# Intro to Quantum Computing

Lecture 2 bonus slides: noise metrics in quantum computing

Olivia Di Matteo

Quantum Information Science Associate, TRIUMF

## Noise in quantum computing

In these 'bonus' slides, I will give a brief physical description of the noise metrics we discussed, as well as introduce to you the idea of density matrices.

#### Mixed states

A quantum state  $|\psi\rangle$  is *pure* if it can be written as a single vector.

The most general representation of a quantum system is using a **density matrix**  $\rho$ . For the pure state  $|\psi\rangle$ ,

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \tag{1}$$

We can also have a linear combination of pure states:

$$\rho = \sum_{k} p_{k} |\psi_{k}\rangle \langle \psi_{k}|, \quad \sum_{k} p_{k} = 1$$
 (2)

Properties of  $\rho$ :

- $= 2^n \times 2^n$  matrix
- Trace 1
- Positive semidefinite (all eigenvalues  $\geq 0$ )

#### Mixed states and the Bloch sphere

Recall that single-qubit pure states live on the *surface* of the Bloch sphere.

Single-qubit mixed-states live inside the Bloch sphere.

The centre of the Bloch sphere is called the *maximally mixed state*:

$$\rho_{MM} = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle \langle 1| \tag{3}$$

#### Noise and the maximally mixed state

The presence of noise in a quantum system can turn pure states to mixed states!

Suppose  ${\mathcal N}$  is some noisy process that sends

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) \to \rho'$$
 (4)

 ${\cal N}$  is an example of a quantum channel - it eats a state and spits out a (potentially) different one.

We can mathematically quantify noise by comparing  $\rho'$  and  $\rho$ .

#### Fidelity

Fidelity defines a notion of *distance* between quantum states that we can use to quantify the quality of our operations.

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho,\sigma) = \text{Tr}\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho}\sigma\sqrt{\rho}} \tag{5}$$

This expression is more illuminating if we consider the fidelity of a pure state  $|\psi\rangle$  with a density operator  $\rho$ :

$$\mathcal{F}(|\psi\rangle, \rho') = \sqrt{\langle \psi | \rho' | \psi \rangle} \tag{6}$$

# Fidelity (Example)

Suppose we start with state  $|\psi\rangle=|0\rangle$  and apply a Hadamard to it. The output state should be

$$|\psi'\rangle = H|0\rangle = |+\rangle \tag{7}$$

Unitaries act on density matrices using conjugation:

$$\rho = |0\rangle \langle 0| \to \rho' = (H|0\rangle)(\langle 0|H^{\dagger}) = |+\rangle \langle +| \tag{8}$$

If the H operation was perfect, we should compute the fidelity of the outcome with the expected outcome to be 1:

$$\mathcal{F}(|+\rangle, \rho') = \sqrt{\langle +|\rho'|+\rangle}$$
$$= \sqrt{\langle +|+\rangle\langle +|+\rangle}$$
$$= 1$$

But what if *H* was noisy?

### Depolarizing noise

Depolarizing noise acts like:

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) = \lambda \rho + (1 - \lambda) \frac{1}{d} \tag{9}$$

Let's deconstruct this. We transform the system from the original  $\rho$  to a mixture of  $\rho$  and the maximally mixed state  $\frac{1}{d}$ .  $\lambda$  controls the strength of the depolarization - lower  $\lambda$  means more mixing.

Suppose there is depolarizing noise on the output of our Hadamard operation.

$$\mathcal{N}(|+\rangle \langle +|) = \lambda |+\rangle \langle +| + (1-\lambda) \frac{1}{d}$$
 (10)

## Fidelity (Example)

Then the fidelity of  $\mathcal{N}(|+\rangle \langle +|)$  and the expected output  $|+\rangle \langle +|$  is:

$$\mathcal{F}(|+\rangle, \mathcal{N}(|+\rangle \langle +|)) = \sqrt{\langle +|\mathcal{N}(|+\rangle \langle +|)|+\rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle +|\left(\lambda|+\rangle \langle +|+(1-\lambda)\frac{1}{d}\right)|+\rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{\lambda \langle +|+\rangle \langle +|+\rangle + (1-\lambda)\frac{\langle +|1|+\rangle}{d}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1-\lambda}{d}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{d} + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)}$$

Smaller  $\lambda$  (more depolarizing noise) decreases the fidelity!

#### Coherence times

How can we quantify the degradation of qubit states due to interaction with the environment?

Two important quantities:

- $\blacksquare$   $T_1$ , spin relaxation time
- $\blacksquare$   $T_2$ , spin decoherence time
- $\blacksquare$   $T_1^*$ , ensemble spin relaxation time
- $\blacksquare$   $T_2^*$ , ensemble spin decoherence time

How long your qubit retains its state governs how many operations you can perform on it.

#### Coherence times

# $\overline{T_1}$ , spin relaxation time

Suppose states  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  are implemented using the ground and excited states of a system. The *spin relaxation time*,  $T_1$ , is a measure of the average time it takes for the system to transition from  $|1\rangle$  to  $|0\rangle$  due to the influence of the environment.

### $T_2$ , spin decoherence time

Consider a qubit in superposition  $|0\rangle + |1\rangle$ . As the system evolves, it will begin to *precess* and pick up a relative phase:

$$|0\rangle + |1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle + e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle$$
 (11)

We say the system has decohered when this phase is essentially random, and  $T_2$  is the average time it takes for this to occur. The  $T_2^*$  time is like the  $T_2$  time but also considers that there is additional decoherence between spins as well as within each spin.