

# 1 Topological Vector Spaces

**Example 1.1.** Assume  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, we define

$$\|f\|_{L^p(U)} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_U |f|^p\right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \text{ess sup}_U |f| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We define  $L^p(U)$  to be the linear space of all measurable functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $\|f\|_{L^p(U)} < \infty$ . Then  $L^p(U)$  is a Banach space, provided we identify two functions which agree a.e.

**Example 1.2.** The space  $L^2(U)$  is a Hilbert space, with

$$(f, g) = \int_U fg \, dx.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert spaces.

1. Two elements  $u, v \in H$  are *orthogonal* if  $(u, v) = 0$ .
2. A countable basis  $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq H$  is called *orthonormal* if

$$\begin{cases} (w_k, w_l) = 0 & k, l = 1, \dots; l \neq k \\ \|w_k\| = 1 & k = 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert spaces. If  $u \in H$  and  $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq H$  is an orthonormal basis, we can write

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u, w_k) w_k,$$

the series converging in  $H$ . In addition

$$\|u\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u, w_k)^2.$$

**Definition 1.4.** If  $S$  is a subspace of  $H$ ,  $S^\perp := \{u \in H : (u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in S\}$  is the subspace orthogonal to  $S$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real Banach spaces.

1. A mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *linear operator* provided

$$A[\lambda u + \mu v] = \lambda Au + \mu Av$$

for all  $u, v \in X$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2. The *range* of  $A$  is  $\mathcal{R}(A) := \{v \in Y : v = Au \text{ for some } u \in X\}$  and the *null space* of  $A$  is  $\mathcal{A} := \{u \in X : Au = 0\}$ .

**Definition 1.6.** A linear operator  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is *bounded* if

$$\|A\| := \sup \{\|Au\|_Y : \|u\|_X \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

**Proposition 1.7.** A linear operator  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is bounded if and only if it is continuous.

**Theorem 1.8** (Closed Graph Theorem). Let  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed, linear operator. Then  $A$  is bounded.

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator.

1. The *resolvent set* of  $A$  is

$$\rho(A) = \{\eta \in \mathbb{R} : (A - \eta I) \text{ is one-to-one and onto}\}.$$

2. The *spectrum* of  $A$  is

$$\sigma(A) = \mathbb{R} - \rho(A).$$

If  $\eta \in \rho(A)$ , 1.8 then implies that the inverse  $(A - \eta I)^{-1} : X \rightarrow X$  is a bounded linear operator.

**Definition 1.10.** 1. We say  $\eta \in \sigma(A)$  is an *eigenvalue* of  $A$  provided

$$N(A - \eta I) \neq \{0\}.$$

We write  $\sigma_p(A)$  to denote the collection of eigenvalues of  $A$ ;  $\sigma_p(A)$  is the *point spectrum*.

2. If  $\eta$  is an eigenvalue and  $w \neq 0$  satisfies

$$Aw = \eta w,$$

we say  $w$  is an associated *eigenvector*.

**Definition 1.11.** 1. A bounded linear operator  $u^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *bounded linear functional* on  $X$ .

2. We write  $X^*$  to denote the collection of all bounded linear functionals on  $X$ ;  $X^*$  is the *dual space* of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.12.** 1. If  $u \in X, u^* \in X^*$ , we write

$$\langle u^*, u \rangle$$

to denote the real number  $u^*(u)$ . The symbol  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the pairing of  $X^*$  and  $X$ .

2. We define

$$\|u^*\| := \sup \{\langle u^*, u \rangle : \|u\| \leq 1\}$$

3. A Banach space is *reflexive* if  $(X^*)^* = X$ . More precisely, this means that for each  $u^{**} \in (X^*)^*$ , there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$$\langle u^{**}, u^* \rangle = \langle u^*, u \rangle \text{ for all } u^* \in X^*.$$

**Theorem 1.13** (Riesz Representation Theorem). *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space, with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .  $H^*$  can be canonically identified with  $H$ ; more precisely, for each  $u^* \in H^*$  there exists a unique element  $u \in H$  such that*

$$\langle u^*, v \rangle = (u, v) \text{ for all } v \in H$$

*The mapping  $u^* \mapsto u$  is a linear isomorphism of  $H^*$  onto  $H$ .*

**Definition 1.14.** Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space.

1. If  $A : H \rightarrow H$  is a bounded, linear operator, its adjoint  $A^* : H \rightarrow H$  satisfies

$$(Au, v) = (u, A^*v)$$

for all  $u, v \in H$ .

