Discrete Structures. CSCI-150. Fall 2015.

Homework 1.

Due Wed. Sep 9, 2015.

Problem 1

Using the following propositions:

p: "Phyllis goes out for a walk".

r: "The Moon is out".

s: "It is snowing".

Formulate these statements in words:

(a)
$$(r \land \neg s) \to p$$

(b)
$$r \to (\neg s \to p)$$

(a)
$$(r \land \neg s) \to p$$
 (b) $r \to (\neg s \to p)$ (c) $\neg (p \leftrightarrow (s \lor r))$

Try to keep the propositions unchanged. If you really want to replace a proposition with its equivalent, first, prove that your substitution is correct.

In the question (c), you have to find a way to negate the whole sentence. I guarantee that there are ways to do that in English.

Problem 2 (Graded)

Write out the truth tables for the following propositions:

(a)
$$p \land \neg (p \rightarrow q)$$

(b)
$$(p \leftrightarrow \neg (q \lor r)) \land (r \to q)$$

Compute one operation at a time, don't skip steps.

Problem 3 (Graded)

An interesting question is to find the correct way to negate a biconditional, $\neg(a \leftrightarrow b)$.

A naive guess could be that we can simply distribute the negation over the biconditional, obtaining $\neg a \leftrightarrow \neg b$. We are going to check if this guess is correct or not.

Write the truth tables for the following propositional formulas:

(a)
$$\neg (p \leftrightarrow s)$$

(b)
$$(\neg p) \leftrightarrow (\neg s)$$
,

(c)
$$p \leftrightarrow s$$
,

(d)
$$(\neg p) \leftrightarrow s$$
, (e) $p \leftrightarrow (\neg s)$

(e)
$$p \leftrightarrow (\neg s)$$

Decide which of these formulas are equivalent, and find what is the correct way to negate a biconditional.

Problem 4

Prove the logical equivalence:

$$\neg((a \land b) \land c) \equiv \neg a \lor (\neg b \lor \neg c).$$

It is advised to do the proof using the equivalence formulas we already know. (Hint: apply De Morgan's Law and the associativity of \vee).

Problem 5 (Graded)

Using logical equivalences, prove that

- (a) $p \to (r \to p) \equiv \text{True},$
- (b) $(p \to r) \lor (r \to p) \equiv \text{True},$
- (c) $r \to (p \to (r \to p)) \equiv \text{True},$

in other words, we want to prove that the formulas above are tautologies (they are always true, regardless of the values of the variables p and r).

Problem 6 (Graded)

Using logical equivalences, prove that

$$p \wedge (p \vee t) \equiv p$$

The task looks difficult, because, the distributivity formula does not help. Hint: Using the identity $A \equiv A \vee \text{False}$, represent the first p as $p \vee \text{False}$.

Problem 7

Using logical equivalences, prove that

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q)$$

<u>Hint</u>. To prove that, you can follow these steps:

(1) First, show that

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg q \lor p)$$

- (2) Distribute $(\neg p \lor q)$ over the disjunction $(\neg q \lor p)$.
- (3) Then do smething else, eventually arriving to

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv ((\neg p \land \neg q) \lor \text{False}) \lor (\text{False} \lor (q \land p))$$

(4) Then show that the right hand side in the formula above is equivalent to $(\neg p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q)$.

Problem 8

You are given an argument, but it's incomplete. Finish the work by specifying which inference rule was used in each step of the argument.

(a) Prove

$$\begin{array}{c} p \wedge q \\ q \to (r \wedge s) \\ \hline r \end{array}$$

Complete the argument

- (1) $p \wedge q$ Given.
- (2) $q \to (r \land s)$ Given.
- (3) q ...
- $(4) \quad r \wedge s \qquad \dots$
- (5) r \dots

(b) Prove

$$p \to (\neg s \land r)$$

$$s \lor t$$

$$p$$

Complete the argument

- (1) $p \to (\neg s \land r)$ Given.
- (2) $s \vee t$ Given.
- (3) p Given.
- $(4) \quad \neg s \wedge r \qquad \dots$
- $(5) \quad \neg s \qquad \dots$
- (6) t \dots

(c) Prove

$$\frac{(\neg p \lor s) \leftrightarrow q}{\neg q}$$

Complete the argument

- (1) $(\neg p \lor s) \leftrightarrow q$ Given.
- (2) $\neg q$ Given.
- $(3) \quad ((\neg p \lor s) \to q) \land (q \to (\neg p \lor s)) \quad \dots$
- $(4) \quad (\neg p \lor s) \to q \qquad \dots$
- $(5) \quad \neg(\neg p \lor s) \qquad \dots$
- $(6) \quad \neg(\neg p) \land \neg s \qquad \dots$
- $(7) \quad \neg(\neg p) \qquad \dots$
- (7) p ...