

p -adic measures and Iwasawa cohomology

Arun Soor

October 25, 2025

Abstract

These are notes for a learning seminar on Euler systems. These notes basically lifted from other places. The main references are [Col99] and [Col04]. All typos are my own!

1 p -adic measures

By a \mathbf{Q}_p -Banach space we mean a complete normed \mathbf{Q}_p -vector space. In this talk all norms are assumed to satisfy the ultrametric inequality. For a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space X we let $\mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ denote the space of continuous functions $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ equipped with the sup-norm.

Definition 1.1. We define the space of \mathbf{Q}_p -valued p -adic measures as $\mathcal{D}_0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p), \mathbf{Q}_p)$. Here $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ denotes the internal Hom of \mathbf{Q}_p -Banach spaces (bounded linear maps equipped with the operator norm).

The only totally obvious elements are the Dirac measures $\delta_x \in \mathcal{D}_0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$, given by evaluation for each $x \in X$. By definition there is a formal integration pairing

$$\int_X : \mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \times \mathcal{D}_0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p : (f, \mu) \mapsto \int_X f d\mu. \quad (1)$$

We note that $\mathcal{D}_0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ is a module for $\mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p)$, where $f \cdot \mu$ is determined by $\int_X g(f \cdot \mu) = \int_X gf d\mu$. We recall that “functions push back and measures push forward” so that $\mathcal{D}_0(-, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ is covariant in X . Further, for each X, Y there is a natural in X, Y map

$$\mathcal{D}_0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \times \mathcal{D}_0(Y, \mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0(X \times Y, \mathbf{Q}_p), \quad (2)$$

in order to define this map one has to use the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^0(X, \mathbf{Q}_p) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathcal{C}^0(Y, \mathbf{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^0(X \times Y, \mathbf{Q}_p). \quad (3)$$

From this it follows formally that if G is compact totally disconnected Hausdorff topological group then $\mathcal{D}_0(G, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ is a Hopf algebra object in \mathbf{Q}_p -Banach spaces. If G is written multiplicatively then the product (convolution) of measures is given explicitly by the formula

$$\int_G f(x) d(\lambda \star \mu)(x) = \int_G \left(\int_G f(xy) \lambda(x) \right) d\mu(y). \quad (4)$$

If $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is a continuous character and $H \leq G$ is a finite index clopen subgroup, we get a G -equivariant homomorphism

$$\{\mu \in \mathcal{D}_0(G, \mathbf{Q}_p) : \|\mu\| \leq 1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[G/H] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p(\eta) : \mu \mapsto \bar{\mu} \otimes \int_H \eta d\mu. \quad (5)$$

This will be used to define specialization maps later.

2 Amice transform

Now we specialise to the case when $G = \mathbf{Z}_p$. For an indeterminate T and $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ we consider the power series

$$(1 + T)^x = \sum_{n \geq 0} T^n \binom{x}{n}. \quad (6)$$

We are supposed to think of this as “continuous¹ in x and analytic in T ” so that if we integrate over \mathbf{Z}_p we will get some kind of analytic function. More precisely we have the theorem of Amice and Mahler:

Theorem 2.1. *The map*

$$\mu \mapsto A_\mu(T) := \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} (1 + T)^x d\mu(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} T^n \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x) \quad (7)$$

is an isometry and gives an isomorphism of Hopf algebra objects with bounded functions on the rigid open unit disk:

$$\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p) \cong \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n : a_n \in \mathbf{Q}_p, \sup_n |a_n| < \infty \right\} = \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p. \quad (8)$$

In particular $\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$ is isomorphic to the unit ball in $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p)$. By pushforward functoriality $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ carries an action of \mathbf{Z}_p . Under the Amice transform the action of $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ goes to the action

$$(a \cdot f)(T) := (1 + T)^a f(T) \quad (9)$$

of \mathbf{Z}_p on $\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p$. Multiplication of a measure by the continuous function $x = \text{id} : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ goes to the operator $(1 + T) \frac{d}{dT}$.

