## p-adic Hodge tate talk

## Arun Soor

### September 27, 2022

#### Abstract

Let me know if there are mistakes and typos

### Contents

1	Recap	]
2	The equivalence of categories 2.1 The category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{HT}(G_K)$	
3	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	

### 1 Recap

Let K be a p-adic field. Recall the 1-d Tate module  $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$  with a choice of generator  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ . It is a  $G_K$ -module, with action given by:

$$g(t) = \chi(g)t,\tag{1}$$

and  $\mathbb{Z}_p(i)$   $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$  is the free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module with generator  $t^i$  where  $G_K$  acts by  $\chi^i$ . Recall also, if  $M \in G_K$  – mod we define its Tate twist  $M(i) = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(i)$ .

Set  $\mathbb{C}_K := \widehat{\overline{K}}$ , which is a  $G_K$ -module since  $G_K$  can be identified with the group of isometric isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}_K$ . With this in mind, define the *Hodge-Tate period ring*  $B_{HT}$ :

$$B_{HT} = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_K(q) \simeq \mathbb{C}_K[t, t^{-1}]. \tag{2}$$

It is a graded ring, the multiplication comes from the maps  $\mathbb{C}_K(q) \otimes \mathbb{C}_K(q') \to \mathbb{C}_K(q+q')$ . The second isomorphism is the map from  $c \otimes t^i \to ct^i$ . You can see the  $G_K$ -action respects the grading. That  $(B_{HT})^{G_K} \simeq K$  follows from:

**Theorem 1.1** (Tate-Sen). For i = 0, 1 and any continuous character  $\eta : G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ , we have:

$$H^{i}(G_{K}, \mathbb{C}_{K}(\eta)) \cong \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } \eta(I_{K}) \text{ infinite,} \\ K \text{ if } \eta(I_{K}) \text{ finite.} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

Of particular use is:

$$H^{i}(G_{K}, \mathbb{C}_{K}(n)) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0 \\ K & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

### 2 The equivalence of categories

We define the category:

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Rep}}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{f.d. } \mathbb{C}_K\text{-vector spaces } W \text{ equipped with} \\ \text{a continuous } \mathbb{C}_K\text{-semilinear } G_K\text{-action.} \end{array} \right\}$$
 (5)

It is an abelian category endowed with tensors, direct sums, and duality satisfying all the usual properties. Semilinear means g(cw) = g(c)g(w), for  $c \in \mathbb{C}_K$  and  $w \in W$ . We define:

$$W\{q\} \coloneqq W(q)^{G_K},\tag{6}$$

this is a K-vector space. By left exactness of  $(-)^{G_K}$  and the flat extension of scalars  $K(-q) \otimes_K -$ , we get an injection (K-linear,  $G_K$ -equivariant, where it's acting diagonally):

$$K(-q) \otimes_K W\{q\} \hookrightarrow K(-q) \otimes W(q) \simeq W,$$
 (7)

the last isomorphism is from multiplication. Extending further to  $\mathbb{C}_K$ , we get maps  $\mathbb{C}_K(-q) \otimes_K W\{q\} \hookrightarrow W$ . Lastly, summing over all q, we get a map:

$$\xi_W : \bigoplus_q \mathbb{C}_K(-q) \otimes_K W\{q\} \to W.$$
 (8)

The important lemma is:

**Lemma 2.1** (Serre-Tate).  $\xi_W$  is injective.

Therefore,  $\sum_{q} \dim_{K} W\{q\} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}_{K}} W$ , and you see that equality here is the same as  $\xi_{W}$  being an isomorphism.

**Definition 2.2.**  $W \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K)$  is Hodge-Tate if  $\xi_W$  is an isomorphism.  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  is the full subcategory of Hodge-Tate objects.

In which case, we define the Hodge-Tate weights  $h_q = \dim_K W\{q\}$  for all q where this isn't 0.

[Aside: choosing a basis in each  $W\{q\}$  gives a (non-canonical) isomorphism

$$W \cong \bigoplus_{q} \mathbb{C}_K(-q)^{h_q}. \tag{9}$$

The Tate-Sen theorem then shows that this can be taken as a definition of Hodge-Tate. By this description, it's easy to see that  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  is closed under tensors and direct sums. The dual has the negated weights.]

