

# Math 402 Homework 8

a lipson

March 12, 2025

**Problem 1.** Let  $F$  be a field, and  $R$  a nonzero ring. Let  $f : F \rightarrow R$  be an epimorphism. Prove that  $f$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is an epimorphism, it is surjective and homomorphic.

Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $f$ . By Theorem 6.10,  $K$  is an ideal in  $F$ .

We will show that  $K = 0$ , meaning that  $f$  is injective and therefore an isomorphism.

Since  $F$  is a field, then the only ideals in  $F$  are  $(0)$  and  $F$  itself.

Suppose that  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $F$ . Then, for  $a \in I$ , for all  $b \in F$ , we have that  $ab \in I$ . Particularly, for  $a^{-1} \in F$ , we have that  $1 = aa^{-1} \in I \implies I = F$ ; so any nonzero ideal must be equal to  $F$ .

If  $K = F$ , then  $f(F) = \{0\} \neq R$ , a contradiction with the fact that  $f$  is surjective.

Thus we must have  $K = 0$ , so  $f$  is injective.

Since  $f$  is injective, surjective, and homomorphic, then  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

**Problem 2.** Define the homomorphism of rings  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) \mapsto f(2)$ . Find  $\ker \varphi$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.16 with the field  $\mathbb{R}$ , for  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a$  is a root of  $f$  iff  $x - a$  is a factor of  $f$ .

By definition, we have that  $\ker \varphi = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f(2) = 0\}$ , which is the set of polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  with a root at 2; these are the all polynomials of the form

$$\ker \varphi = (x - 2)g(x), \forall g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

□

**Problem 3.** Assume  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a ring. Define  $f : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  by  $a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a - b\sqrt{2}$ . Show the following:

a)  $f$  epimorphic.

b)  $f$  isomorphic by Theorem 6.11, assuming  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q} \implies \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof of a.* Let  $t = a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

Then, for all  $t \in f \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , there is an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  such that  $f(s) = t$  where  $s = a + (-b)\sqrt{2}$ .

Thus,  $f$  is surjective.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} f((a + b\sqrt{2}) + (c + d\sqrt{2})) &= f((a + c) + (b + d)\sqrt{2}) \\ &= (a + c) - (b + d)\sqrt{2} \\ &= (a - b\sqrt{2}) + (c - d)\sqrt{2} \\ &= f(a + b\sqrt{2}) + f(c + d\sqrt{2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f((a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d)\sqrt{2}) &= f((ac + 2bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}) \\ &= (ac + 2bd) - (ad + bc)\sqrt{2} \\ &= (a - b\sqrt{2})(c - d\sqrt{2}) \\ &= f(a + b\sqrt{2})f(c + d\sqrt{2}), \end{aligned}$$

so  $f$  is a homomorphism.

Since  $f$  is a subjective homomorphism, then  $f$  is an epimorphism. □

*Proof of b.* We will show that  $\ker f = (0_R)$ .

By definition,  $\ker f = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \mid a - b\sqrt{2} = 0\}$ , which only occurs when  $a = b = 0$ .

So,  $\ker f = \{0\} = (0_R)$ .

Thus, by Theorem 6.11,  $f$  is injective.

Since  $f$  is injective and epimorphic, then it must be isomorphic as well. □

**Problem 4.** Let  $I, J$  be ideals in the ring  $R$ . Define  $f : R \rightarrow R/I \times R/J$  by  $a \mapsto (a + I, a + J)$ .

- a) Prove  $f$  homomorphic.
- b) Is  $f$  surjective?
- c) Find  $\ker f$ .

*Proof of a.* We have that

$$f(a + b) = (a + b + I, a + b + J) = (a + I, a + J) + (b + I, b + J) = f(a) + f(b)$$

and

$$f(ab) = (ab + I, ab + J) = ((a + I)(b + I), (a + J)(b + J)) = (a + I, a + J)(b + I, b + J) = f(a)f(b).$$

Thus,  $f$  is homomorphic. □

*Proof of b.* Consider the example case  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(2) \times \mathbb{Z}/(4)$ .

