proota / myWriteUps

Branch: master ▼	myWriteUps / 34C32017 / pwn_readme_revenge /	Create new file	Upload files	Find file	History	
r00ta fix typo		Lates	Latest commit 1dd04a3 on Dec 30, 2017			
••						
README.md	fix typo		2 months ago			
exploit.py	add 34C3 2017 readme_revenge pwnable write up		2 months ago			
main.png	add 34C3 2017 readme_revenge pwnable write up		2 months ago			
readme_reveng	e add 34C3 2017 readme_revenge pwnable write up		2 months ago			
win.png	add 34C3 2017 readme_revenge pwnable write up		2 months ago			

README.md

readme_revenge - 34C3 2017 CTF

This pwnable was ABSOLUTELY amazing! I had a fantastic time solving this (30 solves during the competition). First of all execute file command on the binary

```
$ file readme_revenge
readme_revenge: ELF 64-bit LSB executable, x86-64, version 1 (GNU/Linux), statically linked, for GNU/Linux
```

And checksec

```
$ ./checksec --file ../pwning/34c32017/readme/readme_revenge
RELRO STACK CANARY NX PIE RPATH RUNPATH FORTIFY Fortific
Partial RELRO Canary found NX enabled No PIE No RPATH No RUNPATH Yes 3
```

I had a very quick overview on the binary. I always run strings command trying to grep flag , flag{ or other stuff like that (old habits :)). And... WAT?

It's clear that the server already have the flag stored in memory, we "just" have to figure out how to print it! This clarify a lot our POC of the exploit.

Back to us.. this is the main

```
4
; Attributes: bp-based frame
; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
public main
main proc near
var s0= gword ptr 0
push
        rbp
mov
        rbp, rsp
        rsp, [rsp-1020h]
lea
        [rsp+var_s0], 0
or
        rsp, [rsp+1020h]
lea.
        rsi, name
1ea
1ea
        rdi, unk 48D184
        eax, 0
mov
        isoc99 scanf
call
1ea
        rsi, name
        rdi, aHiS Bye ; "Hi, %s. Bye.\n"
1ea
MOV
        eax, 0
call
        printf
        eax, 0
mov
        rbp
pop
retn
main endp
```

Where name is at address 0x6B73E0 in the .bss section. What we can do is to overflow the buffer and overwrite some libc's pointer. Let's have a look at the bss and at the pointers that we need for the exploit!):

```
0x6B73E0 name
0x6B7400 _dl_tls_static_used (useless)
0x6B7408 _dl_tls_static_align (useless)
0x6B7410 dl tls max dtv idx (useless)
0x6B7420 dl static dtv (useless)
0x6B7430 unk 6B7430 (useless)
0x6B78A8 _dl_profile_output (useless)
0x6B78B0 dl platformle (useless)
             shit (useless)
             shit (useless)
0x6B7970 libc enable secure decided (useless)
0x6B7978 __libc_argc (useless)
0x6B7980 ___libc_argv
                                   <---- cool!
             shit
. . . .
             shit
0x6B7A28 __printf_function_table <---- cool!</pre>
0x6B7A30 __printf_modifier_table (useless)
0x6B7A38 __tzname_cur_max (useless)
0x6B7A40 __use_tzfile (useless)
             shit
. . . .
             shit
0x6B7AA8 ___printf_arginfo_table
                                   <---- cool!
0x6B7AB0 __printf_va_arg_table (useless)
```

No way: we have to figure out how to use those pointers and print the flag at address 0x6B4040. I went through the printf implementation in the libc trying to figure out how to use some of those pointers to get control of the program. After some hours i found this (for details of the procedure please see references and comments inside the code of libc):

- 1. printf calls vfprintf (see the implementation of the vfprintf here
- 2. look at this snippet:

Remember that we control these pointers, so we can go to do_positional label if we want;) 3) do_positional calls printf_positional 4) printf_positional calls __parse_one_specwc function, and now things become interesting. See __parse_one_specwc implementation here 5) look at this portion of code inside __parse_one_specwc:

COOOL! What we can do here is to modify all the pointers in a way that all the conditions are False and the function pointer (*__printf_arginfo_table[spec->info.spec] is called. Remember that in C conditions are lazy evaluated. So for instance if __builtin_expect (__printf_function_table == NULL, 1) is True __printf_arginfo_table[spec->info.spec] == NULL is not evaluated, because the OR espression is already True and the second condition would not change the final result).

__printf_arginfo_table is a structure of type printf_arginfo_size_function: i can create a fake structure inside the portion of the bss that i control in a way that i can jump to an arbitrary address when *__printf_arginfo_table[spec->info.spec] is called. spec is a structure, and info.spec is the character used in the format string of the printf call. In our case the printf function was called with %s, so spec->info.spec is equal to ord('s').

In order to print the flag i call the _fortify_fail function and i overwrite the _libc_argv double pointer so that it points to an address containing the address of flag .

The exploit in a nutshell:

- 1. Do buffer overflow to
- 2. Create a fake printf_arginfo_size_function structure, that contains the address of a call _fortify_fail
- 3. overwrite the ** _libc_argv so that the _fortify_fail will print the flag instead of the "real" _libc_argv arguments
- 4. overwrite __printf_function_table so that it is not NULL
- 5. overwrite printf arginfo table so that it points to the fake structure

And WIN!

```
ubuntu@ubuntu-xenial:/vagrant/pwning/34c32017/readme$ python exploit.py 35.198.130.245 1337
*** ***: 34C3_printf_1s_s0_fun_s0m3t1m3s!!11 terminated
*** Connection closed by remote host ***
```

Find the binary here, the full exploit here! THIS CHALLENGE WAS ABSOLUTELY AMAZING, thanks to 34C3 organizers for the great fun!!

Paste ac	cess token here			
Save	Why is this required?			
Settings				
Site access token				
łotkeys				
Remer	mber sidebar visibility			
Show	in non-code pages			
Load e	entire tree at once			
Save				