2.  $A$  is symmetric if  $A^* = A$ .

**Definition 1.15.** Let  $X$  denote a real Banach space. We say a sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq X$  converges weakly to  $u \in X$ , written

$$u_k \rightharpoonup u,$$

if

$$\langle u^*, u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle u^*, u \rangle$$

for each bounded linear functional  $u^* \in X^*$ .

It is easy to check if  $u_k \rightarrow u$ , then  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ . It is also true that any weakly convergent sequence is bounded. In addition, if  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ , then

$$\{u\} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|.$$

**Theorem 1.16.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space and suppose the sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq X$  is bounded. Then there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $u \in X$  such that*

$$u_{k_j} \rightharpoonup u.$$

**Example 1.17.** We will most often employ weak convergence ideas in the following context. Take  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  to be open,  $X = L^p(U)$ , and assume  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$X^* = L^q(U),$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 1 < q \leq \infty$ . More precisely, each bounded linear functional on  $L^p(U)$  can be represented as  $f \mapsto \int_U gf \, dx$  for some  $g \in L^q(U)$ . Therefore

$$f_k \rightharpoonup f \text{ weakly in } L^p(U)$$

means

$$\int_U gf_k \, dx \rightarrow \int_U gf \, dx \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } g \in L^q(U).$$

Now the identification of  $L^q(U)$  as the dual of  $L^p(U)$  shows that

$$L^p(U) \text{ is reflexive if } 1 < p < \infty.$$

**Definition 1.18.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real Banach spaces. A bounded linear operator

$$K : X \rightarrow Y$$

is called *compact* provided for each bounded sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ , the sequence  $\{Ku_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is precompact in  $Y$ ; that is, there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\{Ku_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1.19.** Let  $H$  denote a real Hilbert space, with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . If  $K : H \rightarrow H$  is compact, so is  $K^* : H \rightarrow H$ .

**Theorem 1.20** (supporting hyperplane theorem). If  $S$  is a convex set in the topological vector space  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $x_0$  is a point on the boundary of  $S$ , then there exists a supporting hyperplane containing  $x_0$ . If  $x^* \in X^* \setminus 0$ , where  $X^*$  is the dual space of  $X$ ,  $x^*$  is a nonzero linear functional, such that  $x^*(x_0) \geq x^*(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  then

$$H = \{x \in X : x^*(x) = x^*(x_0)\}$$

defines a supporting hyperplane.

Conversely, if  $S$  is a closed set with nonempty interior such that every point on the boundary has a supporting hyperplane, then  $S$  is a convex set, and is the intersection of all its supporting closed half-spaces.

**Theorem 1.21** (Supporting hyperplanes). Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex. Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the inequality

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + r \cdot (y - x)$$

holds for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.22** (Hyperplane separation theorem). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two disjoint nonempty convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exist a nonzero vector  $v$  and a real number  $c$  such that

$$\langle x, v \rangle \geq c \text{ and } \langle y, v \rangle \leq c$$

for all  $x$  in  $A$  and  $y$  in  $B$ ; i.e. the hyperplane  $\langle \cdot, v \rangle = c$ ,  $v$  the normal vector, separates  $A$  and  $B$ .

If both sets are closed, and at least one of them is compact, then the separation can be strict, that is,  $\langle x, v \rangle > c_1$  and  $\langle y, v \rangle < c_2$  for some  $c_1 > c_2$ .

*Proof.* Hahn-Banach and Riez representation theorem [Wikipedia](#) □

## 1.1 Quotient Spaces

**Definition 1.23.** Let  $N$  be a subspace of vector space  $X$ . For every  $x \in X$ , let  $\pi(x)$  be the coset of  $N$  that contains  $x$ ; thus

$$\pi(x) = x + N.$$

These cosets are the elements of a vector space  $X/N$ , called the *quotient space of  $X$  modulo  $N$* , in which addition and scalar multiplication are defined by

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) = \pi(x + y), \quad \alpha\pi(x) = \pi(\alpha x).$$

$\pi$  is often called the *quotient map of  $X$  onto  $X/N$* .