As usual, we are going to translate  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  into "semilinear algebraic data". For  $W \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  consider

$$\underline{D}(W) = (B_{HT} \otimes W)^{G_K} = \bigoplus_{q} W\{q\}.$$
(10)

This defines a functor, and the description on the left, together with Lemma 2.1, shows us what the target category is:

$$\underline{D}: \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K) \to \mathsf{Gr}_{K,f} \coloneqq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{f.d. } \mathbb{Z}\text{-graded } K\text{-vector spaces } D, \\ \text{morphisms are grading preserving linear maps.} \end{array} \right\}$$
 (11)

We can go back in the reverse direction. Let  $D \in \mathsf{Gr}_{K,f}$ . Then  $B_{HT} \otimes_K D$  is a graded  $\mathbb{C}_K$ -vector space<sup>1</sup>, and we set:

$$\underline{V}(D) = \operatorname{gr}^{0}(B_{HT} \otimes_{K} D) = \bigoplus_{q} \mathbb{C}_{K}(-q) \otimes_{K} D_{q}, \tag{12}$$

which gives an exact functor  $\underline{V}: \mathsf{Gr}_{K,f} \to \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$ . Now, we consider  $\underline{V}(\underline{D}(-))$ . Let  $W \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$ , and consider first the following composite,  $\gamma_W$ :

$$\gamma_W : B_{HT} \otimes_K \underline{D}(W) \hookrightarrow B_{HT} \otimes_K (B_{HT} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_K} W) \to B_{HT} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_K} W$$

$$a \otimes b \otimes w \mapsto ab \otimes w. \tag{13}$$

It is  $G_K$ -equivariant, and grading preserving. Now consider this map in degree 0. It takes:

$$\underline{V}(\underline{D}(W)) = \operatorname{gr}^{0}(B_{HT} \otimes_{K} D) = \bigoplus_{q} \mathbb{C}_{K}(-q) \otimes W\{q\} \to W, \tag{14}$$

exactly as  $\xi_W$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 it is an isomorphism. Even more: you can see that in degree n,  $\gamma_W$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}_p(n)$ -twist of  $\xi_W$ , and so  $\gamma_W$  is an isomorphism.

Next, consider  $\underline{D}(\underline{V}(D))$ . Since  $\underline{V}(D)$  is Hodge-Tate, we get an isomorphism  $(G_K$ -equivariant, grading preserving):

$$\gamma_{\underline{V}(D)}: B_{HT} \otimes_K \underline{D}(\underline{V}(D)) \simeq B_{HT} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_K} \underline{V}(D). \tag{15}$$

Now pass to  $G_K$ -invariants. We get the chain of equalities:

$$\underline{D}(\underline{V}(D)) \simeq \bigoplus_{r} (\underline{V}(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{K}} \mathbb{C}_{K}(r))^{G_{K}}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{r} (\bigoplus_{q} \mathbb{C}_{K}(r-q) \otimes_{K} D_{q})^{G_{K}}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{r} D_{r} = D,$$
(16)

where we used the Tate-Sen theorem going from the second to the third line. Therefore:

**Theorem 2.3.** The functors  $\underline{D}$  and  $\underline{V}$  are quasi-inverses, setting up an equivalence of categories:

$$\underline{D}: \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K) \rightleftarrows \mathsf{Gr}_{K,f} : \underline{V}. \tag{17}$$

# 2.1 The category $Rep_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{HT}(G_K)$ .

Recall that  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is the category of continuous representations of  $G_K$  into f.d.  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  vector spaces. (The formalism of admissible representations is directly applicable in this case but not directly for  $\mathbb{C}_K$ , because of the semilinearity).

**Definition 2.4.**  $V \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  is called Hodge-Tate if  $\mathbb{C}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K)$  is Hodge-Tate. The full subcategory is denoted  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{HT}(G_K)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Set gr<sup>n</sup>  $(B_{HT} \otimes_K D) = \bigoplus_q \mathbb{C}_K (n-q) \otimes_K D_q$ .

We define  $D_{HT}: \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{HT} \to \mathsf{Gr}_{K,f}$  by:

$$D_{HT}(V) = \underline{D}(\mathbb{C}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V) = (B_{HT} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}. \tag{18}$$

The functor  $D_{HT}$  is faithful, but it is not full. To see this, by the Tate-Sen theorem (see talk 4), it follows that for any finitely ramified character  $\eta$ ,  $D_{HT}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong D_{HT}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta))$  but  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)$  admit no maps in  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ .

