# Discrete Structures, CSCI-150.

#### Information

Mon, Wed, 7:00 – 8:15 pm. North 1516.

Instructor: Alexey Nikolaev.

Website: http://a-nikolaev.github.io/ds/

Grading policy

No late homeworks accepted.

Homeworks every week. (Due Wednesday).

Final grade:

HWs: 25%

Midterm I: 25%

Midterm II: 25%

Final: 25%

Two midterms and the final. All exams are non-cumulative and cover one third of the material each.

#### Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

#### Course content

Propositional Logic. Operators. Truth tables. Logical equivalence. Rules of inference. Satisfiability. Predicates and quantifiers. Proofs.

Induction. Hanoi towers. Summation of series. Recurrence. Fibonacci numbers. Catalan numbers. Solving linear recurrence.

Counting. Sum and product rules. Pigeonhole principle. Permutations, n! Binomial coefficients, n choose k. Selection with replacement.

Number theory. Divisibility and primes. Modulo-arithmetics. GCD and Euclid's algorithm. Cryptography. RSA.

Sets. Operations, empty set, singleton set, powerset. Natural, rational, real numbers. Diagonalization. Relations and Functions. Counting and Bijection. Partial orders.

Graphs. Bridges of Koenigsberg. Eulerian and Hamiltonian cycles. Trees, spanning trees. Huffman coding.

Probability. Bernoulli Trials. Random variables. Expected value.

#### Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

#### Literature

#### Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

#### Primary books:

- Rosen
  - "Discrete Mathematics and its Applications" edition 6 or 7. (you can find a used or new 6th edition for less than \$40).
- Lehman and Leighton
  Lecture notes "Mathematics for Computer Science" (2004).

(free, but this is not a complete textbook)

## Our first object

Information

**Propositions** 

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

Something that is either

true or false

**Def.** A proposition is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both.

A good test for a proposition is to ask "Is it true that ...?" If that makes sense, it is a proposition.

- One plus two equals three.
- Washington, D.C., is the capital of the US.
- The Moon is a satellite of the Earth.
- Albany is the capital of Canada.
- The Sun is a planet.

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

**Def.** A proposition is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both.

A good test for a proposition is to ask "Is it true that ...?" If that makes sense, it is a proposition.

- One plus two equals three. true ✓
- Washington, D.C., is the capital of the US. *true* ✓
- The Moon is a satellite of the Earth. *true* ✓
- Albany is the capital of Canada. false ✓
- The Sun is a planet. *false* ✓ all are propositions

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

**Def.** A proposition is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both.

A good test for a proposition is to ask "Is it true that ...?" If that makes sense, it is a proposition.

- Three plus four.
- Consider these sentences.
- Does anyone have any questions?
- The largest planet in the Solar System.
- *n* in a prime number.

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

**Def.** A proposition is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both.

A good test for a proposition is to ask "Is it true that ...?" If that makes sense, it is a proposition.

- Three plus four. **X** neither one is a proposition
- Consider these sentences. X
- Does anyone have any questions? X
- The largest planet in the Solar System. X
- *n* in a prime number. **X**

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Instead of writing sentences, we will abbreviate them by using *propositional variables*.

It is standard practice to use the lower-case letters:  $p, q, r, \dots$ 

Then, if

p = "It is raining", q = "I have an umbrella",

we can construct *compound propositions* using logical operators:

```
p and q = "It is raining, and I have an umbrella".

not q = "I don't have an umbrella".
```

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

## **Logical Operators**

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

```
And (called Conjunction)
```

p and q

 $p \land q$  is true when both p and q are true, otherwise false.

#### Or (called Disjunction)

p or q

 $p \lor q$  is true when p or q or both are true, otherwise false.

#### Negation

#### not p

 $\neg p$  is true when p is false, otherwise false.

#### Truth tables

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

#### Negation

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} p & q & p \wedge q \\ \hline T & T & T \\ F & T & F \\ T & F & F \\ F & F & F \\ \end{array}$$

Think of the truth tables as our ultimate definition of the logical connectives (operators).

*Implication* 

if p then q

 $p \rightarrow q$  is true if whenever p is true, so is q, otherwise false.

Truth table:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} p & q & p \rightarrow q \\ \hline T & T & T \\ F & T & T \\ T & F & F \\ \hline - & - & - \end{array}$$

An implication is true when the if-part is false or the then-part is true.

So,  $p \rightarrow q$  is equivalent to  $(\neg p) \lor q$ .

"I need an umbrella, if it's raining".

"If the Earth is flat, my brother is a physicist".

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions



- 1. "If he is hungry, he is grumpy".
- 2. "He is hungry".

Is he grumpy?

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

"If he is hungry, he is grumpy".

$$h \rightarrow g = T$$

If an implication is true, we can make conclusions about g, if we know h.

We know that "he is hungry",

$$h = T$$
,

it's only possible that he is grumpy

$$g=T$$
.

 $\begin{array}{c|ccc} h & g & h \rightarrow g \\ \hline T & T & T \\ F & T & T \\ T & F & F \\ F & F & T \\ \end{array}$ 

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions



- 1. "If he is hungry, he is grumpy".
- 2. "He is not hungry".

Is he happy?

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

"If he is hungry, he is grumpy".

$$h \rightarrow g = T$$

If an implication is true, we can make conclusions about g, if we know h.

If we know that "he is not hungry",

$$h = F$$
,

then

g can be T or F.

h	g	$h \rightarrow g$
T	T	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
F	$\boldsymbol{F}$	T

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
F	T	T
$\boldsymbol{T}$	$\boldsymbol{F}$	F
$\boldsymbol{F}$	$\boldsymbol{F}$	T



Big Al told these guys that dogs can't look up.