1. Suppose now that  $\tau$  is a vector topology on  $X$  and that  $N$  is closed subspace of  $X$ .
2. Let  $\tau_N$  be the collection of all sets  $E \subseteq X/N$  for which  $\pi^{-1}(E) \in \tau$ . Then  $\tau_N$  turns out to be a topology on  $X/N$ , called the *quotient topology*.

*Remark 1.24.* The origin of  $X/N$  is  $\pi(0) = N$ .  $\pi$  is a linear mapping of  $X$  onto  $X/N$  with  $N$  as its null space.

**Theorem 1.25.** *Let  $N$  be a closed subspace of a topological vector space  $X$ . Let  $\tau$  be the topology of  $X$  and then  $\tau_N$  is a topology of  $X/N$ .*

1. *The quotient map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/N$  is linear, continuous, open.*
2. *If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a local base for  $\tau$ , then the collection of all sets  $\pi(V)$  with  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  is a local base for  $\tau_N$ .*
3. *Each of the following properties of  $X$  is inherited by  $X/N$ : local convexity, local boundedness, metrizability, normalbity.*
4. *If  $X$  is an  $F$ -space, or a Fréchet space, or a Banach space, so is  $X/N$ .*

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are directly checked. (3) follows from (2), and in fact

1. the metric  $\rho$  induced by  $X$  in  $X/N$  is  $\rho(\pi(x), \pi(y)) = \inf \{d(x - y, z) : z \in N\}$ .
2.  $\|\pi(x)\| = \inf \{\|x - z\| : z \in N\}$ .

To prove (4), by (3), it suffices to show that  $\tau_N$  inherits completeness, which can also be checked directly. □

## 2 completeness

### 2.1 Baire Category Theorem

### 2.2 Banach-Steinhaus Theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological vector space,  $\Gamma$  is an equicontinuous collection of linear mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and  $E$  is a bounded subset of  $X$ . Then  $Y$  has a bounded subset  $F = \bigcup \Lambda(E)$  such that  $\Lambda(E) \subseteq F$  for every  $\Lambda \in \Gamma$ . In particular,  $\bigcup \Lambda(E)$  is bounded.*

**Theorem 2.2** (Banach-Steinhaus). *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological vector spaces,  $\Gamma$  is a collection of continuous linear mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and  $B$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  whose orbits*

$$\Gamma(x) = \{\Lambda x : \Lambda \in \Gamma\}$$

*are bounded in  $Y$ .*

*If  $B$  is of second category in  $X$ , then  $B = X$  and  $\Gamma$  is equicontinuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $Y$ , we can choose open set  $U \subseteq Y$ , such that  $\overline{U} + \overline{U} \subseteq W$  and  $0 \in U$ . Now we consider

$$E = \bigcap_{\Lambda \in \Gamma} \Lambda^{-1}(\overline{U}).$$

Suppose  $x \in B$ , then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Gamma(x) \subseteq nU$  which implies  $x \in nE$ . Hence  $B \subseteq \bigcup_n nE$ . By hypothesis,  $B$  is of second category, hence one of  $nE$  is so. But  $x \mapsto nx$  is a homeomorphism, it implies that  $E$  is of second category. By construction,  $E$  is closed, so  $E$  has at least one interior point  $x_0$  with its neighborhood  $V \subseteq E$ . Now

$$\Lambda(V - x) \subseteq \Lambda(E) + \Lambda(E) \subseteq \overline{U} + \overline{U} \subseteq W,$$

and noting that  $V - x$  is a neighborhood of 0,  $\Gamma$  is equicontinuous. The statement  $B = X$  follows from 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3** (Banach-Steinhaus). *If  $\Gamma$  is a collection of continuous linear mappings from an  $F$ -space  $X$  into a topological vector space  $Y$ , and if the sets*

$$\Gamma(x) = \{\Lambda x : \Lambda \in \Gamma\}$$

*are bounded in  $Y$ , for every  $x \in X$ , then  $\Gamma$  is equicontinuous.*

