

# Math 336 Homework 6

a lipson

May 10, 2025

**Problem 1.** Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$  and  $0 \leq b < a$  implies  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_b$ .

*Proof.* We wish to show that for  $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_b$ ,

$$\exists B : |f^{(n)}(x + iy)| \leq \frac{B}{1 + x^2} \text{ and } f^{(n)}(z) \text{ is holomorphic for all } z : |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < b < a.$$

First, since  $f$  is holomorphic on  $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| < a$  and  $b < a$ , then  $f^{(n)}$  is holomorphic on  $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| < b$ .

Next, using the Cauchy inequality and considering disks of radius  $a$  centered on the real axis, we have that where  $z = x + iy$ ,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |f^{(n)}(x)| \leq \frac{n!}{a^n} \sup_{z \in C_R(x)} |f(z)| \leq \frac{n!A}{a^n(1 + x^2)}.$$

Let  $B = \frac{An!}{a^n}$ , which is constant for all  $a$  and  $n$  fixed. Hence  $|f^{(n)}(x + iy)| \leq \frac{B}{1 + x^2}$ .

Thus,  $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_b$  as desired. □

**Problem 2.**

(a) Show that for  $a > 0$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = e^{-2\pi a |\xi|}.$$

(a) Check

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi a |\xi|} e^{2\pi x \xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2}.$$

*Proof of (a).* Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2}$ . Let  $g(x) = f(x)e^{-2\pi x \xi}$ .

Since  $f$  has moderate decay and is holomorphic at poles  $\pm ia$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{F}_c, a < c$ , so we can write  $|f(x + iy)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + x^2}$ .

WLOG let  $\xi > 0$ . Otherwise, use  $x \mapsto -x$  where  $f(x) = f(-x)$  with  $\xi \mapsto -\xi$  to yield,

$$g(x) = f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \xi} = f(-x)e^{-2\pi i (-x)(-\xi)}.$$

Now, we will construct a semicircular contour  $\gamma$  in the negative imaginary half-plane which picks up a residue from the simple pole of  $f$  at  $-ia$ .

On the semicircular curve  $C_R^-$  of the contour, we have

$$\left| \int_{C_R^-} g(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{C_R^-} |f(z)| |e^{-2\pi i z \xi}| dz.$$

Write  $z = x - iy$ . Since we are in the negative imaginary half-plane  $y > 0$ , then

$$|e^{-2\pi i(x-iy)\xi}| = e^{-2\pi y\xi} \leq 1,$$

with equality when  $\text{Im } z = -y = 0$ .

But, on  $|z| = R$ , we have  $f(z) = O(R^{-2})$ , which dominates over the length of the integration path, so the integral over  $C_R^-$  vanishes as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

So, we recover

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx = - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_L g(z) dz,$$

where the negation is obtained because the positively oriented contour traverses the real axis in the opposing direction.

Hence we have,

$$\oint g dz = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g dz = 2\pi i \text{res}_{-ia} g.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_{-ia} g &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -ia} (z + ia) \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2} e^{-2\pi i z \xi} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -ia} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{z - ia} e^{-2\pi i z \xi} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{-2ia} e^{-2\pi i(-ia)\xi} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{-2\pi a\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}} g dz = -\frac{2\pi i}{2\pi i} e^{-2\pi a\xi} = -e^{-2\pi a\xi}.$$

But, the above holds for both  $\xi$  and  $-\xi$ , so

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = e^{-2\pi a|\xi|},$$

as desired. □

*Proof of (b).* We will split the integral into two parts to separate  $|\xi|$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\pi a\xi} e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a\xi} e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi.$$

For the first integral, let  $u = -\xi$ . Then,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi u(a+ix)} du = \frac{1}{2\pi(a+ix)}.$$

Similarly, for the second integral,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi \xi(a-ix)} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi(a-ix)}.$$

Recombining the two integrals,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-2\pi a|\xi|} e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{a-ix} + \frac{1}{a+ix} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2},$$

which is what we wanted to show. □

**Problem 3.** Let  $Q$  be a polynomial of degree at least 2 with distinct roots, not of which on the real axis. Calculate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{Q(x)} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

in terms of the roots of  $Q$ . What happens when several roots coincide?

*Proof.* Let  $z_i$  be the roots of  $Q$ . For now, assume that these are only simple zeros.

We will consider the cases when  $\xi > 0$ ,  $\xi < 0$ , and  $\xi = 0$ .

First, for  $\xi > 0$ , the exponential term  $e^{-2\pi z \xi}$  decays in the upper half-plane, so we can close a semicircular contour there. Then, by the Residue theorem, we have

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{Q(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{z_i: \operatorname{Im} z_i > 0} \operatorname{res}_{z_i} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z \xi}}{Q(z)}.$$

Since  $z_i$  are all simple roots, then

$$\operatorname{res}_{z_i} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z \xi}}{Q(z)} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i z_i \xi}}{Q'(z_i)}.$$

Thus,

$$I = 2\pi i \sum_{z_i: \operatorname{Im} z_i > 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z_i \xi}}{Q'(z_i)}.$$

Second, for  $\xi < 0$ , the exponential term decays in the lower half-plane, but the positive orientation of a semicircular contour in the negative imaginary half-plane gives a backwards traversal of the real axis. Hence, by the Residue theorem and as is the first case, we have

$$I = -2\pi i \sum_{z_i: \operatorname{Im} z_i < 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z_i \xi}}{Q'(z_i)}.$$

Third, when  $\xi = 0$ , the integral becomes  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dx}{Q(x)}$ .