The element  $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}/(2) \times \mathbb{Z}/(4)$  does not exist in the image of  $f$  because  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  implies that  $a$  must be odd, but  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  must be even, a contradiction.

So,  $f$  is not necessarily surjective. □

*Proof of c.* We have that  $\ker f = \{a \in R \mid a + I = a + J = 0\}$ .

So, for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$  and  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{J}$ .

Thus, we must have that  $a = bc$  where  $b \in I$  and  $c \in J$ , which implies that  $a \in I \cap J$ .

Since  $a$  was an arbitrary element of  $R$ , then we must have that

$$\ker f = I \cap J.$$

□

**Problem 5.** Use the First Isomorphism Theorem to show that  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}/(5) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{20} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5$  be a map with kernel  $(5)$ .

We will show that  $f$  is surjective.

Consider  $\ker f = (5) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{20} \mid x \equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}$ .

Since  $0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}$  are mapped to themselves in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  respectively by  $f$ , then all elements in the codomain  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  are mapped by  $f$ , so  $f$  is surjective.

Thus, by the First Isomorphism Theorem, since  $f$  is surjective, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_{20}/(5) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5.$$

□

**Problem 6.** Do the following isomorphism hold?

a)  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{20}$ .

b)  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{35} \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_{28}$ .

*Proof of a.* By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$(3, 4) = 1 \implies \mathbb{Z}_{12} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4,$$

$$(4, 5) = 1 \implies \mathbb{Z}_{20} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_5.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{Z}_5 \times (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_5).$$

□

*Proof of b.* Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}(5, 7) = 1 &\implies \mathbb{Z}_{35} \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7, \\ (4, 7) = 1 &\implies \mathbb{Z}_{28} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_7.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_7).$$

□

**Problem 7.** a) Prove that for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \neq 0$ ,  $p$  prime iff the ideal  $(p)$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

b) Let  $F$  be a field and  $p(x) \in F[x]$ . Prove that  $p(x)$  is irreducible iff the ideal  $(p(x))$  is maximal in  $F[x]$ .

**Proposition 1.** For a principal ideal domain (PID)  $R$ ,  $p \in R$  is irreducible iff the principal ideal  $(p)$  is maximal in  $R$ .

*Proof of Proposition.* ( $\implies$ ) Suppose that  $p$  is irreducible in  $R$ . Then,  $(p) \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$  for some ideal  $I$ .

Since  $R$  is a PID, then  $I = (a)$  where  $a \in R$ .

Then,  $(p) \subsetneq (a) \implies a \mid p$ , so  $p = ab$  for some  $b \in R$ .

By Theorem 10.1,  $p$  irreducible implies that either  $a$  or  $b$  is a unit in  $R$ .

If  $a$  is a unit, then  $(a) = R$ , contradicting the assumption that  $I \neq R$ .

If  $b$  is a unit, then  $p$  and  $a$  must be associates, so  $(p) = (a)$ , contradicting the assumption that  $(p) \neq I$ .

Therefore, there is not an ideal  $I$  between  $(p)$  and  $R$ .

Thus,  $(p)$  is maximal.

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose that  $(p)$  is maximal and  $p$  is reducible in  $R$ .

Then,  $p = ab$  for some  $a, b \in R$  which are not units.

Consider the principal ideal  $(a)$ ,  $a \mid p \implies (p) \subseteq (a)$ , but  $a$  is not a unit, so  $(a) \neq R$ .

Since  $b$  is not a unit, then  $p \notin (a)$ , otherwise  $a \mid p \implies a \mid ab$ , where  $b$  would be a unit.

Therefore,  $(p) \subsetneq (a) \subsetneq R$ , contradicting the maximality of  $(p)$ .

Thus,  $p$  must be irreducible. □

*Proof of a.*  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, and the irreducibles in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are primes. So, by the Proposition,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime iff  $(p)$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . □

*Proof of b.*  $F[x]$  is a PID; By the Proposition, the polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible iff  $(p(x))$  is maximal in  $F[x]$ . □

**Problem 8.** Prove that the principal ideal  $(x - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is prime but not maximal

*Proof of primeness.* We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x - 1)$  is an integral domain and hence the ideal  $(x - 1)$  is prime.