## 2.2 Properties of D and V

I would say to probably read Brinon and Conrad if you are interested in the details of these first two.

**Proposition 2.5** (Exactness).  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K)$  (and so  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ ) are stable under subobjects and quotients, and  $\underline{D}$  (resp.  $D_{HT}$ ) is exact on  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  (resp.  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{HT}(G_K)$ ).

**Proposition 2.6** (Compatibility with tensors and duals). For W,  $W' \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}$ , there are natural isomorphisms  $\underline{D}(W \otimes W') \cong \underline{D}(W) \otimes \underline{D}(W')$  and a natural isomorphism  $D(W^{\vee}) \cong D(W)^{\vee}$ . Pretty much the same holds for  $D_{HT}$ .

The above has all implicitly depended on the base field K. In the next proposition we make this explicit with the notation  $\underline{D} = \underline{D}_K : \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K) \to \mathsf{Gr}_{K,f}$ . Let K'/K be finite and  $\widehat{K^{un}}$  be as usual, all contained in a fixed  $\overline{K} \subset \mathbb{C}_K$ .

Let  $W \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K)$ . Because  $G_{K'} \subset G_K$ , we get a natural map  $K' \otimes_K \underline{D}_K(W) \to \underline{D}_{K'}(W)$  in  $\mathsf{Gr}_{K',f}$ , (and the same with  $\widehat{K^{un}}$ ). Recall  $G_{\overline{K^{un}}} = I_K$ . The below says "Hodge-Tate" is the same if we pass to a finite extension, or restrict to the inertia.

**Proposition 2.7** (Scalar extension). The just described maps in  $Gr_{K',f}$ ,  $Gr_{\overline{K^{un}},f}$ , are isomorphisms.

As a warning note that  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  is not closed under extensions. An elementary proof is available in Brinon and Conrad but it might be best explained using Sen theory.

## 2.3 Why is it called p-adic Hodge theory?

Faltings proved:

**Theorem 2.8.** If X is a smooth proper scheme over K, then the étale cohomology  $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Q}_p) \in \mathsf{Rep}^{HT}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ , and  $D_{HT}(H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \bigoplus_q H^{i-q}(X,\Omega^q_{X/K})$ , the Hodge cohomology.

This is a p-adic analogue of the comparison between de Rham and singular cohomology for a smooth manifold (where the isomorphism comes from integration over cycles, Stokes's theorem).

### 3 Sen theory

The main idea of Sen theory is to differentiate the Galois action to get an operator called the Sen operator  $\phi$ , and then seen how this controls the decomposition. It appears to be independent of the period ring formalism. We will see that being Hodge-Tate is the same as  $\phi$  acting semisimply with integer eigenvalues.

### 3.1 Setup

We begin with the following simple result:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let G be a top. group and let B be a top. ring with  $G \sim B$  continuously. There is a natural bijection:

$$H^1_{\text{cont}}(G, GL_d(B)) \stackrel{\text{1:1}}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Isoclasses of free continuous } B\text{-semilinear} \\ G\text{-representations of rank } d. \end{array} \right\}$$
 (19)

*Proof.* Let V be such a representation, and let  $\alpha(g)$  be the matrix of g with respect to some basis. What I mean is that

$$g(e_i) = \sum_j a_{ij}(g)e_j, \text{ for some } a_{ij}(g) \in B,$$
 (20)

and  $\alpha(g) = (a_{ij}(g))$ . Then  $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)g(\alpha(h))$  (cocycle condition). If  $\alpha'$  is the matrix wrt. some other basis, and P is the change of basis matrix, then  $P\alpha'(g) = \alpha(g)g(P)$  (coboundary condition). Lastly, any cocycle defines a representation into  $B^d$ .