Their thoughts:

 $p \rightarrow q$  = "If dogs can look up, Big Al is a liar".

p ="Dogs can look up"

q = "Big Al is a liar"

 $(\neg p) \lor q =$  "Dogs can't look up, or Big Al is a liar".

 $p \rightarrow q$  is equivalent to  $(\neg p) \lor q$ 

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

# More Operators. Biconditional

#### **Biconditional**

p if and only if q  $p \longleftrightarrow q$ 

is true when p and q have the same truth values, otherwise false.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} p & q & p \longleftrightarrow q \\ \hline T & T & T \\ F & T & F \\ T & F & F \\ F & F & T \\ \end{array}$$

Often, "if and only if" is abbreviated to *iff*:

$$p$$
 iff  $q$ 

"You can take the flight if and only if you buy a ticket."

Theorems are often formulated as implications or biconditionals.

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

# Combined truth tables for connectives $\neg$ , $\land$ , $\lor$ , $\rightarrow$ , and $\longleftrightarrow$

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

<u>p</u>	q	$\neg p$	$p \wedge q$	$p \lor q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \longleftrightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
$\boldsymbol{F}$	T	T	F	T	T	F
T	$\boldsymbol{F}$	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	T T T F	T	T

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

Let's take a complex compound proposition:

$$q \lor ((\neg q) \land r)$$

$$q$$
 or  $((not q) and r)$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} q & r & \cdots \\ \hline T & T & \cdots \\ F & T & \cdots \\ \hline T & F & \cdots \\ F & F & \cdots \end{array}$$

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

Let's take a complex compound proposition:

$$q \vee ((\neg q) \wedge r)$$

$$q$$
 or ((not  $q$ ) and  $r$ )

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} q & r & \neg q & \cdots \\ \hline T & T & F & \cdots \\ F & T & T & \cdots \\ \hline T & F & F & \cdots \\ F & F & T & \cdots \\ \end{array}$$

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

Let's take a complex compound proposition:

$$q \lor ((\neg q) \land r)$$

q or ((not q) and r)

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} q & r & \neg q & (\neg q) \land r & \cdots \\ \hline T & T & F & F & \cdots \\ F & T & T & T & \cdots \\ \hline T & F & F & F & \cdots \\ F & F & T & F & \cdots \\ \end{array}$$

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

Equivalence

Let's take a complex compound proposition:

$$q \vee ((\neg q) \wedge r)$$

q or ((not q) and r)

q	r	$\neg q$	$(\neg q) \wedge r$	$q \lor ((\neg q) \land r)$
T	T	F T	F	T
			T	T
	$\boldsymbol{F}$		F	T
$\boldsymbol{F}$	F	T	F	F

The number of rows in the truth table of a compound proposition is equal to  $2^n$ , where n is the number of used propositional variables.

$$(\neg p) \lor ((q \to r) \land p)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} r & \cdots \\ \hline T & \cdots \\ \hline T & \cdots \end{array}$$

 $F \quad F \quad F \mid \cdots$ 

Each of the three variables can take two possible values, so the system has  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 8$  possible states.

Information
Propositions
Operators

Complex propositions

## Equivalence

Two compound propositions are equivalent if they have the same truth values for all possible cases (have the same truth tables).

q	r	$q \lor ((\neg q) \land r)$	$q \lor r$
T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T
T	$\boldsymbol{F}$	T	T
F	$\boldsymbol{F}$	F	F

Therefore, these two propositions are logically equivalent!

We write it as follows

$$q \lor ((\neg q) \land r) \equiv q \lor r$$

Note that the statement of the equivalence of two compound propositions,  $a \equiv b$ , is not a proposition itself.

Propositions
Operators
Complex propositions

Equivalence

Information

#### **Equivalent formulae**

```
(a \land b) \equiv (b \land a) commutativity of \land
       (a \lor b) \equiv (b \lor a) commutativity of \lor
((a \land b) \land c) \equiv (a \land (b \land c)) associativity of \land
((a \lor b) \lor c) \equiv (a \lor (b \lor c)) associativity of \lor
        \neg(\neg a) \equiv a double-negation elimination
      (a \rightarrow b) \equiv (\neg b \rightarrow \neg a) contraposition
      (a \rightarrow b) \equiv (\neg a \lor b) implication elimination
     (a \leftrightarrow b) \equiv (a \rightarrow b) \land (b \rightarrow a) biconditional elimination
     \neg(a \land b) \equiv (\neg a \lor \neg b) De Morgan's Law
     \neg(a \lor b) \equiv (\neg a \land \neg b) De Morgan's Law
(a \land (b \lor c)) \equiv (a \land b) \lor (a \land c) distributivity of \land over \lor
(a \lor (b \land c)) \equiv (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c) distributivity of \lor over \land
```

Information

Propositions

Operators

Complex propositions

## **Equivalent formulae**

If **True** is a compound propositions that is always true, and **False** is a proposition that is always false:

Propositions
Operators
Complex propositions

Equivalence

Information

```
a \land \mathbf{True} \equiv a identity
a \lor \mathbf{False} \equiv a identity
a \lor \mathbf{True} \equiv \mathbf{True} domination
a \land \mathbf{False} \equiv \mathbf{False} domination
a \lor \neg a \equiv \mathbf{True} complementation (excluded middle)
a \land \neg a \equiv \mathbf{False} complementation (non-contradiction)
```

Examples of such always true compound propositions:

**True**:  $p \lor \neg p$ ,  $p \longleftrightarrow p$ ,  $p \to (p \lor q)$ , etc.

**False**: ... find a few examples of always false propositions.