By replacing “bounded sequence” by “Cauchy sequence” or “Convergent sequence” in 2.2, we have similar conclusions.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological vector space, and  $\{\Lambda_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous linear mappings of  $X$  into  $Y$ .*

1. *If  $C$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  for which  $\Lambda_n x$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$ , and if  $C$  is of the second category in  $X$ , then  $C = X$ .*
2. *If  $L$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  at which*

$$\Lambda x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n x$$

*exists; if  $L$  is of the second category in  $X$ , and if  $Y$  is an  $F$ -space, then  $L = X$  and  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous.*

Moreover, (2) in 2.4 can be modified as the following.

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $\{\Lambda_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous linear mappings from a  $F$ -space  $X$  into a topological space  $Y$ , and if*

$$\Lambda x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n x$$

*exists for every  $x \in X$ , then  $\Lambda$  is continuous.*

In the following variant of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem 2.2, the category argument is applied to a compact set.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological vector space,  $K$  is a compact convex set in  $X$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a collection of continuous linear mappings of  $X$  into  $Y$ , and the orbits*

$$\Gamma(x) = \{\Lambda x : \Lambda \in \Gamma\}$$

*are bounded subsets of  $Y$ , for every  $x \in K$ . Then there is a bounded set  $B \subseteq Y$  such that  $\Lambda(K) \subseteq B$  for every  $\Lambda \in \Gamma$ .*

## 2.3 The Open Mapping Theorem

**Theorem 2.7.** *Suppose*

1.  $X$  is an  $F$ -space,
2.  $Y$  is a topological vector space,
3.  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and linear, and
4.  $\Lambda(X)$  is of the second category in  $Y$ .

*Then*

1.  $\Lambda(X) = Y$ ,
2.  $\Lambda$  is an open mapping, and
3.  $Y$  is an  $F$ -space.

**Corollary 2.8.** 1. *If  $\Lambda$  is a continuous linear mapping of an  $F$ -space  $X$  onto an  $F$ -space  $Y$ , then  $\Lambda$  is open.*

2. *If  $\Lambda$  satisfies (1) and is one-to-one, then  $\Lambda^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is continuous.*
3. *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and if  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, linear, one-to-one, and onto, then there exist positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that*

$$a \|x\| \leq \|\Lambda x\| \leq b \|x\|$$

*for every  $x \in X$ .*

4. *If  $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$  are vector topologies on a vector space  $X$  and if both  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are  $F$ -spaces, then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .*

## 2.4 The Closed Graph Theorem

We know that

**Proposition 2.9.** *If  $X$  is a topological space,  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then the graph  $G$  is closed.*

The following kind of inverse of 2.9 is true, called the closed graph theorem.

**Theorem 2.10** (The Closed Graph Theorem). *Suppose*

1.  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $F$ -spaces,
2.  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  is linear,
3.  $G = \{(x, \Lambda x) : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

*Then  $\Lambda$  is continuous.*

## 2.5 Bilinear Mappings

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $X, Y, Z$  are topological vector spaces and  $B : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is a map. if every  $B_x(y) := B(x, y)$  and every  $B^y(x) := B(x, y)$  is continuous, then  $B$  is said to be *separately continuous*.

**Theorem 2.12.** Suppose  $B : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is bilinear and separately continuous,  $X$  is an  $F$ -space, and  $Y$  and  $Y$  are topological vector spaces. Then

$$B(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow B(x_0, y_0) \text{ in } Z$$

whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  in  $X$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y_0$  in  $Y$ . If  $Y$  is metrizable, it follows that  $B$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Application of 2.2 by constructing  $b_n(x) = B(x, y_n)$  and noting that

$$B(x_n, y_n) - B(x_0, y_0) = b_n(x_n - x_0) + B(x_0, y_n - y_0).$$

□

## 3 Convexity

### 3.1 The Hahn-Banach Theorems

**Definition 3.1.** The *dual space* of a topological vector space  $X$  is the vector space  $X^*$  whose elements are the *continuous* linear functionals on  $X$ .

