# Select the Ideal Community to Launch a Local Sharing Service App

By: Yao Xie February 2020



#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Background

Chicago-based startup firm - Enso Street is developing a local sharing platform that focuses on family tools and equipment. The firm is planning to launch the beta version of the platform in March 2020. It is of paramount importance for the firm to select the most suitable community in Chicago to roll out its service. The success in this effort would help the startup to find product-market fit and gain meaningful operating metrics before their next funding event. The founding team engaged us to carry out the research and analytics to identify the three target communities in Chicago.

#### 1.2 Problem

Given the fact that the founding team has already completed the customer segmentation and determined the ideal marketing personas are financially sound millennials that recently started their families. Data that might contribute to understanding the suitability of each community might include the education level, unemployment rate, per capita income and types of venues that implies what type of community it is. This analysis aims to examine both the demographic and geographic factors of each community in order to make the right recommendation.

## 1.3 Target Audience

Obviously, the founding team of Enso Street is the stakeholder. They will select the right community to debut their local sharing services based on this analysis. Meanwhile, Enso Street's future investors might be interested in understanding the team's methodology and process in selecting target locations to launch their services. A thorough and analytical approach would enhance the team's creditability in capital raising events.

### 2. Data Acquisition and Cleaning

#### 2.1 Data Sources

In order to perform our analysis, we need to obtain the data listed below:

• Complete List of Communities in Chicago. The project scope is confined to the city of Chicago. Therefore, we obtained the entire community list from the Chicago Data Portal (<a href="https://data.cityofchicago.org/Health-Human-Services/Uptown-Census-Data/vdfh-mxit">https://data.cityofchicago.org/Health-Human-Services/Uptown-Census-Data/vdfh-mxit</a>)

Socioeconomic Data. The firm's marketing personas are home improvement/maintenance
DIYers and financially sound millennials that recently started their families. In this light, the
socioeconomic indicators for each Chicago community are of critical importance for our
analysis. The data we acquired is from the Chicago Data Portal
(https://data.cityofchicago.org/Health-Human-Services/Uptown-Census-Data/vdfh-mxit)

#### Geographic data:

- a. Coordinates of Communities in Chicago. The data is required to help us plot maps and get venue data for each individual community. We employed the geocoder package offered by ArcGIS (<a href="https://developers.arcgis.com/features/geocoding/">https://developers.arcgis.com/features/geocoding/</a>) to obtain the coordinates required to complete our analysis.
- b. Venue Data. The firm will compete, to some extent, with stores (i.e., Home Depot, ACE Hardware) that provide tools and equipment rental services. Relevant geographic data provided by Foursquare can help us to identify the communities that have a relatively low density of such stores. We can also gain other insights about the communities based on the venue numbers and types. For instance, a community with a high density of parks and coffee shops typically would have a relatively large number of young families. We obtained the data through Foursquare's developer API (<a href="https://foursquare.com/developers/apps">https://foursquare.com/developers/apps</a>).

### 2.2 Data Cleaning

After loading socioeconomic data into a data-frame, we first deleted rows that have no 'Community Area Number' information. This allowed us to include each individual community data and exclude any aggregate data, which offered no value in our analysis. We then decided to drop the 'Community Area Number' column in our data-frame so that we kept the columns that are useful for our analysis. We also noticed that unnecessary space after 'Per Capita Income', the name of the last column. We deleted the space by using the data-frame rename function.

### 3. Methodology

We employed a portfolio of methods in order to complete our comprehensive analysis. We are going to discuss them one by one below.

### 3.1 Dataframe Filtering

There are 77 communities in Chicago for us to consider. Our first selection criteria are socioeconomic factors. For each socioeconomic indicator, we only selected communities with value better than the group average value. For example, a community with a lower hardship

index is more suitable for our purposes. Therefore, we filtered the communities that have a hardship value below the group average. Meanwhile, a community with a higher per capita income index is more suitable for our purposes. In this light, we filtered the communities that have per capita income above the group average. The process allowed us to narrow down the list of communities that are suitable and subject to our further analysis.

