

# Math 334 Homework 6

Alexandre Lipson

November 13, 2024

We will prove

**Theorem.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded, continuous on  $[a, b] \setminus D$ , where  $D$  has (Lebesgue) measure zero, then  $f$  is (Riemann) integrable.

**Definition.** The *oscillation* of  $f$  at  $x$  is

$$\text{osc}(f, x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup\{|f(y) - f(z)| \mid y, z \in B_\delta(x)\}.$$

Let  $D_s = \{x \in [a, b] \mid \text{osc}(f, x) \geq s\}$ .

Let  $D = \{x \in [a, b] \mid f \text{ discontinuous at } x\}$ .

Let  $m = \inf f$  and  $M = \sup f$ .

**Problem (1).** Show that if  $S$  has measure zero, then any subset of  $S$  also has measure zero.

*Proof.* Since  $S$  has measure zero, then  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $S$  has a cover  $C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{r_i}(x_i)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i < \delta$ . Then, any subset can use the same cover, giving such a subset measure zero as well.  $\square$

**Problem (2).**

(a) Show  $D = \bigcup_{s>0} D_s$

(b) Prove  $D_s$  closed and bounded for  $s > 0$ , and therefore compact.

*Proof of a.* First, we will show that  $\text{osc}(f, x) = 0 \implies f$  is continuous. So, with the given definition of oscillation, with  $0 < \delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , then  $|y - z| < \delta \implies |f(y) - f(z)| = 0 < \epsilon$ . So  $f$  is uniformly continuous and therefore continuous on  $D_0$ .

However, for  $s > 0$  and some  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have that  $\text{osc}(f, x) \geq s > \epsilon$ , so  $f$  is discontinuous on  $D_s$ . Since  $D$  contains all  $x$  for which  $f$  is discontinuous, it must contain  $D_s$  for all  $s$ , which is  $\bigcup_{s>0} D_s$ .  $\square$

*Proof of b.* Since  $D_s$  is a subset of the bounded set  $[a, b]$ , then  $D_s$  is bounded as well.

We will now consider the sets  $T_\delta = \{x \mid \exists y, z \in B_\delta(x) \wedge |f(y) - f(z)| \geq s\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{\delta>0} T_\delta = D_s$ . Then, for any convergent sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \bigcap_{\delta>0} T_\delta$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , we will show that  $x$  belongs in the intersection as well.

Suppose  $\forall \delta > 0$  and  $\{x_n\} \subset T_\delta$ , then, even if  $x \notin K_\delta$ , we will have  $x \in T_{2\delta}$ . But,  $T_{2\delta} \subset \bigcap_{\delta>0} T_\delta$ . So,  $x$  must belong to the intersection  $\bigcap_{\delta>0} T_\delta$  whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a subset of the intersection as well. So,  $D_s = \bigcap_{\delta>0} T_\delta$  contains all of its limit points and is therefore closed.

Since  $D_s$  closed and bounded, it is also compact.  $\square$

**Problem (3).** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and assume  $D$  has zero content.

(a) Prove that there is a finite set of open intervals  $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^L$  which satisfy  $D_\epsilon \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^L I_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^L \text{len}(I_i) < \epsilon$ .

(b) Show that, for any partition  $P$  of  $I_i$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^L (U_P^{I_i} f - L_P^{I_i} f) < (M - m)\epsilon$ .

*Proof of a.* Since  $D_\epsilon$  compact by (2b), then it admits a finite subcover  $\bigcup_{i=1}^L I_i$ . Since  $D$  has zero content, then it has a finite cover  $C$  with  $C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_{r_i}(x_i) \wedge \sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i < \epsilon$ . Since these balls are in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then they are open intervals. So, set  $\text{len}(I_i) = 2r_i$ . Then,  $\sum_{i=1}^L \text{len}(I_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$ .  $\square$

*Proof of b.* By definition, we have

$$L_P^{I_i} f = \sum_j m_j (x_j - x_{j-1}) \text{ and } U_P^{I_i} f = \sum_j M_j (x_j - x_{j-1}).$$

where  $m_i = \inf_{[x_{j-1}, x_j]} f(x)$  and  $M_i = \sup_{[x_{j-1}, x_j]} f(x)$ .