First, notation.  $K_{\infty}/K$  is a ramified  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension living inside  $\overline{K}$ ,  $H:=G_{K_{\infty}}$ ,  $\Gamma:=\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)$ , with topological generator  $\gamma$ ,  $\chi:\Gamma\to\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  is multiplicative character,  $\Gamma_m:=\Gamma^{p^m}$  with topological generator  $\gamma_m:=\gamma^{p^m}$ , and  $K_m:=K_{\infty}^{\Gamma_m}$ . The most important example of this would be a cyclotomic extension. Here is a picture:

$$K = K_1 = K_2 = K_\infty = K_\infty = K_\infty$$
 (21)

Because of Proposition 3.1 we will start looking at various homology groups. Firstly, a "strong version" of Hilbert's theorem 90:

**Proposition 3.2.**  $H^1_{\text{cont}}(H, GL_d(\mathbb{C}_K)) = 1$ . Therefore, by an "inflation-restriction" exact sequence (see Weibel 6.7.3), we get an iso:

$$j: H^1_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma, GL_d(\widehat{K_\infty})) \simeq H^1_{\text{cont}}(G_K, GL_d(\mathbb{C}_K))$$
 (22)

We also have a "decompletion" result:

Proposition 3.3. The natural map

$$\iota: H^1_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma, GL_d(K_\infty)) \to H^1_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma, GL_d(\widehat{K_\infty}))$$
 (23)

is an isomorphism, and any cocycle in  $H^1_{cont}(\Gamma, GL_d(K_\infty))$  is cohomologous to a cocycle with values in  $GL_d(K_r)$ , if we take r large enough.

At the level of isoclasses of semilinear reps, this amounts to the following. Let W be a d-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}_K$ -semilinear rep of H, and set  $\widehat{W}_{\infty} = W^H$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $W \simeq \widehat{W}_{\infty} \otimes_{\widehat{K_{\infty}}} \mathbb{C}_K$ , and by Proposition 3.3, we can chase the isoclass  $[\widehat{W}_{\infty}]$  back, to a representation  $W_r$  defined over some  $K_r$  such that:

$$W_r \otimes_{K_r} \widehat{K}_{\infty} \simeq \widehat{W}_{\infty}.$$
 (24)

(Think of it like, the maps between the  $H_{\text{cont}}^1$ 's are extension of scalars, (when we view them as the isoclasses), and Galois descent is what undoes this). Now set

$$W_{\infty} = \{ K \text{-finite vectors } w \in \widehat{W}_{\infty} \},$$
 (25)

where K-finite means that  $\dim_K K$ -span $(\Gamma w) < \infty$ . This is a  $\Gamma$ -stable  $K_{\infty}$ -vector space, containing  $W_r$ , and it is d-dimensional by a short argument using (24). Therefore, we have four d-dimensional semilinear reps over  $K_r, K_{\infty}, \widehat{K_{\infty}}, \mathbb{C}_K$ , respectively:

$$W_r \to W_\infty \to \widehat{W}_\infty \to W$$
 (26)

where each is isomorphic to the next after extending scalars and inflating to the larger Galois group.

### 3.2 The Sen operator $\phi$

Now, fix a  $K_r$ -basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$  of  $W_r$ . It will also be a  $K_\infty$ -basis of  $W_\infty$  and a  $\mathbb{C}_K$ -basis of W. Let  $\rho: \Gamma_r \to GL_d(K_r)$  be the matrix wrt this basis.

**Definition 3.4.** The Sen operator  $\phi$  associated to W is the linear endomorphism of  $W_r$  whose matrix wrt this basis is given by:

$$\Phi = \log(\rho(\gamma_r))/\log(\chi(\gamma_r)), \tag{27}$$

and its linear extensions to  $W_{\infty}$ , and W.

I am glossing over the fact that you can do these log's (because  $\nu(\rho(\gamma_r) - 1) > c + d$ , for  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  which come from Tate's normalised traces).

The main theorem is the following alternative characterisation:

**Theorem 3.5.** The Sen operator  $\phi$  is the unique  $K_{\infty}$ -linear endomorphism of  $W_{\infty}$  with the following property.

For all  $w \in W_{\infty}$ , there is an open subgroup  $\Gamma_{\omega}$  of  $\Gamma$  such that:

$$\sigma(w) = \exp(\phi \log \chi(\sigma))w \tag{28}$$

for all  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ .

The expression  $\exp(\phi \log \chi(\sigma))$  is a  $K_{\infty}$ -linear endomorphism.