Consider  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x - 1)$ . Then we must have that  $x - 1 \mid f(x)g(x)$ .

Since  $x - 1$  is a linear and therefore irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , then we must have that either  $f(x) = 0$  or  $g(x) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x - 1)$ .

Thus, there are no zero divisors, hence  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x - 1)$  is an integral domain.  $\square$

*Proof of non maximality.* We will show that there exists an ideal  $I$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $(x - 1) \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Consider  $I = (x - 1, 2)$ . Clearly  $(x - 1) \subset (x - 1, 2)$ .

But,  $2 \in (x - 1, 2)$  yet  $2 \notin (x - 1)$ , so  $(x - 1) \subsetneq (x - 1, 2)$ .

Then, for all  $h(x) \in (x - 1, 2)$ ,  $h(x) = (x - 1)f(x) + 2g(x)$  for some  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Then, at  $x = 1$ ,  $h$  must be even. Therefore, the constant function  $1 \notin (x - 1, 2)$ , but  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

So,  $(x - 1, 2) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Thus,  $(x - 1)$  is not maximal.  $\square$

**Problem 9.** a) Prove that the Gaussian Integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and prove that  $M = \{a + bi \mid 3 \mid a \wedge 3 \mid b\}$  is a maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

b) Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/M$  is a field with nine elements.

*Proof of a.* Clearly,  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then, there is a zero element,  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

We have that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is closed under addition by the closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We also have that

$$(a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i,$$

so  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is closed under multiplication by the closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under addition and multiplication.

Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Now, consider  $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  where  $z \notin M$ .

$z \notin M$  gives that either 3 does not divide  $a$  or does not divide  $b$ .

We will show that 3 does not divide  $N(z) = a^2 + b^2$ .

Suppose that  $3 \mid a^2 + b^2 = (a + bi)(a - bi)$ . However, there is no such  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with one not divisible by 3 which satisfy the above.

So we must have that 3 does not divide the factor  $a + bi$  either, which means that  $3 \notin M$ .

Since  $3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then  $M \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

But,  $1 \in a + bi$  as 3 does not divide  $1 = a$ .

So any ideal containing such  $a + bi$  and  $M$  must be  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Hence  $M$  is maximal. □

*Proof of b.* Since  $M$  is maximal, then  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/M$  is a field.

Consider the cosets  $(a + bi) + M \in \mathbb{Z}[i]/M$ . There are three choice for each  $a$  and  $b$ ,

$$0, 1, 2, i, 2i, 1 + i, 2 + i, 1 + 2i, 2 + 2i,$$

these canonical representations form the congruence classes of the field  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/M$  □

**Problem 10.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , show that  $J$  is not maximal where  $J = \{a + bi \mid 5 \mid a \wedge 5 \mid b\}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $(2 + i)$ . We have that  $1 \notin (2 + i)$  so  $(2 + i) \neq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Note that  $(2 + i)^2 = 5 \in J$ , but  $2 + i \notin J$ .

Therefore  $J \subsetneq (2 + i) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $J$  is not maximal. □

**Problem 11.** Use norms to show in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

a)  $2 + 5i$  is not a factor of  $1 + 6i$ .

b)  $1 + 2i$  is not a factor of  $7 + 3i$ .

*Proof of a.* Suppose there exists  $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $(2 + 5i)(a + bi) = 1 + 6i$ . Then,

$$N((2 + 5i)(a + bi)) = N(1 + 6i)$$

$$N(2 + 5i)N(a + bi) = N(1 + 6i)$$

$$29(a^2 + b^2) = 37.$$

But,  $(29, 37) = 1$ , so there is no such  $a^2 + b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the above holds.

Thus,  $2 + 5i$  is not a factor of  $1 + 6i$ . □

*Proof of b.* Similarly,  $N(1 + 2i) = 5$ , and  $N(7 + 3i) = 58$ . But,  $(5, 58) = 1$ , therefore  $1 + 2i$  is not a factor of  $7 + 3i$ . □