We will consider the contour in the upper half-plane. Since  $\deg Q \geq 2$ , then  $1/Q(z) = O(z^{-2})$ , which will vanish for  $|z|$  sufficiently large. For  $|z| = R$ ,

$$\left| \int_{C_R^+} \frac{dz}{Q(z)} \right| \leq \pi R \frac{1}{R^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, as before,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dx}{Q(x)} = 2\pi i \sum_{z_i: \operatorname{Im} z_i > 0} \frac{1}{Q(z_i)}.$$

Now, when roots of  $Q$  coincide, we have for a root  $z_j$  with multiplicity  $m_j > 1$ ,

$$Q(z) = (z - z_j)^{m_j} \tilde{Q}(z)(z) \text{ where } \tilde{Q}(z)(z_j) \neq 0.$$

The residue at  $z_j$  is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{z_j} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z \xi}}{Q(z)} = \frac{1}{(m_j - 1)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow z_j} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{m_j - 1} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z \xi}}{\tilde{Q}(z)}.$$

□

**Problem 4.** Solve the differential equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^j u(t) = f(t),$$

where  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \in C^2$  has bounded support.

(a) Let

$$\hat{f}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i z t} dt.$$

Note that  $\hat{f}$  is entire. Show using IBP that, for fixed  $0 \leq a$ , if  $|y| \leq a$ , then

$$|\hat{f}(x + iy)| \leq \frac{A}{1 + x^2}.$$

(a) Write

$$P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (2\pi i z)^j.$$

Find  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P$  does not vanish on the line

$$L = \{z \mid z = x + ic, x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

(a) Set

$$u(t) = \int_L \frac{e^{2\pi i x z}}{P(z)} \hat{f}(z) dz.$$

Check that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^j u(t) = \int_L e^{2\pi i z t} \hat{f}(z) dz$$

and

$$\int_L e^{2\pi i z t} \hat{f}(z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x t} \hat{f}(x) dx.$$

Conclude by the Fourier inversion theorem that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^j u(t) = f(t).$$

Note that the solution  $u$  depends on the choice of  $c$ .

*Proof of (a).* Since  $f$  has bounded support, say on  $[-M, M]$ , then for all  $|t| > M$ ,  $f(t) = 0$  and  $f'(t) = 0$ .

So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i z t} dt = \int_{-M}^M f(t) e^{-2\pi i z t} dt.$$

Since  $f \in C^2$ , then we can perform IBP twice. First, we have

$$\int_{[-M, M]} f(t) e^{-2\pi i z t} dt = f(t) \frac{e^{-2\pi i z t}}{-2\pi i z} \Big|_{-M}^M - \int_{-M}^M \frac{e^{-2\pi i z t}}{-2\pi i z} f'(t) dt,$$

but the first term vanishes by the bounded support of  $f$ . Second, we have

$$\int_{-M}^M \frac{e^{-2\pi i z t}}{-2\pi i z} f'(t) dt = f'(t) \frac{e^{-2\pi i z t}}{4\pi^2 z^2} \Big|_{-M}^M - \frac{1}{4\pi^2 z^2} \int_{-M}^M e^{-2\pi i z t} f''(t) dt,$$

but the first term vanishes by the bounded support of  $f$  for  $f'$ .

So, we have that

$$|\hat{f}(x + iy)| = \left| \frac{1}{4\pi^2 (x + iy)^2} \right| \int_{-M}^M |e^{-2\pi i (x + iy)t}| |f''(t)| dt \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} 2MB e^{2\pi a M}$$

where  $f \in C^2$  implies that  $f''$  is continuous and bounded by some  $B$  on  $[-M, M]$  by EVT.

Hence, exchanging constants and using  $|y| \leq a$ , we have

$$|\hat{f}(x + iy)| \leq \frac{A}{1 + x^2},$$

as desired. □

*Proof of (b).* Since  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , then it has  $n$  roots by FTA. Let these roots be  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ .

Then, we can choose any  $c \neq y_i$  for any  $j$ . In particular, let  $|c| > \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |y_j|$ .

Thus,  $P(x + ic)$  will not vanish for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . □

*Proof of (c).* We will first find the derivatives of  $u$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j u(t) &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j \int_L \frac{e^{2\pi izt}}{P(z)} \hat{f}(z) dz \\ &= \int_L \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j \frac{e^{2\pi izt}}{P(z)} \hat{f}(z) dz \\ &= \int_L (2\pi iz)^j \frac{e^{2\pi izt}}{P(z)} \hat{f}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where the interchange of the derivative and the integral is justified with Leibniz rule given that the path  $L$  does not depend on  $t$ , and the integrand has continuous partials in both  $t$  and  $z$ .

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j u(t) &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \int_L (2\pi iz)^j \frac{e^{2\pi izt}}{P(z)} \hat{f}(z) dz \\ &= \int_L \left( \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (2\pi iz)^j \right) \frac{e^{2\pi izt}}{P(z)} \hat{f}(z) dz \\ &= \int_L e^{2\pi izt} \hat{f}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

by considering the definition of  $P$  and where a finite sum can be interchanged without consequence.

Now, create a closed rectangular contour joining the real axis and  $R$  with sides at  $\pm R$ .

Since  $\hat{f}(z)$  and  $e^{2\pi izt}$  are entire, then the integral over the closed loop contour will vanish.

Furthermore, at  $x = \pm R$ ,

$$|\hat{f}(x + iy)| \leq \frac{A}{1 + R^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, the integral will vanish on the vertical sides of the contour.

Therefore, we are left with

$$\int_L e^{2\pi izt} \hat{f}(z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi ixt} \hat{f}(x) dx.$$

Thus, by the Fourier inversion theorem, we have

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi ixt} \hat{f}(x) dx = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j u(t),$$

which is what we wanted to show. □