Let $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\mathbf{Z}_p]]$ be the completed group ring. There is a \mathbf{Z}_p -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_p[[\mathbf{Z}_p]]$ determined by $T \mapsto \gamma - 1$ where γ is the topological generator of \mathbf{Z}_p . This is not completely straightforward to prove: we direct the reader to [Was97, §7.1]. Using the $p - 1$ branches of the p -adic logarithm we obtain the p -adic Mellin transform:

$$\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{Z}_p^\times, \mathbf{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_p[[\mathbf{Z}_p^\times]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p. \quad (10)$$

which is equivariant for the respective \mathbf{Z}_p^\times -actions.

Now let $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a compatible system of p -power roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ with $\varepsilon_0 = 1$. Let K/\mathbf{Q}_p be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . We do not assume that $\varepsilon_1 \in K$. Let $G_K := \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, $K_n := K(\varepsilon_n)$ and $K_\infty := \bigcup_n K_n$. Put $\Gamma_K := \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ and $\Gamma_n := \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K_n)$. Let $\chi : \Gamma_K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ be the cyclotomic character induced by $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 0}$. By functoriality $\mathcal{D}_0(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ carries an action of Γ_K . By (10) we deduce a G_K -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_0(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p. \quad (11)$$

3 Two definitions of Iwasawa cohomology

Let T be a finite \mathbf{Z}_p -representation of G_K .

Definition 3.1. (i) We define $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T) := \lim_n H^i(K_n, T)$, the transition maps here are the corestriction maps on Galois cohomology.

(ii) We define $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T) := H^i(K, \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T)$.

¹Or locally-analytic.

Example 3.2. Using the first definition of Iwasawa cohomology. By the Kummer map one has $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(K, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) = \lim_n K_n^\times$, the transition maps here are the norms.

Remark 3.3. Using the second definition of Iwasawa cohomology. Note that the actions of Γ_K and G_K on $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T$ commute (this is just because Γ_K is abelian). Hence the $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T)$ defined as (ii) are $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$ -modules.

Remark 3.4. Using the second definition of Iwasawa cohomology. We recall that $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$ can be regarded as the unit ball in $\mathcal{D}_0(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{Q}_p)$. Hence if $n \geq 0$ and $\eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is a continuous character, we get a G_K -equivariant homomorphism as in (5):

$$\mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/K)] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p(\eta) : \mu \mapsto \bar{\mu} \otimes \int_{\Gamma_n} \eta d\mu, \quad (12)$$

which induces a specialization homomorphism:

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T) \rightarrow H^i(K, \mathbf{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/K)] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T(\eta)) = H^i(K_n, T(\eta)). \quad (13)$$

where we used Shapiro's Lemma. Hence we can think of Iwasawa cohomology as a gadget which simultaneously interpolates the Galois cohomology at all levels at p and all twists at unramified characters with p -power conductor.

Lemma 3.5. The two definitions of $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T)$ are equivalent.

Proof. By an application of Shapiro's lemma there is an isomorphism

$$\lim_n H^i(K_n, T) \cong \lim_n H^i(K, \mathbf{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/K)] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T). \quad (14)$$

where the transition maps on the right are induced by the maps $\mathbf{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_{n+1}/K)] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/K)]$. Now one wants to commute the limit with the $H^i(-)$. In order to do this, you will find that you have to use Mittag-Leffler in the following form: if $\{M_n\}_n$ is a tower of finite modules over the tower of rings $\{R_n\}_n = \{\mathbf{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/K)]\}_n$ satisfying the sheaf condition $R_n \otimes_{R_{n+1}} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n$, then $R^1 \lim_n M_n = 0$. \square

Let $\eta : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ be a continuous character. We recall that we can multiply a measure μ by η . It is easily seen that $g(\eta \cdot \mu) = \eta(\bar{g})^{-1}(\eta \cdot \mu)$ for $g \in G_K$. Hence there is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}_p[G_K]$ -modules $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p(\eta)$ sending $\mu \mapsto (\eta \cdot \mu) \otimes e_\eta$. Hence we get a \mathbf{Z}_p -linear isomorphism i_η as in the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T) & \xrightarrow{\cong i_\eta} & H_{\text{Iw}}^i(K, T(\eta)) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ H^i(K, \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^i(K, \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T(\eta)) \end{array} \quad (15)$$

The isomorphism i_η is not $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$ -linear: the action gets twisted through η .