*Remark 3.2.* It will be convenient to use the following terminology: An additive functional  $\Lambda$  on a complex vector space  $X$  is called *real-linear*(*complex-linear*) if  $\Lambda(\alpha x) = \alpha \Lambda x$  for every  $x \in X$  and for every real(complex) scalar  $\alpha$ . If  $u$  is the real part of a complex-linear functional  $f$  on  $X$ , then  $u$  is real-linear and

$$(3.1.1) \quad f(x) = u(x) - iu(ix) \quad (x \in X)$$

because  $z = \operatorname{Re} z - i \operatorname{Re}(iz)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Conversely, if  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is real-linear on a complex vector space  $X$  and if  $f$  is defined by (3.1.1), a straightforward computation shows that  $f$  is complex linear.

**Extension** We will give some theorems about extending functions of subspace into the whole space with some controls.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose

1.  $M$  is a subspace of a real vector space  $X$ ,
2.  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y) \text{ and } p(tx) = p(x)$$

if  $x \in X, y \in X, t \geq 0$ ,



3.  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is linear and  $f(x) \leq p(x)$  on  $M$ .

Then there exists a linear  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Lambda x = f(x) \quad (x \in M)$$

and

$$-p(-x) \leq \Lambda x \leq p(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose  $M$  is a subspace of a vector space  $X$ ,  $p$  is a seminorm on  $X$ , and  $f$  is a linear functional on  $M$  such that

$$|f(x)| \leq p(x) \quad (x \in M).$$

Then  $f$  extends to a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  that satisfies

$$|\Lambda x| \leq p(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

*Proof.* If the scalar field is  $\mathbb{R}$ , this is contained in 3.3. Assume that the scalar field is  $\mathbb{C}$ . Put  $u = \operatorname{Re} f$ , then by 3.3, there is a real-linear  $g$  on  $X$  such that

$$g = u \text{ on } M \text{ and } g \leq p \text{ on } X.$$

Let  $\Lambda$  be the complex-linear functional on  $X$  whose real part is  $g$ , then by (3.1.1),  $\Lambda = f$  on  $M$ .

Finally, to every  $x \in X$  corresponds an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ , such that  $\alpha \Lambda x = |\Lambda x|$ . Hence

$$|\Lambda x| = \Lambda(\alpha x) = g(\alpha x) \leq p(\alpha x) = p(x).$$

□

**Corollary 3.5.** If  $X$  is a normed space and  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists  $\Lambda \in X^*$  such that

$$\Lambda x_0 = \|x_0\| \text{ and } |\Lambda x| \leq \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

*Proof.* If  $x_0 = 0$ , take  $\Lambda = 0$ . If  $x_0 \neq 0$ , apply 3.4, with  $p(x) = \|x\|$ ,  $M$  the one-dimensional space generated by  $x_0$ , and  $f(\alpha x_0) = \alpha \|x_0\|$  on  $M$ . □

**Separation** Here we will apply what we discuss before to show some disjoint convex sets in a topological vector space can be separated.

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, nonempty, convex sets in a topological vector space  $X$ .

1. If  $A$  is open there exist  $\Lambda \in X^*$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \Lambda x < \gamma \leq \operatorname{Re} \Lambda y$$

for every  $x \in A$  and for every  $y \in B$ .

2. If  $A$  is compact,  $B$  is closed, and  $X$  is locally convex, then there exist  $\Lambda \in X^*$ ,  $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \Lambda x < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \operatorname{Re} \Lambda y$$

for every  $x \in A$  and for every  $y \in B$ .

*Proof.* By (3.1.1), it suffices to prove this for real scalar. (1) Fix  $a_0 \in A$ ,  $b_0 \in B$ , and put  $x_0 = b_0 - a_0$ ,  $C = A - B + x_0$ . Then  $C$  is a convex neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ . Let  $p = p(x) := \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R} : x \in tC\}$  be the Minkowski functional of  $C$ , where  $x \in X$ . Since  $A$  is open,  $A$  is absorbing, then by theorem 1.35,  $p$  satisfies the hypothesis of 3.3. Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we know  $0 \notin A - B$  which implies that  $x_0 \notin C$ , and so  $p(x_0) \geq 1$ .

