -7594.8378 2164.2749 74.5493 ## M 44.8335 1.663 0.11054 100.5641 ## So 174.7852 0.575 0.57089 ## Ed 150.1609 70.5539 2.128 0.04476 * 157.0649 ## Po1 182.6350 1.163 0.25737 ## Po2 -103.8188 174.9515 -0.593 0.55896 ## LF -1109.8071 1693.0149 -0.656 0.51893 1.598 ## M.F 37.0280 23.1726 0.12432 -0.4669 -0.321 1.4560 0.75147 0.77582 ## NW 2.1385 7.4178 0.288 4644.5716 -1.307 0.20486 ## U1 -6068.4033 91.6661 131.5777 1.435 0.16524 ## U2 0.1097 0.11504 ## Wealth 0.1801 1.641 24.7287 3.315 0.00315 ** ## Ineq 81.9726 -5406.1560 2638.0973 -2.049 0.05255 . ## Prob -0.6905 9.3250 -0.074 0.94164 ## Time ## 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Signif. codes: ## ## Residual standard error: 205.2 on 22 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8521, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7512 ## F-statistic: 8.448 on 15 and 22 DF, p-value: 5.794e-06 plot(uscrime_lasso_lm) Residuals vs Fitted 110 00 0 200 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 290 019 2000 500 1000 1500 Fitted values $Im(Crime \sim .)$ Q-Q Residuals က 2 Standardized residuals 7 -2 -1 0 1 2 Theoretical Quantiles $Im(Crime \sim .)$ Scale-Location 110 1.5 019 290 √|Standardized residuals 0 1.0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0.5 0 00 0.0 500 1000 1500 2000 Fitted values Im(Crime ~ .) Residuals vs Leverage 110 7 Standardized residuals 0 0 0 0 ∞ 0 00 0 7 0 0 0.5 15^O 7 0 Cook's distance 0.2 0.6 0.0 0.4 Leverage Im(Crime ~ .) 3). Elastic Net First I standardize both the predictor variables and the response variable from the training data. Then set up a loop to build a set of Lasso regression models, each with a different alpha value ranging from 0 to 1 in increments of 0.1. For each alpha, the code constructs a model name, performs cross-validated Lasso regression using the standardized data, and stores the results in a list. This allows for the creation of multiple Lasso models with varying levels of L1 regularization, which can later be compared to select the most suitable alpha value for optimal model performance and feature selection. The code here iterates over a range of alpha values from 0 to 1 in steps of 0.1. For each alpha value, it constructs a model name, performs Lasso regression, and calculates the Mean Squared Error (MSE) on a test dataset. The results, including alpha values, MSE, model names, and the optimal lambda (lambda.min) values, are collected in a data frame named results. This allows for the comparison of Lasso regression models with varying degrees of L1 (Lasso) regularization, making it possible to identify which alpha value produces the best-fitting model in terms of minimizing prediction errors. alphas <- seq(0, 1, by = 0.1)r2 <- numeric(length(alphas))</pre> cv_results <- lapply(alphas, function(alpha) {</pre> mod_uscrime_elastic <- cv.glmnet(</pre> x = as.matrix(uscrime_scale[, -16]), y = as.matrix(uscrime_scale\$Crime), alpha = alpha,nfolds = 5,type.measure = "mse", family = "gaussian" best_lambda_index <- which.min(mod_uscrime_elastic\$glmnet.fit\$dev.ratio)</pre> r2 <- mod_uscrime_elastic\$glmnet.fit\$dev.ratio[best_lambda_index]</pre> return(list(alpha = alpha, r2 = r2)) }) cv_results_df <- do.call(rbind, cv_results)</pre> best_alpha <- cv_results_df\$alpha[which.max(cv_results_df\$r2)]</pre> uscrime_elastic <- cv.glmnet(x=as.matrix(uscrime_scale[,-16]),</pre> y=as.matrix(uscrime_scale\$Crime), alpha=0.05, nfolds = 5, type.measure="mse", family="gaussian") uscrime_elastic_lm = lm(Crime ~M+So+Ed+Po1+Po2+LF+M.F+NW+U1+U2+Wealth+Ineq+Prob +Time, data = uscrime_scale) summary(uscrime_elastic_lm) ## ## Call: ## $lm(formula = Crime \sim M + So + Ed + Po1 + Po2 + LF + M.F + NW +$ U1 + U2 + Wealth + Ineq + Prob + Time, data = uscrime_scale) ## ## ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median ## 3Q Max ## -298.65 -99.86 -37.07 99.23 398.57 ## ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 63.16 14.268 6.5e-13 *** 901.13 ## M 98.69 56.59 1.744 0.09450 . 0.600 0.55461 ## So 102.67 171.22 163.81 74.75 2.192 0.03880 ## Ed 1.250 0.22393 ## Po1 598.88 479.17 ## Po2 -341.66 490.75 -0.696 0.49328 ## LF -48.52 62.76 -0.773 0.44733 ## M.F 119.21 62.00 1.923 0.06700 ## NW 0.333 0.74182 26.05 78.13 77.89 -1.468 0.15557 ## U1 -114.37 74.27 ## U2 107.99 1.454 0.15942 179.73 108.78 ## Wealth 1.652 0.11208 92.31 317.99 3.445 0.00221 ** ## Ineq -131.65 60.81 -2.165 0.04101 * ## Prob 60.21 -0.192 0.84940 ## Time -11.56 ## ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## ## Residual standard error: 201.2 on 23 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8514, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7609 ## F-statistic: 9.411 on 14 and 23 DF, p-value: 1.901e-06 After conducting an Elastic Net regression, the next steps involve evaluating the model's performance using metrics like Mean Squared Error or R-squared. As we see here, we still recieve a R squared value of around 0.85. This was not much different than our last few models so we can assume that we've maximized out model out and its most likely performing at its peak.