

CSC165H1 Problem Set 2

Make Zhang, RuiJian An, HaoRan Fang

Feb 15 2017

Q1.AND vs. IMPLIES

- (a) **Translate and Using Prove:** $\forall x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}, (x|y) \wedge (y|z) \Rightarrow x|z$
 $\equiv \forall x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, y = k_1x) \wedge (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, z = k_2y) \Rightarrow (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, z = k_3x)$

Proof. Let $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$, Assume $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, y = k_1x$ and $\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, z = k_2y$. Want to prove $\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, z = k_3x$. Let $k_3 = k_1k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$k_3x = k_1k_2x$$

$$k_3x = k_2y \quad (\text{Since, } y = k_1x)$$

$$k_3x = z \quad (\text{Since, } z = k_2y)$$

$\forall x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}, (x|y) \wedge (y|z) \Rightarrow x|z$ is True \square

- (b) **Using Disprove:** $\neg (\forall x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}, (x|y) \wedge (y|z) \wedge (x|z))$
 $\equiv \exists x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}, (x \nmid y) \vee (y \nmid z) \vee (x \nmid z)$

Proof. Let $x = 3, y = 4, z = 5, x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$3 \nmid 4 \vee 4 \nmid 5 \vee 3 \nmid 5$$

$$x \nmid y \vee y \nmid z \vee x \nmid z$$

$\forall x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}, (x|y) \wedge (y|z) \wedge (x|z)$ is False \square

Q2.Alternating quantifiers and the floor function.

- (a) **Using Prove:** $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor \leq k$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let $k = n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor = (nx - \varepsilon_1) - n(x - \varepsilon_2) \quad (\text{By property 1})$$

$$= nx - \varepsilon_1 - nx + n\varepsilon_2$$

$$= n\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1$$

$$n\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 \leq n\varepsilon_2 \quad (\text{Since } 0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq 1)$$

$$n\varepsilon_2 \leq n \quad (\text{Since } 0 \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq 1)$$

$$n = k$$

$$\lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor \leq k$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor \leq k$ is True □

- (b) **Using Disprove:** $\neg(\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor \leq k)$
 $\equiv \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor > k$

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Let $n = 2(k+1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, x = k + 0.5, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor &= \lfloor (n(k + 0.5)) \rfloor - n \lfloor (k + 0.5) \rfloor \\ &= \lfloor nk + 0.5n \rfloor - n(k + \lfloor 0.5 \rfloor) \quad (\text{By property 2}) \\ &= \lfloor nk + 0.5n \rfloor - nk \\ &= \lfloor nk + 0.5 * 2(k + 1) \rfloor - nk \\ &= nk + k + 1 - nk \quad (\text{By property 3, Since } n, k \in \mathbb{N}) \\ &= k + 1 \\ k + 1 &> k \\ \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor &> k \end{aligned}$$

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor > k$ is True
 $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor \leq k$ is False. □

Q3. More with primes.

- (a) Composite(n): $n > 1 \wedge (\neg \text{Prime}(n))$
 $\equiv n > 1 \wedge (\exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid n \wedge d \neq 1 \wedge d \neq n)$

- (b) **Prove by cases:** $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Composite}(x^2 + 5x + 4)$
 Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 1 : $x = 0$

Let $x = 0, x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x^2 + 5x + 4 = 4$$

Let $d = 2, d \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(4 > 1) \wedge (2 \mid 4) \wedge (2 \neq 1) \wedge (2 \neq 4)$$

4 is a Composite.

Case 2: $x > 0$

$$x^2 + 5x + 4 = (x + 1)(x + 4)$$

Let $x > 0, x \in \mathbb{N}$, Let $d = (x + 4), d \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$x > 0$$

$$x + 1 > 1$$

$$x + 4 > 4$$

$$(x + 1)(x + 4) > 4 > 1$$

$(x + 4) \mid (x + 1)(x + 4)$
 $(x + 4) \neq 1$ (Since $x > 0$)
 $(x + 4) \neq (x + 1)(x + 4)$ (Since $x > 0$)
 $x^2 + 5x + 4$ is a Composite.

$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$, Composite($x^2 + 5x + 4$) is True. \square

(c) **Disprove** : $\neg (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}, x > y \Rightarrow \text{Composite}(x^2 - y^2))$
 $\equiv (\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N}, x > y \wedge \neg \text{Composite}(x^2 - y^2))$
 $\equiv \exists x, y \in \mathbb{N}, x > y \wedge (x^2 - y^2) > 1 \wedge (\exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid (x^2 - y^2) \wedge d \neq 1 \wedge d \neq (x^2 - y^2))$

Let $x = 1, y = 0, x, y \in \mathbb{N}$.

$1 > 0$

$x > y$

$x^2 - y^2 = 1$

$1 \not> 1$.

1 is not a Composite.

$\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N}, x > y \wedge \neg \text{Composite}(x^2 - y^2)$ is True.

$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}, x > y \Rightarrow \text{Composite}(x^2 - y^2)$ is False. \square

Q4.Function growth.

- (a) **Prove**: $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow n + 165 \leq n^2$.
 Let $n_0 = 165, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $n \geq 165$.

$$n \geq 165$$

$$n + n \geq 165 + n$$

$$2n \geq 165 + n$$

$$n \geq 2$$

$$n^2 \geq 2n$$

$$n^2 \geq 165 + n$$

$\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow n + 165 \leq n^2$ is True. \square

- (b) **Prove**: $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow an + b \leq n^2$.
 Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, Let $n_0 = b + a + 1, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $n \geq b + a + 1$.

$$n = n$$

$$an = an$$

$$an + b \leq an + n \quad (\text{Since, } n \geq b.)$$

$$an + b \leq (a + 1)n$$

$$an + b \leq n^2 \quad (\text{Since, } n \geq a + 1.)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow an + b \leq n^2$ is True. \square