

# Math 136 Homework 5

Alexandre Lipson

April 29, 2024

1. Prove that the geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue cannot exceed its algebraic multiplicity.

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r$  be a basis of the eigenspace of  $A$  for a corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ . So,  $r$  is the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_0$ .

By 4.1.8, we can complete this to form a basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 I_r & * \\ \mathbf{0} & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I_r$  is the  $r \times r$  identity matrix.

By 4.1.7, this characteristic polynomial of this matrix is given by its determinant,

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det(\lambda_0 I_r - \lambda I) \det(B - \lambda I).$$

This first determinant term will become the  $\lambda_0$  root of degree  $r$  and the second determinant will be a polynomial in  $\lambda$  which we will call  $q(\lambda)$ .

So,

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^r q(\lambda).$$

Since the determinant does not depend on basis, we will also consider the characteristic equation for the operator  $A$  in the standard basis. This will be a polynomial with roots for each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  with degree  $m_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .  $m_i$  represents the algebraic multiplicity of the root.

$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{m_0} (\lambda_1 - \lambda)^{m_1} \cdots (\lambda_k - \lambda)^{m_k}.$$

Then, we equate these two characteristic polynomials and divide by the  $r^{\text{th}}$  power of the  $\lambda_0$  root.

$$(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{m_0 - r} (\lambda_1 - \lambda)^{m_1} \cdots (\lambda_k - \lambda)^{m_k} = q(\lambda).$$

But  $q$  is a polynomial and not a rational function, so the power of the  $\lambda_0$  root must be positive.

Hence  $m_0 \geq r$ ; the geometric multiplicity  $r$  cannot exceed the algebraic multiplicity  $m_0$ . □

2. Prove that the trace of a matrix is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues.

*Proof.* From 4.1.10, the determinant of a matrix is the product of its eigenvalues,

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda)(\lambda_2 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda).$$

We expand and then isolate the terms of degree  $\lambda^{n-1}$  to obtain

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n)(-1)^{n-1}\lambda^{n-1}.$$

We will now show that  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  can be represented as

$$(a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda) + q(\lambda),$$

where  $q(\lambda)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n - 2$ .

First, we will compute the determinant through minor matrices. We will consider the minor formed by taking the top left element of  $A$ ,

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (a_{11} - \lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} - \lambda & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & a_{nn} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} + q(\lambda).$$

Then,  $q$  is the product of all the other minors which are obtained either the first row or the first column. Let us take from the first row. Then, for each  $a_{1j}$ , for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , the corresponding minor matrix will not have the  $a_{11} - \lambda$  term, nor the  $a_{jj} - \lambda$  term. Therefore, the highest order that the roots of in this minor matrix could be is  $n - 2$ .

Since the highest order of the terms in  $q$  is only  $n - 2$ , all of the  $n - 1$  terms must be contained in the product given by the first minor.

By expanding and isolating  $\lambda^{n-1}$  terms, we see get

$$(a_{11} + a_{22} + \cdots + a_{nn})(-1)^{n-1}\lambda^{n-1}.$$

Then, by comparing coefficients we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n &= a_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = \text{trace } A \end{aligned}$$

□

3.

**Problem.** Find a closed form for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number  $\varphi_n$ . The series is defined recursively as  $\varphi_{n+2} = \varphi_{n+1} + \varphi_n$ .

First, we will find a matrix  $A_{2 \times 2}$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+2} \\ \varphi_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1} \\ \varphi_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

This holds for the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will diagonalize  $A$  to find a form for  $A^n$ .

We compute the eigenvalues of the matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det(A - \lambda I) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(-\lambda) - 1 \\ &= \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1 \end{aligned}$$

We recognize the solutions to this equation to be the golden ratio  $\varphi$  and its conjugate  $-\varphi^{-1}$ ; these are our two eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .

So, for diagonalizable  $A = SDS^{-1}$ , we now have that

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & -\varphi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To determine the isomorphic matrix  $S$ , we compute the eigenvectors for  $A$ .