Proof. Write  $w = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_d e_d$ . There are  $r_1, \dots, r_d$  such that  $\lambda_i \in K_{r_i} = K_{\infty}^{\Gamma_{r_i}}$  (this is where we use the K-finiteness). Set  $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_r \cap \Gamma_{r_1} \cap \dots \cap \Gamma_{r_n}$ . Every  $\sigma \in \Gamma_w$  takes the form  $\sigma = \gamma_r^a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Because  $\rho(\gamma_r)$  takes values in  $K_r$ , we have that  $\rho(\sigma) = \rho(\gamma_r)^a$ . Now, as matrices with entries in  $K_r$ , we have:

$$\exp(\Phi \log \chi(\sigma)) = \exp(a \log \rho(\gamma_r)) = \rho(\gamma_r)^a = \rho(\sigma), \tag{29}$$

and because all the  $\lambda_i$  are fixed by  $\sigma$ , it follows that  $\exp(\phi \log \chi(\sigma))w = \sigma(w)$ , for all  $\sigma \in \Gamma_w$ . I am omitting uniqueness but it is not hard, maybe you can see it already.

We may use the notation  $\phi_W$  to denote dependence on W. In that case  $\phi_{W_1 \oplus W_2} = \phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2}$ ,  $\phi_{W_1 \otimes W_2} = \phi_{W_1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \phi_{W_2}$ , and  $\phi_{\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)} = (\phi_{W_1})^* - (\phi_{W_2})_*$ . Now, it follows from the formula (28) that for  $w \in W_{\infty}$ :

$$\phi(w) = \frac{1}{\log \chi(\gamma)} \left. \frac{d}{dx} \right|_{x=0} (\gamma^x w) = \frac{1}{\log \chi(\gamma)} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\gamma^{p^n}(w) - w}{p^n}. \tag{30}$$

It follows from this expression that  $\phi$  is  $\Gamma$ -linear on  $W_{\infty}$  and  $G_K$ -linear on W. Also, if  $w = t \in \mathbb{C}_K(q)$ , then w is K-finite, and we calculate:

$$\phi(w) = \frac{1}{\log(\chi(\gamma))} \left. \frac{d}{dx} \right|_{x=0} (\chi(\gamma)^{qx} w) = qw. \tag{31}$$

So  $\phi$  is just multiplication by q on  $\mathbb{C}_K(q)$ . Thus we see that  $\phi$  acts semisimply with integer coefficients, if W is Hodge-Tate. We aim to prove the converse.

**Theorem 3.6.**  $\ker \phi = W^{G_K} \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_K$ .

Proof. The formula (30) shows that G-invariants belong to the kernel. Because  $\phi$  is  $G_K$ -linear,  $\ker \phi$  is  $G_K$ -stable. So consider  $(\ker \phi)_{\infty}$  as before: we have  $(\ker \phi)_{\infty} \otimes_{K^{\infty}} \mathbb{C}_K = \ker \phi$ , and the Sen operator (which is 0), just comes from the one on  $(\ker \phi)_{\infty}$  extended linearly. But by looking at formula (30) for one direction, and Theorem 3.5 for the other, we can see that  $\phi(w) = 0$  is equivalent to  $\Gamma w$  being finite, equivalently, the Γ-action is continuous for the discrete topology on  $(\ker \phi)_{\infty}$ , equivalently, the Γ-action factors through an open subgroup  $\Gamma_r$  of Γ. Therefore, combining Hilbert's theorem 90 (that  $H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_r, GL_n(K_{\infty})) = 1$ ) with Proposition 3.1 shows that  $(\ker \phi)_{\infty}$  has a basis of  $G_K$ -invariants.

Now, using this, for  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  we can naturally identify  $\ker(\phi + qI) = W(q)^{G_K} \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_K = W\{q\}$ , whence it follows that:

**Theorem 3.7.** W is Hodge-Tate iff  $\phi$  acts semisimply with integer eigenvalues.

By applying Theorem 3.6 to the representation  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(W_1, W_2)$  one can deduce:

**Proposition 3.8.**  $W_1, W_2 \in \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}(G_K)$  are isomorphic iff  $\phi_{W_1}$  is similar to  $\phi_{W_2}$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $\rho$  be the 2-d representation of  $G_K$  on  $(\mathbb{C}_K)^2$  with matrix given by:

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log \chi(\sigma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

It is an extension of  $\mathbb{C}_K(0)$  by itself. Now, differentiation of  $\rho(\sigma)^t$  as in (30), yields:

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{33}$$

which isn't semisimple, so  $\rho$  isn't Hodge-Tate and we see that  $\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}_K}^{HT}(G_K)$  isn't closed under extensions.

### References