Define  $f(tx_0) = t$  on the subspace  $M$  of  $X$  generated by  $x_0$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} f(tx_0) = t \leq tp(x_0) = p(tx_0) & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ f(tx_0) < 0 \leq p(tx_0) & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $f \leq p$  on  $M$ . By 3.3,  $f$  extends to a linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $X$  that also satisfies  $\Lambda \leq p$ .

In particular,  $\Lambda \leq p < 1$  on  $C$  and hence  $\Lambda \geq -1$  on  $-C$ , so that  $|\Lambda| \leq 1$  on the neighborhood  $C \cap (-C)$  of 0. For linear functionals, boundedness is equivalent to continuity, so  $\Lambda \in X^*$ .

If now  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we have

$$\Lambda a - \Lambda b + 1 = \Lambda(a - b + x_0) \leq p(a - b + x_0) < 1$$

since  $\Lambda x_0 = 1$ ,  $a - b + x_0 \in C$ , and  $C$  is open.

It follows that  $\Lambda(A)$  and  $\Lambda(B)$  are disjoint convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $\Lambda(A)$  to the left of  $\Lambda(B)$ . Also  $\Lambda(A)$  is an open set since  $A$  is open and since every nonconstant linear functional on  $X$  is an open mapping. Let  $\gamma$  be the right end point of  $\Lambda(A)$  to get the conclusion of (1).

(2) There is a convex neighborhood  $V$  of 0 in  $X$  such that  $(A + V) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then we can apply (1), and noting that  $\Lambda(A)$  is a compact subset of  $\Lambda(A + V)$ , it can attain its upper boundary.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *If  $X$  is a locally convex space then  $X^*$  separates points on  $X$ .*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Suppose  $M$  is a subspace of a locally convex space  $X$ , and  $x_0 \in X$ . If  $x_0$  is not in the closure of  $M$ , then there exists  $\Lambda \in X^*$  such that  $\Lambda x_0 = 1$  but  $\Lambda x = 0$  for every  $x \in M$ .*

*Proof.* 3.6, there exists  $\Lambda \in X^*$  such that the real parts of  $\Lambda x_0$  and  $\Lambda(M)$  are disjoint. But  $\Lambda(M)$  is a subspace of the scalar field, this forces  $\Lambda(M) = \{0\}$  and  $\Lambda x_0 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Suppose  $B$  is a convex, balanced, closed set in a locally convex space  $X$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ , but  $x_0 \notin B$ . Then there exists  $\Lambda \in X^*$  such that*

$$|\Lambda x| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in B, \text{ but } \Lambda x_0 > 1.$$

*Proof.* By 3.6, we can obtain  $\Lambda_1 \in X^*$  with  $\Lambda_1 x_0 = re^{i\theta}$  lies outside the closure  $K$  of  $\Lambda_1(B)$ .  $K$  is balanced, since  $B$  is balanced. Hence there exists  $s$ ,  $0 < s < r$ , so that  $|z| \leq s$  for all  $z \in K$ . The functional  $\Lambda = s^{-1}e^{-i\theta}\Lambda_1$  is the desired.  $\square$

### 3.2 Weak Topologies

**Theorem 3.10.** *If  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$  are topologies on a set  $X$ , if  $\tau_1$  is Hausdorff, and if  $\tau_2$  is compact, then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .*

**Example 3.11.** Consider the quotient topology  $\tau_N$  of  $X/N$  and the quotient map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/N$ . By definition,  $\tau_N$  is the strongest topology on  $X/N$  that makes  $\pi$  continuous, and it is the weakest one that makes  $\pi$  an open mapping.

**Definition 3.12.** Suppose next that  $X$  is a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a nonempty family of mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y_f$ , where each  $Y_f$  is a topological space. Let  $\tau$  be the collection of all unions of finite intersections of sets  $f^{-1}(V)$ , with  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $V$  open in  $Y_f$ . Then  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$ , and it is in fact the weakest topology on  $X$  that makes every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  continuous. This  $\tau$  is called the *weak topology* on  $X$  induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ , or,  $\mathcal{F}$ -topology of  $X$ .