4 Coleman power series

Now we specialize to the case when $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$. We recall again that $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(K, \mathbf{Z}_p(1)) = \lim_n K_n^\times \supseteq \lim_n \mathcal{O}_{K_n}^\times =: U_\infty$ by Kummer theory. Put $\pi_n := \varepsilon_n - 1$.

Theorem 4.1. For every $u = (u_n)_{n \geq 1} \in U_\infty$ there is a unique power series $f_u(T) \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]^\times$ such that $f_u(\pi_n) = u_n$ for every $n \geq 1$.

The Coleman map is the composite

$$U_\infty \xrightarrow{u \mapsto f_u} \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]^\times \xrightarrow{(1+T)^{\frac{d}{dT} \log}} \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]], \quad (16)$$

this will be used in later talks.

Example 4.2. Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. If $u_n = (\varepsilon_n^a - 1)/(\varepsilon_n - 1)$ is the system of cyclotomic units, then $f_u(T) = ((1+T)^a - 1)/T$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Uniqueness: Follows from Weierstrass preparation (an analytic function on the open disk can only have finitely many zeros inside a closed disk of smaller radius).

Existence: We only give a sketch and direct the reader to [Col04, §7.3] for the details. Consider the ring of integers of the tilt: $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}_\infty}^\flat := \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}_\infty}/\pi_1$. This contains the element $\bar{\pi} := (\dots, \bar{\pi}_2, \bar{\pi}_1, 0)$ of norm $|\bar{\pi}|_\flat = p^{-p/(p-1)} < 1$. So $T \mapsto \bar{\pi}$ determines a map $\mathbf{F}_p[[T]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}_\infty}^\flat$. As it turns out, the image of this map is the ring of integers in the *field of norms*: $E_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^+ = \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_{K_n}/\pi_1$. It is not hard to show that $E_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^+$ contains $\bar{u} = (\dots, \bar{u}_2, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_1^p)$. So certainly there exists $f \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$ with $f(\bar{\pi}) = \bar{u}$, which gives the Coleman power series “approximately”.

Now we use a fixed-point iteration to get the Coleman power series on the nose. To this end we introduce the operator $N : \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$ determined by $N(g)((1+T)^p - 1) = \prod_{\zeta^p=1} g((1+T)\zeta - 1)$. It is not hard to show that $N(g)(\pi_n) = N_{K_{n+1}/K_n}(g(\pi_{n+1}))$ and $\pi_1 \mid (N(g) - g)$ for any g .

Taking our “approximate f ” from before, we set $f_u := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N^k(f)$. It can be shown that this converges when $f \in \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]^\times$, which holds when $|\bar{u}|_\flat = 1$, and fortunately one can easily reduce to this case. We have $N(f_u) = f_u$ by construction. Put $v_n = f_u(\pi_n)$, we know from the properties of N above that $N_{K_{n+1}/K_n}(v_{n+1}) = v_n$ and $v_n = u_n \pmod{\pi_1}$, we want to show that $v_n = u_n$.

It can be shown that the norm maps restrict to maps

$$1 + \pi_1^k \mathcal{O}_{K_{n+1}} \xrightarrow{N_{K_{n+1}/K_n}} 1 + \pi_1^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{K_n}, \quad (17)$$

for every $n, k \geq 0$. Put $w_n = v_n/u_n$, then $w_n \in 1 + \pi_1 \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$ and $N_{K_{n+1}/K_n}(w_{n+1}) = w_n$. Hence by (17) and induction $w_n = N_{K_{n+k}/K_n}(w_{n+k}) \in 1 + \pi_1^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$ for every $k \geq 0$, so that $w_n = 1$. \square

References

- [Col99] Pierre Colmez. Fonctions L p -adiques. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 41:21–58, 1998-1999.
- [Col04] Pierre Colmez. Fontaine’s rings and p -adic L -functions. Notes for a course given at Tsinghua University in October-December 2004. Available at <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~pierre.colmez/tsinghua.pdf>, 2004.
- [Was97] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.