### 3.2 Geocoding

We employed the geocoding API provided by ArcGIS, the world's leading mapping and location analytics platform, to retrieve latitude and longitude data for each community. We then stored the coordinates data into a data-frame named df\_geodata.

```
define a function to get coordinates

def get_lating(community):
    # initialize your variable to None
lat_lng_coords = None
    # loop untit you get the coordinates
while(lat_lng_coords is None):
    g = geocoder.arcgis('f), chicago, Illinois'.format(community))
    lat_lng_coords = g.lating
    return lat_lng_coords

# create temporary dataframe to populate the coordinates into Latitude and Longitude
import geocoder
coords = [get_lating(community) for community in df_filtered['COMMUNITY AREA NAME'].tolist()]
df_coords = gd.Dataframe(coords, columns=['COMMUNITY LATITUDE', 'COMMUNITY LONGITUDE'])

# merge the coordinates into the df_filtered dataframe and name the new dataframe df_geodata

df_coords['COMMUNITY AREA NAME'] = df_filtered['COMMUNITY AREA NAME'].tolist()

df_geodata = df_coords.reindex(columns= ['COMMUNITY AREA NAME'].tolist()

df_geodata.head(15)
```

### 3.3 One Hot Encoding

This process enabled us to convert categorical variables into a form that could be utilized by machine learning algorithms to provide optimal predictions. All unique items under Venue Category were one-hot encoded before K-means clustering algorithm was employed.

```
#mow that we have the nearby venues information, let's employ one hot encoding to prepare the data for further analysis

chicago_onehot - pd.get_dummies(chicago_venues[['Venue Category']], prefix_sep-'')

chicago_onehot.head()
```

```
| Madd community column back to dataframe and move it to the first column | Chicago onehot [COMMUNITY AREA NAME'] - chicago venues[COMMUNITY AREA NAME'] | Sixed_columns = [chicago_onehot.columns] | Hist(chicago_onehot.columns] | Hist(chicago_onehot.columns] | Chicago_onehot = chicago_onehot[fixed_columns] | Chicago_onehot.head()

| Mext, we are going to group rows by community and by taking the means of the frequency of occurence of each category | Chicago_grouped = chicago_onehot.groupby('COMMUNITY AREA NAME').mean().reset_index() | Chicago_grouped .head()
```

#### 3.4 10 Most Common Venues

We selected the 10 most common venues ONLY for our analysis. We then created a new data-frame named comm\_venues\_sorted to store all the values, before we conducted our K-means clustering analysis.

### 3.5 K-Means Clustering

We employed the unsupervised learning algorithm K-Means clustering twice in our analysis. We first trained our socioeconomic data and clustered them into 3 buckets.

We then trained our venue data using the same algorithm. Based on the number of communities, we employed a cluster number of 3. After the clustering is complete, we added the cluster label information to the data-frame for further analysis.

#### 4. Results

The communities are categorized into three different clusters. We then visualized our clustered communities on a Leaflet map using Folium with different colors.

```
Processe map
Chicago_cover(=0(4.8386, =$7.8728)
listitud= -(chicago_cover(=0))
lingitude - chicago_cover(=0)
lingitude - chica
```

#### 5. Discussion

By examing each individual cluster, we found that Cluster No. 3 is the most family-friendly community based on the types of 10 most common venues.

13 out of 15 communities are clustered in #1, indicating a high level of homogeneity in these Chicago communities. This cluster has bars, coffee shops, and restaurants topping the most common venues. It might imply that these communities have a large population of young professionals.



Cluster No. 2 has only one community. From the venue type information, this community Near West Side might have a large population of students.



Finally, there is one community, namely Edison Park, in Cluster #3. The three most venues are theaters, neighborhoods, and parks, all of which are highly popular among families.



#### 6. Conclusion

After analyzing the socioeconomic indicators and venue types of each community in Chicago, we strongly recommend that Enso Street select Edison Park as the community to launch their services.



As Enso Street gradually acquires customers after it launches, we can help to finetune our methodologies and analysis based on actual customer data. This will help us to more accurately select communities for future operation expansion.