## 4 Duality In Banach Spaces

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{N}(T^*) = \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T) = {}^\perp\mathcal{R}(T^*).$$

*Proof.* For the first statement:

$$\begin{aligned} y^* \in \mathcal{N}(T^*) &\iff T^*y^* = 0 \iff \langle x, T^*y^* \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \\ &\iff \langle Tx, y^* \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \iff y^* \in \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

For the second statement:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \mathcal{N}(T) &\iff Tx = 0 \iff \langle Tx, y^* \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y^* \\ &\iff \langle x, T^*y^* \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y^* \iff x \in {}^\perp\mathcal{R}(T^*). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.2.** 1.  $\mathcal{N}(T^*)$  is weak\*-closed in  $Y^*$ .

2.  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is dense in  $Y$  if and only if  $T^*$  is one-to-one.

3.  $T$  is one-to-one if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(T^*)$  is weak\*-dense in  $X^*$ .

*Proof.*

□

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be the open unit balls in the Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , then the implications*

$$(1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (4)$$

*hold among the following statements:*

1.  $\|T^*y^*\| \geq \delta \|y^*\|$  for every  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

2.  $\overline{T(U)} \supseteq \delta V$ .

$$3. T(U) \supseteq \delta V.$$

$$4. T(X) = Y.$$

Moreover, if (4) holds, then (1) holds for some  $\delta > 0$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and if  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , then the followings are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is closed in  $Y$ .
2.  $\mathcal{R}(T^*)$  is weak\*-closed in  $X^*$ .
3.  $\mathcal{R}(T^*)$  is norm-closed in  $X^*$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\implies$  (3) is clear. Now we show that (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose (1) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(T) = Y$  if and only if  $T^*$  is one-to-one and  $\mathcal{R}(T^*)$  is norm-closed.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{R}(T) = Y$ , then  $T^*$  is one-to-one by 4.2. By 4.3,  $T^*$  is a dilation. By completeness,  $\mathcal{R}(T^*)$  is closed.

If the latter statement holds, then  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is dense in  $Y$  by 4.2.  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is closed by 4.4.  $\square$

**Definition 4.6.** Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and  $U$  is the open unit ball in  $X$ . A linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *compact* if the closure of  $T(U)$  is compact in  $Y$ . It is clear that  $T$  is then bounded. Thus  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

**Definition 4.7.** 1. Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space. Then  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is not merely a Banach space but also an algebra: If  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , one defines  $ST \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  by

$$(ST)(x) := S(T(x)) \quad \text{where } x \in X.$$

The inequality

$$\|ST\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|$$

is trivial to verify.

In particular, powers of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  can be defined:  $T^0 = \text{Id}$ , the identity mapping on  $X$ , given by  $\text{Id } x = x$ , and  $T^n = TT^{n-1}$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

2. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be *invertible* if there exists  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that

$$ST = \text{Id} = TS.$$

In this case, we write  $S = T^{-1}$ . By the open mapping theorem, this happens if and only if  $\mathcal{N}(T) = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T) = X$ .

3. The *spectrum*  $\sigma(T)$  of an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is the set of all scalars  $\lambda$  such that  $T - \lambda \text{Id}$  is not invertible. Thus  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  if and only if at least one of the following statements is true:

- (a) The range of  $T - \lambda \text{Id}$  is not all of  $X$ .

(b)  $T - \lambda \text{Id}$  is not one-to-one.

If (2) holds,  $\lambda$  is said to be an *eigenvalue* of  $T$ ; the corresponding eigenspace is  $\mathcal{N}(T - \lambda \text{Id})$ ; each  $x \in \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda \text{Id})$  is an *eigenvector* of  $T$ ; it satisfies the equation

$$Tx = \lambda x.$$

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces.*

1. *If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $\dim \mathcal{R}(T) < \infty$ , then  $T$  is compact.*
2. *If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ ,  $T$  is compact, and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is closed, then  $\dim \mathcal{R}(T) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1) (2)

□