Since  $P$  partitioned  $I_i$ , then by (3a),

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_j (x_j - x_{j-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^L \text{len}(I_i) < \epsilon.$$

Since  $P$  partitions  $I_i$  which covers  $[a, b]$ , then the upper and lower sums will be bounded by the infimum and supremum of  $f$ ,

$$m \sum_{i=1}^L \text{len}(I_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^L L_P^{I_i} f \leq \sum_{i=1}^L U_P^{I_i} f \leq M \sum_{i=1}^L \text{len}(I_i).$$

So

$$\sum_{i=1}^L (U_P^{I_i} f - L_P^{I_i} f) = (M - m) \sum_{i=1}^L \text{len}(I_i) < (M - m)\epsilon.$$

$\square$

**Problem (4).** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^L I_i$ . Let  $K = [a, b] \setminus I$ .

- (a) Show  $K$  closed and bounded, and therefore compact.
- (b) Show  $\forall x \in K, \exists \delta_x > 0, y, z \in B_{\delta_x}(x) \implies |f(y) - f(z)| < 2\epsilon$ .
- (c) The intervals  $J_x = (x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x)$  form an open cover of  $K$ .
  - (i) Show  $\exists x_i, \forall i \in [1, N]$  with  $J_i = J_{x_i}$ , then  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N J_i$ .
  - (ii) Show  $\forall P$  partition of  $J \subset J_i \implies U_P^J f - L_P^J f < 2\epsilon \text{len}(J)$

*Proof of a.* Since  $K \subset [a, b]$  bounded, then  $K$  bounded. Since each  $I_i$  open, then the finite union  $I$  is also open. Since a closed set minus an open set is open, and  $[a, b]$  closed with  $I$  open, then  $K$  is closed. Since  $K$  is closed and bounded, then it is also compact.  $\square$

*Proof of b.* Since  $I$  covered  $D_\epsilon$  by (3a), then  $K \cap D_\epsilon = \emptyset$ . So  $K \subset D_0$ . Since  $\forall x \in D_0$ ,  $f$  is uniformly continuous by (2a), then  $\forall x \in K$ ,  $f$  must be uniformly continuous as well. Since  $f$  uniformly continuous on  $a$ , then the statement holds.  $\square$

*Proof of c.* Since  $K$  compact, then it admits a finite subcover  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N J_i$ .

First, we have that  $U_P^J f - L_P^J f = \sum_j (M_j - m_j)(x_j - x_{j-1})$  with  $m_j, M_j$  the infimum and supremum of the partitioned intervals respectively. So,  $\sum_j (x_j - x_{j-1}) = \text{len}(J)$

Then, by (4b),  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_x > 0, \forall x \in K, \forall y, z \in B_{\delta_x}(x) \implies |f(y) - f(z)| < \epsilon$ . So

$$\sup_{x \in J} f(x) - \inf_{x \in J} f(x) < \epsilon.$$

So,  $U_P^J f - L_P^J f < \epsilon \text{len}(J)$ .  $\square$

**Problem (5).** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that  $I_i$  and  $J_i$  form a finite open cover of  $[a, b]$ . Let  $E$  be the set of all endpoints of  $I_i$  and  $J_i$ .

- (a) Show  $\exists P$  partition of  $[a, b]$  such that  $\forall [x_{j-1}, x_j]$  in  $P$  is completely contained in some  $I_i$  or  $J_i$ .
- (b) Using (3) and (4), show  $U_P f - L_P f \leq C\epsilon$  where  $C = (b - a)(2 + (M - m))$ .
- (c) Conclude  $f$  Riemann integrable.

*Proof of a.* First, we will consider  $E$ . But, we cannot use  $E$  alone to form  $P$  because the intervals between the endpoints of  $E$  may not be contained by the open sets  $I_i$  or  $J_i$ . So, for  $x \in E$ ,  $a, b \neq x$ , we can construct a closed interval around  $x \in [a_x, b_x]$ , such that two closed intervals  $[a_0, x], [x, b_0]$  which shared the endpoint  $x$  now become three closed intervals with  $a_x, b_x$  as shared boundary points.

Since  $x$  was an endpoint of either  $I_i$  or  $J_i$ , then  $[a_0, a_x]$  and  $[b_x, b_0]$  must be fully contained by  $I_i$  or  $J_i$ . Then,  $[a_x, b_x]$  will be contained in both, thus satisfying the containment condition.

Perform this procedure for all such  $x \in E$  to arrive at  $P$ .  $\square$

*Proof of b.* From (3b) we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^L (U_P^{I_i} f - L_P^{I_i} f) < (M - m)\epsilon$ , and, from (4c),  $U_P^J f - L_P^J f <$

$2\epsilon \text{len}(J)$ . But,  $J$  could not be longer than  $b - a$ , so (4c) becomes  $U_P^J f - L_P^J f < 2(b - a)\epsilon$ . Then, with the partition  $P$  from (5a), all subintervals belong in either the partitions for  $I_i$  or  $J$ . So,  $P$  must be bounded above by the sum of the two other partition bounds,

$$U_P f - L_P f \leq (2(b - a) + (M - m))\epsilon = C\epsilon.$$

□

*Proof of c.* Since  $\exists P, \forall \epsilon > 0, U_P f - L_P f < C\epsilon$ , then  $f$  is Reimann integrable by Lemma 4.5. □