For  $\lambda_1 = \varphi$ , along with the fact that  $1 - \varphi = -\varphi^{-1}$ ,  $A - \lambda I$  gives,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varphi & 1 \\ 1 & -\varphi \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi^{-1} & 1 \\ \varphi^{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varphi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

which yields the eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Similarly, for  $\lambda_2 = -\varphi^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - (-\varphi)^{-1} & 1 \\ 1 - (-\varphi)^{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 1 \\ 1 & \varphi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which provides the eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} -\varphi^{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

So,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & -\varphi^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for  $S^{-1}$ , we use the form of the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with the determinant of  $S$  to see that

$$S^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\varphi - 1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varphi^{-1} \\ -1 & \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varphi^{-1} \\ -1 & \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, with  $A^n = SD^nS^{-1}$ ,

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & -\varphi^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^n & 0 \\ 0 & (-\varphi^{-1})^n \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varphi^{-1} \\ -1 & \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1} \\ \varphi_n \end{pmatrix} = A^n \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_0 \end{pmatrix} = A^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1} \\ \varphi_n \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & -\varphi^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^n & 0 \\ 0 & (-\varphi^{-1})^n \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varphi^{-1} \\ -1 & \varphi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & -\varphi^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^n & 0 \\ 0 & (-\varphi)^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & -\varphi^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^n \\ -(-\varphi)^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{n+1} - (-\varphi)^{-(n+1)} \\ \varphi^n - (-\varphi)^{-n} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we see that

$$\varphi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \varphi^n - (-\varphi)^{-n} \right).$$

We will show that  $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1} \\ \varphi_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  converges to an eigenvector of  $A$ .

4. (a) *Proof.* For a contradiction, suppose that  $\vec{x}_1$  and  $\vec{x}_2$  are linearly dependent.

So,  $\vec{x}_2 = a\vec{x}_1$  for some scalar  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then,

$$A\vec{z} = A(\vec{x}_1 + ia\vec{x}_1)..$$

For the case when  $a = 0$  and  $\vec{x}_2 = \vec{0}$ , then  $A\vec{z} = A\vec{x}_1$ , which has no imaginary part, contradicting the requirement that  $\beta \neq 0$ .

So, we continue with  $a \neq 0$ .

$$A(\vec{x}_1 + ia\vec{x}_1) = (\alpha - \beta a)\vec{x}_1 + i(\alpha a + \beta)\vec{x}_1.$$

By separating into real and imaginary components, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
A\vec{x}_1 &= (\alpha - \beta a)\vec{x}_1 \\
aA\vec{x}_1 &= (\alpha a + \beta)\vec{x}_1 \\
A\vec{x}_1 &= \left( \frac{\alpha a + \beta}{a} \right) \vec{x}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha a + \beta}{a} &= \alpha - \beta a \\
\alpha a + \beta &= \alpha a - \beta a^2 \\
\beta &= -\beta a^2 \\
-1 &= a^2.
\end{aligned}$$

---

But, this implies that  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , contradicting our assumption that it was real.

So, by contradiction,  $\vec{x}_1$  and  $\vec{x}_2$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

(b) *Proof.* Let  $\text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2\} = W$ .

We see that  $L_W$  maps vectors from  $W$  onto linear combinations of vectors in  $W$ , so its codomain is also  $W$ ,

$$A\vec{x}_1 + iA\vec{x}_2 = (\alpha\vec{x}_1 - \beta\vec{x}_2) + i(\beta\vec{x}_1 + \alpha\vec{x}_2).$$

Treating  $\vec{x}_1$  and  $\vec{x}_2$  as basis vectors  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  respectively, we see that they map to  $(\alpha, -\beta)$  and  $(\beta, \alpha)$  through  $L_W$  by looking at the corresponding real and imaginary parts.

So, writing  $L_W$  with a matrix,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

With  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$ , using Euler's formula where  $\lambda = \|\lambda\|e^{i\theta}$ , we get that

$$\|\lambda\|e^{i\theta} = \|\lambda\|(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta).$$

So, it is clear that  $\alpha = \cos \theta$  and  $\beta = \sin \theta$ .

Thus, we can rewrite our matrix as,

$$A = \|\lambda\| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\square$

(c) Scaling by  $\lambda$  and rotating by  $\theta$  in a plane  $W$ .